by

# Laurent Berger

# Contents

1. <i>p</i> -adic numbers	2
2. Complete normed fields	2
3. Hensel's lemma	3
4. Extending the norm	4
5. Finite extensions	6
6. Newton polygons	7
7. The field $\mathbf{C}_p$	8
8. The ramification filtration	10
9. Infinite Galois extensions	12
10. The Weierstrass preparation theorem	13
11. <i>p</i> -adic Banach spaces	15
12. Formal groups	16
13. The Tate module	17
14. Lubin-Tate theory	18
15. Local class field theory	20
16. Galois cohomology	21
17. The Ax-Sen-Tate theorem	24
18. Tate's normalized traces	25
19. The different	26
20. Ramification in cyclotomic extensions	27

# 1. *p*-adic numbers

The field **R** of real numbers is the completion of **Q** for the usual absolute value  $|\cdot|$ . This absolute value (norm) is not the only one that can be defined on **Q**. Let p be a prime number. We have the p-adic valation  $\operatorname{val}_p(\cdot)$  and the p-adic norm  $|\cdot|_p$  on **Q**. The completion of **Q** for  $|\cdot|_p$  is the space  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  of p-adic numbers. It is a complete normed field which contains **Q** as a dense subset. If  $x, y \in \mathbf{Q}_p$  then  $|x + y|_p \leq \max(|x|_p, |y|_p)$ . The set  $\mathbf{Z}_p = \{x \in \mathbf{Q}_p \text{ such that } |x|_p \leq 1\}$  of integers of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  is therefore a ring, and  $\mathbf{Q}_p = \mathbf{Z}_p[1/p]$ .

**Proposition 1.1**. — The ring  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  is the completion of  $\mathbf{Z}$  for  $|\cdot|_p$ .

*Proof.* — Take  $x \in \mathbf{Z}_p$ ,  $x = \lim x_n$  with  $x_n \in \mathbf{Q}$ . Assume that  $|x - x_n|_p \leq p^{-n}$  for  $n \geq 1$ . We have  $|x_n|_p \leq 1$  for  $n \geq 1$  so that  $x_n = a_n/b_n$  with  $p \nmid b_n$ . Let  $c_n \in \mathbf{Z}$  be such that  $b_n c_n \equiv 1 \mod p^n$ . We have  $|x - a_n c_n|_p \leq p^{-n}$ .

The ring  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  contains  $\mathbf{Z}$ , as well as any rational number a/b with  $p \nmid b$ . If  $n \in \mathbf{Z}$  and  $k \geq 1$ , we have  $\binom{n}{k} \in \mathbf{Z}$  and  $n \mapsto \binom{n}{k}$  is uniformly continuous (it is a polynomial) hence it extends to a map  $a \mapsto \binom{a}{k}$  from  $\mathbf{Z}_p \to \mathbf{Z}_p$ . If  $p \nmid d$ , a = 1/d and  $1 + px \in 1 + p\mathbf{Z}_p$ , then  $\sum_{k\geq 0} \binom{a}{k} (px)^k$  converges in  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ , to the unique dth root of 1 + px that is congruent to 1 mod p. For example,  $\sqrt{-5} \in \mathbf{Z}_3$ .

The field  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  is an example of a complete normed field. We will study the general properties of these objects. Before we do that, let us mention the following result of Ostrowski. We say that a norm is ultrametric if  $|x + y| \leq \max(|x|, |y|)$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** — If  $|\cdot|$  is a nontrivial ultrametric norm on  $\mathbf{Q}$ , then  $|\cdot|$  is equivalent to  $|\cdot|_p$  for some prime number p.

*Proof.* — By induction, we see that  $|m| \leq 1$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If the norm is nontrivial, there is a prime number p such that |p| < 1. If  $m \wedge p = 1$ , then we can write px + my = 1 and hence |m| = 1. This implies that  $|p^n m_0| = |p|^n$  if  $p \nmid m_0$ , so that there exists c such that  $|\cdot| = |\cdot|_p^c$ .

# 2. Complete normed fields

Let K be a field and let  $|\cdot|$  be a nontrivial ultrametric norm on K, for which K is complete. If a > 1 and if we let  $val(x) = -\log_a |x|$ , then  $val(\cdot)$  is a valuation on K, so we can talk interchangeably about either norms or valuations. Given a space endowed with an ultrametric norm, note that (1) if  $x = x_1 + \cdots + x_n$  and  $|x_i| \neq |x_j|$  whenever  $i \neq j$ ,

then  $|x| = \max |x_i|$ , (2) if  $x \neq 0$  and  $x = \lim x_n$ , then  $|x_n| = |x|$  for  $n \gg 0$ , (3) if the space is moreover complete, then a series  $\sum_{n\geq 1} x_n$  converges if and only if  $x_n \to 0$ ,

Let  $\mathcal{O}_K = \{x \in K \text{ such that } |x| \leq 1\}$  be the ring of integers of K, and let  $\mathfrak{m}_K = \{x \in K \text{ such that } |x| < 1\}$ . If |x| = 1, then  $|x^{-1}| = 1$  so that  $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathcal{O}_K^{\times} \sqcup \mathfrak{m}_K$  and therefore  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is a local ring whose maximal ideal is  $\mathfrak{m}_K$ . Let  $k_K = \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{m}_K$  be the residue field of K.

There exists  $\pi \in \mathfrak{m}_K$  such that  $\mathfrak{m}_K = \pi \mathcal{O}_K$  if and only if  $\operatorname{val}(K^{\times})$  is a discrete subgroup of  $\mathbf{R}$ , ie if  $\operatorname{val}(K^{\times}) = c \cdot \mathbf{Z}$ . We can then take for  $\pi$  any  $\pi$  such that  $\operatorname{val}(\pi) = c$ . Such an element is called a uniformizer of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . We then let  $\operatorname{val}_K$  be normalized by  $\operatorname{val}_K(\pi) = 1$ .

We say that a complete discretely valued field is a local field. For example if  $K = \mathbf{Q}_p$ we can take  $\pi = p$ ; in this case,  $\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{Q}_p} = p\mathbf{Z}_p$  and  $k_{\mathbf{Q}_p} = \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$ . If K = k((X)) and val = val<sub>X</sub>, we can take  $\pi = X$ . If  $K = \bigcup_{n \ge 1} \mathbf{C}((X^{1/n!}))$  (Puiseux series), and val = val<sub>X</sub>, then K is not discretely valued.

**Proposition 2.1.** — Let K be a local field, let S be a system of representatives of k in  $\mathcal{O}_K$  and let  $\{\pi_n\}_{n\geq 0}$  be a sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  with  $\operatorname{val}_K(\pi_n) = n$ . Every  $x \in \mathcal{O}_K$  can be written as  $x = \sum_{n\geq 0} x_n \pi_n$  with  $x_n \in S$ , in one and only one way.

*Proof.* — Let  $s : \mathcal{O}_K \to S$  be the map such that  $s(x) = \overline{x}$ . Let  $x_0 = s(x/\pi_0)$ . We have  $x = x_0\pi_0 + y_1\pi_1$ . Assume that we can write  $x = x_0\pi_0 + \cdots + x_n\pi_n + y_{n+1}\pi_{n+1}$ . We can take  $x_{n+1} = s(y_{n+1})$  and then  $x = \sum_{n \ge 0} x_n\pi_n$ . At each step,  $x_n$  is determined.

Every element of  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  can therefore be written as  $\sum_{n\geq 0} x_n p^n$  with  $x_n \in \{0, \ldots, p-1\}$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** — The map  $\mathcal{O}_K \to \underline{\lim} \mathcal{O}_K / \pi^n \mathcal{O}_K$  is an isomorphism.

Proof. — It is injective because if  $x \mapsto 0$ , then |x| = 0. If  $(\overline{x_n})_{n \ge 1} \in \varprojlim \mathcal{O}_K / \pi^n \mathcal{O}_K$  and  $x_n \in \mathcal{O}_K$  lifts  $\overline{x_n}$ , then  $(x_n)_{n \ge 1}$  is Cauchy, and hence converges to  $x \in \mathcal{O}_K$ , which lifts  $(\overline{x_n})_{n \ge 1}$ .

**Corollary 2.3**. — If K is a local field and k is finite, then  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is compact.

This is the case for  $K = \mathbf{Q}_p$  and for K = k((X)) if k is finite. In general, K is a totally disconnected topological space.

# 3. Hensel's lemma

Let A be a ring and consider  $P(X) = a_d X^d + \cdots + a_0 \in A[X]$ . For  $i \ge 0$ , let

$$P^{[i]}(X) = \binom{d}{i} a_d X^{d-i} + \dots + \binom{i}{i} a_i \in A[X].$$

The following formula holds

$$P(X+Y) = P(X) + Y \cdot P^{[1]}(X) + Y^2 \cdot P^{[2]}(X) + \dots + Y^d \cdot P^{[d]}(X).$$

Note that if *i*! is invertible in A, then  $P^{[i]}(X) = P^{(i)}(X)/i!$ . Let K be a complete normed field. The following result is (one of many results) known as Hensel's lemma.

**Theorem 3.1.** — If  $P(X) \in \mathcal{O}_K[X]$  and  $\lambda < 1$  and  $\alpha_0 \in \mathcal{O}_K$  is such that  $|P(\alpha_0)| \leq \lambda |P'(\alpha_0)|^2$ , there exists a unique  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$  such that  $P(\alpha) = 0$  and  $|\alpha - \alpha_0| \leq \lambda |P'(\alpha_0)|$ .

Proof. — Let  $C = \{x \text{ such that } |x - \alpha_0| \leq \lambda |P'(\alpha_0)|\}$ . We have  $P'(\alpha_0 + h) \in P'(\alpha_0) + h\mathcal{O}_K$  so that  $|P'(x)| = |P'(\alpha_0)|$  if  $x \in C$ . Define a sequence  $\{\alpha_n\}_{n\geq 0}$  by  $\alpha_{n+1} = \alpha_n - P(\alpha_n)/P'(\alpha_n)$ . We claim that  $|P(\alpha_n)| \leq \lambda^{2^n} |P'(\alpha_0)|^2$ . It is true for n = 0 and

$$P(\alpha_{n+1}) = P(\alpha_n) - \frac{P(\alpha_n)}{P'(\alpha_n)} P^{[1]}(\alpha_n) + \left(\frac{P(\alpha_n)}{P'(\alpha_n)}\right)^2 P^{[2]}(\alpha_n) - \dots \pm \left(\frac{P(\alpha_n)}{P'(\alpha_n)}\right)^d P^{[d]}(\alpha_n)$$
$$\in \left(\frac{P(\alpha_n)}{P'(\alpha_n)}\right)^2 \mathcal{O}_K,$$

which implies the claim. This implies that  $\{\alpha_n\}_{n\geq 1}$  is a Cauchy sequence in C and its limit  $\alpha$  has the required properties.

