

# NOTE ON FOURIER EXPANSIONS AT CUSPS

FRANÇOIS BRUNAUT

*ÉNS Lyon, UMPA, 46 allée d'Italie, 69007 Lyon, France*

MICHAEL NEURURER

*TU Darmstadt, Schloßgartenstr. 7, 64289 Darmstadt, Germany*

ABSTRACT. This was originally an appendix to our paper ‘Fourier expansions at cusps’ [1]. The purpose of this note is to give a proof of a Theorem of Shimura on the action of  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$  on modular forms for  $\Gamma(N)$  from the perspective of algebraic modular forms. As the theorem is well-known, we do not intend to publish this note but want to keep it available as a preprint.

In this note we give a new proof of the following theorem which is originally due to Shimura, see [5, Theorem 8] and [6, Lemma 10.5]. It gives the interaction between the  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -action and the  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ -action on spaces of modular forms on the group  $\Gamma(N)$ . These actions on a modular form  $f(\tau) = \sum_n a_n e^{2\pi i n \tau / w}$  of weight  $k \geq 1$  are defined as follows:

$$f|g(\tau) = \frac{1}{(C\tau + D)^k} f\left(\frac{A\tau + B}{C\tau + D}\right), \quad f^\sigma(\tau) = \sum_n \sigma(a_n) e^{2\pi i n \tau / w}$$

for  $g = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  and  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ . For any integer  $N \geq 1$  we denote  $\zeta_N = e^{2\pi i / N}$ .

**Theorem 1.** [5, 6] Let  $f \in M_k(\Gamma(N))$  be a modular form of weight  $k \geq 1$  on  $\Gamma(N)$ . Let  $g = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  and  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $\sigma(\zeta_N) = \zeta_N^\lambda$  with  $\lambda \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$ . Then

$$(f|g)^\sigma = f^\sigma|g_\lambda,$$

where  $g_\lambda$  is any lift in  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  of the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} A & \lambda B \\ \lambda^{-1}C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ .

This theorem immediately implies that if a modular form  $f$  of level  $N$  has Fourier coefficients in a field  $K_f$ , then the Fourier coefficients of  $f| \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$  for any  $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  will lie in  $K_f(\zeta_N)$ . In [1] we obtain improved results if  $f$  is a modular form for  $\Gamma_0(N)$  or  $\Gamma_1(N)$  and in the case of newforms on  $\Gamma_0(N)$ , we determine the number field generated by the Fourier coefficients of  $f| \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$  explicitly.

We recall the theory of algebraic modular forms, in order to give a new proof of Theorem 1. For more details on this theory, see [3, Chap. II] and the references therein.

---

*E-mail addresses:* francois.brunault@ens-lyon.fr, neururer@mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de.

The second author was partially funded by the DFG-Forschergruppe 1920 and the LOEWE research unit ‘Uniformized Structures in Arithmetic and Geometry’.

**Definition 2.** Let  $R$  be an arbitrary commutative ring, and let  $N \geq 1$  be an integer. A *test object* of level  $N$  over  $R$  is a triple  $T = (E, \omega, \beta)$  where  $E/R$  is an elliptic curve,  $\omega \in \Omega^1(E/R)$  is a nowhere vanishing invariant differential, and  $\beta$  is a *level  $N$  structure* on  $E/R$ , that is an isomorphism of  $R$ -group schemes

$$\beta : (\mu_N)_R \times (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})_R \xrightarrow{\cong} E[N]$$

satisfying  $e_N(\beta(\zeta, 0), \beta(1, 1)) = \zeta$  for every  $\zeta \in (\mu_N)_R$ . Here  $\mu_N = \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[t]/(t^N - 1)$  is the scheme of  $N$ -th roots of unity, and  $e_N$  is the Weil pairing on  $E[N]$ <sup>1</sup>.

If  $\phi : R \rightarrow R'$  is a ring morphism, we denote by  $T_{R'} = (E_{R'}, \omega_{R'}, \beta_{R'})$  the base change of  $T$  to  $R'$  along  $\phi$ .

The isomorphism classes of test objects over  $\mathbb{C}$  are in bijection with the set of lattices  $L$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  endowed with a symplectic basis of  $\frac{1}{N}L/L$  [3, 2.4]. Another example is given by the Tate curve  $\text{Tate}(q) = \mathbb{G}_m/q^{\mathbb{Z}}$  [2, §8]. It is an elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{Z}((q))$  endowed with the canonical differential  $\omega_{\text{can}} = dx/x$  and the level  $N$  structure  $\beta_{\text{can}}(\zeta, n) = \zeta q^{n/N} \bmod q^{\mathbb{Z}}$ . The test object  $(\text{Tate}(q), \omega_{\text{can}}, \beta_{\text{can}})$  is defined over  $\mathbb{Z}((q^{1/N}))$ .

