BEILINSON-KATO ELEMENTS IN K_2 OF MODULAR CURVES

FRANÇOIS BRUNAULT

ABSTRACT. This article investigates explicit linear dependence relations in the K_2 -group of modular curves. In particular, it is shown that the Beilinson-Kato elements in K_2 of the modular curve Y(N) satisfy the Manin relations when N is not divisible by 3. Similar results are obtained for the modular curves $X_1(N)$ and $X_0(N)$ when N is prime. Finally we exhibit explicit generators of K_2 , assuming the Beilinson conjecture.

INTRODUCTION

Let X be a smooth projective curve over \mathbf{Q} , and $L(h^1(X), s)$ be the associated L-function. A very special case of Beilinson's conjectures predicts that the special value $L(h^1(X), 2)$ can be expressed in terms of a suitable regulator map on the algebraic K-group $K_2(X)$ (see [8] for a nice overview and a precise statement of this conjecture). Beilinson proved a part of his conjecture in the case where X is a modular curve [18]. Beilinson's work was also partially anticipated by Bloch, who studied the particular case of CM elliptic curves [1].

Despite these profound results, the K-group itself remains very mysterious. There's quite an art to constructing special elements in this group and, as soon as the genus of X is not zero, it is not even known whether $K_2(X) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q}$ is a finite dimensional **Q**-vector space.

I showed in [5] how Beilinson's theorem can be made explicit in the case of the modular curve $X_1(N)$. This raised the question of determining linear dependence relations in the group $K_2(X_1(N))$ [5, §8].

The main point of this article is to make these relations explicit. Let Y(N) be the open modular curve associated to the congruence subgroup $\Gamma(N)$. By taking cup-products of Siegel units, there is a natural map

(1)
$$\rho: M_2(\mathbf{Z}/N\mathbf{Z}) \to K_2(Y(N)) \otimes \mathbf{Q}.$$

Date: September 4, 2008.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 19F99; Secondary: 11F67, 11G18, 11G40.

Key words and phrases. K-theory, modular curves, modular symbols, L-functions, Beilinson conjectures.

Under the hypothesis that N is not divisible by 3, I show that ρ satisfies the Manin relations (Theorem 1.4). This was also proved by Goncharov [9] using a different method, and his proof works for all N. Thus ρ can be seen as a Manin symbol (or modular symbol) with values in $K_2(Y(N)) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$. This result is similar to constructions of Borisov and Gunnells [2, 3] and Paşol [17] in the case of modular forms. In these works, the product of two Eisenstein series plays the role of the cup-product.

I then use this result to study the case of the modular curves $X_1(p)$ and $X_0(p)$, where p is prime (Theorems 4.2, 4.4 and 4.8). In particular, the Beilinson conjecture implies that the elements so constructed span the vector space $K_2(X_0(p))_{\mathbf{Z}} \otimes \mathbf{Q}$, and I determine all the relations between them.

Some questions would deserve further study. I do not know (even conjecturally) whether the image of ρ spans $K_2(Y(N)) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ (see Remark 1.7). In view of the arithmetic applications of Kato's Euler system [10], it would be also of interest to describe the action of Hecke correspondences on these elements, in the spirit of Merel's results for modular symbols [15].

1. The Beilinson-Kato elements in K_2

Let us first state some standard facts on modular curves (see [20, 13, 7, 11] for more detailed accounts). Let $N \geq 3$ be an integer and Y(N) be the modular curve classifying elliptic curves E with a level N structure, that is a basis (e_1, e_2) of E[N] over $\mathbf{Z}/N\mathbf{Z}$. The curve Y(N) is a smooth projective curve defined over \mathbf{Q} , whose affine ring $\mathcal{O}(Y(N))$ contains the cyclotomic field $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_N)$ generated by $\zeta_N := e^{2i\pi/N}$. The curve Y(N) is not geometrically connected. Indeed, there is an isomorphism $Y(N)(\mathbf{C}) \cong (\mathbf{Z}/N\mathbf{Z})^* \times (\Gamma(N) \setminus \mathcal{H})$, where \mathcal{H} is the Poincaré upper half-plane and $\Gamma(N) \subset SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ is the congruence subgroup of matrices satisfying

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{N}.$$

For any $z \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbf{Q}$, let us set $q = e^{2i\pi z}$ and $q^{\lambda} = e^{2i\pi\lambda z}$.

The curve Y(N) has a smooth compactification X(N) over \mathbf{Q} which is obtained by adding on the cusps. The function field of X(N) will be referred to by $\mathbf{Q}(X(N))$. It is naturally embedded into the function field of the compactification of $\Gamma(N) \setminus \mathcal{H}$. There is also a natural inclusion of $\mathbf{Q}(X(N))$ into the field of formal Laurent series $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_N)((q^{1/N}))$, by looking at the *q*expansion.

1.1. Siegel units. Let us give the definition of Siegel units (see [6, 10, 12] for further reference). The group of modular units of X(N) will be denoted

by $\mathcal{O}^*(Y(N))$. In order to avoid torsion problems, Siegel units will always be considered in the **Q**-vector space $\mathcal{O}^*(Y(N)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q}$.

Let $B_2(X) = X^2 - X + \frac{1}{6}$ be the second Bernoulli polynomial.

Definition 1.1. For any $(\alpha, \beta) \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2 - \{(0, 0)\}$ let us define

(2)
$$g_{\alpha,\beta}(z) = q^{\frac{1}{2}B_2(\widetilde{\alpha}/N)} \prod_{n\geq 0} \left(1 - q^n q^{\widetilde{\alpha}/N} \zeta_N^\beta\right) \prod_{n\geq 1} \left(1 - q^n q^{-\widetilde{\alpha}/N} \zeta_N^{-\beta}\right)$$

where $\tilde{\alpha} \in \mathbf{Z}$ is the unique representative of α satisfying $0 \leq \tilde{\alpha} < N$. By convention $g_{0,0} = 1$.

Thus $g_{\alpha,\beta}$ is a holomorphic function on \mathcal{H} . It is known that some power of $g_{\alpha,\beta}$ (in fact $g_{\alpha,\beta}^{12N}$) is modular with respect to $\Gamma(N)$, and lies in $\mathcal{O}^*(Y(N))$ [13, Chap 19 §2]. Therefore $g_{\alpha,\beta}$ is well-defined as an element of $\mathcal{O}^*(Y(N)) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$.