If  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  satisfy the conclusion of the theorem, then  $P(\beta) = P(\alpha) + (\beta - \alpha)P'(\alpha) + (\beta - \alpha)^2 h$ with  $h \in \mathcal{O}_K$  so that if  $\alpha \neq \beta$ , then  $P'(\alpha) \in (\beta - \alpha)\mathcal{O}_K \subset (\alpha - \alpha_0)\mathcal{O}_K$ , contradiction.  $\Box$ 

The theorem applies in particular when  $|P'(\alpha_0)| = 1$ , ie when  $\overline{\alpha_0}$  is a simple root of  $\overline{P(X)}$  in  $k_K[X]$ . For instance  $P(X) = X^p - X$  has p simple roots in  $\mathbf{F}_p$  so that it has p roots in  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ . We therefore have  $\mu_{p-1} \subset \mathbf{Z}_p$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** — If K is a local field of characteristic p with uniformizer  $\pi$  and finite residue field k, then  $K = k((\pi))$ .

*Proof.* — Let  $q = \operatorname{card}(k)$ . By theorem 3.1,  $X^q - X = 0$  has q solutions in  $\mathcal{O}_K$  so that the map  $\mathcal{O}_K \to k$  has a canonical lift. The theorem now follows from proposition 2.1.  $\Box$ 

If K is of mixed characteristic and k is finite, then in proposition 2.1 we can take for S the solutions of  $X^q - X$ , but the addition laws are very complicated.

# 4. Extending the norm

Let K be a complete normed field. If  $|\cdot|_1$  and  $|\cdot|_2$  are two norms on K, we say that they are equivalent if they define the same topology on K. **Proposition 4.1.** If  $|\cdot|_1$  and  $|\cdot|_2$  are two norms on K, they are equivalent if and only if there exists  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $|\cdot|_2 = |\cdot|_1^{\alpha}$ .

Proof. — If there is  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $|\cdot|_2 = |\cdot|_1^{\alpha}$ , then  $|\cdot|_1$  and  $|\cdot|_2$  are clearly equivalent. Assume that  $|\cdot|_1$  and  $|\cdot|_2$  are equivalent. If  $y \in K$ , then  $y^n \to 0$  if and only if |y| < 1and hence  $|y|_1 < 1$  if and only if  $|y|_2 < 1$ . Fix  $y \in K$  such that  $|y|_1 \neq 1$ ; if  $x \in K$ , then  $|x^m y^{-n}|_1 < 1$  if and only if  $|x^m y^{-n}|_2 < 1$  and hence  $|x|_1 < |y|_1^{n/m}$  if and only if  $|x|_2 < |y|_2^{n/m}$ . We find that if  $s \in \mathbf{R}$ , then  $|x|_1 = |y|_1^s$  if and only if  $|x|_2 = |y|_2^s$  so that if  $|y|_2 = |y|_1^{\alpha}$ , then  $|x|_2 = |x|_1^{\alpha}$  for all  $x \in K$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** — If V is a finite dimensional K-vector space, then all norms on V are equivalent, and V is complete for any of them.

*Proof.* — Let  $e_1, \ldots, e_d$  be a basis of V and let  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$  be the corresponding sup norm (for which V is indeed complete). We'll show by induction on dim(V) that any norm  $\|\cdot\|$  on V is equivalent to  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ . If d = 1, this is obvious. We also have  $\|x_1e_1 + \cdots + x_de_d\| \leq \sup |x_i| \cdot (\sum \|e_i\|)$  so that  $\|x\| \leq C \|x\|_{\infty}$  with  $C = \sum \|e_i\|$ .

Let us show that there exists D such that  $||x||_{\infty} \leq D||x||$  for all x. If not, there is a sequence  $\{u_n\}_{n\geq 1}$  with  $||u_n||_{\infty} \geq 1$  but  $||u_n|| \to 0$ . Write  $u_n = x_1^{(n)}e_1 + \cdots + x_d^{(n)}e_d$ . For each n, one of the  $|x_i^{(n)}|$  is  $\geq 1$  and we can assume that  $|x_1^{(n)}| \geq 1$  for all n. Let  $v_n = u_n/x_1^{(n)} = e_1 + \cdots$  and let  $W = \text{Span}(e_2, \ldots, e_d)$ . We have  $||v_n|| \to 0$  so that the sequence  $\{v_n - e_1\}_{n\geq 1}$  is Cauchy in W. By induction, W is complete for  $||\cdot||$ , so there exists  $w \in W$  such that  $v_n \to e_1 + w$ , so that  $e_1 \in W$ , impossible.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 4.3**. — If K is a complete normed field, and L is a finite extension of K, then the norm on K has at most one extension to L.

*Proof.* — Let  $|\cdot|$  be one such norm. The field L is a finite dimensional K-vector space, so by theorem 4.2 all the norms on L are equivalent to  $|\cdot|$ . By proposition 4.1 applied to L, they are of the form  $|\cdot|^{\alpha}$  and since they coincide on K, they are equal.

**Theorem 4.4**. — If K is a local field and L/K is a finite extension, the norm on K extends to a norm on L. The normed field L is also a local field.

*Proof.* — Assume first that L/K is separable. Let A be the integral closure of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  in L. By the same reasoning as in the number field case, A is a finite  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -module, hence a Dedekind domain. Let  $\pi$  be a uniformizer of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . The ideal  $\pi A$  is a product  $P_1^{e_1} \cdots P_r^{e_r}$ . Let val<sub>K</sub> denote the valuation normalized by val<sub>K</sub>( $\pi$ ) = 1. For each i, let val<sub>i</sub>( $\cdot$ ) be the function on A defined by  $xA = P_1^{\operatorname{val}_1(x)} \cdots P_r^{\operatorname{val}_r(x)}$ . The function val<sub>i</sub>( $\cdot$ )/ $e_i$  extends val<sub>K</sub>.

If L/K is purely inseparable, then there exists q such that if  $x \in L$ , then  $x^q \in K$  and then we can set  $|x| = |x^q|^{1/q}$ . This finishes the extension of the norm.

The field L is complete by theorem 4.2.

**Corollary 4.5**. — If L/K is finite Galois and  $g \in Gal(L/K)$ , then g is an isometry.

If  $K^{\text{alg}}$  denotes an algebraic closure of K, the norm on K extends uniquely to  $K^{\text{alg}}$ .

# 5. Finite extensions

By the preceding section, if K is a local field and L/K is a finite extension, then L is also a complete normed field. If  $x \in L^{\times}$ , then  $N_{L/K}(x) \in K^{\times}$  and  $|N_{L/K}(x)| = |x|^{[L:K]}$  so that  $e(L/K) = [\operatorname{val}(L^{\times}) : \operatorname{val}(K^{\times})]$  divides [L:K], and L is a local field.

**Theorem 5.1.** — Let  $\{u_i\}_{i \in I}$  be elements of  $\mathcal{O}_L$  whose images give a basis of  $k_L$  over  $k_K$  and let  $\pi$  be a uniformizer of  $\mathcal{O}_L$ . We have  $\mathcal{O}_L = \bigoplus_{i \in I, 0 \leq j \leq e-1} u_i \pi^j \cdot \mathcal{O}_K$ .

*Proof.* — Let  $S_K$  be a set of representatives of  $k_K$  in  $\mathcal{O}_K$  and let  $S_L = \bigsqcup_{i \in I} u_i S_K$ , which is a set of representatives of  $k_L$  in  $\mathcal{O}_L$ . Let  $\pi_K$  be a uniformizer of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . If  $n \ge 0$ , write n = qe + r. The theorem follows from applying proposition 2.1 with  $\pi_n = \pi^r \pi_K^q$ .

Let  $f(L/K) = [k_L : k_K].$ 

**Corollary 5.2.** — We have e(L/K)f(L/K) = [L:K].

Note that e(L/F) = e(L/K)e(K/F) and f(L/F) = f(L/K)f(K/F).

**Corollary 5.3**. — If  $k_K$  is finite, then there exists  $x \in \mathcal{O}_L$  such that  $\mathcal{O}_L = \mathcal{O}_K[x]$ .

Proof. — Let  $q = \operatorname{card}(k_L)$ . Take  $y \in \mathcal{O}_L$  whose image is a primitive element for  $k_L/k_K$ and such that  $y^q = y$ . Theorem 5.1 implies that  $\mathcal{O}_L = \mathcal{O}_K[y, \pi_L]$ . Let  $x = y + \pi_L$ . We have  $x^{q^n} \to y$  so that  $y \in \mathcal{O}_K[x]$  and therefore  $\pi_L \in \mathcal{O}_K[x]$  as well.

We say that L/K is unramified if e(L/K) = 1, and totally ramified if f(L/K) = 1.

**Proposition 5.4.** — If L/K is totally ramified and  $\pi_L$  is a uniformizer of  $\mathcal{O}_L$ , then  $\mathcal{O}_L = \mathcal{O}_K[\pi_L]$  and  $\pi_L$  satisfies an Eisenstein polynomial over  $\mathcal{O}_K$ .

Proof. — If L/K is totally ramified, then  $k_L = k_K$  and theorem 5.1 implies that  $\mathcal{O}_L = \mathcal{O}_K[\pi_L]$ . Let val = val<sub>K</sub> so that val $(\pi_L) = 1/e$ . If  $x = a_0 + a_1\pi_L + \cdots + a_{e-1}\pi_L^{e-1}$ , then val $(x) = \min \operatorname{val}(a_i\pi_L^i)$  as the vals are pairwise distinct. Hence if  $\pi_L^e = a_0 + a_1\pi_L + \cdots + a_{e-1}\pi_L^{e-1}$ , then val $(a_0) = \operatorname{val}(\pi_L^e) = \operatorname{val}(\pi_K)$  so that  $\pi_L$  satisfies an Eisenstein equation.