**Definition 3.** An *algebraic modular form* of weight  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and level  $N$  over  $R$  is the data, for each  $R$ -algebra  $R'$ , of a function

$$F = F_{R'} : \{\text{isomorphism classes of test objects of level } N \text{ over } R'\} \rightarrow R'$$

satisfying the following properties:

- (1)  $F(E, \lambda^{-1}\omega, \beta) = \lambda^k F(E, \omega, \beta)$  for every  $\lambda \in (R')^\times$ ;
- (2)  $F$  is compatible with base change: for every morphism of  $R$ -algebras  $\psi : R' \rightarrow R''$  and for every test object  $T$  of level  $N$  over  $R'$ , we have  $F_{R''}(T_{R''}) = \psi(F_{R'}(T))$ .

We denote by  $M_k^{\text{alg}}(\Gamma(N); R)$  the  $R$ -module of algebraic modular forms of weight  $k$  and level  $N$  over  $R$ .

Evaluating at the Tate curve provides an injective  $R$ -linear map

$$M_k^{\text{alg}}(\Gamma(N); R) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}((q^{1/N})) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R$$

called the  $q$ -expansion map. The  *$q$ -expansion principle* states that if  $R'$  is a subring of  $R$ , then an algebraic modular form  $F \in M_k^{\text{alg}}(\Gamma(N); R)$  belongs to  $M_k^{\text{alg}}(\Gamma(N); R')$  if and only if the  $q$ -expansion of  $F$  has coefficients in  $R'$ .

Algebraic modular forms are related to classical modular forms as follows. To any algebraic modular form  $F \in M_k^{\text{alg}}(\Gamma(N); \mathbb{C})$ , we associate the function  $F^{\text{an}} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined by

$$F^{\text{an}}(\tau) = F\left(\frac{\mathbb{C}}{2\pi i\mathbb{Z} + 2\pi i\tau\mathbb{Z}}, dz, \beta_\tau\right)$$

with  $\beta_\tau(\zeta_N^m, n) := [2\pi i(m + n\tau)/N]$ .

**Proposition 4.** The map  $F \mapsto F^{\text{an}}$  induces an isomorphism between  $M_k^{\text{alg}}(\Gamma(N); \mathbb{C})$  and the space  $M_k^1(\Gamma(N))$  of weakly holomorphic modular forms on  $\Gamma(N)$  (that is, holomorphic on  $\mathcal{H}$  and meromorphic at the cusps). Moreover, the  $q$ -expansion of  $F$  coincides with that of  $F^{\text{an}}$ .

<sup>1</sup>Our definition of the Weil pairing is the reciprocal of Silverman's definition [7, III.8]. With our definition, we have  $e_N(1/N, \tau/N) = e^{2\pi i/N}$  on the elliptic curve  $\mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z})$  with  $\text{Im}(\tau) > 0$ .

We now interpret the action of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  on modular forms in algebraic terms. Let  $F \in M_k^{\mathrm{alg}}(\Gamma(N); \mathbb{C})$  with  $f = F^{\mathrm{an}}$ , and let  $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . A simple computation shows that

$$(3) \quad (f|_k g)(\tau) = F\left(\frac{\mathbb{C}}{2\pi i(\mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z})}, dz, \beta'_\tau\right)$$

where the level  $N$  structure  $\beta'_\tau$  is given by

$$(4) \quad \beta'_\tau(\zeta_N^m, n) = \beta_\tau(\zeta_N^{md+nb}, mc + na).$$

Let  $\psi : (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2 \rightarrow \mu_N(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$  be the isomorphism defined by  $\psi(a, b) = (\zeta_N^b, a)$ . Let us identify the level structure  $\beta_\tau$  (resp.  $\beta'_\tau$ ) with the map  $\alpha_\tau = \beta_\tau \circ \psi$  (resp.  $\alpha'_\tau = \beta'_\tau \circ \psi$ ). Then (4) shows that

$$(5) \quad \alpha'_\tau(a, b) = \alpha_\tau((a, b)g).$$

What we have here is the right action of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  on the row space  $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2$ , which induces a left action on the set of level  $N$  structures. As we will see, all this makes sense algebraically. For any  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N]$ -algebra  $R$ , we denote by  $\zeta_{N,R}$  the image of  $\zeta_N = e^{2\pi i/N}$  under the structural morphism  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N] \rightarrow R$ .

**Lemma 5.** If  $R$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N, 1/N]$ -algebra, then there is an isomorphism of  $R$ -group schemes  $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})_R \xrightarrow{\cong} (\mu_N)_R$  sending 1 to  $\zeta_{N,R}$ .

