Une approche PAC-bayésienne de la régression en grande dimension

Benjamin Guedj

Avant : UPMC & Telecom ParisTech Bientôt : INRIA Lille - Nord Europe

En collaboration avec Pierre Alquier, Gérard Biau, Éric Moulines et Sylvain Robbiano

Statistical framework

From a training sample

$$\mathcal{D}_n = \{ (\mathbf{X}_i, Y_i) \}_{i=1}^n$$

of i.i.d. replications of a r.v.

 $(\mathbf{X}, Y) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{Y}$ with $\mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathcal{Y} = \{\pm 1\}$

learn the relationship between Y and \mathbf{X} .

Goal

Estimation of a transform Φ of the regression function

$$\mathbf{x} \mapsto \Phi\left(\mathbb{E}[Y|\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}]\right)$$

Highlights

- High-dimensional setting: $d \gg n$.
- Sparsity-based perspective, carrying no assumptions on the design.
- Modus operandi: PAC-Bayesian theory.
 Main references: Shawe-Taylor and Williamson (1997), McAllester (1999), Catoni (2004, 2007), Audibert (2004, 2010), Alquier (2006, 2008), Dalalyan and Tsybakov (2008, 2012)...
- Implementation: MCMC algorithm favoring local moves of the Markov chain.

Main references: Carlin and Chib (1995), Leung and Barron (2006), Hans et al. (2007), Petralias (2010), Petralias and Dellaportas (2012)...

Aggregation approach

From a known dictionary $\mathbb{D} = \{\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_M\}$, aggregated estimators are of the form $f_{\theta} = \theta^{\top} \mathbb{D} = \sum_{k=1}^{M} \theta_k \phi_k$ where:

- $\theta \in \{e_1, \ldots, e_M\}$ (selectors),
- $\theta \in \Lambda^M = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^M_+ \colon \sum_{k=1}^M \lambda_k = 1\}$ (convex aggregation),
- $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^M$ (linear aggregation),

• ...

Aggregation approach

From a known dictionary $\mathbb{D} = \{\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_M\}$, aggregated estimators are of the form $f_{\theta} = \theta^{\top} \mathbb{D} = \sum_{k=1}^{M} \theta_k \phi_k$ where:

- $\theta \in \{e_1, \ldots, e_M\}$ (selectors),
- $\theta \in \Lambda^M = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^M_+ : \sum_{k=1}^M \lambda_k = 1\}$ (convex aggregation),
- $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^M$ (linear aggregation),

• ...

$$\left\{f_{\theta} = \sum_{j=1}^{d} \sum_{k=1}^{m_j} \theta_{jk} \phi_k , \quad \theta \in \Theta = \mathbb{R}^{\sum_{j=1}^{d} m_j}, \quad |f_{\theta}|_{\infty} \le C\right\},\$$

where $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_d) \in \{0, \dots, M\}^d$ is a model.

For some loss function ℓ , risk and empirical risk of an estimator f_{θ}

$$R(f_{\theta}) = \mathbb{E}\ell(Y, f_{\theta}(\mathbf{X})), \quad R_n(f_{\theta}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell(Y_i, f_{\theta}(\mathbf{X}_i)).$$

- Set a prior probability measure π on Θ , promoting sparsity.
- Constrained optimization problem:

$$\arg\min_{\rho} \left\{ \int_{\Theta} R_n(f_{\theta}) \rho(\mathrm{d}\theta) + \frac{\lambda}{n} \mathcal{KL}(\rho, \pi) \right\},\$$

with the Kullback-Leibler divergence

$$\mathcal{KL}(\rho,\pi) = \int \log\left[\frac{\mathrm{d}\rho}{\mathrm{d}\pi}(\theta)\right] \rho(\mathrm{d}\theta).$$

- Set a prior probability measure π on Θ , promoting sparsity.
- Constrained optimization problem:

$$\arg\min_{\rho} \left\{ \int_{\Theta} R_n(f_{\theta}) \rho(\mathrm{d}\theta) + \frac{\lambda}{n} \mathcal{KL}(\rho, \pi) \right\},\$$

with the Kullback-Leibler divergence

$$\mathcal{KL}(\rho,\pi) = \int \log\left[\frac{\mathrm{d}\rho}{\mathrm{d}\pi}(\theta)\right]\rho(\mathrm{d}\theta).$$

• Unique solution: Gibbs posterior distribution

$$\hat{\rho}_{\lambda}(\mathrm{d}\theta) \propto \exp[-\lambda R_n(f_{\theta})]\pi(\mathrm{d}\theta).$$

