# FINAL EXAM ANSWER KEY.

Tuesday, April 25. 2pm-5pm.

You may answer in either english or french. Your lecture notes are authorized, but we remind below some useful results:

• If B is standard one-dimensional Brownian motion and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , then the process

$$\left(e^{\lambda B_t - \frac{\lambda^2}{2}t}\right)_{t \ge 0}$$

is a martingale.

• If B is a d-dimensional Brownian motion with  $d \ge 3$  started from some x with |x| > r > 0, then

$$\mathbb{P}_x(\exists t \ge 0, |B_t| = r) = \left(\frac{r}{|x|}\right)^{d-2}$$

• If B is standard one-dimensional Brownian motion starting from 0 and  $T_x = \inf\{t \ge 0, B_t = x\}$  for some  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $T_x$  has the same law as  $x^2/N^2$ , where N is a standard centered gaussian random variable.

**Exercice 1** — Hitting an affine line.

Let  $(B_t)$  be a standard one-dimensional Brownian motion started from 0. For a, b > 0, we write

$$T := \inf\{t \ge 0, B_t = at + b\}.$$

(1) Compute the probability of T being finite.

Answer: We consider the exponential martingale

$$M_t := e^{2aB_t - 2a^2t}.$$

We know that  $M_t/t$  tends to 0 a.s., and thus  $M_t$  tends a.s. to  $M_{\infty} = 0$ . The process  $(M_{t\wedge T})_{t\geq 0}$  is a nonegative martingale, started from  $M_0 = 1$  and bounded by  $e^{2ab}$ , using the inequality  $B_t \leq at + b$  which is satisfied for  $t \leq T$ . Thus it is a uniformly integrable martingale, closed by its almost sure limit  $M_T = M_T \mathbb{1}_{T<+\infty} =$  $e^{2ab} \mathbb{1}_{T<+\infty}$ . The stopping theorem for closed martingales gives  $\mathbb{E}[M_T] = 1$  and thus

$$\mathbb{P}(T < +\infty) = e^{-2ab}$$

(2) Deduce the value of  $\mathbb{E}[\sup\{B_t - at, t \ge 0\}]$ . Answer: We deduce that  $\sup\{B_t - at, t \ge 0\}$  is exponentially distributed with parameter 2a and thus has expectation 1/2a.

#### **Exercice 2** — *Hitting a high-dimensional curve.*

Let  $(B_t)$  be a Brownian motion started from 0 in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  for some  $d \ge 4$ . Let  $f : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$  be a (deterministic) function assumed to be  $\alpha$ -Hölder for some  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ , namely

$$\exists C > 0, \quad \forall 0 \le s, t \le 1, \quad |f(t) - f(s)| \le C|t - s|^{\alpha}$$

(1) Under the condition  $\alpha(d-2) > 1$ , show that the Brownian motion a.s. never hits the image of f.

Hint: For  $n \ge 1$  large, cover the image of f by n balls of radius at most  $C(2n)^{-\alpha}$ . Answer: Write  $r = \min\{|f(t)|, 0 \le t \le 1\}$ , and consider n large enough so that  $r_n := C(2n)^{-\alpha} < r$ . For  $1 \le k \le n$ , write  $D_k$  for the closed ball centered at f((2k-1)/2n) and of radius  $r_n$ , so that the image of f is included in  $\cup_{1\le k\le n} D_k$ . We have

$$\mathbb{P}(T_{\Im f} < \infty) \leq \sum_{k} \mathbb{P}(T_{D_{k}} < +\infty)$$
$$\leq \sum_{k} \left(\frac{r_{n}}{r}\right)^{d-2}$$
$$\leq n \left(\frac{r_{n}}{r}\right)^{d-2},$$

which tends to 0 as  $n \to \infty$  under the hypothesis  $\alpha(d-2) > 1$ , whence the result.

(2) Deduce that in dimension  $d \ge 5$ , two independent Brownian motions B and B with distinct starting points almost surely have nonintersecting images, namely:

$$\mathbb{P}(\exists s, t \ge 0, B_s = B_t) = 0.$$

Answer: By translation, we can suppose B starts from 0 and  $\tilde{B}$  has a different starting condition. Then, almost surely, the function  $\tilde{B} : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}^d$  never hits 0 and is  $\alpha$ -Hölder on every time interval [k, k+1] with  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\alpha = 2/5 < 1/2$ . The condition  $\alpha(d-2) > 1$  is satisfied, and we can then apply the previous question to deduce that the brownian motion B a.s. never hits the image of  $B_{|[k,k+1]}$ , whence the result.

