On Orbit Equivalence of Measure Preserving Actions ¹

Damien GABORIAU

Unité de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées (UMPA), Unité Mixte de Recherche CNRS 5669 46, allée d'Italie 69364 Lyon cedex 07, France damien.gaboriau@umpa.ens-lyon.fr http://www.umpa.ens-lyon.fr/~gaboriau/

Abstract

We give a brief survey of some classification results on orbit equivalence of probability measure preserving countable group actions. The notion of ℓ^2 Betti numbers for groups is gently introduced. An account of orbit equivalence invariance for ℓ^2 Betti numbers is presented together with a description of the theory of equivalence relation actions on simplicial complexes. We relate orbit equivalence to a measure theoretic analogue of commensurability and quasi-isometry of groups : measure equivalence. Rather than a complete description of these subjects, a lot of examples are provided.

1 Equivalence Relations

1.1 Equivalence Relation defined by an action

Let (X, μ) be a standard Borel space, where μ is a probability measure without atoms. Remember that it is Borel isomorphic to the unit interval of the reals, with Lebesgue measure.

Let Γ be a countable group and α an action of Γ on (X, μ) by μ -preserving Borel automorphisms. Consider the *orbit equivalence relation* on X:

$$\mathcal{R}_{\alpha} = \{ (x, \gamma . x) : x \in X, \ \gamma \in \Gamma \}.$$

As a subset of $X \times X$, this equivalence relation is just the union of the graphs of the $\gamma \in \Gamma$. In this measured context, null sets are neglected. Thus the action is *free* if the only element of Γ with a fixed-point set of positive measure is the identity element.

Examples 1.1 The first examples to keep in mind are the following:

 $^{^1{\}rm To}$ appear in "Rigidity in Dynamics and Geometry", Proceedings of the workshops at the Newton Institute in Cambridge, January and March 2000. Springer.

- 1. The action of \mathbb{Z}^n on the circle \mathbb{S}^1 by rationally independent rotations (belongs to the next two families). This gives an idea of the wildness of the quotient spaces $\Gamma \setminus X$.
- 2. Free volume-preserving group actions on finite volume manifolds.
- 3. A compact group K, its Haar measure μ and the action of a countable subgroup Γ by left multiplication on K.
- 4. The shift action of Γ on the space $X = \{0, 1\}^{\Gamma}$ of sequences of 0, 1 indexed by Γ , with any invariant probability measure, for example the product of equiprobability on $\{0, 1\}$. The action is free when Γ is infinite. Thus, every countable group admits at least one free probability measure preserving (p.m.p.) action.

Question 1 Which properties of the group Γ are determined by the orbit equivalence relation \mathcal{R}_{Γ} ?

1.2 Standard Countable Borel Equivalence Relations

The orbit equivalence relation $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_{\alpha}$ enjoys the following properties:

- 1. the equivalence classes (or *orbits*) of \mathcal{R} are countable
- 2. \mathcal{R} is a Borel subset of $X \times X$
- 3. every partial isomorphism $\varphi : A \to B$ whose graph is contained in \mathcal{R} preserves the measure (\mathcal{R} preserves μ).

A partial isomorphism $\varphi : A \to B$ is a Borel isomorphism between two Borel subsets A and B of X. Observe that if its graph $\{(x, \varphi(x)) : x \in A\}$ is contained in \mathcal{R} then A admits a partition $A = \coprod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} A_{\gamma}$ where $x \in A_{\gamma} \Rightarrow \varphi(x) = \alpha(\gamma)(x)$ (replaced soon by the notation $\gamma . x$). The third item is now obvious.

A countable standard measure preserving equivalence relation \mathcal{R} on (X, μ) is an equivalence relation that satisfies items 1–3. This more general notion was introduced by J. Feldman and C. Moore who immediately showed [FM77a, th. 1] that every such equivalence relation is in fact the orbit relation of some group Γ acting by μ -preserving Borel automorphisms of X. The question of finding a freely acting Γ remained open until A. Furman's work [Fur99b, th. D], exhibiting a lot of examples where it is impossible.

Examples 1.2 There are at least two kinds of examples – where an underlying Γ is not obvious – for introducing this generalization:

- 1. Let $Y \subset X$ be a Borel subset which meets all orbits of \mathcal{R} . The induced equivalence relation $\mathcal{R}_Y := \mathcal{R} \cap Y \times Y$ on Y, whose classes are restrictions of classes to Y, preserves the normalized probability-measure $\mu_Y = \mu/\mu(Y)$.
- 2. When looking at a minimal measured lamination, choose a total transversal X of finite measure. The holonomy pseudogroup gives rise to such a general equivalence relation on X, with the normalized (to a probability measure) transverse measure. It is generated by the "return maps". Two points of the transversal are in the same class iff they belong to the same leaf of the lamination.

1.3 Orbit Equivalence

Definition 1.3 Two such actions α_i of groups Γ_i on (X_i, μ_i) , i = 1, 2 are said to be Orbit Equivalent (OE) if they define the same equivalence relation, *i.e.* if there exists a Borel isomorphism $f : X_1 \to X_2$ such that $f_*(\mu_1) = \mu_2$ and for μ_1 almost all $x \in X_1$,

$$f(\Gamma_1.x) = \Gamma_2.f(x).$$

An orbit equivalence between free actions gives rise to a cocycle (see R. Feres' contribution to the present volume [Fer01]) $\sigma : \Gamma_1 \times X_1 \to \Gamma_2$, where $\sigma(\gamma, x)$ is the unique element $\lambda \in \Gamma_2$ s.t. $\lambda . x = \gamma . x$. The cocycle identity is easily checked: $\sigma(\gamma_1 \gamma_2, x) = \sigma(\gamma_1, \gamma_2. x) \sigma(\gamma_2, x)$.

The coarseness of this notion may be really distressing for certain ergodic theorists: H. Dye showed that (for $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_2 = \mathbb{Z}$) any two ergodic probability measure preserving \mathbb{Z} -actions are orbitally equivalent [Dye59, th. 1 p. 143 and th. 5 p. 154]. A. Vershik obtained the same result about at the same time.

Later on, in [Dye63, th. 1, p. 560], Dye showed the same result for any group Γ of polynomial growth or Γ infinite abelian: any free ergodic probability measure preserving Γ -action is orbitally equivalent to an ergodic \mathbb{Z} -action. All these actions thus define THE same equivalence relation \mathcal{R}_a . The sadness of those people I just mentioned is far from decreasing.

R. Zimmer [Zim78] introduced the notion for an equivalence relation to be amenable and showed, in particular, that if Γ has a free p.m.p. action which is orbitally equivalent to a \mathbb{Z} -action then Γ is amenable. The natural conjecture was that any p.m.p. ergodic action of an amenable group is orbitally equivalent to THE relation \mathcal{R}_a , and this was proved by Ornstein-Weiss [OW80], and by Connes-Feldman-Weiss [CFW81], in the context of general non-singular (rather than p.m.p.) amenable equivalence relations.

This p.m.p. equivalence relation \mathcal{R}_a is characterized as ergodic and *hyperfinite*: it is an increasing union of equivalence relations with finite classes.

Recall that abelian, nilpotent, solvable groups are amenable groups. By contrast, the free groups \mathbf{F}_n , $n \geq 2$ are not, as well as any group containing \mathbf{F}_2 , and it's not easy to produce a non amenable group not containing \mathbf{F}_2 .

1.4 Stable Orbit Equivalence

It's important to realize that when the classes are infinite (in all non trivial situations), the equivalence relation doesn't admit any *Borel fundamental domain*, i.e. a Borel subset $D \subset X$ that meets once and only once the orbit of μ -almost all $x \in X$. In words it is not possible to measurably pick one point in each orbit, or the space of orbits is ugly. This is obvious in the free actions context, where the iterates γ . D of a hypothetical fundamental domain would furnish a partition of almost all of X (finite measure) with infinitely many equal-measure pieces. And it is almost that obvious in general.

