Diffusion, subdiffusion, and localization of active colloids in random post lattices
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Combining experiments and theory, we address the dynamics of self-propelled particles in crowded environments. We first demonstrate that motile colloids cruising at constant speed through random lattices undergo a smooth transition from diffusive to subdiffusive to localized dynamics upon increasing the obstacle density. We then elucidate the nature of these transitions by performing extensive simulations constructed from a detailed analysis of the colloid-obstacle interactions. We evidence that repulsion at a distance and hard-core interactions both contribute to slowing down the long-time diffusion of the colloids. In contrast, the localization transition stems solely from excluded-volume interactions and occurs at the void-percolation threshold. Within this critical scenario, equivalent to that of the random Lorentz gas, genuine asymptotic subdiffusion is found only at the critical density where the motile particles explore a fractal maze.
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I. INTRODUCTION

From intracellular transport to the motion of living creatures in natural habitats, virtually all instances of active transport at small scales occur in crowded environments, see, e.g., Refs. [1,2]. These observations together with potential applications of synthetic active matter have resulted in a surge of interest in self-propulsion through heterogeneous media [3,4]. However, apart from rare exceptions [5–7], most studies have focused on the two-body interactions between self-propelled particles and isolated obstacles or walls [3,4,8–12].

In contrast, a different line of research has been devoted to the dynamics of ballistic tracers and random walkers in extended crowded media; see, e.g., Refs. [13–16]. From a theoretical perspective, the gold standard is the random Lorentz gas model, where passive tracers move ballistically, or diffuse, through a random lattice of hard-core obstacles [17]. The salient features of this minimal model have been quantitatively explained, from transient subdiffusion, to the localization transition occurring at the void percolation threshold; see Refs. [18–22] and references therein. From an experimental perspective, the Lorentz localization scenario has been qualitatively confirmed only very recently using Brownian colloids [23]. However, unlike passive colloids, self-propelled particles couple to their environment not only via their position, but also via their intrinsic orientation, which chiefly dictates their active dynamics. As a consequence the interactions between motile bodies and fixed obstacles can result in countervuitive behaviors such as collision and avoidance at constant speed [24–26]. Considering the dynamics of self-propelled particles steadily moving in random lattices of repelling obstacle, Chepizhko et al. found a phenomenon that qualitatively differs from that of the Lorentz gas [6]. Numerical simulations indeed suggest that active particles undergo genuine subdiffusion as a result of transient trapping over a range of obstacle densities while localization was not reported. In any realistic setting both reorientation at a distance and excluded volume would affect the particle trajectories. However, until now no experiment has addressed the localization of self-propelled bodies in crowded environments. We rectify this situation.

In this article, we combine quantitative experiments and extensive numerical simulations to elucidate the dynamics of self-propelled particles in disordered lattices. We first investigate the trajectories of noninteracting active colloids moving at constant speed through repelling obstacles. We quantitatively demonstrate how disorder hinders their diffusion and ultimately confines their trajectories to compact regions. The very nature of this localization transition is then identified by disentangling the contributions of finite-range deflection and hard-core repulsion. We evidence that both excluded volume and deflection at a distance result in finite-time subdiffusion. However, at long times, deflection at a distance merely renormalizes the particle diffusivity while hard-core repulsion results in a localization transition à la Lorentz, from diffusive to fully localized behavior.