*Proof.* Note that  $(\mu_N)_R = \mathrm{Spec} R[t]/(t^N - 1) = \mathrm{Spec} R[\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}]$  and  $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})_R = \mathrm{Spec} R^{\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}}$ . If  $R = \mathbb{C}$ , then  $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}] \cong \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}}$  because all irreducible representations of  $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$  have dimension 1. This isomorphism  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{C}}$  is given by the Fourier transform, and both  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{C}}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}$  have coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N, 1/N]$  with respect to the natural bases. It follows that in general  $R[\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}] \cong R^{\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}}$  and this isomorphism sends [1] to  $(\zeta_{N,R}^a)_{a \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}}$ .  $\square$

Let  $R$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N, 1/N]$ -algebra. We have an isomorphism of  $R$ -group schemes

$$\psi_R : (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})_R^2 \rightarrow (\mu_N)_R \times (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})_R$$

given by  $\psi_R(a, b) = (\zeta_{N,R}^b, a)$ . The group  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  acts from the right on the row space  $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})_R^2$  by  $R$ -automorphisms, and for  $\alpha : (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})_R^2 \xrightarrow{\cong} E[N]$  we define

$$(6) \quad (g \cdot \alpha)(a, b) = \alpha((a, b)g) \quad ((a, b) \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2).$$

Using  $\psi_R$ , we transport this to a left action of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  on the set of level  $N$  structures of an elliptic curve over  $R$ . Given a test object  $T = (E, \omega, \beta)$  over  $R$ , we define  $g \cdot T := (E, \omega, g \cdot \beta)$ . For any  $F \in M_k^{\mathrm{alg}}(\Gamma(N); R)$ , we define  $F|g \in M_k^{\mathrm{alg}}(\Gamma(N); R)$  by the rule  $(F|g)(T) = F(g \cdot T)$  for any test object  $T$  over any  $R$ -algebra  $R'$ . The computation (3) then shows that the right action of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  on  $M_k^{\mathrm{alg}}(\Gamma(N); \mathbb{C})$  corresponds to the usual slash action on  $M_k^!(\Gamma(N))$ .

*Remark 6.* The action of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  on algebraic modular forms over  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N, 1/N]$ -algebras has the following consequence: if a classical modular form  $f \in M_k(\Gamma(N))$  has Fourier coefficients in some subring  $A$  of  $\mathbb{C}$ , then for any  $g \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , the Fourier expansion of  $f|g$  lies in  $\mathbb{Z}[[q^{1/N}]] \otimes A[\zeta_N, 1/N]$ .

We now interpret the action of  $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$  in algebraic terms (see [4, p. 88]). Let  $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ . For any  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra  $R$ , we define  $R^\sigma := R \otimes_{\mathbb{C}, \sigma^{-1}} \mathbb{C}$ , which means that  $(ax) \otimes 1 = x \otimes \sigma^{-1}(a)$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $x \in R$ . We endow  $R^\sigma$  with the structure of a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra using the map

$a \in \mathbb{C} \mapsto 1 \otimes a \in R^\sigma$ . We denote by  $\phi_\sigma : R \rightarrow R^\sigma$  the map defined by  $\phi_\sigma(x) = x \otimes 1$ . The map  $\phi_\sigma$  is a ring isomorphism, but one should be careful that  $\phi_\sigma$  is not a morphism of  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras, as it is only  $\sigma^{-1}$ -linear. For any test object  $T$  over  $R$ , we denote by  $T^\sigma$  its base change to  $R^\sigma$  using the ring morphism  $\phi_\sigma$ .

Let  $F \in M_k^{\text{alg}}(\Gamma(N); \mathbb{C})$  be an algebraic modular form. For any  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra  $R$ , we define

$$F_R^\sigma : \{\text{isomorphism classes of test objects of level } N \text{ over } R\} \rightarrow R$$

$$T \mapsto \phi_\sigma^{-1}(F_{R^\sigma}(T^\sigma)).$$

One may check that the collection of functions  $F_R^\sigma$  satisfies the conditions (1) and (2) above, hence defines an algebraic modular form  $F^\sigma \in M_k^{\text{alg}}(\Gamma(N); \mathbb{C})$ . Moreover, since the Tate curve is defined over  $\mathbb{Z}((q))$ , one may check that the map  $F \mapsto F^\sigma$  corresponds to the usual action of  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$  on the Fourier expansions of modular forms: for every  $F \in M_k^{\text{alg}}(\Gamma(N); \mathbb{C})$  and every  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ , we have  $(F^\sigma)^{\text{an}} = (F^{\text{an}})^\sigma$ .

We finally come to the proof of Theorem 1.