## **Exercice 3** — An extension of Liouville's theorem.

In this exercice we work in dimension  $d \ge 2$  and consider a point  $\mathbf{z} = (r, 0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  for some r > 0. We suppose that  $(B_t)_{t\ge 0}$  is a *d*-dimensional Brownian motion started from  $\mathbf{z}$  under the probability measure  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{z}}$ . We will write  $x_i$  for the *i*-th coordinate of a point  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , and  $|\mathbf{x}|$  for its euclidean norm, namely  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_d)$  and  $|\mathbf{x}| = (x_1^2 + \ldots x_d^2)^{1/2}$ . For R > r, we consider the hyperplanes

$$H := \{ \mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d, x_1 = 0 \},\$$
$$H_R := \{ \mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d, x_1 = R \},\$$
$$L_R := \{ \mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d, x_2 = R \}.$$

We also consider the sphere  $C_{R\sqrt{d}} := \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d, |\mathbf{x}| = R\sqrt{d}\}$ . We write  $T_H$  for the hitting time H, and similarly  $T_{H_R}$ , aso.

(1) Compute the probability  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{z}}(T_{H_R} < T_H)$ .

Answer: This is the probability that the first coordinate, started from r, reaches R before reaching 0, famously known to be equal to r/R.

(2) Show that we have

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{z}}(T_{L_R} < T_H) = \frac{2}{\pi} \arctan \frac{r}{R}.$$

Answer: Observe that  $T_H$  is the hitting-time of 0 for the first coordinate (started from r), and  $T_{L_R}$  is the hitting-time of R for the second coordinate (started from 0). The two coordinates are independent, and we thus can compute

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{z}}(T_{L_R} < T_H) = \mathbb{P}(\frac{R^2}{N^2} < \frac{r^2}{N'^2}),$$

where N and N' are two independent standard gaussian random variables. If we write in polar coordinates  $(N, N') = (X \cos \theta, X \sin \theta)$  with  $X \ge 0$  and  $\theta \in (-\pi, \pi]$ , then  $\theta$  is uniform, as the law of (N, N') (which is also  $\mathcal{N}(0, I_2)$ ) is invariant under the isometries of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . We can thus further compute

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{z}}(T_{L_R} < T_H) = \mathbb{P}(|\tan \theta| \le \frac{r}{R}) = \frac{2}{\pi} \arctan \frac{r}{R}$$

(3) Deduce the upper bound

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{z}}(T_{C_{R\sqrt{d}}} < T_H) \le \frac{r}{R} + \frac{4(d-1)}{\pi} \arctan \frac{r}{R}.$$

If the process hits  $C_{R\sqrt{d}}$  before H, one of its coordinate has to hit the value R or -R before the process hits H. The probability that the first coordinate hits R before time  $T_H$  is r/R by question (1), and the probability it hits -R is before time  $T_H$  is of course 0. For any other coordinate, the probability that it hits R or -R before time  $T_H$  is bounded by  $\frac{4}{\pi} \arctan \frac{r}{R}$  by question (2). The result follows.

(4) If h is a harmonic function on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  satisfying  $\frac{|h(\mathbf{x})|}{|\mathbf{x}|} \to 0$  as  $|\mathbf{x}| \to +\infty$ , show that h is constant.

Answer: We proceed as in the proof of Liouville's theorem. Take h as in the question, and choose  $y \neq z$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , and x the center of the segment [yz]. We aim to show h(y) = h(z). By spatial translation and an isometry, we can suppose  $z = (r, 0, \ldots, 0)$  and y = -z. For R > r, we let  $D_R$  be the half-disk domain containing z and delimited by H and  $C_{R\sqrt{d}}$ . We then have

$$h(z) = \mathbb{E}_{z}[h(B_{T_{\partial D_{R}}})]$$
  
=  $\mathbb{E}_{z}[h(B_{T_{H}}) \mathbb{1}_{T_{H} < T_{C_{R}\sqrt{d}}}] + \mathbb{E}_{z}[h(B_{T_{C_{R}\sqrt{d}}}) \mathbb{1}_{T_{C_{R}\sqrt{d}} < T_{H}}]$   
=  $\mathbb{E}_{y}[h(B_{T_{H}}) \mathbb{1}_{T_{H} < T_{C_{R}\sqrt{d}}}] + \sup\{|h(x)|, |x| = R\sqrt{d}\} \mathbb{P}_{z}(T_{C_{R}\sqrt{d}} < T_{H})$ 

where we used an obvious symmetry of the problem to replace z by y in the last equality. We have a similar expression for h(y), and it remains to prove that the second term after the last equality tends to 0 as  $R \to +\infty$ . This follows from last question and the hypothesis  $\sup\{|h(x)|, |x| = R\sqrt{d}\} = o(R)$ .