Conversely, if  $P(X) \in \mathcal{O}_K[X]$  is an Eisenstein polynomial, and  $P(\pi_L) = 0$ , then  $\pi_L$  is a uniformizer of  $L = K(\pi_L)$ , which is totally ramified over K.

**Proposition 5.5**. — If  $k_L/k_K$  is separable, there exists a unique subextension  $L_0$  such that  $L_0/K$  is unramified and  $L/L_0$  is totally ramified.

*Proof.* — Take  $\overline{y}$  such that  $k_L = k_K(\overline{y})$ , and let  $P(X) \in \mathcal{O}_K[X]$  be a monic lift of its minimal polynomial. By Hensel's lemma, there is a  $y \in \mathcal{O}_L$  that lifts  $\overline{y}$  with P(y) = 0. The extension K(y)/K is of degree  $\leq \deg(P)$  and  $[k_{K(y)} : k_K] = \deg(P)$  so that K(y)/Kis unramified, and L/K(y) is totally ramified. We can take  $L_0 = K(y)$ .

If  $L'_0$  is another such subextension, then the above contruction of y shows that  $y \in L'_0$ so that  $L'_0 = L_0$ .

**Proposition 5.6.** — If  $k_K$  is finite and  $q = \operatorname{card}(k_K)$  and  $f \ge 1$ , then K has exactly one unramified extension of degree f, namely  $K(\mu_{q^f-1})$ .

*Proof.* — If L/K is unramified of degree f, then  $[k_L : k_K] = f$  so that  $k_L = \mathbf{F}_{q^f}$  and  $L = K(\mu_{q^f-1})$  by Hensel's lemma.

# 6. Newton polygons

The theory of Newton polygons allows us to compute the valuations of the roots of a polynomial from the valuations of its coefficients. Let K be a complete normed field, and choose a valuation val( $\cdot$ ).

If  $P(X) = a_0 + a_1 X + \dots + a_d X^d \in K[X]$ , then the Newton polygon NP(P) is the lower convex hull of the points  $(0, \operatorname{val}(a_0))$ ,  $(1, \operatorname{val}(a_1))$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $(d, \operatorname{val}(a_d))$ . The Newton polygon NP(P) is therefore a finite union of segments of increasing slopes, starting at  $(0, \operatorname{val}(a_0))$ and finishing at  $(d, \operatorname{val}(a_d))$ . The first segment can possibly be of slope  $-\infty$  (if  $a_0 = 0$ ). A slope of NP(P) is the slope of one of these segments, and the length of a segment is the length of its component along the x-axis.

**Theorem 6.1.** — If  $P(X) \in K[X]$ , then the number of roots of P in  $K^{\text{alg}}$  with valuation  $\lambda$  is equal to the length of the segment of NP(P) with slope  $-\lambda$ .

*Proof.* — We can divide P(X) by  $a_d$  and assume that P(X) is monic. Assume that P has  $d_1$  roots of valuation  $\lambda_1$  and  $d_2$  roots of valuation  $\lambda_2$ , etc,  $d_k$  roots of valuation  $\lambda_k$  with  $\lambda_1 > \cdots > \lambda_k$ . The coefficient  $a_i$  is  $\pm$  the sum of all possible products of d-i roots.

In particular,  $a_{d_1+\dots+d_{s-1}}$  is the sum of a term of valuation  $d_s\lambda_s + \dots + d_k\lambda_k$  and of terms which are all of valuation  $> d_s\lambda_s + \dots + d_k\lambda_k$  so that

$$\operatorname{val}(a_{d_1+\cdots+d_{s-1}}) = d_s \lambda_s + \cdots + d_k \lambda_k$$

Likewise, if  $0 \leq i \leq d_s$ , then

$$\operatorname{val}(a_{d_1+\dots+d_{s-1}+i}) \ge (d_s-i)\lambda_s + d_{s+1}\lambda_{s+1} + \dots + d_k\lambda_k$$

with equality if i = 0 or if  $i = d_s$  so that NP(P) has a segment of slope  $-\lambda_s$  and length  $d_s$ .

**Proposition 6.2.** — If  $P(X) \in K[X]$  is irreducible, then all its roots have the same valuation.

*Proof.* — Let P be irreducible and let L = K[X]/P. This is a field, which can be embedded in  $K^{\text{alg}}$  by  $X \mapsto \alpha$  for each root  $\alpha$  of P. If two roots had different norms, this would give two different norms on L, which would contradict corollary 4.3.

**Corollary 6.3.** — If  $P(X) = X^d + a_{d-1}X^{d-1} + \cdots + a_0$  is irreducible and  $a_0 \in \mathcal{O}_K$ , then  $a_i \in \mathcal{O}_K$  for all *i*.

**Proposition 6.4.** — Assume that  $val(K^{\times}) \subset \mathbb{Z}$ . If NP(P) has only one slope, a/b in lowest terms, then b divides deg(P) and if b = deg(P), then P is irreducible.

*Proof.* — We have  $\lambda = \operatorname{val}(a_0)/\operatorname{deg}(P)$  so that  $b \mid \operatorname{deg}(P)$ . If P = QR is reducible, all the roots of Q and R have the same valuation so  $\operatorname{NP}(Q)$  has one slope  $\operatorname{val}(q_0)/\operatorname{deg}(Q)$ , hence  $\operatorname{deg}(Q) = \operatorname{deg}(P)$ .

Corollary 6.5. — An Eisenstein polynomial is irreducible.

# 7. The field $C_p$

Let  $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$  denote an algebraic closure of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ .

**Theorem 7.1**. — If  $d \ge 1$ , then  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  has only finitely many extensions of degree d.

For example, if d = 2, then every quadratic extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  is of the form  $\mathbf{Q}_p(\sqrt{y})$  and we need to show that  $\mathbf{Q}_p^{\times}/(\mathbf{Q}_p^{\times})^2$  is finite, which is easy, given the following result.

*Lemma 7.2.* — If  $p \neq 2$ , then  $\mathbf{Q}_p^{\times} = p^{\mathbf{Z}} \times \mu_{p-1} \times (1 + p\mathbf{Z}_p)$ ; for p = 2,  $\mathbf{Q}_2^{\times} = 2^{\mathbf{Z}} \times \{\pm 1\} \times (1 + 4\mathbf{Z}_2)$ .

The result below is known as Krasner's lemma.

**Theorem 7.3.** — If F is a finite extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  and if  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta \in \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$  are such  $|\alpha - \beta| < |\alpha - \alpha_i|$  for i = 2, ..., n where the  $\alpha_i$  are the conjugates of  $\alpha$  over F (with  $\alpha_1 = \alpha$ ), then  $F(\alpha) \subset F(\beta)$ .

*Proof.* — Let K be a finite Galois extension of F containing  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , and take  $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(K/F(\beta))$ . We have  $|\sigma(\alpha) - \alpha| \leq \max(|\sigma(\alpha) - \sigma(\beta)|, |\alpha - \beta|) = |\alpha - \beta|$ . If  $\sigma(\alpha) \neq \alpha$ , then  $|\alpha - \beta| < |\sigma(\alpha) - \alpha|$ , a contradiction. Hence  $\sigma(\alpha) = \alpha$  for all  $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(K/F(\beta))$  and so  $\alpha \in F(\beta)$ .

If  $P(X) = a_0 + \cdots + a_d X^d \in K[X]$ , let  $|P|_G = \max |a_i|$ . The lemma below follows from the continuity of the roots of a polynomial in terms of the coefficients.

**Lemma 7.4.** — If  $P(X) \in F[X]$  is monic of degree d with no double root and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that : if  $Q(X) \in F[X]$  is monic of degree d with  $|P-Q|_G < \delta$ , then for each root x of P in  $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$  there exists a root y of Q such that  $|x-y| < \varepsilon$ .

Proof of theorem 7.1. — If K is an extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  of degree d and  $K_0$  is the maximal unramified subextension of K, then  $K_0 = \mathbf{Q}_p(\mu_{p^f-1})$  with  $f \mid d$  and so it is enough to prove that if F is a finite extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  and  $e \geq 1$ , then F has only finitely many totally ramified extensions of degree e.

Given an *e*-tuple  $a = \{a_0, \ldots, a_{e-1}\} \in \Pi = (\mathfrak{m}_F \setminus \mathfrak{m}_F^2) \times \mathfrak{m}_F^{e-1}$ , one can attach to it the *e* extensions of *F* generated by the *e* roots of the Eisenstein polynomial  $P(X) = X^e + a_{e-1}X^{e-1} + \cdots + a_0$ , and by proposition 5.4, all of them arise this way.

An Eisenstein polynomial is irreducible, and so has no double roots. We can therefore apply lemma 7.4 with  $\varepsilon < \min(\alpha_i - \alpha_j)$  where the  $\{\alpha_i\}$  are the roots of P(X). If  $b \in \Pi$ is another *e*-tuple such that  $|a_i - b_i| < \delta$ , then the polynomial Q(X) attached to *b* has *e* roots  $\{\beta_i\}$  that we can reorder so that  $|\beta_i - \alpha_i| < \varepsilon$ . Theorem 7.3 now implies that  $F(\beta_i) = F(\alpha_i)$  and therefore that in an open neighborhood of  $a \in \Pi$ , the *e* extensions of *F* attached to *b* are the same. Since  $\Pi$  is compact, the theorem follows.

# **Corollary 7.5**. — The field $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$ is not complete.

*Proof.* — The theorem implies that  $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$  is an extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  of countable degree, and so cannot be complete by Baire's theorem.

We let  $\mathbf{C}_p$  denote the *p*-adic completion of  $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$ .

**Theorem 7.6**. — The field  $C_p$  is algebraically closed.

*Proof.* — We prove by induction on deg(P) that every polynomial  $P(X) \in \mathbf{C}_p[X]$  of degree  $\geq 1$  has a root. We may assume that  $P(X) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}_p}[X]$  is monic. Write P(X) = $\lim P_n(X)$  with  $P_n(X) \in \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p[X]$ , and let  $\alpha_n \in \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$  be a root of  $P_n(X)$  so that  $P(\alpha_n) \to 0$ .