II. EXPERIMENTS

A. Exploration of random lattices by colloidal rollers

The experimental setup is thoroughly described in Appendix A. Briefly, by taking advantage of the so-called Quincke electrorotation, we turn polystyrene beads of radius \( a = 2.4 \mu m \) immersed in hexadecane (viscosity \( \eta \sim 2 \text{ mPa s} \) into self-propelled colloidal rollers [27–30]. The basic mechanism of Quincke electrorotation is recalled in Appendix B. When let to sediment on a flat surface, the colloids roll at constant speed \( v_0 = 225 \mu m / s \) along a direction \( \mathbf{v} \), which diffuses on the unit circle with an angular diffusivity \( D = 1.5 \text{ s}^{-1} \). Note that thermal diffusion would yield a much lower value of the order of \( 5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1} \). We believe the particle roughness to be chiefly responsible for the spontaneous orientational diffusivity of the rollers. Disorder is introduced by adding UV-lithographed cylindrical posts of radius \( b = 10 \mu m \) on the surface; see Fig. 1 and Ref. [26]. The obstacles are placed at random and can overlap. The obstacle density, defined as the number of obstacle centers per unit area, is varied from \( \rho = 0 \) to \( \rho = 1.1/(\pi b^2) \). We focus on a situation opposite to
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In all our experiments we find $\ell \sim 2b$. Error bars: binning size. (b, c, d) Trajectories of colloidal rollers (red and yellow) superimposed to the pictures of the obstacle lattices. Scale bar: 500 $\mu$m. Total time: 300 s. (b) $\rho = 0.21/\langle \pi b^2 \rangle$, the trajectories form a single percolating cluster. (c) $\rho = 0.45/\langle \pi b^2 \rangle$, the trajectories form disconnected clusters. The largest cluster (in red) percolates through the observation region. (d) $\rho = 0.89/\langle \pi b^2 \rangle$, none of the disconnected clusters percolate, and no macroscopic transport is observed. The largest cluster of maximal dimension $l_c$ is colored in red. (e) Variations of the normalized maximal cluster size with the obstacle density. $L$ is the width of the observation window. The dashed line indicates the critical density $\rho_c$. Experimental errors on the determination of the cluster sizes are smaller than the figure markers. Defining a statistical error on this extremal quantity would require a number of independent realisations beyond our experimental reach.

Ref. [26], where we considered high roller densities leading to collective flocking motion in dilute obstacle lattices. Here, in all experiments, we minimize the interactions between the rollers by keeping their packing fraction far below the onset of collective motion [27,31]. In this regime the rollers behave as independent persistent random walkers [27,31]. We simultaneously track the trajectories of $\sim 100$ colloids in a square observation window of size $L = 2.4$ mm, and all quantities reported below correspond to ensemble and time averages. The trajectories are recorded at 188 fps over 5 min. During this time interval, particles rolling along straight lines would move over distances of about half a meter.

As illustrated in Fig. 1(a) the obstacles repel the rollers at a finite distance while leaving their speed unchanged. We stress that this behavior is typical of active particles and cannot be observed with passive colloids at thermal equilibrium. The range of the interaction, $\ell \sim 2b$, is measured from the roller density around isolated obstacles, Fig. 1(a). Appendix B provides a detailed analysis of the roller-obstacle interaction (see also the Supplemental Material of Ref. [26] for a thorough experimental characterization). Typical trajectories in random lattices are shown in Figs. 1(b)–1(d) and in a Supplemental Material video [32]. At low obstacle densities, the rollers freely propagate through the entire system. The ensemble of their trajectories forms a single connected cluster covering most of the free space left around the obstacles. Increasing $\rho$, the trajectories form disconnected and increasingly sparse clusters: a finite fraction of the colloids remains trapped in compact regions. The extent of the largest cluster is plotted for all obstacles densities in Fig. 1(e). In agreement with our qualitative observations, above $\rho = 0.45/\langle \pi b^2 \rangle$ none of the colloids is observed to cruise through the entire field of view, and the extent of the largest cluster decreases very sharply at $\rho_c \sim 0.75/\langle \pi b^2 \rangle$.