• Two estimators in this talk:

 $\hat{\theta} \sim \hat{\rho}_{\lambda}$ (Randomized estimator),

$$\bar{\theta} = \int_{\Theta} \theta \hat{\rho}_{\lambda}(\mathrm{d}\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{\hat{\rho}_{\lambda}}\theta \quad \text{(Posterior mean)}.$$

- PAC-Bayesian theory is a great tool to produce estimators with nearly minimax optimal properties.
- PAC-Bayesian bounds depend on the KL divergence and hold for any prior $\pi.$

• Two estimators in this talk:

 $\hat{\theta} \sim \hat{\rho}_{\lambda}$ (Randomized estimator),

$$\bar{\theta} = \int_{\Theta} \theta \hat{\rho}_{\lambda}(\mathrm{d}\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{\hat{\rho}_{\lambda}}\theta \quad \text{(Posterior mean)}.$$

- PAC-Bayesian theory is a great tool to produce estimators with nearly minimax optimal properties.
- PAC-Bayesian bounds depend on the KL divergence and hold for any prior π .

Take-home message

PAC-Bayesian theory adapts nicely to high-dimensional problems when coupled with a sparsity-inducing prior.

Sparsity-inducing prior

$$\pi(\theta) \propto \sum_{\mathbf{m}} {\binom{d}{|\mathbf{m}|_0}}^{-1} \beta^{\sum_{j=1}^d m_j} \operatorname{Unif}_{\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{m}}}(\theta),$$

where $\beta \in (0,1)$ and

$$\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{m}} = \left\{ \theta, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{d} \sum_{k=1}^{m_j} |\theta_{jk}| \le C \right\}.$$

This prior distribution gives larger mass to sparse parameters.

Goal

Obtain oracle inequalities on the excess risk of the PAC-Bayesian estimators $f_{\hat{\theta}}$ and $f_{\bar{\theta}}$: For any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[R(f_{\hat{\theta}}) - R^{\star} \leq \mathrm{K}_{\lambda} \inf_{\theta} \left\{R(f_{\theta}) - R^{\star} + \Delta_{n,d,M,\varepsilon}(\theta)\right\}\right] \geq 1 - \varepsilon.$$

Regression I

- $\mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{R}$, model $Y = \psi^{\star}(\mathbf{X}) + W$.
- Assumption: $|\psi^{\star}|_{\infty} \leq C$.

Theorem (G. and Alquier, 2013)

For any $\varepsilon \in (0,1),$ any $0 < \lambda < n/(4\sigma^2 + 4C^2),$ with probability at least $1-\varepsilon,$

$$\frac{R(f_{\hat{\theta}}) - R(\psi^{\star})}{R(f_{\bar{\theta}}) - R(\psi^{\star})} \bigg\} \leq K_{\lambda} \times \inf_{\mathbf{m}} \inf_{\theta \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{m}}} \left\{ R(f_{\theta}) - R(\psi^{\star}) + |\mathbf{m}|_{0} \frac{\log(d/|\mathbf{m}|_{0})}{n} + \frac{\log(n)}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{d} m_{j} + \frac{\log(2/\varepsilon)}{n} \right\},$$

where
$$K_{\lambda} \xrightarrow{\lambda \to 0} 1$$
 and $K_{\lambda} \xrightarrow{\lambda \to n/(4\sigma^2 + C^2)} +\infty$.

Regression II

• Let ϕ_1, ϕ_2, \ldots refer to the trigonometric basis and assume that $\psi^\star = \sum_{j \in S^\star} \psi_j^\star$, where

$$\psi_j^{\star} \in \mathcal{W}(r_j, \ell_j)$$

=
$$\left\{ f \in \mathcal{L}^2([-1, 1]) \colon f = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \theta_k \phi_k \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i^{2r_j} \theta_i^2 \le \ell_j \right\}.$$

Theorem (G. and Alquier, 2013)

For any real $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$, any $0 < \lambda < n/(4\sigma^2 + 4C^2)$, with probability at least $1 - \varepsilon$,

$$\begin{cases} R(f_{\hat{\theta}}) - R(\psi^{\star}) \\ R(f_{\bar{\theta}}) - R(\psi^{\star}) \end{cases} \\ \leq \\ K_{\lambda} \times \left\{ \sum_{j \in S^{\star}} \ell_{j}^{\frac{1}{2r_{j}+1}} \left(\frac{\log(n)}{2nr_{j}} \right)^{\frac{2r_{j}}{2r_{j}+1}} + \frac{|S^{\star}|\log(d/|S^{\star}|)}{n} + \frac{\log(2/\varepsilon)}{n} \right\}$$