Nevertheless, the following notion gives a sense to the idea of two equivalence relations \mathcal{R}_1 and \mathcal{R}_2 having the "same space of orbits": First, if a Borel subset

 $Y \subset X$ meets almost all orbits of \mathcal{R} , the induced equivalence relation \mathcal{R}_Y (example 1.2.(1)) would have the same space of orbits as \mathcal{R} . Now comes the:

Definition 1.4 Two equivalence relations \mathcal{R}_1 and \mathcal{R}_2 on (X_i, μ_i) , i = 1, 2 are said to be Stably Orbit Equivalent (SOE) if there exist Borel subsets $Y_i \subset X_i$, i = 1, 2 which meet almost all orbits of \mathcal{R}_i on which the induced equivalence relations are the same: $\mathcal{R}_{1Y_1} \simeq \mathcal{R}_{2Y_2}$, by an isomorphism $f : Y_1 \to Y_2$ which preserves the normalized measures $f_*(\mu_1/\mu_1(Y_1)) = \mu_2/\mu_2(Y_2)$. The number $i(\mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}_2) = \mu_2(Y_2)/\mu_1(Y_1)$ is called the index of \mathcal{R}_2 in \mathcal{R}_1 (given by this SOE).

In example 1.2.(2), choosing various transversal leads to SOE equivalence relations. Notice that for a given \mathcal{R} , the set of possible $i(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R})$ forms a subgroup of \mathbb{R}^*_+ , called its *fundamental group*.

Remark 1.5 Why is it called *stable*? The *countable stabilization* of an equivalence relation (X, μ, \mathcal{R}) is the equivalence relation \mathcal{R}' on the space $(X \times C, \mu')$, where C is any infinite discrete countable set and $\mu' = \mu \times \text{counting measure}$, defined by $(x_1, c_1) \sim (x_2, c_2)$ iff $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathcal{R}$. It can be shown that SOE equivalence relations \mathcal{R}_1 and \mathcal{R}_2 have isomorphic countable stabilizations. More precisely, there exists a Borel isomorphism $X_1 \times C_1 \xrightarrow{f} X_2 \times C_2$ sending μ'_1 to $\lambda \cdot \mu'_2$, with $\lambda^{-1} = i(\mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}_2)$, and sending almost every \mathcal{R}'_1 -class onto an \mathcal{R}'_2 -class.

We refer to [FM77a], [Moo82], [Sch87] and [Zim84] for more material on this section.

2 Measure Equivalence

Stable orbit equivalence must be related to another notion introduced by M. Gromov and developed by A. Furman [Fur99a]: Measure Equivalence (ME) between countable groups which is a measure theoretical analog of quasi-isometry.

Criterion for quasi-isometry. [Gro93, 0.2.C'₂] Two finitely generated groups Γ_1 and Γ_2 are *quasi-isometric* (QI) iff there exist commuting, continuous actions of Γ_1 and Γ_2 on some locally compact space M, such that the action of each of the groups is properly discontinuous and has a *compact* fundamental domain.

Similarly:

Definition 2.1 [Gro93, 0.5.E] Two countable groups Γ_1 and Γ_2 are Measurably Equivalent (ME) iff there exist commuting, measure preserving, free actions of Γ_1 and Γ_2 on some Lebesgue measure space (Ω, m) such that the action of each of the groups admits a finite measure fundamental domain $(D_i \text{ for } \Gamma_i)$. In this case, we say that (Ω, m) (together with the actions) is a coupling between Γ_1 and Γ_2 and that Γ_1 is ME to Γ_2 with index $i_{\Omega}(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2) = m(D_2)/m(D_1)$.

Examples 2.2

- (1) The basic example of QI groups are *cocompact* lattices in the same locally compact second countable group G (applying the criterion to M = G and Γ_1 and Γ_2 acting by left and right multiplication).
- (2) The basic example of ME groups are general lattices Γ_1 and Γ_2 in the same locally compact second countable group G. Existence of a lattice (= a discrete, finite covolume subgroup) forces G to be unimodular (The modulus $\lambda(g)$ of an element $g \in G$ is the number by which the left Haar measure is multiplied when g acts from the right on G as well as on $\Gamma_1 \setminus G$): Haar measure is invariant under the commuting actions by left and right multiplication of Γ_1 and Γ_2 .

Thus, (G, Haar) gives a measure equivalence between Γ_1 and Γ_2 which are ME with index $i_G(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2) = \text{Vol}(G/\Gamma_2)/\text{Vol}(\Gamma_1 \setminus G)$.

(3) Notice that the definition also makes sense when $\Gamma_1 \simeq \Gamma_2$ and that the values $i_{\Omega}(\Gamma, \Gamma)$ for various couplings may *a priori* assume any number in \mathbb{R}^*_+ , as shown by the example of $\Gamma_1 = \mathbb{Z}$, $\Gamma_2 = \alpha \mathbb{Z}$ acting on \mathbb{R} by translations which (produces the value $i_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) = |\alpha|$) (see sect. 2.2).

2.1 Comparison SOE-ME

ME is an equivalence relation on countable groups and is strongly related to SOE (as was already noticed by A. Furman [Fur99b]).

Theorem 2.3 Groups Γ_1 and Γ_2 are measurably equivalent (with index i) iff they admit SOE free actions (with index i).

Proof: Suppose, that the free actions \mathcal{R}_{Γ_i} , i = 1, 2 are in fact orbit equivalent (via a measure preserving isomorphism $f: X_1 \to X_2$, def. 1.3). Then one gets an identification of the equivalence relations $\mathcal{R}_{\Gamma_1} = \mathcal{R}_{\Gamma_2}$, as subsets of $X_1 \times X_2$. The product free action on $X_1 \times X_2$ of the group $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$ (by $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2).(x, y) =$ $(\gamma_1.x, \gamma_2.x)$) restricts to an action on $\mathcal{R}_{\Gamma_1} = \mathcal{R}_{\Gamma_2}$, which preserves the natural measure ν (cf. ex. 5.1). Each group Γ_i admits as finite measure fundamental domain: the diagonal of the relation $\{(x_1, x_2) \in X_1 \times X_2; f(x_1) = x_2\}$. We have constructed the desired coupling.

Given now SOE free actions \mathcal{R}_{Γ_i} , i = 1, 2. The products $X_1 \times \mathbb{N} \sim X_2 \times \mathbb{N}$ are isomorphic by a scaling measure isomorphism f, which allows the identification of the countable stabilizations $\mathcal{R}'_1 = \mathcal{R}'_2 := \mathcal{R}'$ as a subset of $(X_1 \times \mathbb{N}) \times$ $(X_2 \times \mathbb{N})$ (cf. remark 1.5). The two possible measures on it are proportional: choose, say, that given by \mathcal{R}'_2 , denoted by ν . The same as above may now be done on the intersection $\mathcal{R}' \cap (X_1 \times \{0\}) \times (X_2 \times \{0\})$ leading to a free action of $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$. Any Borel section of $(X_2 \times \{0\})$ is a fundamental domain for the Γ_1 -action (resp. $(X_1 \times \{0\})$ for Γ_2). Their respective ν -measures are 1 and $i(\mathcal{R}_{\Gamma_1}, \mathcal{R}_{\Gamma_2})$.

