## Sorting networks

Summary: The first exercise should be easy. The second one is a classic (see [2] or [1]). The third exercise will a cover a more sophisticated kind of sorting networks; the more eager will find numerous other examples in [3].

## 1 All sequences are 0-1

$\triangleright$ Question 1 Prove the following theorem:

A comparator network sorts correctly the sequence $\langle n, n-1, \ldots, 1\rangle$. if and only if it sorts the sequences $\left\langle 1^{i} 0^{n-i}\right\rangle$ forall i.

## 2 Bitonic sorting networks

Definition 1. A binary sequence is bitonic if it can be written as $0^{i} 1^{j} 0^{k}$ or $1^{i} 0^{j} 1^{k}$ with $i, j, k \in \mathbb{N}$.
Definition 2. A bitonic sorting network is a comparator network sorting every bitonic binary sequence.

Definition 3. We call separator a network with $n$ input, with $n$ even, consisting of a column of $\frac{n}{2}$ comparators operating on inputs $i$ and $i+\frac{n}{2}$ for $i \in \llbracket 1, \frac{n}{2} \rrbracket$.
$\triangleright$ Question 2 Build a bitonic sorting network using separators. How many comparators does it use ? How deep is it?
$\triangleright$ Question 3 Using bitonic sorting networks, design a network merging two sorted lists. Use it as a stepping stone to build a general sorting network and estimate its complexity (depth, number of comparators).
$\triangleright$ Question 4 Does your sorting network sort non binary sequences?

## 3 Sort a 2D grid

This exercise extends the odd-even mergesort over sequences seen during the lecture to 2 D grids.
Definition 4. A square matrix $A=\left(\left(a_{i, j}\right)\right)$ of size $n \times n, n=2^{m}$ is in snakelike order if elements are placed as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
a_{2 i-1, j} \leqslant a_{2 i-1, j+1}, & \text { si } & 1 \leqslant j \leqslant n-1,1 \leqslant i \leqslant n / 2, \\
a_{2 i, j+1} \leqslant a_{2 i, j}, & \text { si } & 1 \leqslant j \leqslant n-1,1 \leqslant i \leqslant n / 2, \\
a_{2 i-1, n} \leqslant a_{2 i, n}, & \text { si } & 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n / 2, \\
a_{2 i, 1} \leqslant a_{2 i+1,1}, & \text { si } & 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n / 2-1 .
\end{array}
$$

Notice that this snake induces a linear network within the grid (see figure 1).
Definition 5. A shuffle turns the $n=2 p$-long sequence of elements $\left\langle z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right\rangle$ into the sequence $\left\langle z_{1}, z_{p+1}, z_{2}, z_{p+2}, \ldots, z_{p}, z_{2 p}\right\rangle$. For instance, the "shuffle" of $(1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8)$ is $(1,5,2,6,3,7,4,8)$.

We propose to study the following algorithm, which merges four $2^{m-1} \times 2^{m-1}$ snalike-ordered matrices into a single $2^{m} \times 2^{m}$ snakelike-ordered matrix:

1. shuffle each row (using odd-even transpositions on the index of the elements), which is equivalent to shuffling columns


Figure 1: The snakelike order over a $4 \times 4$ grid.
2. sort every pair of columns (which are $n \times 2$ matrices) respecting the snakelike order, using $2 n$ odd-even transpositions on the linear network induced over the relevant $2 n$-long snakes
3. apply $2 n$ odd-even transposition steps over the linear network induced by the snake of size $n^{2}$
$\triangleright$ Question 5 Execute this merging algorithm with the following matrix (note that each $2 \times 2$ matrix is already snakelike sorted).

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 3 & 5 & 6 \\
11 & 8 & 16 & 10 \\
4 & 7 & 2 & 9 \\
14 & 13 & 15 & 12
\end{array}\right]
$$

$\triangleright$ Question 6 Assume that the first step of the algorithm can only be done by gates that can swap two neighbours (in other words, crossing wires costs time). Show that the first step of the algorithm can be executed in time $2^{m-1}-1$. Deduce that the merging algorithm is executed in time $\leqslant \frac{9}{2} n$.
$\triangleright$ Question 7 Admitting for now that the merging algorithm is correct, write an algorithm sorting sequences of length $2^{2 m}$ over a $2^{m} \times 2^{m}$ grid. Estimate its complexity.
$\triangleright$ Question 8 Show that the odd-even transposition sorting step over a grid is correct (ie, $2 n$ transposition steps in the third phase of the merging algorithm yield a correctly ordered snake).
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