B. Localization of colloidal-roller trajectories

The obstacles clearly hinder the exploration of space by the active colloids. However, unlike the situation theoretically considered in Ref. [7], the rollers do not behave as active Brownian particles. They rarely contact the obstacles and are not slowed down by the collisions. The distribution of their instantaneous speed is peaked at the same value, $v_0 = 250 \mu$m/s, for all obstacle densities, Fig. 2(a). Even more surprisingly, the distribution broadens toward high speeds as $\rho$ increases. This observation alone would imply a faster exploration of space at high obstacle densities in obvious contrast with our experimental observation, Figs. 1(b)–1(d). We therefore conclude that disorder predominantly impedes the motion of the rollers by altering their orientational dynamics.

In Fig. 2(b), we plot the roller orientational diffusivity $D_\theta$ defined as the inverse of the velocity decorrelation time, Fig. 2(b) inset. $D_\theta$ increases linearly with $\rho$. This scaling is expected for uncorrelated collisions with scatterers all contributing identically to the deflection of the roller trajectories. Within this simple picture the reduction of the cluster size would merely translate the algebraic decay of the translational diffusivity: $D_T \sim v_0^2/D$, see, e.g., Refs. [4,33,34]. However, the inspection of the mean-squared displacements, $\Delta r^2$, in Fig. 2(c) invalidates this hypothesis.

At small times, the colloids undergo ballistic motion; however, we do not find a universal scaling of the MSDS at long times. The growth exponent $\alpha$ defined as $\Delta r^2 \sim t^\alpha$ is a decreasing function of the obstacle density, Fig. 2(d). Increasing $\rho$ from 0 to $\rho_c$, the long-time dynamics smoothly evolves from normal diffusion ($\alpha = 1$) to subdiffusion ($\alpha < 1$). Above $\rho_c$, the dynamics slows down abruptly and the rollers undergo a localization transition ($\alpha = 0$). The rollers propelling at constant speed, this rich behavior is necessarily encoded in the long-time decay of the orientational correlations and...
therefore cannot be captured by a mere description in terms of an effective orientational diffusivity \[35,36\].

The central question we aim at answering now is whether the continuous evolution from normal diffusion to subdiffusion to localization is an asymptotic behavior or a finite-time contrast, within the geometrical picture of the Lorentz gas, subdiffusion should be only observed over finite time scales [37]. At \( \phi_L \), the asymptotic value of \( \alpha \) would discontinuously jump from 1 to 0, thereby reflecting a transition toward a fully localized dynamics [7,18,19].

Clear anticorrelations typical of trapped trajectories are seen in Fig. 2(b) inset, yet they are not sufficient to distinguish between the two possible scenarios [35]. Elucidating the exact nature of the localization transition requires accessing much longer time scales out of range of our experiments. We resolve this situation by confronting our findings to extensive numerical simulations.

### III. NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS

#### A. Roller-obstacle interactions

Let us first build a simplified phenomenological description of the roller dynamics. Details on the numerical resolution of this model are given in Appendix A. We need to capture three central features: (i) the obstacles repel the active colloids isotropically, (ii) the interaction range is finite, (iii) collisions consist in reorientations at constant speed. We also discard spontaneous angular diffusion as it yields minute corrections to the obstacle scattering contributions as \( \rho \pi b^2 > 0.1 \); see Fig. 2(b). Assuming pairwise additive interactions, these observations are sufficient to introduce a general form for the equations of motion of both the roller position \( \mathbf{r} \) and orientation \( \mathbf{v} = (\cos \theta, \sin \theta) \):

\[
\partial_t \mathbf{r} = v_0 \hat{\mathbf{v}}(\theta),
\]

\[
\partial_t \theta = -\hat{B} \sum_j B(\delta r_j) \delta r_j \cdot \hat{\mathbf{v}},
\]

where \( \delta \mathbf{r}_j \) is the position of the \( j \)th obstacle, and \( \delta \mathbf{r}_j = \mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r} \). For the sake of simplicity, \( B(\delta r_j) \) is chosen to be a positive constant, \( B \), for \( \delta r_j < \ell \) and 0 otherwise. We present in Appendix B a series of experiments complemented by a microscopic theoretical model that ascertains this phenomenological description.