Conversely, start from a coupling (Ω, m) and finite measure fundamental domains $D_i \subset \Omega$ for Γ_i , i = 1, 2. By commutativity, one gets (extending the left-right notations of example 2.2 to the commuting actions) an action of Γ_1 on $\Omega/\Gamma_2 \simeq D_2$ and an action of Γ_2 on $\Gamma_1 \setminus \Omega \simeq D_1$. These actions are SOE: The corresponding equivalence relations \mathcal{R}_{Γ_1} and \mathcal{R}_{Γ_2} have countable stabilizations on $\Omega = \Omega/\Gamma_2 \times \Gamma_2$ and on $\Omega = \Gamma_1 \setminus \Omega \times \Gamma_1$ that both identify to the orbit equivalence relation of the $(\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2)$ -action on Ω .

Recall that the measure must be normalized on Ω/Γ_2 and $\Gamma_1 \setminus \Omega$. The SOE map $(f = id : \Omega \to \Omega)$ sends $\frac{m}{m(D_2)}$ to $\lambda \cdot \frac{m}{m(D_1)}$, where $\lambda = \frac{m(D_1)}{m(D_2)} = i_{\Omega}^{-1}$. The SOE index $i(\mathcal{R}_{\Gamma_1}, \mathcal{R}_{\Gamma_2})$ thus equals i_{Ω} (cf. remark 1.5).

If the actions are not free, just choose any free probability measure preserving action of Γ_1 on a standard Borel space (X, μ) , let Γ_2 act trivially $(\gamma_2 x = x)$ and replace (Ω, m) by $(\Omega \times X, m \times \mu)$ with the diagonal $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$ -action, which turns out to be free. This new coupling leads to free actions.

2.2 Values of indices

Remark that two groups Γ_1 and Γ_2 are commensurable (i.e. there exists a group Λ which is isomorphic to a finite index subgroup in both of them) iff they are ME for a countable coupling Ω (with counting measure) and then $i_{\Omega}(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2) = [\Gamma_1 : \Lambda]/[\Gamma_2 : \Lambda]$. Given Γ , the set of values $\{i_{\Omega}(\Gamma, \Gamma)\}$ is denoted by $I_{ME}(\Gamma)$ or $I_{com}(\Gamma)$, according to whether one considers all possible couplings Ω , or only countable couplings. One easily checks the inclusions $\mathbb{Q}^*_+ \supset I_{com}(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2) \subset I_{ME}(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2) \subset \mathbb{R}^*_+$, and notice that $I_{ME}(\Gamma)$ is a ME invariant.

The condition $I_{ME}(\Gamma) = \{1\}$ means that for each free p.m.p. action of Γ , the induced equivalence relation $(\mathcal{R}_{\Gamma})_Y$ (ex. 1.2) cannot be generated by any free action of Γ , when Y is a proper $(\mu(Y) < \mu(X))$ Borel subset which meets all orbits. This implies, in particular, property:

(*) for each free p.m.p. action of Γ , the equivalence relation \mathcal{R}_{Γ} has trivial fundamental group (i.e. for every self SOE, $i(\mathcal{R}_{\Gamma}, \mathcal{R}_{\Gamma}) = 1$).

It also implies that $i_{\Omega}(\Gamma, \Gamma_1)$ only depends on Γ_1 in the ME class of Γ and not on the particular coupling Ω .

Amenable groups do not have (*) and neither do groups Γ which are ME to the direct product of an infinite amenable group by any group. In fact, $I_{ME}(\Gamma) = \mathbb{R}^*_+$, as for \mathbb{Z} . On the other hand, it follows from th. 4.1 below, that if one of its ℓ^2 -Betti numbers is $\neq 0, \infty$ (see sect. 4), then $I_{ME}(\Gamma) = \{1\}$. Also lattices in higher rank connected simple Lie groups satisfy $I_{ME}(\Gamma) = \{1\}$ [GG88, Cor. B.3][Fur99a, Fur99b].

Question 2 Does there exist groups Γ with an infinite discrete $I_{ME}(\Gamma)$? For that question to make sense, one should restrict attention to ergodic situations.

2.3 Comparison QI-ME

- Amenability is both a QI and a ME invariant. In fact, Ornstein-Weiss' result (cf. section 1.3) implies that all infinite (countable) amenable groups belong to the same ME class. This single class splits into many QI classes (distinguished for example by growth).

This could suggest that QI implies ME and that the latter in just a coarser equivalence relation. The QI classification of lattices in semi-simple Lie groups (where lattices in a given G split into several QI classes, but remain in the same ME class, ex. 2.2) also support such an idea. However it turns out to be wrong :

- A. Furman proved that Kazhdan's property (T) is a ME invariant [Fur99a, th. 8.2]. But Kazhdan's property (T) is not a QI invariant: If Γ has property (T) and admits a non-trivial 2-cocycle to \mathbb{Z} which is bounded (many property (T) hyperbolic groups admit such cocycles, e. g. cocompact lattices in Sp(1,n)) then the direct product $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma \times \mathbb{Z}$ does not have property (T), but is QI to a non-trivial central extension of Γ by \mathbb{Z} which has (T). I'm grateful to A. Furman and N. Monod for discussions on this example.

- For ME groups, the Euler characteristics are positively proportional (th. 4.1 below). This is not the case for QI: By forming the free product $\Gamma * \mathbf{F}_p$ of a group Γ with various free groups ($p \geq 2$), one gets QI groups (Gromov hyperbolic if Γ was). This is because the \mathbf{F}_p are in fact Lipschitz equivalent (a theorem due to P. Papasoglu; see P. De la Harpe's book [Har00, IV-B.46] for further results and references). Now, if $\chi(\Gamma) \geq 2$, the Euler characteristics $\chi(\Gamma * \mathbf{F}_p) = \chi(\Gamma) - p$ do not all have the same sign. In fact, th. 4.1 states that *ME groups have proportional* ℓ^2 *Betti numbers* β_n while P. Pansu showed that for QI groups Γ_1 and Γ_2 , one has $\beta_n(\Gamma_1) = 0$ iff $\beta_n(\Gamma_2) = 0$.

To complete the picture, let's come back to lattices in Lie groups :

- A. Furman, improving R. Zimmer's super-rigidity for cocycles, showed [Fur99a, th. 3.2] that for a higher rank simple Lie group G, the collection of all its lattices (up to finite groups) forms a single ME class. More precisely: Let Γ be a lattice in a simple, connected Lie group G with finite center and \mathbb{R} -rank ≥ 2 . If a countable group Λ is measure equivalent to Γ , then Λ has a finite index subgroup which maps with finite kernel onto a lattice of Ad(G).

- The ME class of the free group \mathbf{F}_2 on two generators, in addition to all finitely generated (non cyclic) free groups and compact surface fundamental groups, contains all free products of a finite number of amenable groups (but $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$).

3 Cost of an Equivalence Relation

3.1 Graphings

Given a group Γ , there is an associated number $n(\Gamma)$, the minimal number of generators of Γ . The cost of an equivalence relation is a similar quantity. It was first introduced by G. Levitt [Lev95].

One way to produce an equivalence relation is by considering a graphing, i.e. a countable family $\Phi = (\varphi_i : A_i \to B_i)_{i \in I}$ of μ -preserving partial isomorphisms between Borel subsets $A_i, B_i \in X$. A graphing generates a μ -preserving standard countable Borel equivalence relation \mathcal{R}_{Φ} : the smallest equivalence relation that satisfies

$$x \sim \varphi_i(x)$$
 for all $i \in I$ and all $x \in A_i$.

In other words, $(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}_{\phi}$ iff there exists a $\Phi^{\pm 1}$ -word (i.e. a word in the φ_i 's and their inverses) whose associated partial isomorphism is defined at x and sends x to y.

The *cost* of the generating system Φ is the number of elements in Φ , weighted by the measure of their domain: $C(\Phi) = \sum_{i \in I} \mu(A_i)$.