Let G be the group $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$. It acts from the left on Y(N), by the rule

(3)
$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \cdot (E, e_1, e_2) = (E, ae_1 + be_2, ce_1 + de_2) \qquad \left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in G \right).$$

This induces on $\mathcal{O}^*(Y(N)) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ a right action of G. It turns out that G acts on the set of Siegel units. More precisely, we have [10, Lemma 1.7]

(4)
$$g_{\alpha,\beta}|\gamma = g_{(\alpha,\beta)\cdot\gamma} \quad (\gamma \in G).$$

Since $-1 \in G$ acts trivially on Y(N), we get the relation $g_{-\alpha,-\beta} = g_{\alpha,\beta}$. Kubert and Lang proved that the Siegel units of level N generate $\mathcal{O}^*(Y(N)) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ [12].

1.2. The construction of Beilinson and Kato. Let us consider the Quillen K-group $K_2(Y(N))$, which enjoys a right action of G by functoriality. Beilinson constructed special elements in it using cup-products of modular units. This motivates the following definition.

Definition 1.2. Let ρ be the map

(5)
$$\rho: M_2(\mathbf{Z}/N\mathbf{Z}) \to K_2(Y(N)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q}$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} s & t \\ u & v \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \{g_{s,t}, g_{u,v}\}.$$

Remark 1.3. Colmez [6, 1.4.2] constructed an algebraic distribution on $M_2(\mathbf{Q} \otimes \widehat{\mathbf{Z}})$ with values in K_2 , which generalizes Definition 1.2. I shall not use this more conceptual point of view in what follows.

Let ε (resp. σ , τ) be the image of $\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ (resp. $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$) in G.

Theorem 1.4. The elements $\rho(M)$ satisfy the following relations

(6)

$$\rho(\varepsilon M) = \rho(M) \quad and \quad \rho(M) + \rho(\sigma M) = 0 \quad (M \in M_2(\mathbf{Z}/N\mathbf{Z})).$$

Let us suppose further that 3 does not divide N. Then we have

(7)
$$\rho(M) + \rho(\tau M) + \rho(\tau^2 M) = 0$$
 $(M \in M_2(\mathbf{Z}/N\mathbf{Z})).$

Remark 1.5. The Manin relations (6) and (7) have also been established by Goncharov [9, Corollary 2.17], without any assumption on the level N, using a different method.

Remark 1.6. The Manin relations (6) and (7) are consistent with the formula of Kato [10, Thm 2.6] giving the regulator of $z_N = \rho(I)$. The element z_N plays a prominent role in the construction of Kato's Euler system [10, §5].

Remark 1.7. It would be interesting to know whether the elements $\rho(M)$ span the **Q**-vector space $K_2(Y(N)) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$. A related question is to determine whether $K_2(Y(N))$ is generated by the symbols $\{u, v\}$ with $u, v \in \mathcal{O}^*(Y(N))$. Since $K_2(Y(N)) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ is in general not known to be finitedimensional, it is more reasonable to ask whether the Manin relations make up a complete set of relations between the elements $\rho(M)$. A natural way to tackle this problem would be to compute the Beilinson regulator of $\rho(M)$. However, the formula of Kato [10, Thm 2.6] seems to indicate that in general $\rho(G)$ cannot span $K_2(Y(N)) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$.

Proposition 1.8. For any $M \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ the relations (6) hold.

Proof. Let
$$M = \begin{pmatrix} s & t \\ u & v \end{pmatrix}$$
. We have

$$\rho(\varepsilon M) = \{g_{-s,-t}, g_{u,v}\} = \{g_{s,t}, g_{u,v}\} = \rho(M)$$

and $\rho(\sigma M) = \{g_{-u,-v}, g_{s,t}\} = -\{g_{s,t}, g_{u,v}\} = -\rho(M),$

because of the relation $g_{-s,-t} = g_{s,t}$ and the antisymmetry of the Milnor symbol.

The relation (7) can be seen as an analogue of the Manin 3-term relation for modular symbols. The proof of this relation lies deeper, and will be given in the next two sections.

4

2. Weierstrass units

For any $z \in \mathcal{H}$, we let $\wp(z, u)$ be the Weierstrass \wp -function associated to the lattice $\Lambda_z = \mathbf{Z}z + \mathbf{Z} \subset \mathbf{C}$. It is defined for $u \in \mathbf{C} - \Lambda_z$.

Definition 2.1. For any $a = (a_1, a_2) \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2 - \{(0, 0)\}$, let us define

(8)
$$\varphi_a(z) = \varphi\left(z, \frac{\widetilde{a}_1 z + \widetilde{a}_2}{N}\right) \qquad (z \in \mathcal{H}),$$

where $\tilde{a_1}$ and $\tilde{a_2}$ are any representatives of a_1 and a_2 in **Z**.

We use these functions to construct the *Weierstrass units*. This classical construction is undertaken in [12, Chap 2 §6]. We give some details for the sake of completeness.

Theorem ([12]). Let a, b, c, d be four nonzero elements of $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2$ satisfying $a \neq \pm b$ and $c \neq \pm d$. The function

(9)
$$\frac{\wp_a - \wp_b}{\wp_c - \wp_d}$$

defines an element of $\mathcal{O}^*(Y(N))$.

Proof. The function \wp_a is holomorphic on \mathcal{H} and defines a modular form of weight 2 for the group $\Gamma(N)$. For any $z \in \mathcal{H}$, we have $\wp_a(z) = \wp_b(z)$ if and only if $a = \pm b$. Thus $(\wp_a - \wp_b)/(\wp_c - \wp_d)$ is well-defined and does not vanish on \mathcal{H} . The fact that it belongs to $\mathbf{Q}(X(N))$ is a consequence of results of Shimura ([19, §4], [20, Chap 6]). It essentially amounts to express $(\wp_a - \wp_b)/(\wp_c - \wp_d)$ in terms of the x-coordinates of N-torsion points of the universal elliptic curve over Y(N). The fact that (9) is a modular unit is proved in [12, Chap 2 Thm 6.1].