Before presenting the results of our simulations, let us gain some insight into the roller-obstacles scattering: (1) reflects motion at constant speed along \( \hat{v} \); (2) has a simple meaning: the rollers turn their back to the obstacles in a typical time \( B^{-1} \). In agreement with the trajectory shown in Fig. 1(a), a roller interacting with an obstacle experiences a torque that orients its velocity in the direction opposite to the vector connecting the roller to the obstacle center. One important comment is in order. The repelling torques cannot fully exclude the active particles from the interaction regions. Take for instance two obstacles with overlapping interaction disks. In the overlap region, the two repulsive torques compete to bend the particle trajectory in opposite directions. As a result, there always exist a finite channel between the obstacles through which the particle can almost freely proceed as illustrated in Fig. 3. Such interaction-free channels would not exist if the particles were repelled by an isotropic force (as opposed to an isotropic torque).

#### B. Strong repulsion torque and overlapping Lorentz gas

The particle dynamics is parametrized by a single dimensionless number that compares the time spent in the vicinity of an obstacle (\( \ell / v_0 \)) and the reorientation time \( B^{-1} \). To see whether repulsion torques can yield subdiffusion and localization as observed in our experiments, it is worth...
analyzing first the asymptotic case where $Bt/v_0 \gg 1$. The MSD corresponding to $Bt/v_0 = 100$ are plotted in Fig. 4(a). At short times (short distances), the dynamics is ballistic. At intermediate time scales, as in the experiments, we observe a continuous slowing down of the dynamics in the form of subdiffusion as $\rho$ increases. However, a careful inspection of the long-time dynamics reveals that this apparent subdiffusion is merely a transient behavior. Figure 4(b) shows how the instantaneous value of the exponent $\alpha(\rho, t)$ evolves with time and obstacle density, where $\alpha(\rho, t) = \frac{d}{dt} \log \Delta^2$. The $\alpha(\rho)$ curves converge toward a step function as $t \to \infty$. For $\rho < \rho_c = 0.3725$, $\alpha(\rho)$ converges to 1. The particles undergo normal diffusion at long times. Conversely, for $\rho > \rho_c$, $\alpha \to 0$ and particle motion is localized. As it turns out, the active-particle dynamics is genuinely subdiffusive only at $\rho = \rho_c$, which corresponds to a fixed point of the $\alpha(\rho, t)$ curves. At $\rho_c$, $\Delta^2 \sim t^{\alpha_c}$ with $\alpha_c = 0.66 \pm 0.02$; see Fig. 4(b). Surprisingly, both the value of this anomalous exponent and of $\rho_c \pi \ell^2 = 1.17$ suggest that this localization transition belongs to the universality class of the overlapping Lorentz gas model [17,19]. The predictions of the overlapping Lorentz gas would be $\alpha_c = 0.66$ and $\rho_c \pi \ell^2 = 1.13$. This hypothesis is further confirmed by Fig. 4(c), which shows the collapse of the MSD curves when time and distances are suitably rescaled by the distance to the critical density $\epsilon = (\rho - \rho_c)/\rho_c$ using the Lorentz hyperscaling relations [18,19].

The universality of the Lorentz localization transition stems from an underlying percolation transition [21]. Localization occurs as the voids separating impenetrable obstacles stop percolating through the system. In contrast, we have neglected the hard-core repulsion from the obstacles in Eqs. (1) and (2), and the repelling torques cannot fully exclude active particles from the interaction regions. However, in practice, when $Bt/v_0 = 100$ the channels allowing the penetration of the interaction discs become so narrow that we do not observe a single obstacle-crossing event in our simulations; see Fig. 4(a) inset. The particles merely penetrate the interaction discs over minute distances of the order of $v_0/B = \ell/100$ before being strongly repelled. This small yet finite penetration explains the slight discrepancies with the values of the critical density and exponent compared to the ideal Lorentz-gas scenario [23,38]. Both $\alpha_c$ and $\rho_c$ exceed the Lorentz-gas value by 10%. The latter difference consistently corresponds to smaller hard-core particles of radius $\ell - 2v_0/B$. Is this localization scenario relevant to our experiments? To answer this question, we now need testing the robustness of this phenomenology to finite repulsion strength.