# **Exercice 4** — $Az\acute{e}ma$ -Yor embedding.

Let B be a one-dimensional Brownian motion. Given a real-valued random variable X with  $\mathbb{E}[X] = 0$  and  $\operatorname{Var} X < +\infty$ , Skorokhod embedding problem stems at finding some stopping-time T with  $\mathbb{E}[T] < +\infty$  such that  $B_T$  and X have the same law.

- (1) We first suppose  $\mathbb{P}_X = \frac{1}{4}\delta_{-3} + \frac{1}{4}\delta_{-1} + \frac{1}{2}\delta_2$ .
  - (a) We define  $S := \inf\{t \ge 0, B_t \notin (-3, 1)\}$  and  $T := \inf\{t \ge S, B_t \notin (-1, 2)\}$ . Show that T is a solution to Skorokhod embedding problem.

Answer: We have  $\mathbb{P}(B_S = -3) = 1/4$  and  $\mathbb{P}(B_S = 1) = 3/4$ . Further, on the event  $B_S = -3$ , we have T = S and  $B_T = -3$ . On the event  $B_S = 1$ , we have  $B_T \in \{-1, 2\}$ , with

$$\mathbb{P}(B_T = 2) = \mathbb{P}(B_S = 1) \mathbb{P}(B_T = 2 | B_S = 1) = \frac{3}{4} \frac{2}{3} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Finally the law of  $B_T$  is  $\mathbb{P}_X$ . Furthermore,  $\mathbb{E}[T] < +\infty$ , for example because  $T \leq T_{\{-3,2\}}$ . By Wald's second lemma, we then necessarily have  $\mathbb{E}[T] = \mathbb{E}[B_T^2] = \mathbb{E}[X^2]$ .

(b) Write explicitly the similar construction of the solution  $\tilde{T}$  of Skorokhod embedding problem as provided by the approach seen in the lecture. Do we have  $\mathbb{E}[\tilde{T}] = \mathbb{E}[T]$ ? Do you think T and  $\tilde{T}$  have the same law?

Answer: In the construction given in the lecture, we first decide wether we will take a positive or a negative value. We then take  $\tilde{S}$  the hitting time of  $\{-2, 2\}$  and then

$$\tilde{T} = \inf\{t \ge \tilde{S}, B_T \in \{-3, -1, 2\}\}.$$

We see the two constructions differ, and there is no reason to believe that Tand  $\tilde{T}$  have the same law, however  $\mathbb{E}[T] = \mathbb{E}[\tilde{T}] = \mathbb{E}[X^2]$ .

(2) We suppose now that X (is still centered and) takes only finitely many values

$$x_1 < \ldots < x_n.$$

For  $0 \le k \le n-1$ , define  $y_k := \mathbb{E}[X \mid X \ge x_{k+1}]$  and

$$Y_k := \begin{cases} y_k & \text{if } X \ge x_{k+1} \\ X & \text{if } X \le x_k, \end{cases}$$

so in particular  $Y_0 = y_0 = 0$ , while  $y_{n-1} = x_n$  and  $Y_{n-1} = X$ .

(a) Show that  $(Y_k)_{0 \le k \le n-1}$  is a martingale. Answer: Defining  $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_k = \sigma(\{X \le x_i, i \le k\})$ , we see that  $(\mathcal{F}_k)_{0 < k < n-1}$  is a filtration and  $Y = \mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{F}_k]$ , whence Y is a martingale. (b) We define recursively the stopping times  $T_0 = 0$  and for  $1 \le k \le n-1$ ,

$$T_k = \inf\{t \ge T_{k-1}, B_t \notin (x_k, y_k)\}.$$

For  $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ , show that the law of  $B_{T_k}$  given  $B_{T_{k-1}} = y_{k-1}$  coincides with the law of  $Y_k$  given  $Y_{k-1} = y_{k-1}$ . Deduce that the random variables  $B_{T_k}$  and  $Y_k$  have the same law, and then  $T_{n-1}$  is a solution to Skorokhod embedding problem.