Now we express the Weierstrass units in terms of Siegel units. Once again this is done in [12, Chap 2 §6].

Proposition 2.2. Let a, b, c, d be four nonzero elements of $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2$ satisfying $a \neq \pm b$ and $c \neq \pm d$. Then the following identity holds in $\mathcal{O}^*(Y(N)) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$

(10)
$$\frac{\wp_a - \wp_b}{\wp_c - \wp_d} = \frac{g_{a+b}g_{a-b}}{g_a^2 g_b^2} \cdot \frac{g_c^2 g_d^2}{g_{c+d}g_{c-d}}$$

Proof. We start with the following classical formula from the theory of elliptic functions [13, Chap 18 Thm 2]

(11)
$$\wp(z,u) - \wp(z,v) = -\frac{\sigma(z,u+v)\sigma(z,u-v)}{\sigma^2(z,u)\sigma^2(z,v)} \qquad (z \in \mathcal{H}),$$

where σ refers to the Weierstrass sigma function. For any $(a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, let us define in the same way as (8)

$$\sigma_{a_1,a_2}(z) = \sigma(z, \frac{a_1z + a_2}{N}) \qquad (z \in \mathcal{H}).$$

We write abusively $a = (a_1, a_2)$ and $b = (b_1, b_2)$ for representatives of a and b in \mathbb{Z}^2 . The formula (11) can then be rewritten as

$$\wp_a - \wp_b = -\frac{\sigma_{a+b}\sigma_{a-b}}{\sigma_a^2 \sigma_b^2}.$$

Using the expression of σ as an infinite q-product [13, Chap 18 Thm 4], we get the following formula (compare with [12, p. 29 and 51])

$$\wp_a - \wp_b = (2i\pi)^2 q^{b_1/N} \zeta_N^{b_2} \prod_{n \ge 1} (1 - q^n)^4 \cdot \frac{\gamma(q, a + b)\gamma(q, a - b)}{\gamma^2(q, a)\gamma^2(q, b)}$$

where γ is defined by

$$\gamma(q, a_1, a_2) = \prod_{n \ge 0} (1 - q^n q^{a_1/N} \zeta_N^{a_2}) \cdot \prod_{n \ge 1} (1 - q^n q^{-a_1/N} \zeta_N^{-a_2}).$$

Using the obvious notation for c and d, this gives

$$\frac{\wp_a - \wp_b}{\wp_c - \wp_d} = q^{(b_1 - d_1)/N} \zeta_N^{b_2 - d_2} \frac{\gamma(q, a+b)\gamma(q, a-b)}{\gamma^2(q, a)\gamma^2(q, b)} \cdot \frac{\gamma^2(q, c)\gamma^2(q, d)}{\gamma(q, c+d)\gamma(q, c-d)}$$

Using the expression (2) for Siegel units, we get the equation

$$\frac{\wp_a - \wp_b}{\wp_c - \wp_d} = \zeta_N^{b_2 - d_2} \frac{g_{a+b}g_{a-b}}{g_a^2 g_b^2} \cdot \frac{g_c^2 g_d^2}{g_{c+d}g_{c-d}}$$

It is a priori a relation between q-products, but raising it to an appropriate power yields an equality in $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_N)((q^{1/N}))$ and thus in $\mathcal{O}^*(Y(N))$. Therefore the formula (10) is valid in $\mathcal{O}^*(Y(N)) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$.

3. The three-term relation

Weierstrass units (9) satisfy additive relations. These have already been used by Kubert and Lang to get diophantine results on modular curves [12, Chap 8]. In fact the whole proof of (7) is based on the following simple identity

(12)
$$\frac{\wp_a - \wp_b}{\wp_a - \wp_c} + \frac{\wp_b - \wp_c}{\wp_a - \wp_c} = 1.$$

The relation (12) also has applications to the *S*-unit equation and is connected to the arithmetic of Fermat curves (see the nice introduction of [12, Chap 8] for precise statements and references).

Since the canonical bilinear map $\mathcal{O}^*(Y(N)) \times \mathcal{O}^*(Y(N)) \to K_2(Y(N))$ enjoys Steinberg relations [16, 9.8], the identity (12) implies the following relation in $K_2(Y(N))$

(13)
$$\left\{\frac{\wp_a - \wp_b}{\wp_a - \wp_c}, \frac{\wp_b - \wp_c}{\wp_a - \wp_c}\right\} = 0.$$

Using the expression of Weierstrass units in terms of Siegel units gives linear dependence relations between the elements $\rho(M)$ in $K_2(Y(N)) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$. The main task will be to show that the 3-term relation is a consequence of these relations.

Let a, b, c be three nonzero elements of $(\mathbf{Z}/N\mathbf{Z})^2$ such that $a \neq \pm b, b \neq \pm c$ and $c \neq \pm a$. Using (10) and (13) we have the following identity in $K_2(Y(N)) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$

$$\left\{\frac{g_{a+b}g_{a-b}}{g_a^2 g_b^2} \cdot \frac{g_a^2 g_c^2}{g_{a+c}g_{a-c}}, \frac{g_{b+c}g_{b-c}}{g_b^2 g_c^2} \cdot \frac{g_a^2 g_c^2}{g_{a+c}g_{a-c}}\right\} = 0.$$

Expanding this and using the relation $g_{-a} = g_a$, we get the more symmetric identity

(14)
$$\{g_{a+b}g_{a-b}g_c^2, g_{b+c}g_{b-c}g_a^2\} + \{g_{b+c}g_{b-c}g_a^2, g_{c+a}g_{c-a}g_b^2\} + \{g_{c+a}g_{c-a}g_b^2, g_{a+b}g_{a-b}g_c^2\} = 0.$$

We remark that when a = 0 the relation (14) still makes sense and holds. Similarly it holds in the cases b = 0, c = 0, $a = \pm b$, $b = \pm c$ or $c = \pm a$. Thus (14) is true for any values of $a, b, c \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2$.