C. Finite repulsion: Diffusion through disorder

The comparison between the numerical and experimental densities around isolated obstacles indicates that $Bt/v_0 = 5$ correctly approximates the repulsion strength of the lithographed obstacles; see Fig. 1(a). The MSDs corresponding to $Bt/v_0 = 5$ are plotted in Fig. 5(a). Surprisingly, although $Bt/v_0 > 1$, they show a stark difference with the strong repulsion limit discussed in the previous section. From $\rho \pi \ell^2 = 0.95$ to $\rho \pi \ell^2 = 7.8$, where the interaction disks cover about 99.96% of the simulation box, we do not observe any sign of localization. Whereas repulsion still results in
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subdiffusion at intermediate time scales, the instantaneous dynamical exponent $\alpha$ converges to 1 at long times even for the highest obstacle densities, Fig. 5(b). Disorder does not yield asymptotic subdiffusion, and only slows down the rollers motion by reducing their translational diffusivity.

Repulsion at finite $B$ fails in building effective barriers as illustrated in Fig. 5(a) inset. For $B\ell/v_0 = 5$, we see that the width of the channel going through a pair of obstacles compares to the interobstacle distance thereby preventing any form of long-time trapping. As a result, at long times, neither localization nor subdiffusion can be achieved as both processes rely on the formation of traps with diverging escape times [14].

D. Origin of the localization transition in colloidal-roller experiments

We infer from the above analysis that the localization transition must arise from the excluded-volume interactions as it cannot stem from hydrodynamic and electrostatic repulsions alone. To test this hypothesis, we add steric repulsion to the finite-range repulsion torque (keeping $B\ell/v_0 = 5$). Given the observations reported before, a simple implementation of steric interactions is achieved in adding a repulsion torque of magnitude $B = 100v_0/\ell$ and range $b < \ell$ to Eq. (2).

We do recover the experimental phenomenology, which turns out to be qualitatively similar to that of the Lorentz model, Figs. 6(a) and 6(b). For packing fractions (computed with the hard core radius) smaller than the critical fraction at the Lorentz transition, $\rho \pi b^2 < \phi_L$, a ballistic regime is followed by a transient subdiffusive dynamics. However, we see that at long times the dynamics ultimately crosses over toward pure diffusion. Approaching $\phi_L$, the extent of the transient regime diverges and yields asymptotic subdiffusion with $\alpha = 0.5 \pm 0.02$. Above $\phi_L$, the particles explore finite regions of space and $\alpha$ converges to 0. The gross features of the dynamics are well captured by a Lorentz scenario, as further confirmed by taking into account the finite size of the rollers when computing the critical fraction: $\rho \pi (b + a)^2 = \phi_L$. This correction gives $\rho \pi b^2 = 0.73$ where we expect $0.5 < \alpha(\rho_v) < 0.66$ from our simulations. Both values are in excellent agreement with our experimental findings, as shown in Figs. 1(c) and 2(d).

IV. CONCLUSION

We have combined quantitative experiments and extensive simulations to elucidate the dynamics of active particles in random lattices of repelling obstacles. We conclude from this analysis that both repulsion at a distance and excluded volume hinders the exploration of random lattices in the form of transient subdiffusion. We show that active colloids cruising through disordered lattices provide a prototypical realization of a random Lorentz gas undergoing a genuine localization transition at the void percolation threshold.
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APPENDIX A: EXPERIMENTAL AND NUMERICAL METHODS

