Combinatorial problems in sparse matrix computations

Sherry Li Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory 1st ACDA Workshop in Aussois, Sept. 5-9, 2022

Acknowledgement

 Scientific Discovery through Advanced Computing (SciDAC) program through the FASTMath Institute under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231.



 Exascale Computing Project (17-SC-20-SC), a joint project of the U.S. Department of Energy's Office of Science and National Nuclear Security Administration.



Collaborators

Collaboration of two ECP projects:

- Sparse solvers and preconditioners (SuperLU, STRUMPACK)
 - Lisa Claus, Pieter Ghysels, Yang Liu (LBNL)
 - Anil Gaihre, Hang Liu (Stevens Institute of Technology)
- ExaGraph (CombBLAS)
 - Ariful Azad, Aydin Buluc, Oguz Selvitopi (LBNL)
 - Johannes Langguth (Simula Lab)

Motivation

redesign of many combinatorial scientific computing algorithms

- Architecture driven
 - Coarse-grain massive parallelism:
 - Communication-avoiding for multi-node MPI
 - Fine-grain massive parallelism:
 - Accelerators: GPU, IPU (Johannes talk, Monday), FPGA, ...
 - Future extreme heterogeneity
 - Different compromises to think
 - Larger memory capacity
 - Can afford more flops (as long as high Arithmetic Intensity)
- Application driven
 - GPU-resident solvers
 - KKT systems from optimization: preserve symmetry, compute matrix initia
 - Power grid optimization

- ...

Solving a linear system

For stability and efficiency, need to solve transformed linear system:

 $Ax = b \rightarrow$

 $P_c(P_r(D_r A D_c)) P_c^T P_c D_c^{-1} \mathbf{x} = P_c P_r D_r \mathbf{b}$

Preprocessing steps

- $D_{r_r} D_c$: diagonal scaling (a.k.a. equilibration, balancing)
- *P_r*: row permutation vector (numerical pivoting for stability)
 - e.g. maximum weight matching
- P_c: row/column permutation vector (ordering to minimize fill-in, minimize communication)
 - e.g. graph partitioning

1. Numerical pivoting in sparse LU

- Goal: swap rows or columns to make diagonal elements large
- Partial pivoting does this dynamically
- Alternative pre-pivoting methods: quite stable in practice
 - Sequential: MC64 in Harwell Subroutine Library
 - DFS to grow a shortest alternating path tree

1. Numerical pivoting in sparse LU

- Goal: swap rows or columns to make diagonal elements large
- Partial pivoting does this dynamically
- Alternative pre-pivoting methods: quite stable in practice
 - Sequential: MC64 in Harwell Subroutine Library
 - DFS to grow a shortest alternating path tree
 - Distributed parallel heavy-weight perfect matching (HWPM, available in CombBLAS)
 - Approximate
 - Bipartite graph: Perfect matching + heavy weight

Ariful Azad, Aydın Buluc, Xiaoye S Li, Xinliang Wang, Johannes Langguth, A distributed-memory algorithm computing a heavy-weight perfect matching on bipartite graphs, SISC, 2020

Distributed heavy-weight perfect matching

Algorithm elements: Use maximal, maximum cardinality, approx. weight matchings

- **Maximal**: A variant of the Karp-Sipser algorithm
- **Maximum cardinality**: A variant of the Hopcroft-Karp algorithm
- **Approximate weight**: A variant of Pettie-Sanders algorithm
- Primitives: Use sparse matrix (GraphBLAS) operations for performance

Results

- Quality: For most real matrices, HWPM returns perfect matchings within 99% (weight) of the optimum solution
- Scalability: Scales to 256 nodes (17K cores) on Cori/KNL
- **Speedup:** Can run up to 2500x faster than the sequential algorithm on 256 nodes of Cori/KNL



The parallel algorithm runs 300x faster than the sequential algorithm on 16K cores of NERSC/Cori

Extensions desirable

- GPU, or other accelerators?
- Symmetric pivoting for symmetric indefinite linear systems?
 - Performs symmetric factorization: LDL^T