We now wish to write (14) as a linear combination of 3-term relations. Let us define $\psi(M) = \rho(M) + \rho(\tau M) + \rho(\tau^2 M)$ for any $M \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$. Let $M = \begin{pmatrix} s & t \\ u & v \end{pmatrix}$. An elementary computation yields

(15)
$$\psi(M) = \{g_{s,t}, g_{u,v}\} + \{g_{u,v}, g_{s-u,t-v}\} + \{g_{s-u,t-v}, g_{s,t}\}.$$

For any two elements a and b of $(\mathbf{Z}/N\mathbf{Z})^2$, let us write $\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}$ for the 2 by 2 matrix with row vectors a and b. Then (15) can be rewritten as

(16)
$$\psi \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = \rho \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} + \rho \begin{pmatrix} b \\ a - b \end{pmatrix} + \rho \begin{pmatrix} a - b \\ a \end{pmatrix}$$

We also have

(17)
$$\psi\begin{pmatrix}a\\-b\end{pmatrix} = \rho\begin{pmatrix}a\\b\end{pmatrix} + \rho\begin{pmatrix}b\\a+b\end{pmatrix} + \rho\begin{pmatrix}a+b\\a\end{pmatrix}.$$

Lemma 3.1. For any $a, b, c \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2$, the left hand side of the relation (14) can be written as

(18)
$$2\psi \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} + 2\psi \begin{pmatrix} a \\ -b \end{pmatrix} + 2\psi \begin{pmatrix} b \\ c \end{pmatrix} + 2\psi \begin{pmatrix} b \\ -c \end{pmatrix} + 2\psi \begin{pmatrix} c \\ a \end{pmatrix} + 2\psi \begin{pmatrix} c \\ -a \end{pmatrix} + \psi \begin{pmatrix} b+a \\ b+c \end{pmatrix} + \psi \begin{pmatrix} b+a \\ b-c \end{pmatrix} + \psi \begin{pmatrix} b-a \\ b+c \end{pmatrix} + \psi \begin{pmatrix} b-a \\ b-c \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. By expanding (14) completely, we obtain

$$\{g_{a+b}, g_{b+c}\} + \{g_{b+c}, g_{c-a}\} + \{g_{c-a}, g_{a+b}\} \\ + \{g_{a+b}, g_{b-c}\} + \{g_{b-c}, g_{c+a}\} + \{g_{c+a}, g_{a+b}\} \\ + 2\{g_{a+b}, g_a\} + 4\{g_a, g_b\} + 2\{g_b, g_{a+b}\} \\ + \{g_{a-b}, g_{b+c}\} + \{g_{b+c}, g_{c+a}\} + \{g_{c+a}, g_{a-b}\} \\ + \{g_{a-b}, g_{b-c}\} + \{g_{b-c}, g_{c-a}\} + \{g_{c-a}, g_{a-b}\} \\ + 2\{g_{a-b}, g_a\} + 2\{g_{b}, g_{a-b}\} \\ + 2\{g_c, g_{b+c}\} + 2\{g_{b+c}, g_b\} + 4\{g_b, g_c\} \\ + 2\{g_c, g_{b-c}\} + 2\{g_{b-c}, g_b\} \\ + 4\{g_c, g_a\} \\ + 2\{g_a, g_{c+a}\} + 2\{g_{c-a}, g_c\} = 0.$$

In most lines of (19) we recognize an expression of type (16) or (17), but there are incomplete terms. We can arrange the picture by splitting the terms with a coefficient 4 and moving them to the right places. This gives exactly (18). \Box

We now make use of the relation (14) with a particular choice of a, b and c. Let us assume that c = a + b. This gives (for any choice of a and b)

$$2\psi \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} + 2\psi \begin{pmatrix} a \\ -b \end{pmatrix} + 2\psi \begin{pmatrix} b \\ a+b \end{pmatrix} + 2\psi \begin{pmatrix} b \\ -a-b \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(20) \qquad \qquad + 2\psi \begin{pmatrix} a+b \\ a \end{pmatrix} + 2\psi \begin{pmatrix} a+b \\ -a \end{pmatrix} + \psi \begin{pmatrix} a+b \\ a+2b \end{pmatrix}$$

$$+ \psi \begin{pmatrix} a+b \\ -a \end{pmatrix} + \psi \begin{pmatrix} -a+b \\ a+2b \end{pmatrix} + \psi \begin{pmatrix} -a+b \\ -a \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

Using the notation $M = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}$ and letting T (resp. T') be the image of $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ (resp. $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$) in G, we can rewrite (20) as

$$2\psi(M) + 2\psi(-\varepsilon M) + 2\psi(-\tau\varepsilon M) + 2\psi(-\tau T^2 M) + 2\psi(\tau^2 \varepsilon M) + 3\psi(\tau^2 T'^2 M) + \psi(-\tau^2 T^2 M) + \psi(\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} M) + \psi(\tau^2 M) = 0.$$

Since $\psi(M) = \psi(-M) = \psi(\tau M)$ for any M, this simplifies to

(21)
$$3\psi(M) + 6\psi(\varepsilon M) + 3\psi(T^2M) + 3\psi(T'^2M) + \psi(\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1\\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} M) = 0.$$

Let us consider the formal linear combination of matrices in $\mathbf{Z}[M_2(\frac{\mathbf{Z}}{N\mathbf{Z}})]$

$$D(M) = 3[M] + 6[\varepsilon M] + 3[T^2M] + 3[T'^2M] + [\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1\\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} M].$$

By assumption, we have det $\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = -3 \in (\frac{\mathbf{z}}{N\mathbf{z}})^*$.

Lemma 3.2. The elements D(M) span $\mathbf{Q}[M_2(\frac{\mathbf{Z}}{N\mathbf{Z}})]$ when M runs through $M_2(\frac{\mathbf{Z}}{N\mathbf{Z}})$.

Proof. We remark that D(M) is congruent mod 3 to the single matrix $\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} M$. Therefore the determinant of the vectors D(M) in the canonical basis of $\mathbf{Z}[M_2(\frac{\mathbf{Z}}{N\mathbf{Z}})]$ is not zero mod 3, and thus a nonzero integer. \Box

Using (21) and Lemma 3.2 gives $\psi(M) = 0$ for any $M \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$, which concludes the proof of Theorem 1.4.

4. VARYING THE MODULAR CURVE

In this section I study special elements in the groups $K_2(X_1(N)) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ and $K_2(X_0(N)) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$, in the case of prime level. In particular, I make explicit the link between the Beilinson-Kato elements and the elements which come up during my PhD thesis [4].