Logistic regression I

$$\mathcal{Y} = \{\pm 1\}$$
, model

$$\log \frac{\mathbb{P}(Y=1|\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{x})}{1-\mathbb{P}(Y=1|\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{x})} = \nu(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Logistic loss function:

$$\ell: (Y, f_{\theta}(\mathbf{X})) \mapsto \log [1 + \exp(-Y f_{\theta}(\mathbf{X}))].$$

Simplified framework where $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_d) \in \{0, M\}^d$.

Theorem (G., 2013)

For any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, $\mathbb{P}\left[R(f_{\bar{\theta}}) \leq \mathrm{K}_{\lambda} \inf_{\rho} \left\{ \int R(f_{\theta})\rho(\mathrm{d}\theta) + \frac{\mathcal{KL}(\rho, \pi)}{n} + \frac{\log(2/\varepsilon)}{n} \right\} \right] \geq 1 - \varepsilon.$

Logistic regression II

Theorem (G., 2013)

For any $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$, with probability at least $1-\varepsilon$,

$$\begin{aligned} R(f_{\bar{\theta}}) &\leq \mathrm{K}_{\lambda} \inf_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}} \inf_{\theta \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{m}}} \left\{ R(f_{\theta}) + \frac{|\mathbf{m}|_{0}}{n} \left[M \log\left(\frac{n}{M|\mathbf{m}|_{0}}\right) \right. \\ &\left. + \log\left(\frac{de}{|\mathbf{m}|_{0}}\right) + \log(1/\beta) \right] + \frac{\log(2/\varepsilon)}{n} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where
$$K_{\lambda} \xrightarrow[\lambda \to 0]{} 1$$
.

Binary ranking I

- $\mathcal{Y} = \{\pm 1\}$, model $\eta \colon \mathbf{x} \mapsto \mathbb{P}\{Y = 1 | \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}\}.$
- Ranking consists in ordering \mathbb{R}^d such that the order of labels is preserved.
- Goal: construct a so-called scoring function $s : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$, such that for any pair $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$, $s(\mathbf{x}) \leq s(\mathbf{x}') \Leftrightarrow \eta(\mathbf{x}) \leq \eta(\mathbf{x}')$.
- Ranking risk:

$$R(s) \stackrel{def}{=} \mathbb{P}\left\{ \left(s(\mathbf{X}) - s(\mathbf{X}') \right) \cdot \left(Y' - Y \right) < 0 \right\},\$$

and empirical counterpart

$$R_n(s) \stackrel{def}{=} \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i \neq j} \mathbb{1}\{(Y_i - Y_j)(s(\mathbf{X}_i) - s(\mathbf{X}_j)) < 0\}.$$

Binary ranking II

• Set of scoring functions:

$$\mathcal{S}_{\Theta} = \left\{ s_{\theta} \colon \mathbf{x} \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^{d} \sum_{k=1}^{M} \theta_{jk} \phi_k(x_j), \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R}^{dM} \right\}.$$

Simplified framework where $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \ldots, m_d) \in \{0, M\}^d$.

• (Empirical) Excess risk s :

$$\mathcal{E}(s) \stackrel{def}{=} R(s) - R^{\star}, \quad \mathcal{E}_n(s) \stackrel{def}{=} R_n(s) - R_n(\eta).$$

• PAC-Bayesian estimator $\hat{s} = s_{\hat{\theta}}$ where $\hat{\theta} \sim \hat{\rho}_{\lambda}$.

Binary ranking III

Condition (C)

For any $\lambda > 0$, and any scoring function s,

$$\mathbb{E}\exp\left[\lambda\left(\mathcal{E}_n(s) - \mathcal{E}(s)\right)\right] \le \exp(\psi),$$

where ψ may depend on n and λ .

Theorem

wh

Under **C**, for any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\mathcal{E}(\hat{s}) \leq \inf_{\rho} \left\{\mathcal{E}(s) + \frac{2\psi + 2\log(2/\varepsilon) + 2\mathcal{KL}(\rho, \pi)}{\lambda}\right\}\right] \geq 1 - \varepsilon,$$

ere $s \sim \rho$.