If  $P'(\alpha_n)$  does not converge to 0, then Hensel's lemma implies that for  $n \gg 0$ ,  $\alpha_n$  gives rise to a root of P(X). If  $P'(\alpha_n) \to 0$ , then by induction P'(X) decomposes in  $\mathbf{C}_p[X]$ and then  $\alpha_n$  converges to one of its roots, which is then also a root of P(X).  $\Box$ 

The field  $\mathbf{C}_p$  is the smallest complete and algebraically closed field containing  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ . It is known as the field of *p*-adic complex numbers. We have  $\operatorname{val}_p(\mathbf{C}_p^{\times}) = \mathbf{Q}$ . The ring  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}_p}$ is the *p*-adic unit disk and  $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbf{C}_p}$  is the *p*-adic open unit disk.

# 8. The ramification filtration

In this section, L/K is a finite Galois extension of local fields, with  $k_K$  of characteristic p and  $k_L/k_K$  separable (and hence Galois), and  $\operatorname{val}_L$  is the valuation on  $L^{\times}$  normalized by  $\operatorname{val}_L(L^{\times}) = \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $g \in \operatorname{Gal}(L/K)$ , let  $i_L(g) = \inf_{a \in \mathcal{O}_L} \operatorname{val}_L(g(a) - a)$ . Note that if  $x \in \mathcal{O}_L$  is such that  $\mathcal{O}_L = \mathcal{O}_K[x]$ , then  $i_L(g) = \operatorname{val}_L(g(x) - x)$ .

**Proposition 8.1**. — If  $g, h \in Gal(L/K)$ , then

1.  $i_L(ghg^{-1}) = i_L(h);$ 2.  $i_L(gh) \ge \min(i_L(g), i_L(h))$  with equality if  $i_L(g) \ne i_L(h);$ 3.  $i_L(g) = i_L(g^{-1}).$ 

*Proof.* — If  $\mathcal{O}_L = \mathcal{O}_K[x]$ , then  $\mathcal{O}_L = \mathcal{O}_K[g^{-1}(x)]$  and hence

$$i_L(ghg^{-1}) = \operatorname{val}_L(ghg^{-1}(x) - x) = \operatorname{val}_L(hg^{-1}(x) - g^{-1}(x)) = i_L(h)$$

which shows (1). Next,  $i_L(gh) = \operatorname{val}_L(gh(x) - x) = \operatorname{val}_L(gh(x) - h(x) + h(x) - x)$  which implies (2), and (3) is clear.

If  $G = \operatorname{Gal}(L/K)$  and  $u \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1}$ , then let  $G_u = \{g \in G \text{ such that } i_L(g) \geq u+1\}$ . Proposition 8.1 implies that  $G_u$  is a normal subgroup of G. We have  $G_{-1} = G$  and if  $u \geq \max_{g \neq 1} i_L(g)$ , then  $G_u = \{1\}$ . Let  $L_0$  be the maximal unramified subsextension of L/K as in proposition 5.5.

**Lemma 8.2**. — The group  $G_0$  is the inertia subgroup I(L/K) of G, and  $L_0 = L^{G_0}$ .

*Proof.* — By definition,  $I(L/K) = \ker(\operatorname{Gal}(L/K) \to \operatorname{Gal}(k_L/k_K))$  and it is therefore the set of  $g \in G$  such that  $g(a) - a \in \mathfrak{m}_L$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{O}_L$ , that is  $G_0$ .

In the notation of the proof of proposition 5.5, we have  $L_0 = K(y)$  where y is the unique root of P lifting  $\overline{y}$ . If  $g \in G_0$ , then g(y) is also a root of P lifting  $\overline{y}$ , so that g(y) = y and  $L_0 \subset L^{G_0}$ . By comparing degrees, we get  $L_0 = L^{G_0}$ .

If  $\pi_L$  is a uniformizer of L, then  $L = L_0[\pi_L]$  so that  $i_L(g) = \operatorname{val}_L(g(\pi_L)/\pi_L - 1) + 1$  if  $g \in G_0$ . Hence if  $u \ge 0$ , then  $G_u = \{g \in G_0 \text{ such that } \operatorname{val}_L(g(\pi_L)/\pi_L - 1) \ge u\}$ .

Lemma 8.3. — If  $u \geq 1$  then  $G_u^p \subset G_{u+1}$ .

*Proof.* — If  $g \in G_u$  then we can write  $g(\pi_L)/\pi_L = 1 + \alpha$  with  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}_L^u$  and

$$\frac{g^p(\pi_L)}{\pi_L} = \frac{g(\pi_L)}{\pi_L} \frac{g^2(\pi_L)}{g(\pi_L)} \cdots \frac{g^p(\pi_L)}{g^{p-1}(\pi_L)} = (1+\alpha)(1+g(\alpha))\cdots(1+g^{p-1}(\alpha))$$

Since  $g \in G_u$  we have  $g(\alpha) - \alpha \in \mathfrak{m}_L^{u+1}$  and hence  $g^p(\pi_L)/\pi_L \equiv 1 + p\alpha \equiv 1 \mod \mathfrak{m}_L^{u+1}$  so that  $g^p \in G_{u+1}$ .

**Proposition 8.4**. — The group  $G_1$  is the unique p-Sylow subgroup of  $G_0$ .

Proof. — Lemma 8.3 above shows that  $G_1^{p^n} \subset G_{1+n}$  and hence that  $G_1^{p^n} = \{1\}$  if  $n \gg 0$ which shows that  $G_1$  is a *p*-group. We now show that for each  $g \in G_0$  such that  $g^p \in G_1$ , we have  $g \in G_1$ . If *g* is such an element, we can write  $g(\pi_L)/\pi_L = \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_L^{\times}$  and since  $G_0$  is the inertia subgroup of *G*, we see that  $g^p(\pi_L)/\pi_L \equiv 1 \mod \mathfrak{m}_L$  if and only if  $\alpha^p \equiv 1 \mod \mathfrak{m}_L$ , that is if and only if  $\alpha \equiv 1 \mod \mathfrak{m}_L$ .

If L/K is a totally ramified extension, we say that it is tamely ramified if  $p \nmid e(L/K)$ .

**Proposition 8.5**. — If L/K is a totally ramified Galois extension, and if we write  $e = e(L/K) = p^k n$  with  $p \nmid n$ , then there is a unique subextension  $L_1$  such that  $[L_1 : K] = n$ .

*Proof.* — By Galois theory, we have  $L_1 = L^{G_1}$ .

More generally, the ramification filtration on  $\operatorname{Gal}(L/K)$  gives a tower of subextensions  $K \subset L_0 \subset L_1 \subset \cdots \subset L$  where ramification becomes increasingly complicated.

**Proposition 8.6.** — If  $u \ge 0$ , then the map  $g \mapsto g(\pi_L)/\pi_L$  induces an injective group homomorphism  $G_u/G_{u+1} \to 1 + \mathfrak{m}_L^u/1 + \mathfrak{m}_L^{u+1}$ .

*Proof.* — If  $g(\pi_L)/\pi_L = 1 + \alpha_g$  and  $h(\pi_L)/\pi_L = 1 + \alpha_h$ , with  $\alpha_g, \alpha_h \in \mathfrak{m}_L^u$ , then  $g(\alpha_h) = \alpha_h \mod \mathfrak{m}_L^{u+1}$ , so that:

$$\frac{gh(\pi_L)}{\pi_L} = (1 + g(\alpha_h))(1 + \alpha_g) = (1 + \alpha_g)(1 + \alpha_h) \mod \mathfrak{m}_L^{u+1}$$

so that the map is indeed a group homomorphism. It is clearly injective.

**Corollary 8.7**. — The group  $G_0$  is hyper-solvable.

*Proof.* — The group  $G_0/G_1$  injects into  $\mathcal{O}_L^{\times}/1 + \mathfrak{m}_L \simeq k_L^{\times}$  by proposition 8.6, and if  $u \ge 1$ , then  $1 + \mathfrak{m}_L^u/1 + \mathfrak{m}_L^{u+1} \simeq k_L$  so that  $G_u/G_{u+1}$  is a finite dimensional  $\mathbf{F}_p$ -vector space.  $\Box$ 

**Example 8.8.** — Let  $K = \mathbf{Q}_p$  and  $K_n = \mathbf{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n})$  with  $n \ge 1$ , which is a totally ramified extension of K, of degree  $p^{n-1}(p-1)$ , with uniformizer  $1 - \zeta_{p^n}$ .

If  $1 \leq j \leq n$  and  $p^{j-1} \leq u \leq p^j - 1$ , then  $\operatorname{Gal}(K_n/K)_u = \operatorname{Gal}(K_n/K_j)$ .

Define a function  $\varphi_{L/K} : \mathbf{R}_{\geq -1} \to \mathbf{R}_{\geq -1}$  by  $\varphi_{L/K}(u) = \int_0^u [G_0 : G_t]^{-1} dt$ .

**Proposition 8.9.** — The function  $\varphi_{L/K} : \mathbf{R}_{\geq -1} \to \mathbf{R}_{\geq -1}$  is piecewise linear, continuous, increasing, concave, and a homeomorphism  $\mathbf{R}_{\geq -1} \to \mathbf{R}_{\geq -1}$ .

Let  $\psi_{L/K} : \mathbf{R}_{\geq -1} \to \mathbf{R}_{\geq -1}$  denote the inverse of  $\varphi_{L/K}$ , and let  $G^u = G_{\psi_{L/K}(u)}$ . This is the upper ramification filtration of G. For example, if  $K = \mathbf{Q}_p$  and  $K_n = \mathbf{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n})$  with  $n \geq 1$ , then  $G^i = \operatorname{Gal}(K_n/K_i)$ . The following is Herbrand's theorem.

**Theorem 8.10.** — If G = Gal(L/K) and H is a distinguished subgroup of G, then  $(G/H)^u = G^u H/H$ .

# 9. Infinite Galois extensions

Let K be a field and let L be an algebraic extension. We say that L/K is Galois if and only if it is the union of finite Galois extensions of K. If  $\sigma$  is a K-automorphism of L and E is a finite Galois extension of K contained in L, then  $\sigma(E) = E$ . Conversely, if L is a union of Galois extensions E/K and  $\{\sigma_E\}$  is a compatible family of automorphisms, then it gives rise to an automorphism  $\sigma$  of L. If  $\operatorname{Gal}(L/K)$  denotes the group of Kautomorphisms of L, then we therefore have an isomorphism  $\operatorname{Gal}(L/K) \simeq \varprojlim \operatorname{Gal}(E/K)$ . We give  $\operatorname{Gal}(L/K)$  the group topology, so that it is a compact topological group. Galois theory extends to a bijection between closed subgroups of  $\operatorname{Gal}(L/K)$  and Galois extensions of K contained in L, given by  $H \leftrightarrow L^H$ . The extension  $L^H/K$  is then finite if and only if H is an open subgroup of  $\operatorname{Gal}(L/K)$ . For example, we can consider  $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p/\mathbf{Q}_p)$ , which is a large compact group.