Let us first recall the definition of particular modular units on $X_1(N)$ [5, (95)]. Let $Y_1(N)$ be the modular curve over \mathbf{Q} classifying elliptic curves Ewith a point P of order N, and let $X_1(N)$ be the smooth compactification of $Y_1(N)$. The set of cusps of $X_1(N)(\mathbf{C})$ is identified with $\Gamma_1(N) \setminus \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{Q})$, and with this convention the cusp [0] is defined over \mathbf{Q} . Let $W_N : X_1(N) \to$ $X_1(N)$ be the Atkin-Lehner involution, which is defined over $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_N)$. For any $\lambda \in (\mathbf{Z}/N\mathbf{Z})^*$, the Diamond operator $\langle \lambda \rangle$ associated to λ is defined by $(E, P) \mapsto (E, \lambda P)$. On the complex points of $X_1(N)$ we have $\langle \lambda \rangle [z] = [m_\lambda z]$ where $m_\lambda \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$ is any matrix congruent to $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{-1} & 0\\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \mod N$. **Definition 4.1.** For any $\lambda \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^*$, let $u_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{O}^*(Y_1(N)) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ be the unique modular unit satisfying

(22) $\operatorname{div}(u_{\lambda}) = \langle \lambda \rangle [0] - [0]$ and $u_{\lambda} \circ W_N$ is normalized.

Note that we use the cusp [0] instead of $[\infty]$. It essentially amounts to the same thing, because the two definitions are related by W_N . In [5, Prop 6.1] I show that the element $\{u_{\lambda}, u_{\mu}\}$ belongs to $K_2(X_1(N)) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ for any choice of $\lambda, \mu \in (\mathbf{Z}/N\mathbf{Z})^*$.

From now on, let us suppose that N = p is an odd prime. In [5, §8] I remark that the Beilinson conjecture should imply some linear dependence relations between the elements $\{u_{\lambda}, u_{\mu}\}$. It turns out that these relations can be worked out explicitly and even rigorously proved, as follows.

Let $\overline{B_2} : \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z} \to \mathbf{R}$ be the 1-periodic function obtained from B_2 by defining $\overline{B_2}(\overline{t}) = B_2(t)$ for any $0 \le t \le 1$. For any $u, v \in (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^*$, let us define

(23)
$$\gamma(u,v) = \sum_{\lambda,\mu \in (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^*} \overline{B_2}(\frac{\lambda u}{p}) \overline{B_2}(\frac{\mu v}{p}) \{u_\lambda, u_\mu\} \in K_2(X_1(p)) \otimes \mathbf{Q}.$$

By convention, we put $\gamma(u, v) = 0$ when u = 0 or v = 0.

Theorem 4.2. The elements $\gamma(u, v)$ $(u, v \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ satisfy the following relations

(24)
$$\gamma(u,v) = \gamma(\pm u,v) = \gamma(u,\pm v),$$

(25)
$$\gamma(u,v) + \gamma(v,-u) = 0$$

(26)
$$\gamma(u,v) + \gamma(v,-u-v) + \gamma(-u-v,u) = 0.$$

Proof. Since $\overline{B_2}$ is an even function and $u_{-\lambda} = u_{\lambda}$, we have the relations $\gamma(\pm u, v) = \gamma(u, \pm v) = \gamma(u, v)$. The antisymmetry of the Milnor symbol yields $\gamma(v, u) = -\gamma(u, v)$, which proves (25).

In order to prove the three-term relation (26), we jump to X(p). We have a finite morphism $\pi : Y(p) \to Y_1(p)$ which is defined over \mathbf{Q} , given by $(E, e_1, e_2) \mapsto (E, e_2)$.

Let $\mathcal{M}(p)$ be the field of meromorphic functions on the compactification of $\Gamma(p)\setminus\mathcal{H}$. It is a Galois extension of $\mathbf{C}(j)$ with Galois group $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})/\pm 1$. We say that a function $f \in \mathbf{C}((q^{1/n}))^*$ (for some $n \ge 1$) is normalized when the leading coefficient of its q-expansion is one. This definition extends naturally to $\mathbf{C}((q^{1/n}))^* \otimes \mathbf{Q}$. Two functions $f, g \in \mathcal{M}(p)^*$ coincide if and only if their divisors are equal and f/g is normalized. Since we have an inclusion $\mathcal{O}^*(Y(p)) \subset \mathcal{M}(p)^*$, we will apply this principle to check equality between modular units in $\mathcal{O}^*(Y(p)) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$.

The set of cusps of $\Gamma(p) \setminus \mathcal{H}$ is identified with $\Gamma(p) \setminus \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{Q})$, and the restriction of π to the cusps is the natural projection $\Gamma(p) \setminus \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{Q}) \to \Gamma_1(p) \setminus \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{Q})$. The inverse image of a cusp [x] by π is given by

$$\pi^*[x] = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} [x+k] \qquad (x \in \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{Q})).$$

The set of cusps $\Gamma(p) \setminus \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{Q})$ can be identified with the set of nonzero column vectors of $(\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^2$ quotiented by ± 1 , the bijection being induced by $[a/c] \in \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{Q}) \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} \overline{a} \\ \overline{c} \end{bmatrix}$ for any two relatively prime integers a and c. We now consider $\pi^* u_\lambda \in \mathcal{O}^*(Y(p)) \otimes \mathbf{Q} \subset \mathcal{M}(p)^* \otimes \mathbf{Q}$. Its divisor is given by

(27)
$$\operatorname{div} \pi^* u_{\lambda} = \pi^* \operatorname{div} u_{\lambda} = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} [\langle \lambda \rangle 0 + k] - [k] = \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}} \begin{bmatrix} k\\ \lambda \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} k\\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

On the other hand, the order of the Siegel unit $g_{\alpha,\beta}$ at the cusp $[\infty]$ can be deduced from the *q*-product (2). Since $q^{1/p}$ is a uniformizing parameter at $[\infty]$, we have

$$\operatorname{ord}_{[\infty]} g_{\alpha,\beta} = \frac{p}{2} \overline{B_2}(\frac{\alpha}{p}) \qquad (\alpha,\beta) \neq (0,0).$$