1. Experiments

The rollers are fluorescent Polystyrene colloids of diameter $2a = 4.8 \mu m$ dispersed in a $0.11 \text{ mol.L}^{-1}$ AOT-hexadecane solution (Thermo scientific G0500). The suspension is injected in a wide microfluidic channel made of two parallel glass slides coated by a conducting layer of Indium Tin Oxyde (ITO) (Solens, ITOSOL30, thickness: 80 nm) [27]. The two electrodes are assembled with double-sided scotch tape of homogeneous thickness (110 $\mu m$). The colloids are confined
in a $1 \text{ cm} \times 1 \text{ cm}$ square chambers, by walls made of a positive photoresist resin (Microposit S1818, thickness: $2 \mu\text{m}$). Identical cylindrical obstacles of radius $b = 10 \mu\text{m}$ made of the same material are included in the chambers. Their position is uniformly distributed with a density $\rho$. Therefore the obstacles can overlap. This geometry is achieved by means of conventional UV lithography.

The colloids are observed at a $4.8 \times$ magnification with a fluorescent Nikon AZ100 microscope. The movies are recorded with a CMOS camera (Basler ACE) at frame rates of 188 fps. The particles are detected to subpixel accuracy, and the particle trajectories and velocities are reconstructed using the Crocker and Grier algorithm [39] using an improved version of the Blair and Dusfresne MATLAB code. Measurements are performed in $2.4 \text{ mm} \times 2.4 \text{ mm}$ observation windows.

The Quincke electro-rotation of the colloids is controlled by applying a homogeneous electric field transverse to the two electrodes $E = E_0 \hat{z}$. The field is applied with a voltage amplifier (TREK 609E-6). All the reported results correspond to an electric field $E_0 = 1.1 E_Q$, where $E_Q$ is the Quincke electrorotation threshold $E_Q = 0.9 \text{ V}/\mu\text{m}$.

2. Simulations

We numerically solve Eqs. (1) and (2) using a forward Euler integration scheme with an adaptive time step. The time step $\delta t$ is chosen to be $\delta t = 10^{-3}/B \times \min[1.1/\sum_j \delta \mathbf{r}_j \cdot \hat{v}_j]$ in Eq. (2). The summation over the obstacles is performed by first updating the list of the obstacles interacting with each self-propelled particle. $\ell$ and $\delta t_0$ set the length and time units. Simulations are performed in $200 \times 200$ or $1000 \times 1000$ square boxes. The code is parallelized assigning one trajectory to each independent core. Statistics are performed on 320 to 1000 noninteracting particles for a number of independent realizations of disorder ranging from 1 (low densities) to 128 (high densities). Typical simulations are launched on 32 to 128 independent cores for hours to weeks on Intel E5-2670 sandy bridge octacore 2.60 GHz processors.

APPENDIX B: ROLLER-OBSTACLE INTERACTIONS

In this Appendix, we first review the self-propulsion of the colloidal rollers. Then, combining experiments and theory, we explain the response of the rollers to external electric and hydrodynamic driving fields. We finally exploit this result to account for the effective repelling interactions with the cylindrical obstacles.

1. Quincke motorization

The principle of the Quincke motorization is thoroughly discussed in Refs. [27] and [29]. Briefly, when a homogeneous DC electric field is applied to an insulating sphere immersed in a conducting fluid, the conduction charges in the solution polarize the solid surface. For fluids and insulating bodies with standard permittivities, the orientation of the resulting electric dipole points in the direction opposite to the electric field. This situation turns out to be unstable above a critical field amplitude $E_0$. Above $E_0$ any infinitesimal perturbation of the dipole orientation is exponentially amplified. The finite angle made by the electric dipole $\mathbf{P}$ with the electric field $E_0$