For example, if  $K = \mathbf{Q}_p$  and  $K_n = \mathbf{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n})$  then  $K^{\text{cyc}} = \bigcup_{n \ge 1} K_n$  is the cyclotomic extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ , and  $\text{Gal}(K^{\text{cyc}}/K) = \mathbf{Z}_p^{\times}$  via the cyclotomic character. If K is a finite extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ , then every unramified extension of K is of the form  $K(\mu_{q^f-1})$  for some  $f \ge 1$ . The union of these extensions is the maximal unramified extension  $K^{\text{unr}}$  of K. We have  $\text{Gal}(K(\mu_{q^f-1})/K) = \mathbf{Z}/f\mathbf{Z}$  so that  $\text{Gal}(K^{\text{unr}}/K) = \hat{\mathbf{Z}}$ . The compositum of the extensions  $K^{\text{cyc}}$  and  $K^{\text{unr}}$  is an abelian extension of K. When  $K = \mathbf{Q}_p$ , it is the maximal

abelian extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ , by a *p*-adic analogue of the Kronecker-Weber theorem. We'll see later on how to construct the maximal abelian extension of a finite extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ .

The upper ramification filtration is compatible with quotients by theorem 8.10 and can therefore be extended to the Galois groups of infinite extensions. If  $K = \mathbf{Q}_p$  and  $K_n = \mathbf{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n})$ , then  $\operatorname{Gal}(K^{\operatorname{cyc}}/K) \simeq \mathbf{Z}_p^{\times}$  and  $\operatorname{Gal}(K^{\operatorname{cyc}}/K)^i = \operatorname{Gal}(K^{\operatorname{cyc}}/K_i) \simeq 1 + p^i \mathbf{Z}_p$ .

# 10. The Weierstrass preparation theorem

Let K be a finite extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ , let  $\pi$  be a uniformizer of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ , and let  $\mathcal{O}_K[\![X]\!]$  denote the set of power series with coefficients in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . If  $f(X) \in \mathcal{O}_K[\![X]\!]$  and  $z \in \mathfrak{m}_{\mathbf{C}_p}$ , we can evaluate f(X) at z. What can we say about the zeroes of f(X)?

If  $f(X) = f_0 + f_1 X + \cdots$ , let wideg(f) be the smallest *i* such that  $f_i \in \mathcal{O}_K^{\times}$ , so that wideg $(f) = +\infty$  if and only if  $f(X) \in \pi \cdot \mathcal{O}_K[X]$ . A function  $f(X) \in \mathcal{O}_K[X]$  is a unit if and only if  $f_0 \in \mathcal{O}_K^{\times}$ , ie if and only if wideg(f) = 0. We also have wideg(fg) =wideg(f) + wideg(g).

**Proposition 10.1.** — Take  $f(X) \in \mathcal{O}_K[\![X]\!]$  such that wideg(f) = n is finite. If  $g(X) \in \mathcal{O}_K[\![X]\!]$ , then there exists a series  $q(X) \in \mathcal{O}_K[\![X]\!]$  and a polynomial  $r(X) \in \mathcal{O}_K[\![X]\!]$  of degree  $\leq n-1$ , such that g(X) = f(X)q(X) + r(X), and q and r are uniquely determined.

We prove the existence of q and r by applying a standard method, summarized in the lemma below, whose variants are known as "Nakayama's lemma".

**Lemma 10.2**. — Let M and N be two  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -modules, such that

- 1. M is complete for the  $\pi$ -adic topology (ie  $\sum_{k>0} \pi^k m_k$  always converges in M)
- 2. N is separated for the  $\pi$ -adic topology (ie  $\cap_{k\geq 0}\pi^k N = \{0\}$ ).

If  $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{K}}(M, N)$  is such that  $f: M \to N/\pi N$  is surjective, then f is surjective.

Proof. — Take  $n \in N$ . There exists  $m_0 \in M$  and  $n_1 \in N$  such that  $n = f(m_0) + \pi n_1$ . We prove by induction that there exists  $m_k \in M$  and  $n_k \in N$  such that  $n = f(m_0 + \pi m_1 + \cdots + \pi^k m_k) + \pi^{k+1} n_{k+1}$ . This is true for k = 0 and the case k + 1 follows from k by writing  $n_{k+1} = f(m_{k+1}) + \pi n_{k+2}$ .

Let 
$$m = \sum_{k \ge 0} \pi^k m_k$$
. We have  $n - f(m) \in \bigcap_{k \ge 0} \pi^k N = \{0\}$  so that  $n = f(m)$ .  $\Box$ 

Proof of proposition 10.1. — Let  $M = \mathcal{O}_K[\![X]\!] \times \mathcal{O}_K[X]_{\deg \leq n-1}$  and  $N = \mathcal{O}_K[\![X]\!]$  and consider the map  $(q, r) \mapsto qf + r$ . By lemma 10.2, it is enough to prove that this map is surjective mod  $\pi$ . Take  $g(X) \in k[\![X]\!]$ . We can write  $g(X) = g_0 + \cdots + g_{n-1}X^{n-1} + X^nh(X)$  and  $\overline{f}(X) = X^n \times u(X)$  where u is a unit so that we can write  $g = \overline{f}q + r$  with  $r = g_0 + \cdots + g_{n-1}X^{n-1}$ .

We now prove unicity. If qf + r = 0, then reducing mod  $\pi$ , we get that  $\pi$  divides r and hence q. By induction, this shows that q = r = 0.

**Corollary 10.3.** — If  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}_K$  and  $f(\alpha) = 0$ , then  $f(X) = (X - \alpha)q(X)$  with  $q(X) \in \mathcal{O}_K[X]$ .

A polynomial  $P(X) \in \mathcal{O}_K[X]$  is called distinguished if  $P(X) = X^n + a_{n-1}X^{n-1} + \dots + a_0$ with  $a_i \in \mathfrak{m}_K$  for all  $0 \le i \le n-1$ . By theorem 6.1, a distinguished polynomial has exactly deg(P) roots in  $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbf{C}_p}$ .

**Theorem 10.4.** — If  $f(X) \in \mathcal{O}_K[\![X]\!]$  and n = wideg(f) is finite, there exists a unique distinguished polynomial p of degree n such that f(X) = p(X)u(X) where u is a unit.

Proof. — If we apply proposition 10.1 to  $g(X) = X^n$ , we find q and r such that  $X^n = f(X)q(X) + r(X)$ . We see that  $r \equiv 0 \mod \pi$ , so that  $p(X) = X^n - r(X)$  is distinguished, and f(X)q(X) = p(X). We have wideg(q) = 0 so that q is a unit and f(X) = p(X)u(X) with  $u(X) = q(X)^{-1}$ .

The series f therefore has precisely wideg(f) roots in  $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbf{C}_p}$ . If  $f = p_1 u_1 = p_2 u_2$ , then  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are distinguished and have the same roots, so that they are equal.

Corollary 10.5. — If  $f(X) \in \mathcal{O}_K[\![X]\!]$ , then

- 1. we can write  $f(X) = \pi^{\mu} p(X) u(X)$  where p is distinguished and u is a unit;
- 2. if  $f(X) \neq 0$ , then f(X) has finitely many zeroes in  $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbf{C}_p}$ .

Furthermore, the theory of Newton polygons extends to  $\mathcal{O}_K[\![X]\!]$ .

**Theorem 10.6**. — The ring  $\mathcal{O}_K[\![X]\!]$  is a noetherian local ring, with maximal ideal  $(\pi, X)$ , whose other prime ideals are (0),  $(\pi)$ , and (p(X)) with p distinguished and irreducible.

Proof. — Let us prove that  $\mathcal{O}_K[\![X]\!]$  is noetherian. If  $I = (\{f_i\}_i)$ , we can write  $f_i = \pi^{\mu_i} p_i u_i$ and  $I = (\{\pi^{\mu_i} p_i\}_i)$ . The ring  $\mathcal{O}_K[X]$  is noetherian, and therefore so is  $\mathcal{O}_K[\![X]\!]$ .

Let I be a prime ideal and take  $f = \pi^{\mu} p u \in I$  with p of least degree. Since I is prime, either  $\pi \in I$  or  $p \in I$ . If both are in I, then  $I = (\pi, p) = (\pi, X^n)$  so that  $I = (\pi, X)$ .

If  $\pi \in I$  and  $I \neq (\pi)$ , then by the above  $I = (\pi, X)$ . If  $p \in I$  and  $\pi \notin I$  and  $g = \pi^{\nu} qv \in I$ , then  $q \in I$ , and  $q \in (p)$  by euclidean division so that I = (p).

# 11. *p*-adic Banach spaces

Let K be a finite extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ , with residue field k. A p-adic Banach space is a topological K-vector space E whose topology comes from an ultrametric norm  $\|\cdot\|: E \to \mathbf{R}$ , for which it is complete. We say that E satisfies condition (N) if  $\|E\| = |K|$ . If E does not satisfy condition (N), then the norm  $\|\cdot\|'$  defined by  $\|x\|' = |\pi|^{-\lfloor \operatorname{val}_{\pi}(\|x\|) \rfloor}$  is equivalent to  $\|\cdot\|$  and satisfies condition (N). The unit ball  $\mathcal{O}_E$  of E is an  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -module, and  $k_E = \mathcal{O}_E/\mathfrak{m}_E$  is a k-vector space.

The following are p-adic Banach spaces:

- 1. any finite dimensional K-vector space;
- 2.  $\mathbf{C}_p$ , for which  $k_{\mathbf{C}_p} = \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ ;
- 3.  $C^{0}(X, E)$ , where X is a compact metric space and E is a Banach space;
- 4. If I is a set and  $\ell_{\infty}^{0}(I) = \{a_i\}_{i \in I}$  where  $a_i \in K$  and for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the set of i such that  $|a_i| > \varepsilon$  is finite, then  $\ell_{\infty}^{0}(I)$  is a Banach space with  $||a|| = \sup_{i \in I} |a_i|$ .