Using the transformation formula (4), we deduce the order of $g_{\alpha,\beta}$ at any cusp :

$$\operatorname{ord}_{\left[\frac{a}{c}\right]}g_{\alpha,\beta} = \frac{p}{2}\overline{B_2}\left(\frac{\alpha\overline{a}+\beta\overline{c}}{p}\right) \qquad (\alpha,\beta) \neq (0,0).$$

A straightforward computation gives

(28)
$$\operatorname{div} g_{0,\beta} = \frac{p}{4} \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^* \\ k \in \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}}} \overline{B_2}(\frac{\beta\lambda}{p}) \begin{bmatrix} k \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix} + \frac{p}{24} \sum_{k \in (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^*} \begin{bmatrix} k \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \qquad (\beta \neq 0).$$

From (27) and (28), it follows that the divisor

div
$$g_{0,\beta} - \frac{p}{4} \sum_{\lambda \in (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^*} \overline{B_2}(\frac{\beta\lambda}{p}) \operatorname{div} \pi^* u_{\lambda}$$

does not depend on $\beta \in (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^*$. Moreover, we have

$$g_{0,\beta}(-\frac{1}{pz}) = g_{\beta,0}(pz)$$
 in $\mathbf{C}^* \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ $(z \in \mathcal{H}).$

and $g_{\beta,0}(pz)$ is a normalized function. Since each $u_{\lambda} \circ W_p$ is normalized, we can write

$$g_{0,\beta} = h \cdot \prod_{\lambda \in (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^*} \pi^* u_\lambda \otimes \left(\frac{p}{4}\overline{B_2}(\frac{\beta\lambda}{p})\right)$$

where $h \in \mathcal{O}^*(Y(p)) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ is well-defined and independent of β . We then have

(29)
$$\left\{\frac{g_{0,u}}{h}, \frac{g_{0,v}}{h}\right\} = \frac{p^2}{16}\pi^*\gamma(u,v) \qquad (u,v \in (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^*).$$

We are now ready to prove (26). Since the map $\pi^* : K_2(Y_1(p)) \otimes \mathbf{Q} \to K_2(Y(p)) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ is injective, it suffices to work in the latter vector space. The cases u = 0, v = 0 and u + v = 0 are easily treated. In the general case, we write

(30)
$$\left\{\frac{g_{0,u}}{h}, \frac{g_{0,v}}{h}\right\} = \left\{g_{0,u}, g_{0,v}\right\} + \left\{h, \frac{g_{0,u}}{g_{0,v}}\right\}.$$

Thanks to Theorem 1.4, we already know that $(u, v) \mapsto \{g_{0,u}, g_{0,v}\}$ satisfies the three-term relation. Since $\{h, \frac{g_{0,u}}{g_{0,v}}\}$ is a "boundary element", we get the desired result.

Remark 4.3. In general, the relations (24), (25) and (26) between the elements $\gamma(u, v)$ do not make up a complete set of relations. It can be seen by working out the case p = 5 explicitly. In that case $X_1(p)$ is isomorphic to \mathbf{P}^1 over \mathbf{Q} and $K_2(X_1(p)) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ is known to be 0. In the general case however, if we average under the action of Diamond operators (see below), we can produce special elements in $K_2(X_0(p)) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ together with a full set of relations.

A theorem of Schappacher and Scholl [18, 1.1.2 (iii)] implies that $\gamma(u, v)$ belongs to the integral subsapce $K_2(X_1(p))_{\mathbf{Z}} \otimes \mathbf{Q}$, and we can ask about the span of the elements $\gamma(u, v)$. Let

(31)
$$r_p: K_2(X_1(p))_{\mathbf{Z}} \otimes \mathbf{Q} \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\Omega^1(X_1(p)), \mathbf{R})$$

be the Beilinson regulator map, as defined in $[5, \S1]$.

Theorem 4.4. The Beilinson conjecture for $L(h^1(X_1(p)), 2)$ implies that $K_2(X_1(p))_{\mathbf{Z}} \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ is generated by the elements $\gamma(u, v)$, with $u, v \in (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^*$.

Proof. Beilinson's conjecture predicts that r_p is injective and that its image is a **Q**-structure of the target vector space. We already know that Beilinson's conjecture implies that $K_2(X_1(p))_{\mathbf{Z}} \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ is generated by the elements $\{u_{\lambda}, u_{\mu}\}$ [5, §8]. It is sufficient to show that each $\{u_{\lambda}, u_{\mu}\}$ is a **Q**-linear combination of the elements $\gamma(u, v)$. Let us consider

$$\theta = \sum_{\lambda \in (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^*} \overline{B_2}(\frac{\lambda}{p})[\lambda] \in \mathbf{Q}[(\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^*/\pm 1]$$

For every even Dirichlet character $\chi : (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^* \to \mathbf{C}^*$, we have

(32)
$$\chi(\theta) = \sum_{\lambda \in (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^*} \overline{B_2}(\frac{\lambda}{p})\chi(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \frac{1-p}{6p} & (\chi = 1)\\ \frac{\tau(\chi)}{\pi^2}L(\chi, 2) & (\chi \neq 1) \end{cases}$$

where $\tau(\chi) = \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \chi(a) e^{2ia\pi/p}$ is the Gauß sum of χ . But for any character χ , we have $L(\chi, 2) \neq 0$, so that θ is invertible in the group algebra $\mathbf{Q}[(\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^*/\pm 1]$.

We finally investigate the group $K_2(X_0(p)) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$. The natural morphism $X_1(p) \to X_0(p)$ identifies $K_2(X_0(p)) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ with the fixed part of $K_2(X_1(p)) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ under the Diamond operators.

Definition 4.5. For any $x \in (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^*$, let

(33)
$$\gamma_0(x) = \sum_{u \in (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^*} \gamma(u, ux)$$

Besides, we define $\gamma_0(0) = \gamma_0(\infty) = 0$.

Lemma 4.6. For any $x \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$, we have $\gamma_0(x) \in K_2(X_0(p)) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.