*Proof.* Let  $f \in M_k(\Gamma(N))$  with corresponding algebraic modular form  $F \in M_k^{\text{alg}}(\Gamma(N); \mathbb{C})$ . Let  $g \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  and  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ . We take as test object  $T = (\text{Tate}(q), \omega_{\text{can}}, \beta_{\text{can}})$  over  $R = \mathbb{Z}((q^{1/N})) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ . Since a modular form is determined by its Fourier expansion, and unravelling the definitions of  $F|g$  and  $F^\sigma$ , it suffices to check that the test objects  $g \cdot T^\sigma$  and  $(g_\lambda \cdot T)^\sigma$  over  $R^\sigma$  are isomorphic. Since  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$  acts only on the level structures of the test objects, we have to show that

$$(7) \quad g \cdot \beta_{\text{can}}^\sigma \cong (g_\lambda \cdot \beta_{\text{can}})^\sigma.$$

For any scheme  $X$  over  $R$ , let  $X^\sigma$  denote its base change to  $R^\sigma$  along  $\phi_\sigma$ . Since  $\phi_\sigma$  is a ring isomorphism, the canonical projection map  $X^\sigma \rightarrow X$  is an isomorphism of schemes, and we also denote by  $\phi_\sigma : X \rightarrow X^\sigma$  the inverse map.

Put  $E = \text{Tate}(q)$  and  $\beta = \beta_{\text{can}}$ . Let  $\alpha = \beta \circ \psi_R : (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})_R^2 \xrightarrow{\cong} E[N]$ . By functoriality, the level structure  $\beta^\sigma$  is given by the following commutative diagram

$$(8) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & \alpha & & \\ & & \curvearrowright & & \\ (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})_R^2 & \xrightarrow{\psi_R} & (\mu_N)_R \times (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})_R & \xrightarrow{\beta} & E[N] \\ & \searrow \gamma \downarrow \text{dotted} & \cong \downarrow \phi_\sigma & & \cong \downarrow \phi_\sigma \\ (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})_{R^\sigma}^2 & \xrightarrow{\psi_{R^\sigma}} & (\mu_N)_{R^\sigma} \times (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})_{R^\sigma} & \xrightarrow{\beta^\sigma} & E^\sigma[N]. \\ & & \curvearrowleft \alpha^\sigma & & \end{array}$$

Let us compute the dotted arrow  $\gamma$ . Since  $\phi_\sigma$  is  $\sigma^{-1}$ -linear, we have  $\phi_\sigma(\zeta_{N,R}) = \zeta_{N,R^\sigma}^{\lambda^{-1}}$ . It follows that

$$(9) \quad \phi_\sigma(\psi_R(a, b)) = \phi_\sigma(\zeta_{N,R}^b, a) = (\zeta_{N,R^\sigma}^{\lambda^{-1}b}, a) = \psi_{R^\sigma}(a, \lambda^{-1}b)$$

so that  $\gamma(a, b) = (a, \lambda^{-1}b)$ . We may thus express  $\alpha^\sigma$  in terms of  $\alpha$  by

$$(10) \quad \alpha^\sigma(a, b) = \phi_\sigma \circ \alpha \circ \gamma^{-1}(a, b) = \phi_\sigma \circ \alpha(a, \lambda b) = \phi_\sigma \circ \alpha \left( (a, b) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

Let us make explicit both sides of (7). By (6) and (10), the left hand side is given by

$$(11) \quad (g \cdot \alpha^\sigma)(a, b) = \alpha^\sigma((a, b)g) = \phi_\sigma \circ \alpha \left( (a, b)g \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

Let us now turn to the right hand side of (7). By (6), we have  $(g_\lambda \cdot \alpha)(a, b) = \alpha((a, b)g_\lambda)$ . Applying the commutative diagram (8) with  $\alpha$  replaced by  $g_\lambda \cdot \alpha$ , we get

$$(12) \quad (g_\lambda \cdot \alpha)^\sigma(a, b) = \phi_\sigma \circ (g_\lambda \cdot \alpha) \left( (a, b) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \right) = \phi_\sigma \circ \alpha \left( (a, b) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} g_\lambda \right).$$

Finally, we note that  $g \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} g_\lambda$ .

□

#### REFERENCES

- [1] François Brunault and Michael Neururer. Fourier expansions at cusps. 2019. Preprint, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1807.00391>.
- [2] P. Deligne. Courbes elliptiques: formulaire d'après J. Tate. pages 53–73. *Lecture Notes in Math.*, Vol. 476, 1975.
- [3] Nicholas M. Katz.  $p$ -adic interpolation of real analytic Eisenstein series. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 104(3):459–571, 1976.
- [4] Masami Ohta. On the  $p$ -adic Eichler-Shimura isomorphism for  $\Lambda$ -adic cusp forms. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 463:49–98, 1995.
- [5] Goro Shimura. On some arithmetic properties of modular forms of one and several variables. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 102(3):491–515, 1975.
- [6] Goro Shimura. *Arithmeticity in the theory of automorphic forms*, volume 82 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000.
- [7] Joseph H. Silverman. *The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*, volume 106 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, Dordrecht, second edition, 2009.