![FIG. 7. Sketch of a colloidal roller propelling near an obstacle. Top panel: Side view. Bottom panel: Top view. The tilted electric field (red dashed lines) and to the fluid-velocity field (blue dashed line).](image)

results in a net electric torque $\frac{\eta}{\epsilon_1} \mathbf{P} \times E_0$, where $\epsilon_1$ is the liquid permittivity, Fig. 7. Ignoring inertia, mechanical equilibrium is reached when the rotational viscous drag acting on the sphere balances the electric torque. Angular momentum conservation then reads $\eta \Omega = \frac{\epsilon_1}{\epsilon_0} \mathbf{P} \times E_0$, where $\Omega$ is the angular velocity, and $\eta$ is the drag coefficient. Similarly, charge conservation implies the balance between the Ohmic current and the advection of the free charges by the rotation of the sphere. Together, these conservation laws set the rotation speed of the sphere to

$$\Omega = \Omega_0 \sqrt{\left(\frac{E_0}{E_Q}\right)^2 - 1}, \quad (B1)$$

when $E_0 > E_Q$, and to 0 otherwise. $\Omega_0$ is the inverse of the so-called Maxwell relaxation time of the free charges [29]. In our experiments this time scale is typically of the order of 1 ms, which explains the high-speed motion of the colloids. Indeed, when the insulating bead is let to sediment on a solid surface, the above reasoning still applies, and rotation is trivially converted into rolling motion [27]. Applying an electric field also gives rise to electrophoretic forces that act together with gravity to keep the roller in contact with the bottom electrode. As opposed to the colloidal rollers used in Ref. [40], which undergo stronger slip on the solid surface, in our experiments, the rolling coefficient is close to unity.

We stress that Quincke rotation stems from a spontaneous symmetry breaking of the surface-charge distribution. Therefore, the direction of rotation is not prescribed by the external field and can freely rotate around the $E_0$ axis.
2. Dynamical response of colloidal rollers to electric and flow fields: Experiments and theory

In our experiment each obstacle locally alters the direction and the magnitude of the electric field due to their permittivity mismatch with the solvent and possibly to their net electric charge. In addition, these local heterogeneities of the electric field are very likely to induce electroosmotic flows past the electrodes; see, e.g., Refs. [41,42]. The specifics of the resulting electric and hydrodynamics perturbations goes far beyond the scope of this article; however, given the axisymmetric shape of the posts, we can readily infer that both perturbations have a radial symmetry; see Fig. 7. The in-plane components of these perturbations can have two consequences on the roller motion: (i) The colloids can be advected by the flow, and, or, pulled by field gradients. This effect is found to be negligible. In our experiments the roller speed is hardly modified as they approach the obstacles, Figs. 1(a) and 2(a). (ii) The rollers can experience external torques that reorient their electric dipole and velocity, in agreement with the bending of the roller trajectory shown in Fig. 1(a). Moreover, the out-of-plane component of the electric field increases near the obstacle leading to a broadening of the velocity distribution at high $\rho \pi b^2$; see Fig. 2(a).

To establish a quantitative description of the roller-obstacle repulsion, we combine theory and dedicated experiments. In Ref. [27], starting from the Maxwell and Stokes equations we derived the equations of motion of a Quincke roller subject to a flow field $\mathbf{u}_f$ parallel to the solid surface and to an electric field of the form $\mathbf{E} = E_0 \hat{z} + \delta \mathbf{E}$. They take the simple form

\begin{align}
\partial_t \mathbf{r} &= v_0 \hat{v}, \\
\partial_t \hat{v} &= (1 - \hat{v} \cdot \hat{v}) \cdot (\mu_H \partial_z \mathbf{u}_f - \mu_E \delta \mathbf{E}),
\end{align}

where $\mu_H$ and $\mu_E$ are two positive mobility coefficients and where both the local shear $\partial_z \mathbf{u}_f$ and the perturbation $\delta \mathbf{E}$ are evaluated at $z = d$. Given our experimental findings, we ignore the small corrections to the roller speed that could be caused by transverse perturbations of the electric field and by flow advection (i.e., at $z = a$ we assume $|\mathbf{u}_f| \ll v_0$ and $\delta \mathbf{E} \cdot \hat{E}_0 \ll E_0$).

