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## Exercice 1. (Warming up)

1. Inhabit the following types in $\lambda_{\rightarrow, x}$ :

$$
X \rightarrow(X \rightarrow R) \rightarrow R \quad A \times((B \rightarrow R) \rightarrow R) \rightarrow(A \times B \rightarrow R) \rightarrow R
$$

2. Recall the encoding of binary trees with element of type $A$ in system F. How could you generalize it to $n$-ary trees? to infinite branching trees?

Exercice 2 (Typing with type algebra). In this exercise we give more power to the simple type system by considering types up to some congruence $\equiv$. For example, we can have equivalence of the form $A \equiv A \rightarrow B$. Typing rules remains unchanged but a type can be replaced by an equivalent type at any point of the


- Show that if $A \equiv A \rightarrow B$ then $\vdash_{\equiv} \Omega: B$. (Hint : first show that $\vdash_{\equiv \lambda} x . x x: A$ )
- Show that if $\equiv$ is a congruence for $\rightarrow$ then the subject reduction property holds. You can use the generation lemma associated to simply typed lambda-calculus.

Exercice 3 (Existential in System F). In propositional second order intuitionistic logic the existential quantifier is introduced and destructed via the following (annotated) rules:

$$
\frac{\Delta \vdash t: T\langle S / X\rangle}{\Delta \vdash[S, t]_{\exists X \cdot T}: \exists X . T}(\exists I) \quad \frac{\Delta \vdash t: \exists X . T \quad \Delta, x: T \vdash s: B \quad X \notin \mathrm{FV}(\Delta, B)}{\Delta \vdash \operatorname{let}[X, x: T]=t \text { in } s: B}(\exists E)
$$

From a logical point of view existentials can be seen as infinite disjunction, from a programming point of view they can be interpreted as an encapsulation mechanism.

1. Find an appropriate type representation for the existential in System F, with an encoding for [-, -] and let ...in .... Check that it validates the corresponding $\beta$ rule.
2. Recall the encoding of NJ in System F and deduce that second order propositional intuituinistic logic is representable in System F.
3. In programming, streams are co-inductive datatypes with two accessors:

$$
\text { hd : } \operatorname{Str}_{A} \rightarrow A \quad \text { tl }: \operatorname{Str}_{A} \rightarrow \operatorname{Str}_{A}
$$

and a building function build : $(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow \operatorname{Str}_{A} \rightarrow \operatorname{Str}_{B}$ such that

$$
\text { hd (build } f s)=f(\mathrm{hd} s) \quad \mathrm{tl}(\text { build } f s)=\text { build } f(\mathrm{tl} s)
$$

What could be an encoding of $\operatorname{Str}_{A}$ in System F?
4. Define the function nth : Nat $\rightarrow \operatorname{Str}_{A} \rightarrow A$ that returns the $n^{\text {th }}$ element of a stream.

Exercice 4 (Final 2017 - Equivalence lifting). In HoTT, proofs can become involved. The goal is to prove that if $f: A \rightarrow B$ is an equivalence between $A$ and $B$, then for each pair of elements $a, a^{\prime}: A$, the map $\mathbf{a p}_{f, a, a^{\prime}}: a={ }_{A} a^{\prime} \rightarrow f(a)=_{B} f\left(a^{\prime}\right)$ is an equivalence as well. Let $g: B \rightarrow A$ being an of $f$ meaning that there are witnesses $\alpha: \Pi_{x: A} g(f x)={ }_{A} \mathrm{id}_{A} x$ and $\beta: \Pi_{b: B} f(g x)={ }_{B} \operatorname{id}_{B} x$ In the sequell we will left the subscript $a, a^{\prime}$ in $\mathbf{a p}_{f}$ implicit.

Question 1 As a quasi-inverse candidate for $\mathbf{a p}_{f}$, let us consider $G(\cdot)$, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(q) \equiv \alpha(a)^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{a p}_{g}(q) \cdot \alpha\left(a^{\prime}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

To satisfy the requirement, we have to exhibit homotopies $\gamma$ (as left inverse) and $\delta$ (as right inverse):

$$
\gamma: \prod_{p: J} G\left(\mathbf{a p}_{f}(p)\right)={ }_{J} p \quad \text { et } \quad \delta: \prod_{q: K} \mathbf{a p}_{f}(G(q))={ }_{K} q
$$

a) What are the types $J$ and $K$ ? What is the type of the candidate $G(\cdot)$ ?
b) Prove the existence of a witness $\gamma$.
c) Why is that not possible to use a similar approach to prove the existence of $\delta$ ?

Question 2. Let $T: \mathcal{U}$ and $\varphi: T \rightarrow T$ such that $\varepsilon: \prod_{x: T} \varphi(x)={ }_{T} \mathbf{i d}_{T}(x)$.
a) Given $x, x^{\prime}: T$ and $r: x={ }_{T} x^{\prime}$, prove that $\varepsilon(x)^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{a p}_{\varphi}(r) \cdot \varepsilon\left(x^{\prime}\right)=_{S} r$, where the type $S$ will be made explicit.
b) Conclude that, for all $x: T, \varepsilon(\varphi(x))=\mathbf{a p}_{\varphi}(\varepsilon(x))$.

Question 3. Given $x: A$, let us note $\nu(x) \equiv \beta(f(x))^{-1} \cdot \beta(f(x))$.
a) State the type of $\nu(\cdot)$.
b) Prove that $\beta(f(a))^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{a p}_{f}\left(\mathbf{a p}_{g}(q)\right) \cdot \beta\left(f\left(a^{\prime}\right)\right)=_{K} q$.
c) Simplify the path $\nu(a) \cdot \mathbf{a p}_{f}(G(q)) \cdot \nu\left(a^{\prime}\right)$.
d) Conclude for the existence of an homotopy proof $\delta$ such that

$$
\delta: \prod_{q: K} \mathbf{a p}_{f}(G(q))={ }_{K} q
$$

Exercice 5 (More on equivalences). Prove the following statements:

1. (identity) For all $A: \mathcal{U}$, quasi-inverse $\left(\mathbf{i d}_{A}\right)$;
2. (between identity types) For all $A: \mathcal{U}, x, y: A$ and $p: x=_{A} y$,

- $(p \cdot-): y=z \rightarrow x=z$ and $\left(p^{-1} \cdot-\right)$ are quasi-inverse one of the other ;
- $(-\cdot p): z=x \rightarrow z=y$ et $\left(-\cdot p^{-1}\right)$ are quasi-inverse one of the other.

3. (transport) If $P: A \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$, then $\boldsymbol{t r}^{P}(p,-): P(x) \rightarrow P(y)$ has $\boldsymbol{t r}^{P}\left(p^{-1},-\right)$ for a quasi-inverse.

Exercice 6 (Barendregt natural numbers). Back to pure $\lambda$-calculus The Barendregt natural numbers $\lceil n\rceil$ ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) are defined by:

$$
\lceil 0\rceil \equiv \mathbf{I} \quad\lceil n+1\rceil \equiv(\text { pair } \mathbf{F}\lceil n\rceil)
$$

a) Using the alternative representation, code the successor, predecessor and test-to-zero functions.
b) Implement the addition.
c) In your understanding, how to the two natural numbers encodings (Church vs Barendregt) compare ?