The cost of the equivalence relation is the infimum of the costs over all the generating graphings: $C(\mathcal{R}) := \inf\{C(\Phi) : \mathcal{R}_{\Phi} = \mathcal{R}\}$. This is by definition an invariant of the equivalence relation (of OE), and the difficulty is now the computation. If all classes are infinite, then $C(\mathcal{R}) \geq 1$. The costs of SOE equivalence relations \mathcal{R}_1 and \mathcal{R}_2 are related as follows : $\mu_2(Y_2)(C(\mathcal{R}_1) - 1) = \mu_1(Y_1)(C(\mathcal{R}_2) - 1)$, where μ_1, μ_2 are probability measures on X_1, X_2 ([Gab00a, Cor. II.12.]).

A generating graphing Φ associates in a Borel varying way a Cayley graph like structure to each orbit (this explains the terminology). Precisely, for each x in X, its orbit under \mathcal{R} is the vertex set of a graph Φ_x , where two points are neighbors if one of the φ_i sends one to the other. The generating condition implies connectedness of these graphs. Graphings appeared in a paper of S. Adams [Ada90].

Examples 3.1

1. For a free action α of a group Γ , given a generating family $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \cdots)$ of Γ , one has a particular graphing Φ where each φ_i is the automorphism $\alpha(\gamma_i)$, defined on all of X. Each orbit graph for that graphing is then isomorphic to the Cayley graph of Γ .

2. Ornstein-Weiss' theorem (cf. section1.3) implies that almost all orbits of a free action of an infinite amenable group can be given a line structure and thus the corresponding orbit relation has cost 1.

A treeing is a graphing where the graphs associated to almost all $x \in X$ are trees. If Φ is not a treeing, there is a $\Phi^{\pm 1}$ -word with a non trivial fixed point set. One can then remove a subset from the domain of one of its letters (opening cycles in the graphs Φ_x), in order to define a new generating graphing with smaller cost (when finite). Conversely:

Theorem 3.2 [Gab98], [Gab00a, th. 2] If Φ is a treeing of the equivalence relation \mathcal{R} , then it realizes the infimum of the costs: $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{C}(\Phi)$.

While the general result involving free products with amalgamation over amenable groups can be found in [Gab00a, th. 2, th. IV.15], the reader interested in the proof of that restricted statement is advised to look at the simplified proof in the announcement [Gab98].

As a corollary, any free action of the free group \mathbf{F}_n has cost n (see ex. 3.1 with a basis of \mathbf{F}_n as generating set), thus free groups of different ranks cannot have orbit equivalent actions. Now comes the natural:

Question 3 How many non orbitally equivalent p.m.p. free actions does the free group \mathbf{F}_2 admit?

Only finitely many of them are known (three following a personnal communication of S. Popa). Note that S. Gefter and V. Golodets [GG88, cor.A.9, p. 843] showed that non cocompact lattices in a simple, connected Lie group with finite center and \mathbb{R} -rank ≥ 2 , have a continuum of non OE free p.m.p. ergodic actions.

Costs of actions are computed for a lot of groups Γ in [Gab00a]. For example, If Γ is an amalgamated product $A *_C B$ of finite groups, then cost = 1 - (1/|A| + 1/|B| - 1/|C|) (it is always treeable); if Γ is the fundamental group $\pi_1(S_g)$ of an orientable genus g compact surface then cost = 2g - 1.

Question 4 Does there exist a group with two actions of different costs?

3.2 Non treeability

But not every equivalence relation admits a treeing. Countable groups with Kazhdan's property (T) are not tree-friendly. For example: Any simplicial action of such a group on a tree has to fix a point. Adams and Spatzier [AS90, th. 1.8, lem.2.1] showed: Probability measure preserving ergodic free actions of (infinite) Kazhdan property (T) groups don't have any treeing. The analogy between these two result will be made more transparent in example 6.4, section 6.

The difficulty in showing that the cost is a non trivial invariant comes from the fact that a lot of groups have only cost 1 actions (like direct products of infinite groups, see [Gab00a, part. VI-D] for other examples). Thus, the following statement delivers a lot of groups with no treeable free action: *The only groups which admit both a cost* 1 *and a treeable free action are amenable* [Gab00a, cor.VI.22].

Question 5 Does the surface group $\pi_1(S_q)$ admit a non treeable action?

3.3 An application

The theory of graphings is useful for a classification of equivalence relations. It can also be applied to group theory in order to show a result similar to Schreier's theorem for normal subgroups of the free group:

Theorem 3.3 [Gab01] If the first ℓ^2 Betti number of Γ is not zero, then any finitely generated normal subgroup N of Γ is either finite or has finite index.

Notice that finite generation may be replaced by $\beta_1(N)$ finite and that W. Lück got this result, under an additional hypothesis on the quotient Γ/N , like containing an infinite order element or arbitrarily large finite groups [Lüc94] and [Lüc98b, th. 3.3]. Let's show the following weaker statement:

Theorem 3.4 If Γ has no cost 1 free action, then any finitely generated normal subgroup of Γ is either finite or has finite index.

Proof: Consider an extension $1 \to N \to \Gamma \xrightarrow{f} Q \to 1$. Suppose N and Q are infinite and N is finitely generated; let's show that Γ has a cost 1 free action (by exhibiting generating graphings of small costs).

Consider a free probability measure preserving ergodic action of Γ (resp. Q) on X (resp. Y), then the diagonal Γ -action γ . $(x, y) = (\gamma . x, f(\gamma). y)$ on $Z = X \times Y$ is free and preserves the product measure. It generates an equivalence relation $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_{\Gamma}$. The fibers of the projection $\pi : Z \to Y$ are preserved by N.

Fix $\epsilon > 0$. The relation \mathcal{R}_Q , as any equivalence relation whose classes are infinite, contains a *hyperfinite* (see section 1.3) subrelation \mathcal{S} , whose classes are all infinite. The latter is generated by an automorphism t of Y and Y admits a partition $Y = \coprod_{q \in Q} Y_q$ where $x \in Y_q$ iff q(x) = t(x). Put $Z_q = \pi^{-1}(Y_q)$, choose a pullback $\gamma_q \in f^{-1}(q)$ and denote by φ_q the (partial isomorphism of Z) restriction of γ_q to Z_q . As the Z_q 's form a partition of Z, the cost of $\Phi_1 := (\varphi_q)$ equals 1.

Now let $A \subset Z$ be a π -saturated Borel subset of measure ϵ and denote by φ'_j the restriction of n_j to A where n_1, \dots, n_r is a generating set for N. The graphing $\Phi_2 := (\varphi'_j)_{j=1,\dots,r}$ generates the restriction of \mathcal{R}_N to A and its cost equals $(r\epsilon)$.

Claim: The relation generated by $\Phi_1 \cup \Phi_2$ contains \mathcal{R}_N . For each $(x, y) \in Z$ there exists a $\Phi_1^{\pm 1}$ -word m_1 (defined at and) sending (x, y) into A. Let ω be the corresponding element of Γ . If $n \in N$, the point n.(x, y) lies in the same π -fiber, so that ω is also defined at it. By normality, $\omega n \omega^{-1}$ belongs to N, thus $\omega(x, y)$ and $\omega n(x, y)$ are connected by a $\Phi_2^{\pm 1}$ -word m_2 . The word $m_1^{-1}m_2m_1$ connects (x, y) to n.(x, y).

Choose a series of positive numbers $(\eta_{\gamma})_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ with sum $\leq \epsilon$ and Borel subsets $A_{\gamma} \subset Z$ of measure $\leq \eta_{\gamma}$ which meet every *N*-orbit – since *N* is infinite, this is possible; one could also have supposed that the *N*-action is ergodic on *X*. Denote by φ_{γ} the restriction of γ to A_{γ} . The graphing $\Phi_3 = (\varphi_{\gamma})_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ has cost $\leq \epsilon$.