Binary ranking IV

Corollary

For any distribution of (\mathbf{X}, Y) , **C** holds for $\psi = \lambda^2/4n$. With $\lambda = \sqrt{n}$, for any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\mathcal{E}(\hat{s}) \leq \inf_{\rho} \left\{\mathcal{E}(s) + \frac{1/2 + 2\log(2/\varepsilon) + 2\mathcal{KL}(\rho, \pi)}{\sqrt{n}}\right\}\right] \geq 1 - \varepsilon.$$

Corollary

Using the sparsity-inducing prior π , with

$$\lambda = c\sqrt{n|\mathbf{m}|_0\log(d)},$$

for any $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$, with probability at least $1-\varepsilon$,

$$\mathcal{E}(\hat{s}) \leq \inf_{\mathbf{m}} \inf_{\theta \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{m}}} \left\{ \mathcal{E}(s_{\theta}) + c' \frac{\sqrt{\log(2/\varepsilon) + |\mathbf{m}|_{0} \left(\log(1/\beta) + \log(d)\right)}}{\sqrt{n}} \right\}.$$

Binary ranking V

Condition (**MA**(α))

The distribution of (\mathbf{X}, Y) satisfies a margin condition $\mathsf{MA}(\alpha)$ of parameter $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ if there exists $C < \infty$ such that for any scoring function s,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\left(s(\mathbf{X}) - s(\mathbf{X}')\right)\left(\eta(\mathbf{X}) - \eta(\mathbf{X}')\right) < 0\right] \le C(R(s) - R^{\star})^{\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}}.$$

Lemma

Let s be a scoring function, and

$$T = \mathbb{1}_{\{(s(\mathbf{X}) - s(\mathbf{X}'))(Y - Y') < 0\}} - \mathbb{1}_{\{(\eta(\mathbf{X}) - \eta(\mathbf{X}'))(Y - Y') < 0\}}.$$

Under the condition $MA(\alpha)$,

$$\operatorname{Var}(T) \le \mathcal{C}(R(s) - R^{\star})^{\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}}.$$

Binary ranking VI

Corollary

Under **MA**(α), condition **C** holds for $\psi = \frac{n}{2} \operatorname{Var}(T) \phi\left(\frac{2\lambda}{n}\right)$, with $\phi: t \mapsto e^t - t - 1$. With $\lambda = C_1^{-1} n^{\frac{1+\alpha}{2+\alpha}}$, for any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, with probability at least $1 - \varepsilon$:

$$\mathcal{E}(\hat{s}) \leq \inf_{\rho} \left\{ 2\mathcal{E}(s) + C_1 n^{-\frac{1+\alpha}{2+\alpha}} \left[\log(2/\varepsilon) + \mathcal{KL}(\rho, \pi) \right] \right\}$$

where C_1 depends on α and \mathfrak{C} .

Binary ranking VII

Proposition

With the sparsity-inducing prior π , with $\lambda = C_1 \log(d)^{\frac{1}{2+\alpha}} n^{\frac{1+\alpha}{2+\alpha}}$, for any $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$, with probability at least $1-\varepsilon$:

$$\mathcal{E}(\hat{s}) \leq \inf_{\mathbf{m}} \inf_{\theta \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{m}}(t)} \left\{ 2\mathcal{E}(s_{\theta}) + C_2 n^{-\frac{1+\alpha}{2+\alpha}} K^{\frac{1+\alpha}{2+\alpha}} \right\},$$

where C_1 and C_2 depend on \mathfrak{C} and α , and

 $K = \log(2/\varepsilon) + |\mathbf{m}|_0 \left[\log(1/\beta) + \log(d)\right].$

A challenging problem

• Goal: Sample a chain with stationary distribution $\hat{\rho}_{\lambda}$.

• The sample space is very high-dimensional, and its structure is non standard.

- Existing PAC-Bayesian implementations:
 - RJMCMC for the Single-Index model (Alquier and Biau, 2013).
 - Langevin Monte-Carlo for fixed design regression (Dalalyan and Tsybakov, 2012).
 - ...

A subspace Carlin & Chib-like approach

- Metropolized version of the Carlin & Chib algorithm (originally introduced by Petralias and Dellaportas (2012) for Bayesian model selection).
- Key idea: Introduce pseudopriors and define a neighborhood relationship on the models space.

¹Least-squares fit, maximum likelihood estimator, ...

