

TD de Sémantique et Vérification  
**VII– Büchi Automata and  $\omega$ -Regular Properties**  
Monday 8th March 2021

Christophe Lucas  
christophe.lucas@ens-lyon.fr

In this set of exercises, we will discuss properties of  $\omega$ -regular expressions and Büchi automata. Given  $U \subseteq \Sigma^*$  and  $A \subseteq \Sigma^\omega$ , recall that

- $A$  is  $\omega$ -regular iff there are regular languages  $E_1, \dots, E_n, F_1, \dots, F_n \subseteq \Sigma^*$  such that for all  $i$ ,  $\epsilon \notin F_i$  and

$$A = E_1 \cdot F_1^\omega + \dots + E_n \cdot F_n^\omega$$

- $U \cdot A = \{\hat{\sigma} \cdot \sigma \in \Sigma^\omega \mid \hat{\sigma} \in U \text{ and } \sigma \in A\}$
- If  $\epsilon \notin U$ ,  $U^\omega = \{\sigma \in \Sigma^\omega \mid \exists (u_k \in U)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}. \sigma = u_0 \cdot u_1 \cdot u_2 \cdot \dots\}$

## Büchi Automata

### Exercise 1.

1. Let  $AP = \{a, b\}$ . Give a non-deterministic Büchi automaton (NBA) that accepts “b holds for a finite time until a holds forever and b never holds again”. You may use propositional formulas as labels.
2. Depict an NBA for the language described by the  $\omega$ -regular expression  $(AB+C)^*((AA+B)C)^\omega + (A^*C)^\omega$ .

## Constructions on Büchi Automata

### Exercise 2.

Let  $\mathcal{A}_1$  and  $\mathcal{A}_2$  be Büchi automata, and  $\mathcal{A}$  an NFA.

1. Show that there is a Büchi automaton  $\mathcal{A}_1 + \mathcal{A}_2$  with  $\mathcal{L}_\omega(\mathcal{A}_1 + \mathcal{A}_2) = \mathcal{L}_\omega(\mathcal{A}_1) \cup \mathcal{L}_\omega(\mathcal{A}_2)$ .
2. Show that there is a Büchi automaton  $\mathcal{A} \odot \mathcal{A}_1$  with  $\mathcal{L}_\omega(\mathcal{A} \odot \mathcal{A}_1) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}) \cdot \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}_1)$ .
3. Show that if  $\epsilon \notin \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ , there is a Büchi automaton  $\mathcal{A}_\omega$  such that  $\mathcal{L}_\omega(\mathcal{A}_\omega) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})^\omega$ .
4. Show that there is a Büchi automaton  $\mathcal{A}_1 \sqcap \mathcal{A}_2$  with  $\mathcal{L}_\omega(\mathcal{A}_1 \sqcap \mathcal{A}_2) = \mathcal{L}_\omega(\mathcal{A}_1) \cap \mathcal{L}_\omega(\mathcal{A}_2)$ .

## Decomposition of $\omega$ -regular Linear Time Properties

### Exercise 3.

Let  $U \subseteq \Sigma^*$  and  $A, B \subseteq \Sigma^\omega$ .

1. Show that  $\text{pref}(A \cup B) = \text{pref}(A) \cup \text{pref}(B)$ .
2. Show that  $\text{pref}(U \cdot A) = \text{pref}(U) \cup U \cdot \text{pref}(A)$ .
3. Show that  $\text{pref}(U^\omega) = \text{pref}(U^*)$ .

**Exercise 4.**

We want to show the decomposition theorem for  $\omega$ -regular properties.

1. Show that if  $A \subseteq \Sigma^\omega$  is  $\omega$ -regular, then  $\text{pref}(A) \subseteq \Sigma^*$  is regular. (You may use that  $\text{pref}(U)$  is regular if  $U \subseteq \Sigma^*$  is regular.)
2. Show that  $\text{cl}(U)$  is a safety property induced by  $P_{\text{bad}} = U^c$ .
3. Deduce that if  $P \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\text{AP})^\omega$  is an  $\omega$ -regular safety property, then  $P$  is a *regular* safety property.
4. Let  $P_{\text{bad}}$  be a set of bad prefix of  $\text{cl}(U)$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  be a complete deterministic automaton recognizing  $P_{\text{bad}}$  such that for all final state  $q_F$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  and all  $a \in \Sigma$ ,  $\delta(q_F, a) = q_F$ . Show that  $\mathcal{L}_\omega(\mathcal{A}) = (2^{\text{AP}})^\omega \setminus \text{cl}(U)$ .
5. Show that if  $U$  is regular then  $\text{cl}(U)$  is  $\omega$ -regular (*we recall that if  $\text{cl}(U)$  is a regular safety property, we can always find  $P_{\text{bad}}$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfying the properties of the previous question*).
6. Show that for every  $\omega$ -regular linear time property  $P$ , there is a  $\omega$ -regular safety property  $P_{\text{safe}}$  and a  $\omega$ -regular liveness property  $P_{\text{live}}$  such that  $P = P_{\text{safe}} \cap P_{\text{live}}$ .