Claim: $\Phi_1 \cup \Phi_2 \cup \Phi_3$ generates \mathcal{R}_{Γ} . For each $(x, y) \in Z$, there is an element of N sending it into A_{γ} , and also a $(\Phi_1 \cup \Phi_2)^{\pm 1}$ -word m. By normality, $\gamma_{\cdot}(x, y)$ and $\varphi_{\gamma}m(x, y)$ are N-equivalent, thus they are connected by a $(\Phi_1 \cup \Phi_2)^{\pm 1}$ -word m'. Now $m'\varphi_{\gamma}m$ connects (x, y) to $\gamma_{\cdot}(x, y)$.

Since $\Phi_1 \cup \Phi_2 \cup \Phi_3$ has cost $1 + r\epsilon + \epsilon$, one concludes that \mathcal{R}_{Γ} has cost 1.

4 ℓ^2 Betti numbers for groups

To each countable group Γ is associated a sequence of numbers $\in [0, \infty]$ called its ℓ^2 Betti numbers $(\beta_n(\Gamma))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ that are defined using the ℓ^2 chains of CWcomplexes on which Γ acts. They were defined in this generality in [CG86].

Theorem 4.1 [Gab01] If Γ_1 and Γ_2 are measurably equivalent, then they have proportional ℓ^2 Betti numbers.

More precisely if (Ω, m) is a coupling between them with index $i_{\Omega}(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$, then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, one has $\beta_n(\Gamma_2) = i_{\Omega}(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2) \cdot \beta_n(\Gamma_1)$. In particular, ME groups have positively proportional Euler characteristics (when defined) $\chi(\Gamma) = \chi^{(2)}(\Gamma) = \sum_{n} (-1)^n \beta_n(\Gamma)$.

Corollary 4.2 Lattices in the same locally compact second countable group have ℓ^2 Betti numbers that are proportional as the covolumes are.

4.1 ℓ^2 homology

In this section, we give some indications about ℓ^2 homology and ℓ^2 Betti numbers for cocompact free actions on simplicial complexes. We refer to W. Lück's and B. Eckmann's surveys ([Lüc98], [Eck00]) for a general exposition of these ideas, or to Lück's forthcoming book [Lück].

Let K be a simplicial complex on which Γ acts freely and simplicially. The space of n-chains is the free Z-module $C_n(K, \mathbb{Z})$ with the family S_n of n-simplices as a basis. It is the space of (finite) formal integer linear combinations of elements of S_n . The boundary map ∂_n sends an n-simplex to the obvious n-1-chain (One has at some point to order simplices, let's forget about it) and extends by linearity.

If now S_n is considered as a Hilbert orthonormal base, one gets the space of ℓ^2 *n*-chains $C_n^{(2)}(K)$, i.e. the space of (infinite) formal linear combinations of elements of S_n with square summable coefficients.

Once a representative is chosen in each orbit of S_n , there are natural Γ -equivariant identifications of S_n with α_n copies of Γ (where α_n is the number of Γ -orbits in S_n), inducing $C_n(K,\mathbb{Z}) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\alpha_n} \mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ and $C_n^{(2)}(K) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\alpha_n} \ell^2(\Gamma)$ where Γ acts by left translations, and the latter is a Hilbert sum. The spaces of chains thus get a Γ -module structure.

The ∂_n , defined on the Hilbert basis, requires some finiteness condition to extend to a well defined and bounded (=continuous) operator on ℓ^2 chains.

For (counter)-example suppose a vertex v is the endpoint of infinitely many edges e_1, e_2, \cdots of K. The chain $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{j} e_j$ is ℓ^2 (i.e. belongs to $C_1^{(2)}(K)$) since $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{j^2}$ converges, but by the boundary operator ∂_1 , the vertex v would like to receive the coefficient $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{j}$!! More precisely, the sequence $\partial_1(\sum_{j=1}^p \frac{1}{j}e_j)$ is not bounded when $p \to \infty$ since, when expressed in the vertices Hilbert base, its v-coefficient is $\sum_{j=1}^p \frac{1}{j}$.

If K is cocompact, then the boundary maps extend to bounded operators still denoted ∂_n . They give a chain complex $(\partial \circ \partial = 0)$

(*)
$$0 \stackrel{\partial_0}{\leftarrow} C_0^{(2)}(K) \stackrel{\partial_1}{\leftarrow} C_1^{(2)}(K) \stackrel{\partial_2}{\leftarrow} C_2^{(2)}(K) \stackrel{\partial_3}{\leftarrow} C_3^{(2)}(K) \stackrel{\partial}{\leftarrow} \cdots$$

As usual, consider its homology $H_n^{(2)}(K) := \text{Ker } \partial_n/\text{Im } \partial_{n+1}$. If one wants to keep dealing with Hilbert spaces one has to divide out by a closed subspace, i.e. to consider the closure $\overline{\text{Im } \partial_{n+1}}$ of $\text{Im } \partial_{n+1}$, thus considering the so called reduced ℓ^2 homology $\overline{H}_n^{(2)}(K) := \text{Ker } \partial_n/\overline{\text{Im } \partial_{n+1}}$.

4.2 ℓ^2 Betti numbers

In fact, all of this is Γ -equivariant, so that the reduced ℓ^2 homology has the additional structure of a *Hilbert* Γ -module: It is a Hilbert space, with a Γ representation and it admits an isometric equivariant embedding into an orthogonal sum $\mathcal{L}_r = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \ell^2(\Gamma)$ (where Γ acts by λ_r , the sum of its left regular representations λ on each $\ell^2(\Gamma)$). Namely, $\overline{H}_n^{(2)}(K)$ embeds into $C_n^{(2)}(K) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\alpha_n} \ell^2(\Gamma)$ as the space $\mathcal{H}_n(K)$ of harmonic n-chains: the orthocomplement of $\operatorname{Im} \partial_{n+1}$ in Ker ∂_n .

It thus gets a Γ -dimension (see section 4.4), in some sense a dimension modulo the Γ -action (cf. items 1–2, section 4.4).

The ℓ^2 Betti numbers for the action of Γ on K are by definition:

$$\beta_n(K,\Gamma) := \dim_{\Gamma} \overline{H}_n^{(2)}(K).$$

These numbers were first introduced by M. Atiyah in [Ati76], and Cheeger-Gromov have extended the notion to not necessarily cocompact simplicial actions [CG86], and even in a much more general context (singular ℓ^2 cohomology). We mention a very nice alternative theory developed by W. Lück ([Lüc98a], [Lüc98b]).

The ℓ^2 Betti numbers of the group Γ are those of any free Γ -action on a contractible simplicial complex $E\Gamma$:

$$\beta_n(\Gamma) = \beta_n(E\Gamma, \Gamma),$$

they are shown to depend only on Γ [CG86].

4.3 Example

Let's consider the example of the free group $\Gamma = \mathbf{F}_n$ acting on the regular tree T which is the universal cover of a bouquet of n circles (built of one vertex and n oriented edges e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n). The tree is the Cayley graph of \mathbf{F}_n for a generating basis. Once a vertex \overline{x} and an edge \overline{e}_i in each of the n orbits of edges are chosen, $C_0(T, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}\Gamma.\overline{x}$ and $C_1(T, \mathbb{Z}) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}\Gamma.\overline{e}_i$ give a chain complex $0 \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}\Gamma \stackrel{\partial_1}{\leftarrow} \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}\Gamma \leftarrow 0$ where ∂_1 is injective and not surjective (the image 0-chains are those for which the sum of the coefficients vanishes), leading to a 1-dimensional H_0 and trivial H_1 which is not very interesting (just related to the fact that T is contractible). To get something interesting one has to "divide out first" by Γ .