A subspace Carlin & Chib-like approach

- Metropolized version of the Carlin & Chib algorithm (originally introduced by Petralias and Dellaportas (2012) for Bayesian model selection).
- Key idea: Introduce pseudopriors and define a neighborhood relationship on the models space.
- For any model \mathbf{m} , define its neighborhood $\mathbb{V} = \{\mathbb{V}^+, \mathbb{V}^-\}$:
 - + $\mathbb{V}^+ :$ All models with the regressors from ${\bf m}$ plus one.
 - $\mathbb{V}^-\colon$ All models with the regressors from ${\bf m}$ but one.
- For any model m, pseudoprior defined as Gaussian with mean equal to some default estimator¹ in model m and covariance matrix $\Sigma = \sigma^2 \Im$, σ^2 being a parameter.

¹Least-squares fit, maximum likelihood estimator, ...

At iteration $t = 1, \ldots, T$:

1 Pick a move: Add, delete a covariate, or stay in the current model.

At iteration $t = 1, \ldots, T$:

- **1** Pick a move: Add, delete a covariate, or stay in the current model.
- **2** For each of the neighbors models, draw a candidate estimator from the Gaussian pseudoprior (whose density is denoted by φ).

At iteration $t = 1, \ldots, T$:

- **1** Pick a move: Add, delete a covariate, or stay in the current model.
- **2** For each of the neighbors models, draw a candidate estimator from the Gaussian pseudoprior (whose density is denoted by φ).
- **③** Pick the model j and candidate parameter θ_j with probability

 $\frac{\hat{\rho}_{\lambda}(\theta_j)/\varphi(\theta_j)}{\sum_k \hat{\rho}_{\lambda}(\theta_k)/\varphi(\theta_k)}.$

At iteration $t = 1, \ldots, T$:

- **1** Pick a move: Add, delete a covariate, or stay in the current model.
- Provide the neighbors models, draw a candidate estimator from the Gaussian pseudoprior (whose density is denoted by φ).
- **③** Pick the model j and candidate parameter θ_j with probability

 $\frac{\hat{\rho}_{\lambda}(\theta_j)/\varphi(\theta_j)}{\sum_k \hat{\rho}_{\lambda}(\theta_k)/\varphi(\theta_k)}.$

4 The Metropolis-Hastings acceptance ratio is

$$\alpha = \min\left(1, \frac{\hat{\rho}_{\lambda}(\theta_j)\varphi(\theta^{t-1})}{\hat{\rho}_{\lambda}(\theta^{t-1})\varphi(\theta_j)}\right).$$

Highlights

Take-home message

- Nearly minimax optimal estimators in a variety of high-dimensional models.
- Oracle risk bounds in probability under little or no assumption.
- Competitive implementation via MCMC, enforcing sparse models.

References

- G. and Alquier (2013), *PAC-Bayesian Estimation and Prediction in Sparse Additive Models*. Electronic Journal of Statistics.
- G. (2012), R package *pacbpred*, version 0.92.2.
- G. (2013), Agrégation d'estimateurs et de classificateurs : théorie et méthodes. Ph.D. thesis, UPMC.
- G. and Robbiano (2014), Une approche PAC-bayésienne d'un problème de ranking binaire en grande dimension. 46èmes Journées de Statistique de la SFdS, Rennes.

Key result

Lemma (Catoni, 2004)

Let (A, \mathcal{A}) be a measurable space. For any probability measure μ on (A, \mathcal{A}) and any measurable function $h : A \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\int (\exp \circ h) \mathrm{d}\mu < \infty$,

$$\log \int (\exp \circ h) d\mu = \sup_{m \in \mathcal{M}^{1}_{\mu}(A,\mathcal{A})} \left\{ \int h dm - \mathcal{KL}(m,\mu) \right\},\$$

with the convention $\infty - \infty = -\infty$. Further, if *h* is upper-bounded on the support of μ , the supremum with respect to *m* in the right-hand term is reached for the Gibbs distribution *g* defined by

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}g}{\mathrm{d}\mu}(a) = \frac{\exp\circ h(a)}{\int (\exp\circ h)\mathrm{d}\mu}, \quad a \in A.$$

Concentration inequality

Lemma (Massart, 2007)

Let $(T_i)_{i=1}^n$ be a collection of real independant random variables. Assume there exist two positive constants v and w such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}T_i^2 \le v,$$

and for any integer $k \geq 3$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}[(T_i)_{+}^{k}] \le \frac{k!}{2} v w^{k-2}.$$

Then, for any $\gamma \in \left(0, \frac{1}{w}\right)$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\gamma\sum_{i=1}^{n}(T_{i}-\mathbb{E}T_{i})\right)\right] \leq \exp\left(\frac{v\gamma^{2}}{2(1-w\gamma)}\right).$$