The solutions must be sent either in paper or by email at colin.riba@ens-lyon.fr before the course of 28th of October. The questions marked with an asterisk (\*) are optional and will not be graded.

### 1 Algebraicity and Scott Domains

Basic definitions from the course are recalled in Appendix A. Our goal here is to study the following notion.

**Definition 1.1** (Finite Element of a CPO). Let  $(A, \leq)$  be a CPO. We say that  $a \in A$  is finite if for each directed  $X \subseteq A$  such that  $a \leq \bigvee X$ , we have  $a \leq b$  for some  $b \in X$ .

**Definition 1.2** (Algebraic CPO). A CPO  $(A, \leq)$  is algebraic if for every element  $a \in A$ , the set

 $\{b \in A \mid b \text{ is finite and } \leq a\}$ 

is directed and has supremum a.

Question 1.

(1) Show that each element of [nat] is finite.

(2) Show that [nat] is algebraic.

We are going to prove that each  $[\tau]$  is algebraic. This relies on the following fundamental notion.

**Definition 1.3.** Consider two types  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$ , and two finite elements  $a \in [\![\sigma]\!]$  and  $b \in [\![\tau]\!]$ . The step function  $(a \Rightarrow b) : [\![\sigma]\!] \to [\![\tau]\!]$  is defined as

$$(a \Rightarrow b)(x) \quad := \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} b & \text{if } a \sqsubseteq_{\llbracket \sigma \rrbracket} x \\ \bot_{\llbracket \tau \rrbracket} & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right.$$

**Question 2.** Consider two types  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$ , and two finite elements  $a \in [\![\sigma]\!]$  and  $b \in [\![\tau]\!]$ .

- (1) Show that  $(a \Rightarrow b)$  is Scott-continuous.
- (2) Show that  $(a \Rightarrow b)$  is a finite element of  $[\![\sigma \rightarrow \tau]\!]$ .

In order to show that the interpretation of a function type  $\sigma \to \tau$  is an algebraic CPO, we need a description of the finite elements of  $[\![\sigma \to \tau]\!]$ . The latter will turn out to be some finite supremums of steps functions. But not every finite set of step functions admits a supremum. We shall thus be concerned with the existence of **some** finite supremums.

**Definition 1.4** (Bounded-Complete CPO). A CPO  $(A, \leq)$  is **bounded-complete** if for each finite subset  $X \subseteq A$ , if X has an upper bound in A (i.e. if there is some  $a \in A$  such that  $a \geq x$  for all  $x \in X$ ), then X has a supremum (i.e. a least upper bound)  $\bigvee X \in A$ .

Q. 2

#### Q. 1

**Question 3.** Show that for each type  $\tau$ , the CPO  $\llbracket \tau \rrbracket$  is bounded-complete.

**Question 4.** Fix two types  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$ . Consider, for some  $k \ge 1$ , some finite elements  $a_1, \ldots, a_k \in [\![\sigma]\!]$  and  $b_1, \ldots, b_k \in [\![\tau]\!]$ . Show that the function

$$(a_1 \Rightarrow b_1) \sqcup \cdots \sqcup (a_k \Rightarrow b_k)$$

is defined if for each  $I \subseteq \{1, ..., k\}$  such that  $\{a_i \mid i \in I\}$  has an upper bound, the set  $\{b_i \mid i \in I\}$  has an upper bound as well.

**Definition 1.5.** Fix two types  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$ . Given  $f \in [\![\sigma \to \tau]\!]$ , define

 $\Downarrow(f) \quad := \quad \{(a \Rightarrow b) \mid a \in \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket \text{ and } b \in \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \text{ are finite and } (a \Rightarrow b) \sqsubseteq f \}$ 

**Question 5.** Let  $f \in [\![\sigma \to \tau]\!]$ . Show that for each finite subset  $F \subseteq \downarrow(f)$ , the function  $\bigsqcup F$  is defined and finite.

We are now going to see that for each  $f \in \llbracket \sigma \to \tau \rrbracket$  we have

$$f = \bigsqcup \{ \bigsqcup F \mid F \text{ is a finite subset of } \Downarrow(f) \}$$

**Question 6.** Let  $f \in [\![\sigma \to \tau]\!]$ , where  $[\![\sigma]\!]$  and  $[\![\tau]\!]$  are algebraic.

(1) Show that the set

 $\{ \left| F \mid F \text{ is a finite subset of } \downarrow(f) \right\}$ 

is directed has supremum f.