By contrast, at the ℓ^2 level and for $n \geq 2$, in the associated chain complex $0 \leftarrow \ell^2(\Gamma) \stackrel{\partial_1}{\leftarrow} \oplus_{i=1}^n \ell^2(\Gamma) \leftarrow 0$, the operator ∂_1 becomes, surjective (as Kesten's theorem shows, since Γ is non amenable) and far from injective since $\dim_{\Gamma} \operatorname{Ker} \partial_1 = \dim_{\Gamma} \oplus_{i=1}^n \ell^2(\Gamma) - \dim_{\Gamma} \operatorname{Im} \partial_1 = n-1$ (item 7, section 4.4). Thus $\overline{H}_0^{(2)}(T) = 0$ while $\overline{H}_1^{(2)}(T) \simeq \oplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \ell^2(\Gamma)$. Taking the von Neumann dimension amounts in some sense to "divide out afterwards".

An example of a harmonic 1-chain is obtained in the most obvious manner: put the coefficient +1 on some oriented edge e = [a, b]. Tail a and head b of e receives -1, resp. +1. Compensate this by putting the coefficient $(2n-1)^{-1}$ on each edge with head a, resp. with tail b, and so on, put $(2n-1)^{-d}$ on edges at distance d oriented towards a, resp. from b. Since $n \ge 2$, this is an ℓ^2 chain.

4.4 Von Neumann dimension

Hilbert Γ -modules M possess a well defined generalized dimension $\dim_{\Gamma} M$. It enjoys the following properties:

- 1. dim_{Γ} $\ell^2(\Gamma) = 1$
- 2. if Γ is finite, $\dim_{\Gamma} M = \frac{1}{|\Gamma|} \dim M$ (usual vector space dimension)
- 3. dim_{Γ} $M \ge 0$
- 4. $\dim_{\Gamma} M = 0 \iff M = 0$
- 5. $M \subset N \Rightarrow \dim_{\Gamma} M \le \dim_{\Gamma} N$
- 6. $\dim_{\Gamma} M \oplus N = \dim_{\Gamma} M + \dim_{\Gamma} N$
- 7. dim_{Γ} Ker $f + \dim_{\Gamma} \overline{\text{Im } f} = \dim_{\Gamma} M_1$, when $f : M_1 \to M_2$ is a Γ -equivariant bounded operator between Hilbert Γ -modules

The Γ -dimension is defined as follows: consider first a closed Γ -invariant subspace M of $\ell^2(\Gamma) = \mathcal{L}_1$ and let p be the orthogonal projection onto M. By Γ -invariance, the operator p belongs to the von Neumann algebra of the group Γ , the algebra $N(\Gamma)$ of those operators that commute with all the unitary operators $\lambda(\gamma), \gamma \in \Gamma$. The crucial feature is the existence of a finite trace τ on $N(\Gamma)$: for $a \in N(\Gamma), \tau(a) = \langle a(\delta_e) | \delta_e \rangle$ (where δ_e is the characteristic function of the identity element of Γ). Now the von Neumann dimension of M is the trace of its projector dim_{Γ} $M = \tau(p)$.

If M is a closed Γ -invariant subspace of \mathcal{L}_r , its projection belongs to the commuting algebra of $\lambda_r(\Gamma)$ and admits a bloc decomposition as an $r \times r$ matrix with coefficients $p_{i,j}$ in $N(\Gamma)$. By definition $\dim_{\Gamma} M = \sum_{i=1}^r \tau(p_{i,i})$.

The trace property $(\tau(ab) = \tau(ba))$ ensures that any two Γ -equivariant isometric embeddings in \mathcal{L}_r of a Hilbert Γ -module have indeed the same dimension, giving rise to the well defined notion of Γ -dimension for such a module. This definition has a natural extension when $r = \infty$.

5 Simplicial Actions of an Equivalence Relation

5.1 Fibered spaces

5.1.1 Fundamental example.

Consider a free measure preserving action of a countable group Γ on (X, μ) and the orbit equivalence relation \mathcal{R}_{Γ} . Consider moreover an honest free simplicial action of Γ on a countable simplicial complex K. The space $\Sigma_K := X \times K$ is equipped with the diagonal action of Γ : $\gamma . (x, \tau) = (\gamma . x, \gamma . \tau)$. It is fibered over X.

Choose a fundamental domain $D^{(0)} := \{v_1, v_2, \dots, \}$ (= a set of Γ -orbit representatives) for the Γ -action on the 0-skeleton $K^{(0)}$ of K. The set $X \times D^{(0)}$ is a fundamental domain for the diagonal action on $X \times K^{(0)}$ which permits us to identify the latter with countably many copies of \mathcal{R} (one for each vertex $v_j \in$ $D^{(0)}$) by $\Theta_j : (x, \gamma \cdot v_j) \mapsto (x, \gamma^{-1} \cdot x)$. Notice that the Θ_j are equivariant when \mathcal{R} is given the Γ -action on the left coordinates: $\Theta_j(\gamma' \cdot (x, \gamma \cdot v_j)) = (\gamma' \cdot x, \gamma^{-1} \cdot x) =$ $\gamma' \cdot \Theta_j(x, \gamma \cdot v_j)$.

An analogous treatment can be made in each dimension, by choosing a fundamental domain $D^{(n)}$ for the Γ -action on the set of *n*-simplices $K^{(n)}$ of *K*. This permits us to identify the space $X \times K^{(n)}$ with countably many copies of \mathcal{R} . Notice that an *n*-simplex in $X \times K^{(n)}$ is made of an (n + 1)-tuple of points in $X \times K^{(0)}$, with the same projection on X.

5.1.2 Fibered spaces.

A standard Borel fibered space over X is a standard Borel space U together with a specified Borel map (projection map), with countable fibers $p: U \to X$.

The natural measure ν_U on U is defined as μ (the measure on X) times counting measure in the fibers of p. Thus, the measure of a Borel subset $V \subset U$ is obtained by integrating over (X, μ) the function $x \mapsto$ number of points in the intersection of V with the fiber of x – Alternatively, ν_U is built by considering any countable Borel partition $U = \coprod U_i$ such that the restrictions of p to each U_i are injective and by putting on each U_i the pull back of μ .

When U and V are standard Borel fibered spaces over X, via projection maps p and q, their fibered product

$$U * V = \{ (u, v) \in U \times V / p(u) = q(v) \}$$

is a standard Borel fibered space over X.

Example 5.1 The equivalence relation \mathcal{R} has two natural fiberings over X, given by the projection maps $p_l : (x, y) \mapsto x$ and $p_r : (x, y) \mapsto y$. – the r in p_r stands for right while p_l is the range map and p_r is the source map for the groupoid \mathcal{R} –. Due to the invariance of μ for \mathcal{R} , the natural measures defined by these two fiberings coincide; just denote them by ν .

5.2 Groupoid actions

5.2.1 Space with Standard Left \mathcal{R} -Action

A standard left \mathcal{R} -space or space with standard left \mathcal{R} -action consists of a (standard Borel) fibered space U over X and a map called the action map defined on the fibered product, where \mathcal{R} fibers via p_r ,

$$\mathcal{R} * U \to U, \ ((y,z),u) \mapsto (y,z).u,$$

such that (x, y).[(y, z).u] = (x, z).u and (z, z).u = u. In particular, z = p(u)and y = p((y, z).u) –. The space X itself is a standard left R-space. The projection map p of a left \mathcal{R} -space is \mathcal{R} -equivariant: p((y, z).u) = (y, z).p(u). The orbit of u is the set $\mathcal{R}.u := \{(y, z).u : (y, z) \in \mathcal{R}, y = p(u)\}$ and the saturation $\mathcal{R}.B$ of a Borel subset $B \subset U$ is the union of the orbits that meet B.