If E is a Banach space and  $\{e_i\}_{i\in I}$  is a bounded family of elements, then there is a continuous map  $s: \ell_{\infty}^0(I) \to E$  given by  $a \mapsto \sum_{i\in I} a_i e_i$ . We say that  $\{e_i\}_{i\in I}$  is a Banach basis if s is an isometry. If s is merely an isomorphism of Banach spaces, we say that  $\{e_i\}_{i\in I}$  is a pseudo Banach basis.

**Proposition 11.1.** If E satisfies condition (N), then a family  $\{e_i\}_{i\in I}$  of  $\mathcal{O}_E$  is a Banach basis if and only if  $\{\overline{e}_i\}_{i\in I}$  is a basis of the k-vector space  $k_E$ .

*Proof.* — One implication is clear, so take a family  $\{e_i\}_{i\in I}$  that gives a basis of the *k*-vector space  $k_E$ . The map  $s : \mathcal{O}_{\ell_{\infty}^0(I)} \to \mathcal{O}_E$  given by  $a \mapsto \sum_{i\in I} a_i e_i$  is surjective modulo  $\pi$ , so by lemma 10.2, it is surjective. If s(a) = 0, then  $\pi$  divides  $a_i$  for all *i*, and by iterating this, we get a = 0. If ||a|| = 1, then  $\overline{s(a)} \neq 0$ , so that ||s(a)|| = 1. This shows that *s* is an isometry, since *E* satisfies condition (N).

**Example 11.2.** — The set  $\binom{x}{n}_{n\geq 0}$  is a Banach basis of the Banach space  $C^0(\mathbf{Z}_p, K)$ .

*Proof.* — We show that  $\{\binom{x}{n}\}_{n\geq 0}$  is a basis of  $C^0(\mathbf{Z}_p, k)$ . If  $f(x) = a_0\binom{x}{0} + \cdots + a_n\binom{x}{n} = 0$ , then  $f(0) = a_0 = 0$ , and then  $f(1) = a_1 = 0, \ldots, f(n) = a_n = 0$ . Hence the set  $\{\binom{x}{n}\}_{n\geq 0}$  is linearly independent over k.

We now show that the  $\{\binom{x}{n}\}_{n\geq 0}$  generate  $C^0(\mathbf{Z}_p, k)$  over k. If  $f \in C^0(\mathbf{Z}_p, k)$ , then f is locally constant so that there exists  $m \geq 1$  such that  $f(x) = \sum_{a=0}^{p^m-1} f(a) \operatorname{Id}_{a+p^m} \mathbf{Z}_p(x)$ . It is therefore enough to show that if  $a \in \mathbf{Z}_p$  and  $m \geq 1$ , then in  $C^0(\mathbf{Z}_p, \mathbf{Z}_p)$ , we can write  $\operatorname{Id}_{a+p^m} \mathbf{Z}_p(x) = \sum_{n\geq 0} a_n \binom{x}{n}$  with  $a_n \in \mathbf{Z}$  and  $a_n \to 0$ . Let us work in  $L = \mathbf{Q}_p(\mu_{p^m})$ .

If  $x \in \mathbf{Z}_p$ , then  $\sum_{\eta^{p^m}=1} \eta^x = p^m$  if  $p^m \mid x$  and 0 otherwise. Therefore,

$$Id_{a+p^{m}\mathbf{Z}_{p}}(x) = \frac{1}{p^{m}} \sum_{\eta} \eta^{x-a} = \frac{1}{p^{m}} \sum_{\eta} \eta^{-a} (1+(\eta-1))^{x}$$
$$= \frac{1}{p^{m}} \sum_{\eta} \eta^{-a} \sum_{n \ge 0} \binom{x}{n} (\eta-1)^{n} = \sum_{n \ge 0} \binom{x}{n} \frac{1}{p^{m}} \sum_{\eta} \eta^{-a} (\eta-1)^{n}.$$

It remains to check that  $p^{-m} \sum_{\eta} \eta^{-a} (\eta - 1)^n$  belongs to  $\mathbf{Z}$  and  $\to 0$  as  $n \to +\infty$ .  $\Box$ 

The following properties of (real and complex) Banach spaces also hold for p-adic Banach spaces: the open mapping theorem (a continuous bijection between two Banach spaces is a homeomorphism) and the Banach-Steinhaus theorem. The next two results are specific to the p-adic situation.

**Proposition 11.3**. — If F is a closed subspace of a p-adic Banach space E, then F has a closed complement.

*Proof.* — We can change the norm so that it satisfies condition (N). In this case,  $k_E$  has basis of the form  $B_F \sqcup C$ , where  $B_F$  gives rise to a Banach basis of F. The set C then gives rise to a Banach basis of a closed complement of F in E.

**Corollary 11.4.** — If  $f: E \to F$  is a continuous and surjective map of Banach spaces, then it has a continuous splitting  $s: F \to E$ .

*Proof.* — Let S be a closed complement of ker(f). The map  $f : S \to F$  is a continuous bijection, hence a homeomorphism. Its inverse  $s : F \to S \subset E$  is a splitting of f.  $\Box$ 

# 12. Formal groups

Let R be a ring, such as k or  $\mathcal{O}_K$  or K where K is a finite extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ . A formal group (law) over R is a power series  $F(X, Y) \in R[X, Y]$  such that

- 1.  $F(X, Y) = X + Y + \deg \ge 2;$
- 2. F(X, F(Y, Z)) = F(F(X, Y), Z);
- 3. F(X,Y) = F(Y,X);
- 4. there exists  $i(X) \in R[X]$  such that F(X, i(X)) = 0.

A formal group law over  $\mathcal{O}_K$  can be used to define a new commutative group structure over  $\mathfrak{m}_L$  for any extension L of K, by  $x \oplus y = F(x, y)$ . Examples of formal groups are  $\mathbf{G}_A$  given by F(X, Y) = X + Y and  $\mathbf{G}_m$  given by F(X, Y) = X + Y + XY.

**Lemma 12.1**. — Item (4) follows from (1).

*Proof.* — If  $i_1(X) = -X$ , then  $F(X, i_1(X)) = O(X^2)$  by (1). Assume that we have  $i_n(X)$  such that  $F(X, i_n(X)) = cX^{n+1} + O(X^{n+2})$ . We have  $F(X, i_n(X) - cX^{n+1}) = F(X, i_n(X)) - cX^{n+1}F_Y(X, i_n(X)) + O(X^{2(n+1)}) = O(X^{n+2})$  and  $i(X) = \lim i_n(X)$ .

Note that (1) and (2) imply that F(X,0) = X and F(0,Y) = Y. Indeed if A(X) = F(X,0), then  $A(X) = X + O(X^2)$  by (1) and A(A(X)) = A(X) so that A(X) = X by lemma 12.2 below.

**Lemma 12.2.** If  $f(X) \in X \cdot R[X]$  and  $f'(0) \in R^{\times}$ , then there exists  $g(X) \in X \cdot R[X]$  such that  $f \circ g(X) = g \circ f(X) = X$ .

A homomorphism  $h: F \to G$  between two formal groups is a power series  $h(X) \in X \cdot R[X]$  such that h(F(X,Y)) = G(h(X), h(Y)). By lemma 12.2, it is an isomorphism if and only if  $h'(0) \in R^{\times}$ . For example, let F be a formal group and let [n](X) be defined by [1](X) = X and [n+1](X) = F(X, [n](X)) for  $n \ge 1$  and [-1](X) = i(X) and [n-1](X) = F(i(X), [n](X)) for  $n \le -1$ . These are endomorphisms of F.

A differential form on F is an element  $\omega(X) = p(X)dX$  of R[X]dX. If  $f(X) \in XR[X]$ , then  $\omega(f(X)) = p(f(X))f'(X)dX$ . It is invariant if  $\omega \circ f = \omega$  where f(X) = F(X,Y)with Y seen as a constant, ie if  $p(F(X,Y)) \cdot F_X(X,Y) = p(X)$ . By setting X = 0, we get  $p(Y) = p(0)/F_X(0,Y)$  so that if  $\omega$  is invariant, then  $\omega(X) = a \cdot dX/F_X(0,X)$ . Let  $\omega_F(X) = dX/F_X(0,X)$  be the normalized invariant differential form. If F are G formal groups and  $h \in \text{Hom}(F,G)$ , then  $\omega_G \circ h = h'(0) \cdot \omega_F$ .

If R = K, let  $\log_F(X) = \int \omega_F(X)$  (with  $\log_F(0) = 0$ ). This is the logarithm of F.

**Proposition 12.3.** — We have  $\log_F(F(X,Y)) = \log_F(X) + \log_F(Y)$ , so that  $\log_F : F \to \mathbf{G}_a$  is an isomorphism over K.

*Proof.* — Let  $E(X) = \log_F(F(X,Y)) - \log_F(X)$ . We have d/dX(E(X)) = 0 since  $\omega_F$  is invariant, so that  $E(X) = E(0) = \log_F(Y)$ .

For example,  $\log_{\mathbf{G}_m} = \log(1 + X)$ . Over K, any two formal groups are therefore isomorphic. Over  $\mathcal{O}_K$ , this is not the case. For example,  $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbf{C}_p}$  with the law coming from  $\mathbf{G}_a$  is torsion free, but not  $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbf{C}_p}$  with the law coming from  $\mathbf{G}_m$ .

# 13. The Tate module

Let k be a field of characteristic p, and let F, G be formal groups over k. If  $f \in$  Hom(F,G), then the height ht(f) of f is the largest integer h such that  $f(X) = g(X^{p^h})$ .

**Proposition 13.1.** — If  $f(X) = g(X^{p^h})$  with h = ht(f), then  $g'(0) \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* — We first show that if  $f \in \text{Hom}(F, G)$  and f'(0) = 0, then f(X) is of the form  $g(X^p)$ . We have  $\omega_G \circ f = f'(0) \cdot \omega_F = 0$  so that f'(X) = 0. Since k is of char p, this implies that  $f(X) = g(X^p)$ .