Proof. It suffices to prove that $\pi^* \gamma_0(x)$ is invariant under any matrix $t = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})$. Because of (4), we have $g_{0,\beta}|t = g_{0,d\beta}$. Using (29), we remark that

$$\frac{p^2}{16}\pi^*\gamma_0(x) = \sum_{u \in (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^*} \{\frac{g_{0,u}}{h}, \frac{g_{0,ux}}{h}\}$$
$$= \sum_{u \in (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^*} \{g_{0,u}, g_{0,ux}\}$$

which is clearly invariant under t.

Remark 4.7. The element $\gamma_0(x) \in K_2(X_0(p)) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ is defined only implicitly. By this I mean that the actual definition uses Milnor symbols with functions on $X_1(p)$, and not on $X_0(p)$, which only contains two cusps. It is possible to rewrite $\gamma_0(x)$ as follows

(34)
$$\gamma_0(x) = \sum_{u \in (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^*} \sum_{\lambda,\mu \in (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^*} \overline{B_2}(\frac{\lambda u}{p}) \overline{B_2}(\frac{\mu ux}{p}) \{u_\lambda, u_\mu\} = \sum_{\nu \in (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^*} \left(\sum_{u \in (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^*} \overline{B_2}(\frac{u}{p}) \overline{B_2}(\frac{u\nu x}{p})\right) \left(\sum_{\lambda \in (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^*} \{u_\lambda, u_{\lambda\nu}\}\right).$$

In (34), each sum over λ already lies in $K_2(X_0(p)) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$. Moreover, we recognize the sum over u to be a Dedekind sum.

For any $x \in \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})$, let $\xi(x) \in H_1(X_0(p)(\mathbf{C}), \text{cusps}, \mathbf{Z})$ be the modular symbol $\{g_x 0, g_x \infty\}$ where $g_x = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$ is any matrix satisfying $x = c/d \mod p$. Let $\xi^{\pm}(x) = \frac{1}{2}(\xi(x) + \xi(-x))$. For any cusp form $f \in S_2(\Gamma_1(p))$, we define $\xi_f(x) = \int_{\xi(x)} \omega_f$ and $\xi_f^{\pm}(x) = \int_{\xi^{\pm}(x)} \omega_f$ where $\omega_f = 2i\pi f(z)dz$.

Theorem 4.8. (1) For any newform $f \in S_2(\Gamma_0(p))$, we have

(35)
$$\langle r_p(\gamma_0(x)), f \rangle = \frac{8(p-1)}{p\pi} L(f,2)\xi_f^+(x) \qquad (x \in (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^*).$$

(2) For any $x \in \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})$, the following relations hold

(36)

$$\gamma_0(x) = \gamma_0(-x)$$

$$\gamma_0(x) + \gamma_0(-1/x) = 0$$

$$\gamma_0(x) + \gamma_0(-\frac{1}{x-1}) + \gamma_0(1-\frac{1}{x}) = 0.$$

(3) The equations (36) make up a complete set of relations for the elements $\gamma_0(x)$.

Proof. The point (1) will be a consequence of the explicit computation of Beilinson's regulator for the modular curve $X_1(p)$ [5, Thm 1.1]. Let X be the set of even non-trivial characters of $(\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^*$. For any $\chi \in X$, we define a modular unit $u_{\chi} \in \mathcal{O}^*(Y_1(p)) \otimes \mathbf{C}$ by

(37)
$$u_{\chi} = \prod_{\lambda \in (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^*} u_{\lambda} \otimes \left(-\frac{L(\chi, 2)\overline{\chi}(\lambda)}{2\pi^2}\right).$$

Now let us compute the following element in $K_2(X_1(p)) \otimes \mathbf{C}$

$$\gamma_x = \sum_{\chi \in X} \chi(x) \{ u_\chi, u_{\overline{\chi}} \} \qquad (x \in (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^*).$$

Using (37) gives

(38)
$$\gamma_x = \frac{1}{4\pi^4} \sum_{\lambda,\mu \in (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^*} \left(\sum_{\chi \in X} \chi(\frac{x\mu}{\lambda}) L(\chi,2) L(\overline{\chi},2) \right) \{u_\lambda, u_\mu\}.$$

The inner sum can be computed using the formula (32), which gives

14

(39)
$$\frac{\pi^4(p-1)}{2p} \sum_{\substack{\alpha,\beta \in (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^* \\ \alpha x \mu = \pm \beta \lambda}} \overline{B_2}(\frac{\alpha}{p}) \overline{B_2}(\frac{\beta}{p}) - \frac{\pi^4}{p} \sum_{\substack{\alpha,\beta \in (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^* \\ \alpha,\beta \in (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^*}} \overline{B_2}(\frac{\alpha}{p}) \overline{B_2}(\frac{\beta}{p}).$$

The second term of (39) contributes to zero in (38) by antisymmetry of the Milnor symbol. Finally, we get

$$\gamma_x = \frac{p-1}{4p} \sum_{\substack{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\mu \in (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^* \\ \alpha x \mu = \beta\lambda}} \overline{B_2}(\frac{\alpha}{p}) \overline{B_2}(\frac{\beta}{p}) \{u_\lambda, u_\mu\} = \frac{p-1}{4p} \gamma_0(x).$$

In order to use [5, Thm 1.1], we have to take care of the Atkin-Lehner involution W_p . Let w(f) be the W_p -eigenvalue of f. We let temporarily \tilde{u}_{χ} (resp. \tilde{u}_{λ}) be the modular unit defined in [5, (5)] (resp. in [5, (95)]). We have $u_{\lambda}|W_p = \tilde{u}_{\lambda^{-1}}$ and for any $\chi \in X$

$$\{u_{\chi}, u_{\overline{\chi}}\}|W_{p} = \frac{L(\chi, 2)L(\overline{\chi}, 2)}{4\pi^{4}} \sum_{\lambda, \mu \in (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^{*}} \overline{\chi}(\lambda)\chi(\mu)\{u_{\lambda}, u_{\mu}\}|W_{p}$$
$$= \frac{L(\chi, 2)L(\overline{\chi}, 2)}{4\pi^{4}} \sum_{\lambda, \mu \in (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^{*}} \chi(\lambda/\mu)\{\widetilde{u}_{\lambda}, \widetilde{u}_{\mu}\}$$
$$= \{\widetilde{u}_{\overline{\chi}}, \widetilde{u}_{\chi}\}$$

because of [5, Prop 5.4]. Let $f \in S_2(\Gamma_0(p))$ be a newform and w(f) be the W_p -eigenvalue of f. Using [5, Thm 1.1], we have

$$\langle r_p(\{u_{\chi}, u_{\overline{\chi}}\}), f \rangle = \langle r_p(\{u_{\chi}, u_{\overline{\chi}}\}|W_p), W_p f \rangle$$