Answer: The law of  $B_{T_k}$  given  $B_{T_{k-1}} = y_{k-1}$  has support  $\{x_k, y_k\}$  and expectation  $y_{k-1}$ . The same holds for the law of  $Y_k$  given  $Y_{k-1} = y_{k-1}$ . Thus the two conditional laws coincide. Furthermore, on the event  $B_{T_{k-1}} < y_{k-1}$ , we have  $B_{T_k} = B_{T_{k-1}}$ , and again a similar statement holds for Y. We deduce that the processes  $(Y_k)_{0 \le k \le n-1}$  and  $(B_{T_k})_{0 \le k \le n-1}$  have the same law. In particular,  $B_{T_{n-1}}$  has the same law as X, and  $\mathbb{E}[T_{n-1}] < +\infty$ , for example because  $T_{n-1} \le T_{\{x_1, x_n\}}$ .

(c) Show that an equivalent definition of  $T_{n-1}$  is

$$T_{n-1} := \inf\{t \ge 0, B_t^* \ge \psi(B_t)\}$$

where  $\psi(x)$  is defined as  $\psi(x) = \mathbb{E}[X | X \ge x]$  if  $\mathbb{P}(X \ge x) > 0$ , and  $\psi(x) = 0$  otherwise.

Hint: To this end, you may observe that on the event  $\{B_{T_{n-1}} = x_k\}$  for some  $k \leq n-1$ , we have  $T_{n-1} = T_k$ , and consider separately times  $t \in [T_{i-1}, T_i)$  for  $i \leq k$  and time  $T_k$ .

Answer: We first work on the event  $B_{T_{n-1}} = x_k$  for  $k \leq n-1$ , as in the hint. We then have  $T_k = T_{n-1}$ , as well as  $B_{T_i} = y_i$  for i < k and  $B_{T_k} = x_k$ . It follows that for times t in  $[T_{i-1}, T_i)$ , we have  $B_t^* \in [y_{i-1}, y_i)$ . We now treat the two cases separately: For  $t \in [T_{i-1}, T_i)$  with i < k, we have  $B_t > x_i$  and thus  $\psi(B_t) \geq \psi(x_{i+1}) = y_i$ , while  $B_t^* < y_i$ , and thus  $B_t^* < \psi(B_t)$ . At time  $T_k$ , we have  $B_{T_k} = x_k$  and thus  $\psi(B_{T_k}) = y_{k-1}$ , while  $B_{T_k}^* \geq y_{k-1}$ . Whence the result.

(3) In the general case, we still define  $\psi(x)$  as  $\psi(x) = \mathbb{E}[X | X \ge x]$  if  $\mathbb{P}(X \ge x) > 0$ , and  $\psi(x) = 0$  otherwise. We admit that there is a sequence or centered random variables  $(X_n)$  taking only finitely many values such that  $X_n$  converges to X in distribution and  $\tau_n$  converges almost surely to  $\tau$ , where

$$\psi_n(x) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{E}[X_n | X_n \ge x] & \text{if } \mathbb{P}(X_n \ge x) > 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
  
$$\tau_n = \inf\{t \ge 0, B_t^* \ge \psi_n(B_t)\}, \\ \tau = \inf\{t \ge 0, B_t^* \ge \psi(B_t)\}. \end{cases}$$

Show that  $\tau$  is a solution to Skorokhod embedding problem.

Answer: By previous work, we have that  $B_{\tau_n}$  has the same law as  $X_n$  and  $\mathbb{E}[\tau_n] = \mathbb{E}[X_n^2]$ . We admit that we also can request  $\mathbb{E}[X_n^2] \to \mathbb{E}[X^2]$ . As  $\tau_n$  tends to  $\tau$  a.s., we have that  $B_{\tau_n}$  tends to  $B_{\tau}$  a.s. and thus in law, thus  $B_{\tau}$  has the same law as X.

Furthermore, by Fatou lemma,

$$\mathbb{E}[\tau] \le \liminf \mathbb{E}[\tau_n] = \liminf \mathbb{E}[X_n^2] = \mathbb{E}[X^2] < +\infty.$$

# **Exercice 5** — Estimate of the tail of a random walk hitting time.

In this exercice, we consider  $S_n$  a simple random walk on  $\mathbb{Z}$ , supposed to be started from x under the probability measure  $\mathbb{P}_x$ . For  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we write  $T_a$  for the hitting time  $T_a := \inf\{n \ge 0, S_n = a\}$ . The main purpose is to obtain estimates on the probability of the tail event  $\{T_{-1} > n\}$  with the help of Brownian motion.

(1) (a) For  $x \in \mathbb{N}$ , recall briefly why we have

$$\mathbb{P}_0(T_x < T_{-1}) = \frac{1}{1+x}.$$

Answer: This is again gambler's ruin problem, but in the context of the random walk.