Write  $F(X,Y) = \sum a_{ij}X^iY^j$  and let  $F^{(h)}(X,Y) = \sum a_{ij}^{p^h}X^iY^j$ . This is a new formal group, since  $x \mapsto x^p$  is a ring homomorphism of k, and if  $f \in \text{Hom}(F,G)$  and  $f(X) = g(X^{p^h})$ , then  $g \in \text{Hom}(F^{(h)},G)$ . The proposition now follows from the above claim.  $\Box$ 

Let K be a finite extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  and let F be a formal group over  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . The height of F is the height of  $[p](X) \in \operatorname{Hom}(\overline{F}, \overline{F})$ . If F comes from an elliptic curve, then it is of height 1 or 2. If  $h = \operatorname{ht}(F)$  is finite, then wideg $([p](X)) = p^h$ . If  $y \in \mathfrak{m}_{\mathbf{C}_p}$ , the equation [p](z) = y then has  $p^h$  solutions. Since  $\omega_F \circ [p] = p \cdot \omega_F$ , we have  $[p](X)' = p(1 + \operatorname{O}(X))$ , and the solutions of [p](z) = y are distinct.

Let  $M_n = \{z \in \mathfrak{m}_{\mathbf{C}_p} \text{ such that } [p^n](z) = 0\}$ . This set has  $p^{hn}$  elements, it is a  $\mathbf{Z}/p^n\mathbf{Z}$ module, and  $[p]: M_{n+1} \to M_n$  is surjective. Let  $M = \varprojlim_n M_n$ . This is a  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -module, and since  $M \to M_1$  is onto, M is generated by h elements. We have  $M/p^nM = M_n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , so that M is free of rank h over  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ . This is the Tate module of F, also denoted by  $T_pF$ . Let  $V_pF = \mathbf{Q}_p \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} T_pF$ . This is a  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ -vector space of dimension h. The group  $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p/K)$  acts on  $V_pF$ : this is the p-adic representation attached to F. If we choose a basis of  $T_pF$ , we get a map  $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p/\mathbf{Q}_p) \to \mathbf{Z}_p^{\times}$  is the cyclotomic character.

# 14. Lubin-Tate theory

Let K be a finite extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ , with residue field k of cardinality q. A formal  $\mathcal{O}_{K^-}$ module is a formal group F over  $\mathcal{O}_K$  along with a ring homomorphism  $\mathcal{O}_K \to \operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{O}_K}(F)$ ,  $a \mapsto [a](X)$ , such that  $[a](X) = aX + O(X^2)$ . The space  $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbf{C}_p}$  is then equipped with an  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -module structure. Fix a uniformizer  $\pi$  of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  and let  $\mathcal{L}_{\pi}$  be the set of power series  $\varphi(X)$  such that  $\varphi(X) = \pi X + O(X^2)$  and  $\varphi(X) \equiv X^q \mod \pi$ .

**Theorem 14.1.** If  $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_{\pi}$ , then there exists a formal  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -module F such that  $[\pi](X) = \varphi(X)$ . The isomorphism class of F only depends on  $\pi$ , not on  $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_{\pi}$ .

For example, if  $K = \mathbf{Q}_p$  and  $\pi = p$  and  $\varphi(X) = (1 + X)^p - 1$ , then  $F = \mathbf{G}_m$ . In order to prove the theorem, we need a general lemma.

**Lemma 14.2.** If  $\varphi$ ,  $\psi \in \mathcal{L}_{\pi}$  and  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \mathcal{O}_K^n$ , then there exists a unique  $H_{\alpha}^{\varphi,\psi} \in \mathcal{O}_K[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$  such that

1. 
$$H^{\varphi,\psi}_{\alpha}(X_1,\ldots,X_n) = \alpha_1 X_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n X_n + \deg \geq 2;$$

2. 
$$\varphi \circ H^{\varphi,\psi}_{\alpha}(X_1,\ldots,X_n) = H^{\varphi,\psi}_{\alpha}(\psi(X_1),\ldots,\psi(X_n)).$$

*Proof.* — Take any  $H_1(X_1, \ldots, X_n) \equiv \alpha_1 X_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n X_n + O(X^2)$ . Note that  $\varphi \circ H_1 - H_1 \circ \psi$ only has terms of degree  $\geq 2$ . We construct a sequence  $\{H_i\}_i$  of power series with coefficients in  $\mathcal{O}_K$  such that  $\varphi \circ H_i - H_i \circ \psi$  only has terms of degree  $\geq i + 1$  and such that  $H_i \equiv H_{i+1}$  modulo terms of degree  $\geq i + 1$ . Given  $H_i$ , let

$$H_{i+1} = H_i + \frac{1}{\pi^{i+1} - \pi} \left(\varphi \circ H_i - H_i \circ \psi\right).$$

We have  $\varphi \circ H_i - H_i \circ \psi \equiv H_i(X_1, \dots, X_n)^q - H_i(X_1^q, \dots, X_n^q) \equiv 0 \mod \pi$ , so that  $H_{i+1}$  has coefficients in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . Write  $\varphi \circ H_i - H_i \circ \psi = cX^{i+1}$ . We have

$$\varphi \circ H_{i+1} - H_{i+1} \circ \psi = \varphi \left( H_i + \frac{cX^{i+1}}{\pi^{i+1} - \pi} \right) - H_i \circ \psi - \frac{c\psi^{i+1}}{\pi^{i+1} - \pi} + \mathcal{O}(X^{i+2})$$
$$= \varphi \circ H_i + \pi \frac{cX^{i+1}}{\pi^{i+1} - \pi} - H_i \circ \psi - \pi^{i+1} \frac{cX^{i+1}}{\pi^{i+1} - \pi} + \mathcal{O}(X^{i+2})$$
$$= \mathcal{O}(X^{i+2}).$$

The power series  $\{H_i\}_i$  then converge to a series  $H^{\varphi,\psi}_{\alpha}$  satisfying (1) and (2). Furthermore,  $H_{i+1} \mod X^{i+2}$  is uniquely determined by  $H_i \mod X^{i+1}$ , so that  $H^{\varphi,\psi}_{\alpha}$  is unique.  $\Box$ 

Proof of theorem 14.1. — Let  $F(X,Y) = H_{1,1}^{\varphi,\varphi}(X,Y)$ . It is easy to check (1)–(4) in the definition of a formal group. For instance,  $F(X, F(Y,Z)) = H_{1,1,1}^{\varphi,\varphi} = F(F(X,Y),Z)$  and  $i(X) = H_{-1}^{\varphi,\varphi}(X)$ . For  $a \in \mathcal{O}_K$  let  $[a](X) = H_a^{\varphi,\varphi}(X)$ . We show the same way that they are endomorphisms of F. Finally if  $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{L}_{\pi}$ , then  $H_{1,1}^{\varphi,\psi}$  gives an isomorphism between  $F_{\varphi}$  and  $F_{\psi}$ .

**Remark 14.3**. — The group F is of height  $[K : \mathbf{Q}_p]$ .

We are interested in the field  $K_n^{\varphi}$  generated by the  $\pi^n$ -torsion points of  $F_{\varphi}$ . Note that if  $z \in F_{\varphi}[\pi^n]$ , then  $H_1^{\varphi,\psi}(z) \in F_{\psi}[\pi^n]$ . The field  $K_n^{\varphi}$  is therefore independent of the choice of  $\varphi$ , so we can take  $\varphi(X) = \pi X + X^q$ . Note that  $\varphi'(X) = qX^{q-1} + \pi$  so that if  $z \in \mathfrak{m}_{\mathbf{C}_p}$ , the roots of  $\varphi(X) - z$  are all simple. The set  $F[\pi^n]$  is a finite subgroup of  $(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbf{C}_p}, \oplus)$ . Since  $[\pi](X) = \varphi(X)$ , the theory of Newton polygons tells us that  $F[\pi^n]$  has  $q^n$  elements. Let  $K_n = K(F[\pi^n])$  and  $K_{\infty} = \bigcup_{n \ge 0} K_n$ .

**Theorem 14.4.** — The extension  $K_{\infty}/K$  is totally ramified, and  $\operatorname{Gal}(K_{\infty}/K) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{K}^{\times}$ .

Proof. — Let  $\Lambda_0 = \{0\}$  and for  $n \ge 1$ , let  $\Lambda_n$  be the set of  $z \in \mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}_p}$  such that  $[\pi^n](z) = 0$ and  $[\pi^{n-1}](z) \ne 0$ . We have  $F[\pi^n] = \Lambda_0 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \Lambda_n$ , and  $\Lambda_n$  has  $q^{n-1}(q-1)$  elements. If  $y \in \Lambda_k$  and  $[\pi](z) = y$ , then  $z \in \Lambda_{k+1}$ , so that  $K_n = K(\Lambda_n)$ .

The group  $\mathcal{O}_K^{\times}$  acts on  $\Lambda_n$  by  $\alpha \cdot z = [\alpha](z)$ . We have  $\alpha \cdot z = z$  if and only if  $[\alpha - 1](z) = 0$ , that is if  $\alpha \in 1 + \pi^n \mathcal{O}_K$ . Since  $\mathcal{O}_K^{\times}/1 + \pi^n \mathcal{O}_K$  has  $q^{n-1}(q-1)$  elements, it acts freely and transitively on  $\Lambda_n$ . Hence  $K_n = K(z)$  for any  $z \in \Lambda_n$ . Let  $Q(X) = X^{q-1} + \pi$ . The element z is a root of  $Q \circ \varphi^{\circ (n-1)}(X)$ , which is an Eisenstein polynomial of degree  $q^{n-1}(q-1)$ , so that  $K_n$  is totally ramified, z is a uniformizer of  $\mathcal{O}_{K_n}$ , and  $\operatorname{Gal}(K_n/K) \simeq \mathcal{O}_K^{\times}/1 + \pi^n \mathcal{O}_K$ via the map  $g \mapsto \chi_{\pi}(g)$  determined by  $g(z) = [\chi_{\pi}(g)](z)$ .

The extension  $K_{\infty}/K$  is therefore totally ramified, and  $\operatorname{Gal}(K_{\infty}/K) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{K}^{\times}$ , via the map  $g \mapsto \chi_{\pi}(g)$  determined by  $g(z) = [\chi_{\pi}(g)](z)$  for all  $z \in F[\pi^{\infty}]$ .