Let us stress that Eqs. (B2) and (B3) conform to our experimental findings with two additional experiments. To probe the response of colloidal rollers to fluid flows, we apply a Poiseuille flow in an obstacle-free channel; see Fig. 8(a). We first confirm that the orientational response dominates over advection: the speed of the rollers, measured in a gas of noninteracting particles, hardly increases with the flow [open symbols in Fig. 8(b)]. In contrast, as the fluid velocity increases we observe that: (i) the orientational distribution is increasingly asymmetric, Fig. 8(c), and (ii) the projection of the average velocity on the flow direction monotonically increases, Filled symbols in Fig. 8(b). The same type of experiment is repeated with electrodes having a wedge geometry; see Fig. 8(d). In this geometry, we add a homogeneous longitudinal perturbation to the electric field. Again, the roller speed is unmodified while the angular response is prominent; see Figs. 8(e) and 8(f). This set of experiments unambiguously confirm that Eqs. (B2) and (B3) correctly describe the roller dynamics in external driving fields.

![FIG. 8. (a) Sketch of a colloidal roller driven by a Poiseuille flow. (b) The speed of the roller is hardly modified by the flow (red open symbols), while the projection of the roller velocity on the flow direction $\hat{x}$ monotonically increases with the flow (blue filled symbols). (c) PDF of the roller velocity for $u_0 = 0.12$ mm/s. Note that the distribution remains axisymmetric even at nonzero flow. (d) Sketch of a colloidal roller driven by an electric field. A longitudinal electric field $-\delta E \hat{x}$ is applied by tilting the top electrode. (e) As in (b), the speed of the roller is hardly modified (red open symbols), while the projection of the roller velocity on the $\hat{x}$-direction monotonically increases with $\delta E$ evaluated at $z = a$ (blue filled symbols). (f) PDF of the roller velocity for $u_0 = 0.12$ mm/s. Note again that the distribution remains axisymmetric even at nonzero $\delta E$.](image)

3. Effective interactions with cylindrical obstacles

We finally exploit these results to derive the interaction rules with cylindrical obstacles [Eqs. (1) and (2) in the main text]. Let us consider an obstacle located at the origin. At a point $\mathbf{r}$ both $\mathbf{u}_f$ and $\delta \mathbf{E}$ are radial vectors, and therefore Eq. (B3) can then be recast in the form

\[ \partial_t \hat{v} = B(r)(1 - \hat{v} \cdot \hat{v}) \cdot \hat{r}, \]

where $B(r) = (\mu_H \partial_z \mathbf{u}_f - \mu_E \delta \mathbf{E}) \cdot \hat{r}$. Projecting this equation on the $x$ axis readily yields Eq. (2). Again the specific expression of $B(r)$ is a complex function of the post shape and of the material properties. $B(r)$ is measured to be positive (repulsion) and to quickly decay with $r$, with a typical range $\ell$ set by the obstacle size, Fig. 1(a). Therefore, for the sake of simplicity, we approximate the expression of $B(r)$ by a step function of width $\ell$. As a final comment we emphasize that Eqs. (1), (2), and (B4) do not depend on the specifics of the roller-obstacle interactions and hold for any short-range repulsion mechanisms primarily acting on the particle orientation.
34. M. C. Marchetti, Y. Fily, S. Henkes, A. Patch, and D. Yllanes, Minimal model of active colloids highlights the role of mechanical interactions in controlling the emergent behavior of active matter, Curr. Opin. Colloid Interface Sci. 21, 34 (2016).
37. Here \(\phi_2\) is defined as \(\phi_2 = \rho_h \pi b^2\), where \(b\) is the hard-core radius and \(\rho_h\) is the critical number density for obstacles of radius \(b\). Note that \(\phi_2\) is not the area covering fraction, which is given by \(1 - \exp(-\phi_2)\).
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