(2) Assume that f is a finite element of  $[\sigma \to \tau]$ . Show that  $f = \bigsqcup F$  for some finite set F of step functions.

**Question 7.** Show that for each  $\tau$ , the CPO  $\llbracket \tau \rrbracket$  is algebraic.

## 2 Definability in PCF

We are now going to discuss whether some  $a \in [[\tau]]$  can be defined by a PCF term  $\vdash t : \tau$ . We rely on some results of §1.

**Definition 2.1.** We say that an element  $a \in [[\tau]]$  is definable if there is some  $\vdash t : \tau$  such that [[t]] = a.

#### Question<sup>\*</sup> 8.

(1) Show that for each type  $\tau$ , the least element  $\perp_{\llbracket \tau \rrbracket}$  of  $\llbracket \tau \rrbracket$  is definable.

(2) Show that each element of [nat] is definable.

**Question\* 9.** Give some  $f \in [nat \rightarrow nat]$  which is not definable.

We shall only be interested in the definability of the **finite**  $a \in [[\tau]]$  (in the sense of Def. 1.1).

**Question\* 10.** Show that each step function  $(a \Rightarrow b) \in [[nat \rightarrow nat]]$  is definable.

Let  $f \in [[nat \to nat]]$ . We are going to show that f is definable whenever it is finite. This relies on the following. Define the **graph** of f to be the set

$$\operatorname{Gph}(f) := \{(n, f(n)) \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } f(n) \in \mathbb{N}\}\$$

Q.	3	
Q.	4	

Q. 6

Q. 7

\*Q. 8

Q. 9	)
------	---

\*Q. 10

**Question\* 11.** Consider a function  $f \in [[nat \rightarrow nat]]$  which is strict and different from  $\perp_{[[nat \rightarrow nat]]}$ . Show that the following are equivalent:

- (a) Gph(f) is a finite set.
- (b) f is of the form

$$(n_1 \Rightarrow m_1) \sqcup \cdots \sqcup (n_k \Rightarrow m_k)$$

for some  $k \geq 1$  and with  $n_i \neq n_j$  whenever  $i \neq j$ .

(c) f is finite.

**Question\* 12.** Give an  $f \in [[nat \to nat]]$  which is finite, different from  $\perp_{[[nat \to nat]]}$  but such that Gph(f) is infinite.

**Question\* 13.** Show that if  $f \in [nat \rightarrow nat]$  is finite, then f is definable.

We would like to extend this result to each type of PCF. This is however **not** possible, because of the famous "parallel or" function.

**Definition 2.2** (Parallel Or). The function  $por : [nat] \to [nat] \to [nat]$  is defined as

**Question\* 14.** Show that por is a finite element of  $[nat] \rightarrow [nat] \rightarrow [nat]$ .

It is well-known that **por** is not definable in PCF. However, if we extend PCF with a constant for **por** then we can obtain a language in which every finite element is definable.

The bounded-complete algebraic CPOs are called **Scott domains**. They are of fundamental importance for denotational semantics, in particular for the following reason. Say that two (closed) terms t, u of type  $\tau$  are **observationally equivalent** (notation  $t \equiv u$ ) if for every closed term C of type  $\tau \rightarrow \operatorname{nat}$ ,

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \left( Ct \vartriangleright^* \underline{n} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad Cu \vartriangleright^* \underline{n} \right)$$

Consider an extension  $\mathsf{PCF}^*$  of  $\mathsf{PCF}$ , together with an interpretation  $[\![-]\!]^*$  which takes each type  $\tau$  of  $\mathsf{PCF}^*$  to a Scott domain  $[\![\tau]\!]^*$  and each closed term t of type  $\tau$  to some  $[\![t]\!]^* \in [\![\tau]\!]^*$ . We assume tat  $[\![\mathsf{nat}]\!]^* = [\![\mathsf{nat}]\!]$  and that  $[\![\sigma \to \tau]\!]^*$  is a set of Scott-continuous functions  $[\![\sigma]\!]^* \to [\![\tau]\!]^*$  ordered pointwise. We furthermore require  $[\![-]\!]^*$  to be compositional, to be preserved by evaluation and to be computationally adequate. The algebraicity of Scott domains implies that if the finite elements of each  $[\![\tau]\!]^*$  are definable, then  $[\![-]\!]^*$  is **fully abstract**, in the sense that for all terms t, u of the same type, we have

$$t \equiv u \quad \iff \quad \llbracket t \rrbracket^* = \llbracket u \rrbracket^*$$