(b) Show  $(S_n^2 - n)$  is a martingale, and deduce  $\mathbb{E}_0[T_x \wedge T_{-1}] = x$  and further, for  $t \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}_0(t \le T_x \land T_{-1}) \le \frac{x}{t}$$

- (2) We suppose  $x_n \sim a\sqrt{n}$  and  $t_n \sim bn$ , with  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^*_+$ .
  - (a) Show that we have

$$\mathbb{P}_{x_n}(T_{-1} > t_n) = \mathbb{P}_0(T_{-x_n-1} > t_n) \to \mathbb{P}(\inf\{B_t, 0 \le t \le b\} \le -a),$$

where B is a standard 1-dimensional brownian motion started from 0. Hint: You may first suppose  $t_n = n$  and argue that  $\mathbb{P}(\inf\{B_t, 0 \le t \le 1\} = -a) = 0$ .

Answer: We apply Donsker theorem, first with  $x_n = a\sqrt{n}$  and  $t_n = bn...$ (b) Show that we also have

$$\mathbb{P}(\inf\{B_t, 0 \le t \le b\} \le -a) = \mathbb{P}(|N| \le \frac{a}{\sqrt{b}}) \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{a}{\sqrt{b}},$$

where N is standard gaussian and the equivalent is as  $a/\sqrt{b}$  tends to 0. Answer: For the first equality, we use that

$$|\inf\{B_t, 0 \le t \le b\}| \stackrel{law}{=} |B_b| \stackrel{law}{=} \sqrt{b}|N|.$$

The equivalent follows from the fact that the density of the normal distribution at 0 is  $1/\sqrt{2\pi}$ .

(3) We now consider the random walk started from 0. For given  $x_n \ge 0$  and  $t_n \ge 0$ , justify the inclusions of events

$$\{T_{-1} > n\} \supset \{T_{x_n} < T_{-1}\} \cap \{T_{-1}(S^{(T_{x_n})}) > n\},\$$
  
$$\{T_{-1} > n\} \subset \left(\{T_{x_n} < T_{-1}\} \cap \{T_{-1}(S^{(T_{x_n})}) > n - t_n\}\right) \cup \{t_n \le T_{x_n} \land T_{-1}\},\$$

where  $S^{(t)}$  is the usual notation for the process  $(S_{n+t})_{n\geq 0}$ , and  $T_x(S^{(t)})$  is its hitting time of x.

Answer: For the first inclusion, we simply observe that the event in the RHS implies that the process stays nonnegative at least until time  $T_{x_n} + n > n$ . The second inclusion misses the hypothesis  $t_n \leq n$ . On the event  $T_{-1} < n$ , either

the process stays within  $[0, x_n - 1]$  up until time  $t_n$ , or the process hits  $x_n$  before time  $t_n$ , and then after time  $T_{x_n}$  it has to stay nonnegative up until time  $n - T_{x_n} \ge n - t_n$ , whence the second inclusion.

(4) Choosing, for  $\varepsilon > 0$ , sequences  $(x_n)$  and  $(t_n)$  that satisfy  $x_n \sim \varepsilon \sqrt{n}$  and  $t_n \sim \sqrt{\varepsilon n}$ , deduce that we have

$$\mathbb{P}_0(T_{-1} > n) \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi n}}$$

Answer: We choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  and use the first inclusion, in which the events in the RHS are independent, to deduce

$$\mathbb{P}(T_{-1} > n) \ge \frac{1}{1+x_n} \mathbb{P}_{x_n}(T_{-1} > n).$$

We deduce

$$\liminf \sqrt{n} \mathbb{P}(T_{-1} > n) \ge \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \mathbb{P}(|N| \le \varepsilon).$$

Taking  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , we deduce the lower bound  $\sqrt{2/\pi}$ . Similarly, the second inclusion provides the upper bound

$$\limsup \sqrt{n} \mathbb{P}(T_{-1} > n) \le \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \mathbb{P}\left( |N| \le \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{1 - \sqrt{\varepsilon}}} \right) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}.$$

Taking  $\varepsilon \to 0$  provides the upper bound  $\sqrt{2/\pi}$  and allows to conclude.

- (5) Provide similar asymptotics for  $\mathbb{P}_0(T_{-k} > n)$  for given k > 0. In this question and the next, you may skip details and just explain briefly how to adapt the proof to that case.
- (6) Treat similarly the case of any random walk whose jump distribution is centered and supported on  $\{-1, 0, 1, \ldots, l\}$  for some finite  $l \ge 1$ .