5.2.2 Discrete Actions

A standard left \mathcal{R} -space U is *discrete* if the action admits a Borel fundamental domain D, i.e. a Borel subset $D \subset U$ that meets once and only once the orbit of ν_U -almost all $u \in U$.

Example 5.2 An obvious instance of such a left \mathcal{R} -space is the fibered space $(U,p) = (\mathcal{R}, p_l)$ itself, with the action map $\mathcal{R} * U \to U$, $((x,y), (y,z)) \mapsto (x,z)$ and the diagonal of $\mathcal{R} = U$ as a fundamental domain.

Given a discrete standard left \mathcal{R} -space U, choose any countable Borel partition of a fundamental domain $D = \prod_{i \in I} D_i$, such that p restricts to bijections: $D_i \xrightarrow{p} p(D_i) \subset X$, on each D_i . The natural identification of D_i with the diagonal subset $\Delta_i = \{(z, z) : z \in p(D_i)\} \subset \mathcal{R}$ extends by \mathcal{R} -equivariance to an identification of the saturation $\mathcal{R}.D_i$ with the saturation $\mathcal{R}.\Delta_i = p_r^{-1}(p(D_i))$ One thus gets an isomorphism of discrete standard left \mathcal{R} -spaces between Uand the disjoint union $\coprod_{i \in I} \mathcal{R}.\Delta_i$.

If U is a discrete left \mathcal{R} -space (with fundamental domain D), then also $U * U * \cdots * U$ is a discrete left \mathcal{R} -space (with f.d. $D * U * \cdots * U$).

6 Actions of the equivalence relation on a simplicial complex

Definition 6.1 A simplicial complex with standard left \mathcal{R} -action or more briefly an \mathcal{R} -simplicial complex Σ consists of the following data:

- a discrete left \mathcal{R} -space $\Sigma^{(0)} \xrightarrow{p} X$ (space of vertices) - for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ a Borel subset $\Sigma^{(n)} \subset \underbrace{\Sigma^{(0)} * \cdots * \Sigma^{(0)}}_{n+1 \text{ times}}$ (space of ordered n-simplexes)(perhaps empty for large n's) satisfying four conditions

- 1. (permutations) $\Sigma^{(n)}$ is invariant under permutation of the coordinates
- 2. (non degeneracy) if $(v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n) \in \Sigma^{(n)}$, then $v_0 \neq v_1$
- 3. (boundary condition) if $(v_0, \dots, v_n) \in \Sigma^{(n)}$, then $(v_1, \dots, v_n) \in \Sigma^{(n-1)}$
- 4. (invariance) $\mathcal{R}.\Sigma^{(n)} = \Sigma^{(n)}$

The data in the fiber of each $x \in X$ is just an ordinary (countable) simplicial complex, denoted by Σ_x . Notice that the first two conditions could be slightly modified according to your favorite definition of a simplicial complex.

The \mathcal{R} -simplicial complex Σ is *n*-connected, resp. contractible, resp. *n*-dimensional if for almost all x in X, the simplicial complex Σ_x has the corresponding property.

Examples 6.2

- 1. The basic and motivating example for this is the fundamental example in 5.1.1, where each Σ_x is identified with a copy of K.
- 2. A graphing $\Phi = (\varphi_i)_{i \in I}$ of \mathcal{R} (cf. 3.1), with no loops or double edges, gives a 1-dimensional connected \mathcal{R} -simplicial complex: As left \mathcal{R} -spaces (cf. ex. 5.2) $\Sigma^{(0)} = \mathcal{R}$ and $\Sigma^{(1)} = \{((x, y), (x, z)) \in \mathcal{R} * \mathcal{R} : y = \varphi_i^{\pm 1}(z) \text{ for some } i \in I\}.$
- 3. The *complete structure* where each $\Sigma^{(n)} = \mathcal{R} * \cdots * \mathcal{R}$ with all diagonals removed (for non degeneracy) is a contractible infinite dimensional example.

Definition 6.3 The geometric dimension of an equivalence relation \mathcal{R} is the smallest dimension of a contractible \mathcal{R} -simplicial complex.

The ergodic dimension of a countable group Γ is the smallest dimension of the equivalence relations \mathcal{R}_{Γ} produced by free actions of Γ .

Example 6.4 Adams and Spatzier's result already mentioned (section 3.2) about non treeability for ergodic free actions of Kazhdan's property (T) groups Γ can now be rephrased: \mathcal{R}_{Γ} doesn't admit any 1-dimensional contractible \mathcal{R}_{Γ} -simplicial complex and also Kazhdan's property (T) groups have ergodic dimension > 1.

One easily concludes that *measure equivalent* groups have the same ergodic dimension and that geometric (resp. ergodic) dimensions decrease when passing to subrelations (resp. subgroups).

7 ℓ^2 Betti Numbers for Equivalence Relations and their Actions

7.1 ℓ^2 homology of Σ

An \mathcal{R} -simplicial complex Σ defines a Borel field of simplicial complexes $x \mapsto \Sigma_x$ and, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a Borel field of Hilbert spaces $x \mapsto C_n^{(2)}(\Sigma_x)$.

What is a *Borel vector field*? Notice that a Borel section s of the fibering $\Sigma^{(n)} \to X$ leads to a $C_n(\Sigma_x)$ -valued vector field $x \mapsto s(x)$. A vector field $x \mapsto \sigma(x) \in C_n^{(2)}(\Sigma_x)$ is Borel if $x \mapsto \langle \sigma(x) | s(x) \rangle_x$ is a Borel function for every Borel section s.

The Hilbert integral of the ℓ^2 *n*-chains of Σ_x is called the *space of n*dimensional ℓ^2 chains of Σ and denoted by:

$$C_n^{(2)}(\Sigma) := \int_X^{\oplus} C_n^{(2)}(\Sigma_x) d\mu(x).$$

It is the Hilbert space of those Borel vector fields that are square integrable: $x \mapsto ||\sigma(x)||_x \in L^2(X, \mu).$

If the Σ_x are uniformly locally bounded, then the fields of the boundary operators lead by integration to bounded operators $\partial_n : C_n^{(2)}(\Sigma) \to C_{n-1}^{(2)}(\Sigma)$ still satisfying $\partial \circ \partial = 0$. The reduced homology $\overline{H}_n^{(2)}(\Sigma)$ of the associated chain complex is called the *reduced* ℓ^2 homology of Σ . Like in the group case (section 4), these spaces have additional structure: they are Hilbert modules – The von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} involved is that of the equivalence relation \mathcal{R} (see section 7.2) – giving them a von Neumann dimension, "dimension modulo the groupoid action", which is called ℓ^2 Betti number of Σ and denoted:

$$\beta_n(\Sigma, \mathcal{R}) := \dim_{\mathcal{M}} \overline{H}_n^{(2)}(\Sigma).$$

By using some ideas from [CG86], this definition is extended from uniformly locally bounded Σ 's to general Σ 's (see [Gab01]). A major difficulty arises here from the fact that operators appearing in homotopy equivalences don't lead to bounded operators (see [Gab00b]). The next result must be compared to the notion of foliation Betti numbers of A. Connes [Co82, p. 549] and to the claim in [Gro93, 8.A₄, p. 233] where the hypothesis forces boundedness of certain operators.

Theorem 7.1 [Gab01] Any two contractible \mathcal{R} -simplicial complexes have the same ℓ^2 Betti numbers, called the ℓ^2 Betti numbers of the equivalence relation \mathcal{R} and denoted by $\beta_n(\mathcal{R})$. If \mathcal{R} is defined by a free measure preserving action of a countable group Γ , then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\beta_n(\mathcal{R}) = \beta_n(\Gamma)$.