**Question\* 15.** Prove the last assertion above.

	-	,	
۰			
- 2	-		

		_
*Q.	13	
		_

\*Q. 14



\*Q. 11

# A PCF and its Denotational Semantics

We recall here the definition of the language PCF and its Scott-continuous denotational semantics. The **types** of PCF are given by the grammar:

$$au, \sigma$$
 ::= nat  $\mid \sigma 
ightarrow au$ 

The **terms** of PCF are given by the grammar:

where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  (so that we have a **numeral** <u>n</u> for each natural number  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ). The evaluation relation  $\triangleright$  is defined by the following rules:

$\overline{(\lambda x:\sigma.t)u} \hspace{0.2cm} \rhd$	t[u/x] <u>n</u> +	$1 \triangleright \underline{n+1}$	$\underline{n+1}-1 \triangleright \underline{n}$	$\underline{0-1} \triangleright \underline{0}$
$\overline{ \text{if } \underline{0} \text{ then } u \text{ els} }$	$e v \triangleright u$	$\overline{ \text{if } \underline{n+1} } \text{ then } u$	else $v \vartriangleright v$	$\overline{Yt} \vartriangleright t(Yt)$
_	$t \vartriangleright u$	$t \vartriangleright u$	$t \vartriangleright u$	
t	$v \triangleright uv$	$t+1 \triangleright u+1$	$t-1 \triangleright u-1$	
	$\overline{ ext{if } t  ext{ then } v  ext{ e}}$	$\frac{\iota \vartriangleright u}{\texttt{else } w \vartriangleright \texttt{if } u}$	u then $v$ else $w$	

We write  $\triangleright^*$  for the reflexive-transitive closure of  $\triangleright$ . The **typing rules** of PCF are the following:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \displaystyle \frac{(x:\sigma)\in\Gamma}{\Gamma\vdash x:\sigma} & \displaystyle \frac{\Gamma,x:\sigma\vdash t:\tau}{\Gamma\vdash\lambda x:\sigma.t:\tau} & \displaystyle \frac{\Gamma\vdash t:\sigma\to\tau}{\Gamma\vdash tu:\tau}\\ \\ \displaystyle \frac{\Gamma\vdash \underline{n}:\mathsf{nat}}{\Gamma\vdash \underline{n}:\mathsf{nat}} & \displaystyle \frac{\Gamma\vdash t:\mathsf{nat}}{\Gamma\vdash t+1:\mathsf{nat}} & \displaystyle \frac{\Gamma\vdash t:\mathsf{nat}}{\Gamma\vdash t-1:\mathsf{nat}}\\ \\ \displaystyle \frac{\Gamma\vdash Y^{\sigma}:(\sigma\to\sigma)\to\sigma}{\Gamma\vdash t:\mathsf{nat}} & \displaystyle \frac{\Gamma\vdash t:\mathsf{nat}}{\Gamma\vdash t\mathsf{nat}} & \displaystyle \frac{\Gamma\vdash v:\mathsf{nat}}{\Gamma\vdash t\mathsf{nat}}\\ \end{array}$$

We recall a basic property of PCF.

**Lemma A.1** (Subject Reduction). If  $\Gamma \vdash t : \tau$  and  $t \triangleright u$  then  $\Gamma \vdash u : \tau$ .

We now turn to the Scott-continuous denotational semantics of PCF.

**Definition A.2** (CPO). Let  $(A, \leq)$  be a poset.

• Fix some  $X \subseteq A$ . The supremum  $\bigvee X \in A$  of X is (if it exists) the least upper bound of X:

$$\forall a \in X. \ a \leq \bigvee X \qquad and \qquad \forall b \in A\left((\forall a \in X. \ a \leq b) \implies \bigvee X \leq b\right)$$

- A subset  $X \subseteq A$  is **directed** if it is non-empty and if for all  $a, b \in X$  there is some  $c \in X$  such that  $a \leq c$  and  $b \leq c$ .
- We say that A is a complete partial order (CPO) if A has a least element (often denoted  $\perp_A \text{ or } \perp$ ) and if every directed  $X \subseteq A$  has a supremum  $\bigvee X \in A$ .