(Duff & Pralet): symmetric weighted matching to predefine 1x1 and 2x2 pivots in D

- Start from a nonsymmetric matching M
- Break into product of component cycles
 - Cycle of length 1 is on the diagonal
 - Even cycle length 2k gives k 2x2 pivots
 - Odd cycle length 2k+1 gives 2k+1 2x2 pivots



M : = (1,2), (2,3), (3,4), (4,1) 2 pairs of symmetric 2x2 pivots: (2,3)-(3,2), (4,1)-(1,4)

2. Symbolic factorization

Fill-in in sparse LU

"Transitive closure" for fill-in detection



Fill-ins can be computed by reachability in original graph G(A)

"Fill-path" theorem (Rose/Tarjan 1978):

An edge (v, w) exists in the filled graph if and only if there exists a directed path from v to w, with intermediate vertices smaller than v and w

The edge (v, w) exists due to the path $v \rightarrow 7 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 9 \rightarrow w$ 3 7 Traverse G(A) 9 V

Rose-Tarjan path-based algorithm

... essentially SSSP in disguise (Single Source Shortest Paths)





- S := vertices with shortest paths found
- Q := vertices with shortest paths upper bound given by d (d decreases through iterations)

Proposed parallel path-based algorithm

Redefine variables in Dijkstra

1. "d(v)" replaced by "max_id_array(v)"

current maximum intermediate vertex number of all the paths leading to v

(it decreases through iterations)

- S := { u | fill-in (s, u) already checked}
- Q := { v | fill-in (s, v) unknown yet }
- Add constraint: Frontier := set of vertices eligible for extending paths (their numbers are lower than source s)
- Update rule is defined in order to find the path to v with minimum of max_id_array(v)



GPU parallel symbolic factorization result



- Encouraging: Summit per node: 6 GPUs, 42 IBM Power9 CPU cores
 - 1.3x 10.9x speedups on 44 Summit nodes
 - By-product: a GPU parallel SSSP / MSSP

14

• No so satisfactory: only 5x faster than parallel CPU algorithm

Anil Gaihre, Yang Liu, Xiaoye Li, GSoFa: Scalable Sparse Symbolic Factorization on GPUs, IEEE TPDS 2020

An efficient left-looking algorithm (Gilbert/Peierls 1988)

-- edge-based elimination using filled graph G(L+U)

- Column j of A becomes column j of L and U
- Perform sparse triangular solve with A(:,j) as sparse RHS
- Time proportional to number of FLOPS in numerical factorization

for column j = 1 to n do <u>Triangular solve</u> $\begin{pmatrix} L \\ \underline{L} & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_j \\ l_j \end{pmatrix} = a_j \text{ for } u_j \text{ and } l_j$ <u>scale</u>: $l_j = l_j / u_{jj}$



This is essentially what's implemented in SuperLU

- Two enhancements:
 - Symmetric pruning to reduce redundant search (Eisenstat/Liu 1992)
 - Supernodes
- Shared-memory: SuperLU_MT (Demmel/Gilbert/Li 1999)
 - Partial pivoting: symbolic & numerical factorizations interleave
 - 20x speedup @ 32 processors
- Distributed-memory: SuperLU_DIST (Grigori/Demmel/Li 2007)
 - 9x speedup @ 32 processors
- How to do it on GPU?



Attempt: Leverage GraphBLAS: left-up-looking (Oguz Selvitopi)



- Extract column of U
- Compute fill-ins using corr. cols of L (SpMV)
- Use mask to avoid comp. with upper part



- Extract row of L
- Compute fill-ins using corr. rows of U (SpMV)
- Use mask to avoid comp. with left part

Attempt: Leverage GraphBLAS: left-up-looking (Oguz Selvitopi)



- Extract column of U
- Compute fill-ins using corr. cols of L (SpMV)
- Use mask to avoid comp. with upper part



- Extract row of L
- Compute fill-ins using corr. rows of U (SpMV)
- Use mask to avoid comp. with left part

Block version: SpGEMM