If $\beta_p(\mathcal{R}) > 0$ then the geometric dimension of \mathcal{R} is $\geq p$. One deduce the existence of equivalence relations of any geometric dimension: consider a free action of $\mathbf{F}_2 \times \mathbf{F}_2 \times \cdots \times \mathbf{F}_2$, p times, to get a relation of dimension exactly p.

By the Morse inequalities, one gets a relation between ℓ^2 Betti numbers and cost: $\cot(\mathcal{R}) - 1 \ge \beta_1(\mathcal{R}) - \beta_0(\mathcal{R})$ with no known example of strict inequality.

Question 6 Is it always the case, that $cost(\mathcal{R}) - 1 = \beta_1(\mathcal{R}) - \beta_0(\mathcal{R})$?

7.2 Von Neumann algebra of an equivalence relation

Consider the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathcal{R}, \nu)$ arising from the Borel space \mathcal{R} with its measure ν (see ex. 5.1).

For each partial isomorphism $\varphi : A \to B$ whose graph is contained in \mathcal{R} (see section 1.2) denote by L_{φ} the operator of $L^2(\mathcal{R}, \nu)$ defined by $L_{\varphi}(\eta(x, y)) =$ $\eta(\varphi^{-1}(x), y)$ if $x \in B$ and 0 otherwise. The von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} of \mathcal{R} is the algebra of those bounded operators that commute with the family \mathcal{L} of all the L_{φ} 's (see [FM77b] and [Moo82]). Notice that adjoining to \mathcal{L} the L_{ψ} 's defined for $\psi \in L^{\infty}(X, \mu)$ by $L_{\psi}(\eta(x, y)) = \psi(x)\eta(x, y)$ wouldn't change \mathcal{M} .

If $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_{\Gamma}$, then by the observation in section 1.2, one could replace \mathcal{L} by the L_{γ} 's, $\gamma \in \Gamma$, together with the L_{ψ} 's. In the free action case, \mathcal{M} is described as a "cross product" of $L^{\infty}(X, \mu)$ with Γ (see [MvN36, part IV, p. 192-209]). The algebra \mathcal{M} possesses a trace $\tau(a) = \langle a(\chi_{\Delta}) | \chi_{\Delta} \rangle$, where χ_{Δ} is the characteristic function of the diagonal of \mathcal{R} . This permits us to define, as in section 4.4, the von Neumann dimension of closed \mathcal{L} -invariant subspaces of $\oplus L^2(\mathcal{R}, \nu)$.

The embedding of $C_n^{(2)}(\Sigma)$ into a sum of $L^2(\mathcal{R},\nu)$ is obtained from the identification at the end of section 5.2.2.

References

- [Ada90] S. Adams. Trees and amenable equivalence relations. *Ergodic Theory* Dynamical Systems, 10(1):1–14, 1990.
- [AS90] S. Adams and R. Spatzier. Kazhdan groups, cocycles and trees. Amer. J. Math., 112(2):271–287, 1990.
- [Ati76] M. Atiyah. Elliptic operators, discrete groups and von Neumann algebras. In Colloque "Analyse et Topologie" en l'Honneur de Henri Cartan (Orsay, 1974), pages 43–72. Astérisque, No. 32–33. Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1976.
- [CFW81] A. Connes, J. Feldman, and B. Weiss. An amenable equivalence relation is generated by a single transformation. *Ergodic Theory Dynamical Systems*, 1(4):431–450 (1982), 1981.
- [CG86] J. Cheeger and M. Gromov. L_2 -cohomology and group cohomology. Topology, 25(2):189–215, 1986.
- [Co82] A. Connes. A survey of foliations and operator algebras. In Operator algebras and applications, Part I (Kingston, Ont., 1980), pages 521– 628. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1982.
- [Dye59] H. Dye. On groups of measure preserving transformation. I. Amer. J. Math., 81:119–159, 1959.
- [Dye63] H. Dye. On groups of measure preserving transformations. II. Amer. J. Math., 85:551–576, 1963.
- [Eck00] B. Eckmann. Introduction to l₂-methods in topology: reduced l₂-homology, harmonic chains, l₂-Betti numbers. Israel J. Math., 117:183–219, 2000. Notes prepared by Guido Mislin.
- [Fer01] R. Feres. An introduction to Cocycle Super-rigidity. Same volume.
- [FM77a] J. Feldman and C. Moore. Ergodic equivalence relations, cohomology, and von Neumann algebras. I. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 234(2):289– 324, 1977.
- [FM77b] J. Feldman, C. Moore. Ergodic equivalence relations, cohomology, and von Neumann algebras. II. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 234(2):325–359, 1977.

- [Fur99a] A. Furman. Gromov's measure equivalence and rigidity of higher rank lattices. Ann. of Math. (2), 150(3):1059–1081, 1999.
- [Fur99b] A. Furman. Orbit equivalence rigidity. Ann. of Math. (2), 150(3):1083–1108, 1999.
- [Gab98] D. Gaboriau. Mercuriale de groupes et de relations. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math., 326(2):219–222, 1998.
- [Gab00a] D. Gaboriau. Coût des relations d'équivalence et des groupes. Invent. Math., 139(1):41–98, 2000.
- [Gab00b] D. Gaboriau. Sur la (co-)homologie L² des actions préservant une mesure. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math., 330(5):365–370, 2000.
- [Gab01] D. Gaboriau. Invariants ℓ^2 de relations d'équivalence et de groupes. preprint.
- [GG88] S. Gefter and V. Golodets. Fundamental groups for ergodic actions and actions with unit fundamental groups. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, 24(6):821–847 (1989), 1988.
- [Gro93] M. Gromov. Asymptotic invariants of infinite groups. In Geometric group theory, Vol. 2 (Sussex, 1991), pages 1–295. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [Har00] P. de la Harpe. *Topics in geometric group theory*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 2000.
- [Lev95] G. Levitt. On the cost of generating an equivalence relation. Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, 15(6):1173–1181, 1995.
- [Lüc94] W. Lück. L²-torsion and 3-manifolds. In Low-dimensional topology (Knoxville, TN, 1992), pages 75–107. Internat. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1994.
- [Lüc98] W. Lück. L^2 -invariants of regular coverings of compact manifolds and CW-complexes to appear in "Handbook of Geometric topology", Elsevier (1998).
- [Lüc98a] W. Lück. Dimension theory of arbitrary modules over finite von Neumann algebras and L²-Betti numbers. I. Foundations. J. Reine Angew. Math., 495:135–162, 1998.
- [Lüc98b] W. Lück. Dimension theory of arbitrary modules over finite von Neumann algebras and L²-Betti numbers. II. Applications to Grothendieck groups, L²-Euler characteristics and Burnside groups. J. Reine Angew. Math., 496:213–236, 1998.
- [Lück] W. Lück. L²-Invariants and K -Theory. Mathematics Monograph, Springer-Verlag, in preparation.

- [Moo82] C. Moore. Ergodic theory and von Neumann algebras. In Operator algebras and applications, Part 2 (Kingston, Ont., 1980), pages 179– 226. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1982.
- [MvN36] F. Murray and J. von Neumann. On rings of operators. Ann. of Math., II. Ser., 37:116–229, 1936.
- [OW80] D. Ornstein and B. Weiss. Ergodic theory of amenable group actions. I. The Rohlin lemma. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.), 2(1):161–164, 1980.
- [Sch87] K. Schmidt. Some solved and unsolved problems concerning orbit equivalence of countable group actions. In Proceedings of the conference on ergodic theory and related topics, II (Georgenthal, 1986), pages 171–184, Leipzig, 1987. Teubner.
- [Zim78] R. Zimmer. Amenable ergodic group actions and an application to Poisson boundaries of random walks. J. Functional Analysis, 27(3):350–372, 1978.
- [Zim84] R. Zimmer. Ergodic theory and semisimple groups. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1984.