**Definition A.3** (Scott-Continuous Function). Let  $(A, \leq_A)$  and  $(B, \leq_B)$  be CPOs. A function  $f: A \to A$  is Scott-continuous if it is monotone  $(a \leq_A a' \text{ implies } f(a) \leq_B f(a'))$  and if for each directed  $X \subseteq A$  we have

$$f(\bigvee X) \quad = \quad \bigvee f(X)$$

**Definition A.4** (Interpretation of PCF). We define the CPO ( $[[\tau]], \sqsubseteq_{\tau}$ ) by induction on the type  $\tau$  as follows:

- $[nat] = \mathbb{N} + \{\bot\}$  and  $a \sqsubseteq_{nat} b$  iff either a = b or  $a = \bot$ .
- $\llbracket \sigma \to \tau \rrbracket$  is the set of Scott-continuous functions from  $(\llbracket \sigma \rrbracket, \sqsubseteq_{\sigma})$  to  $(\llbracket \tau \rrbracket, \sqsubseteq_{\tau})$  equipped with the pointwise ordering:

$$f \sqsubseteq_{\sigma \to \tau} g \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad \forall a \in \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket. \ f(a) \sqsubseteq_{\tau} g(a)$$

Each term  $\vdash t : \sigma$  is interpreted by an element  $\llbracket t \rrbracket \in \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket$ .

We recall the interpretation of the constants of PCF. Each numeral  $\vdash \underline{n}$ : nat is interpreted by  $[\underline{n}] := n \in [\underline{nat}]$ . The other constants of PCF are interpreted by the following Scott-continuous functions:

•  $\llbracket Y^{\sigma} \rrbracket : \llbracket \sigma \to \sigma \rrbracket \to \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket$  is given by

$$\llbracket Y^{\sigma} \rrbracket(f) \quad := \quad \bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f^n(\bot)$$

•  $\llbracket (-)+1 \rrbracket : \llbracket \texttt{nat} \rrbracket \to \llbracket \texttt{nat} \rrbracket$  is given by

$$\llbracket (-)+1 \rrbracket (a) = \llbracket a+1 \rrbracket := \begin{cases} \bot & \text{if } a = \bot \\ a+1 & \text{if } a \in \mathbb{N} \end{cases}$$

•  $\llbracket (-)-1 \rrbracket : \llbracket \texttt{nat} \rrbracket \to \llbracket \texttt{nat} \rrbracket$  is given by

$$\llbracket (-) - \mathbf{1} \rrbracket (a) = \llbracket a - \mathbf{1} \rrbracket := \begin{cases} \bot & \text{if } a = \bot \\ 0 & \text{if } a = 0 \\ a - 1 & \text{if } a > 0 \end{cases}$$

•  $\llbracket \texttt{if}(-)\texttt{then}(-)\texttt{else}(-) \rrbracket : \llbracket \texttt{nat} \rrbracket \times \llbracket \texttt{nat} \rrbracket \times \llbracket \texttt{nat} \rrbracket \to \llbracket \texttt{nat} \rrbracket \text{ is given by }$ 

$$\llbracket \texttt{if}(-)\texttt{then}(-)\texttt{else}(-) \rrbracket (a,b,c) = \llbracket \texttt{if} a\texttt{ then} b\texttt{ else} c \rrbracket := \begin{cases} \bot & \textit{if} a = \bot \\ b & \textit{if} a = 0 \\ c & \textit{if} a > 0 \end{cases}$$

We recall some basic properties.

**Lemma A.5.** If  $\vdash t : \tau$  and  $t \triangleright^* u$  then  $\llbracket t \rrbracket = \llbracket u \rrbracket$ .

**Theorem A.6** (Computational Adequacy). Given  $\vdash t : \texttt{nat}$ , for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$t \vartriangleright^* \underline{n} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad [\![t]\!] = n$$