= $w(f) \langle r_p(\{\widetilde{u}_{\chi}, \widetilde{u}_{\overline{\chi}}\}), f \rangle$
= $\frac{2(p-1)w(f)}{p\pi\tau(\chi)} L(f, 2)L(f, \chi, 1).$

A classical computation [14] yields

$$L(f,\chi,1) = -\frac{w(f)\tau(\chi)}{p} \sum_{a \in (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^*} \chi(a)\xi_f^+(a) \qquad (\chi \in X).$$

By taking the sum over characters χ , we obtain

$$\langle r_p(\gamma_x), f \rangle = \frac{2(p-1)^2}{p^2 \pi} L(f,2) \xi_f^+(x).$$

This proves (35).

The relations (36) are an easy consequence of Theorem 4.2 and the definition (33) of $\gamma_0(x)$. Note that they are consistent with the regulator formula (35).

Finally, for the point (3), let $\tilde{\gamma}_0$ be the map

$$\widetilde{\gamma_0} : \mathbf{Q}[(\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^*] \to K_2(X_0(p)) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$$

 $[x] \mapsto \gamma_0(x).$

Let R be the kernel of $\tilde{\gamma_0}$. We wish to show that R is generated by the relations (36). For this we use the theory of Manin symbols. For any $x \in (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^*$, the cycle $\xi(x)$ has trivial boundary. Thus we have a map

$$\xi^+$$
: $\mathbf{Q}[(\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^*] \to H_1^+(X_0(p)(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{Q}).$

Manin's theorem implies that the kernel of ξ^+ is generated by the relations (36), so that ker $\xi^+ \subset R$. In order to prove the reverse inclusion, it suffices to consider the dimensions. Let $g(X_0(p))$ be the genus of $X_0(p)$. From (35) we know that the image of $\tilde{\gamma}_0$ has dimension at least $g(X_0(p))$. Manin's theorem implies that the dimension of the image of ξ^+ is precisely $g(X_0(p))$ (the element $\xi(0) = \{0, \infty\} = -\xi(\infty)$ has non-trivial boundary). We conclude that dim $R \leq \dim \ker \xi^+$, so that R is generated by (36).

References

- S. J. Bloch. Higher regulators, algebraic K-theory, and zeta functions of elliptic curves, volume 11 of CRM Monograph Series. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000.
- [2] L. A. Borisov and P. E. Gunnells. Toric modular forms and nonvanishing of Lfunctions. J. Reine Angew. Math., 539:149–165, 2001.
- [3] L. A. Borisov and P. E. Gunnells. Toric modular forms of higher weight. J. Reine Angew. Math., 560:43–64, 2003.
- [4] F. Brunault. Étude de la valeur en s = 2 de la fonction L d'une courbe elliptique. PhD thesis, Université Paris 7, décembre 2005. arXiv:math/0602186v1.
- [5] F. Brunault. Valeur en 2 de fonctions L de formes modulaires de poids 2 : théorème de Beilinson explicite. Bull. Soc. Math. France, 135(2):215–246, 2007.
- [6] P. Colmez. La conjecture de Birch et Swinnerton-Dyer p-adique. Astérisque, 294:251– 319, 2004.
- [7] P. Deligne and M. Rapoport. Les schémas de modules de courbes elliptiques. In Modular functions of one variable, II (Proc. Internat. Summer School, Univ. Antwerp, Antwerp, 1972), pages 143–316. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 349. Springer, Berlin, 1973.
- [8] T. Dokchitser, R. de Jeu, and D. Zagier. Numerical verification of Beilinson's conjecture for K₂ of hyperelliptic curves. *Comp. Math.*, 142(2):339–373, 2006.
- [9] A. B. Goncharov. Euler complexes and geometry of modular varieties. Geom. Funct. Anal., 17(6):1872–1914, 2008. Special volume dedicated to J. Bernstein.
- [10] K. Kato. p-adic Hodge theory and values of zeta functions of modular forms. Astérisque, 295:117–290, 2004. Cohomologies p-adiques et applications arithmétiques (III).
- [11] N. M. Katz and B. Mazur. Arithmetic moduli of elliptic curves, volume 108 of Annals of Mathematics Studies. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1985.
- [12] D. S. Kubert and S. Lang. Modular units, volume 244 of Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Science]. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1981.
- [13] S. Lang. *Elliptic functions*, volume 112 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1987. With an appendix by J. Tate.

- [14] Y. I. Manin. Parabolic points and zeta functions of modular curves. Math. USSR Izvestija, 6(1):19-64, 1972.
- [15] L. Merel. Universal Fourier expansions of modular forms. In On Artin's conjecture for odd 2-dimensional representations, volume 1585 of Lecture Notes in Math., pages 59–94. Springer, Berlin, 1994.
- [16] J. Milnor. Introduction to algebraic K-theory. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1971. Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 72.
- [17] V. Paşol. A Modular Symbol with Values in Cusp Forms. arXiv:math/0611704v1, November 2006.
- [18] N. Schappacher and A. J. Scholl. Beilinson's theorem on modular curves. In *Beilinson's conjectures on special values of L-functions*, volume 4 of *Perspect. Math.*, pages 273–304. Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1988.
- [19] G. Shimura. Correspondances modulaires et les fonctions ζ de courbes algébriques. J. Math. Soc. Japan, 10:1–28, 1958.
- [20] G. Shimura. Introduction to the arithmetic theory of automorphic functions, volume 11 of Publications of the Mathematical Society of Japan. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1994. Reprint of the 1971 original, Kano Memorial Lectures, 1.

E-mail address: brunault@umpa.ens-lyon.fr *URL*: http://www.umpa.ens-lyon.fr/~brunault/

UNIVERSITÉ DE LYON, E.N.S. LYON, U.M.P.A., 46 ALLÉE D'ITALIE, F - 69007, FRANCE