**Remark 14.5**. — The Tate module  $T_pF$  is isomorphic to  $\varprojlim_n F[\pi^n]$ , and the corresponding Galois representation is given by  $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p/K) \xrightarrow{\chi_{\pi}} \mathcal{O}_K^{\times} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{GL}_{[K:\mathbf{Q}_p]}(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ .

**Remark 14.6**. — The element z above is a root of  $Q \circ \varphi^{\circ(n-1)}(X)$  whose constant coefficient is  $\pi$ , so that  $\pi$  is the norm of an element of  $K_n$  for all  $n \ge 1$ .

**Remark 14.7.** — If  $1 \le j \le n$  and  $q^{j-1} \le u \le q^j - 1$ , then  $\operatorname{Gal}(K_n/K)_u = \operatorname{Gal}(K_n/K_j)$ . If  $n \ge 0$ , then  $\operatorname{Gal}(K_\infty/K)^n = 1 + \pi^n \mathcal{O}_K$ .

# 15. Local class field theory

Let  $K_{\infty}^{\pi}$  denote the extension of K constructed above. It is an abelian totally ramified extension of K. The extension  $K^{\text{unr}}/K$  is also abelian, with  $\text{Gal}(K^{\text{unr}}/K) = \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p/k)$ We have  $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p/k) = \hat{\mathbf{Z}}$ , generated by  $\text{Fr}_q : x \mapsto x^q$ . Let  $\text{Fr}_q$  denote the corresponding element of  $\text{Gal}(K^{\text{unr}}/K)$ .

Let Art :  $K^{\times} \to \operatorname{Gal}(K_{\infty}^{\pi} \cdot K^{\operatorname{unr}}/K) = \operatorname{Gal}(K_{\infty}^{\pi}/K) \times \operatorname{Gal}(K^{\operatorname{unr}}/K)$  be the map given by  $\pi \mapsto \operatorname{Fr}_q$  and  $u \mapsto \chi_{\pi}^{-1}(u^{-1})$  where  $\chi_{\pi} : \operatorname{Gal}(K_{\infty}^{\pi}/K) \to \mathcal{O}_K^{\times}$  is the above isomorphism.

- **Theorem 15.1**. 1. The extension  $K^{\pi}_{\infty} \cdot K^{\text{unr}}$  is the maximal abelian extension  $K^{\text{ab}}$ of K, and the map  $\text{Art}: K^{\times} \to \text{Gal}(K^{\text{ab}}/K)$  is independent of all the choices.
  - 2. If L/K is a finite abelian extension, then Art gives rise to an isomorphism between  $\operatorname{Gal}(L/K)$  and  $K^{\times}/\operatorname{N}_{L/K}(L^{\times})$ .
  - This gives a bijection between the set of closed (resp. open) subgroups of K<sup>×</sup> and the set of (resp. finite) abelian extensions of K.
  - 4. If L/K is any finite extension, then the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{cccc} L^{\times} & \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Art}_{L}} & \operatorname{Gal}(L^{\operatorname{ab}}/L) \\ & & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ &$$

# 16. Galois cohomology

Let G and M be topological groups, with a continuous action of G on M. We define  $H^0(G, M) = M^G$ , the set of fixed points in M under the action of G.

A cocyle on G with values in M is a continuous map  $c: G \to M$  such that  $c(gh) = c(g) \cdot g(c(h))$ . If c is a cocyle and  $m \in M$ , then  $g \mapsto m^{-1} \cdot c(g) \cdot g(m)$  is another cocycle which is said to be cohomologous to c. This defines an equivalence relation on the set of cocyles, and  $H^1(G, M)$  is the set of equivalence classes of cocyles under this equivalence relation. An element of  $H^1(G, M)$  is trivial if it is in the class of the cocycle  $g \mapsto 1$ , that is if it can be represented by a cocyle of the form  $g \mapsto m \cdot g(m)^{-1}$  for some  $m \in M$ . If M is abelian, then  $H^1(G, M)$  is a group, otherwise it is a pointed set.

Suppose that R is a topological ring with a continuous action of G, that X is a free R-module of finite rank d with a semilinear action of G and that  $e = \{e_1, \ldots, e_d\}$  is a basis of X. If we denote by  $\operatorname{Mat}_e(g)$  the matrix of  $g \in G$  in the basis e, then  $g \mapsto \operatorname{Mat}_e(g)$  is a cocyle on G with values in  $\operatorname{GL}_d(R)$ . Furthermore, if f is another basis of X and if P is the matrix of f in e, then  $\operatorname{Mat}_f(g) = P^{-1} \cdot \operatorname{Mat}_e(g) \cdot g(P)$ . In this way, one can associate to the semilinear representation X a well-defined class  $[X] \in H^1(G, \operatorname{GL}_d(R))$ . This way, we get a natural bijection between  $H^1(G, \operatorname{GL}_d(R))$  and the set of isomorphism classes of semilinear representations of G on free R-modules of rank d.

Suppose that M is an R-module with a linear action of G, and that E is an extension of R by M, that is an R-module with an action of G that sits in an exact sequence  $0 \to M \to E \to R \to 0$ . If  $e \in E$  is an element of E that maps to  $1 \in R$  and  $g \in G$ , then  $e - g(e) \in M$  and the map  $g \mapsto e - g(e)$  is a cocyle on G with values in M. If we choose a different e, then we get a cohomologous cocyle, and therefore we can associate to E a class  $[E] \in H^1(G, M)$ . This way, we get a natural bijection between  $H^1(G, M)$  and the set of isomorphism classes of extensions R by M.

Other examples are: if M is abelian and G acts trivially on M, then  $H^1(G, M) = \text{Hom}(G, M)$ . If G is finite cyclic generated by g and M is abelian, then  $H^1(G, M) = \text{ker}(N)/(1-g)M$  where  $N(x) = \sum_g g(x)$ . If G is infinite topologically generated by g, and M is abelian and finite, then  $H^1(G, M) = M/(1-g)M$ .

If  $0 \to X \to E \to Y \to 0$  is an exact sequence of *R*-modules with a continuous action of *G*, then we have a long exact sequence  $0 \to X^G \to E^G \to Y^G \xrightarrow{\delta} H^1(G, X) \to$  $H^1(G, E) \to H^1(G, Y)$ , where the map  $\delta : Y^G \to H^1(G, X)$  is defined as follows : if  $y \in Y^G$  is the image of  $e \in E$ , then  $\delta(y)(g) = e - g(e)$ .

Finally, note that if M is an abelian group, we can define cohomology groups  $H^i(G, M)$ for all  $i \ge 0$ . They are spaces of cocycles, which are certain maps  $c : G^i \to M$ , modulo an equivalence relation.

Let G and M be topological groups as above and let H be a closed normal subgroup of G. We then have a restriction map res :  $H^1(G, M) \to H^1(H, M)$  defined by res(c)(h) = c(h) and an inflation map inf :  $H^1(G/H, M^H) \to H^1(G, M)$  defined by  $\inf(c)(g) = c(\overline{g})$ . Note that G acts on  $H^1(H, M)$  by  $g(c)(h) = g(c(g^{-1}hg))$  and that the action of  $H \subset G$  on  $H^1(H, M)$  is trivial so that G/H acts on  $H^1(H, M)$ .

**Theorem 16.1**. — If G, M and H are as above, then :

- 1.  $res(H^1(G, M)) \subset H^1(H, M)^{G/H};$
- 2.  $\operatorname{res}(c) = 0$  if and only if  $c \in \inf(H^1(G/H, M^H))$ ;
- 3.  $if \inf(c) = 0$ , then c = 0.

In other words, there is an exact sequence of pointed sets :

$$0 \to H^1(G/H, M^H) \xrightarrow{\text{inf}} H^1(G, M) \xrightarrow{\text{res}} H^1(H, M)^{G/H}$$

*Proof.* — If  $c \in H^1(G, M)$  and  $g \in G$ , then  $g(c)(h) = c(g)^{-1}c(h)h(c(g))$  so that g(c) is cohomologous to c and therefore  $c(g) \in H^1(H, M)^{G/H}$  which proves (1). We have  $(\operatorname{res} \circ \operatorname{inf})(c)(h) = c(1) = 1$  so that  $\operatorname{res} \circ \operatorname{inf} = 0$ , and conversely if  $\operatorname{res}(c) = 0$  then we can assume that c is actually trivial on H and then c(gh) = c(g) so that c is inflated from G/H and  $h(c(g)) = c(h)^{-1}c(hg) = c(g)$  so that  $c \in \operatorname{inf}(H^1(G/H, M^H))$ . □

**Theorem 16.2.** — If L/K is a finite Galois extension and G = Gal(L/K), then :

1.  $H^1(G, \operatorname{GL}_d(L)) = \{1\};$ 2.  $H^1(G, L) = \{0\}.$ 

**Lemma 16.3.** — If L is an infinite field and if  $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n$  are the elements of a finite group of automorphisms of L, then  $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n$  are algebraically independent over L.

*Proof.* — This is Artin's theorem on the algebraic independance of characters. See for instance Lang's Algebra, chapter VI, theorem 12.2 for a proof.  $\Box$ 

Proof of theorem 16.2. — Choose some  $U \in H^1(G, \operatorname{GL}_d(L))$ . For  $\alpha \in L$ , define  $P(\alpha) = \sum_{h \in G} h(\alpha)U(h)$ . The cocyle relation gives us  $U(g) \cdot g(P(\alpha)) = P(\alpha)$  so that in order to prove (1), it is enough to show that there exists some  $\alpha \in L$  such that  $P(\alpha)$  is invertible.

We do this in the case when L is infinite (the case of a finite field is an exercise). Let  $\{X_g\}_{g\in G}$  be a set of variables indexed by the elements of G, and consider the multivariable polynomial  $Q(\{X_g\}_{g\in G}) = \det(\sum_{g\in G} X_g U(g))$ . This polynomial is nonzero because the

U(g)'s are invertible, and lemma 16.3 then gives us the existence of an  $\alpha \in L$  such that  $Q(\{g(\alpha)\}_{g\in G}) \neq 0$  so that  $P(\alpha)$  is invertible, which proves (1).

In order to prove (2), choose some  $f \in H^1(G, L)$  and consider the cocyle  $[U : g \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & f(g) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}] \in H^1(G, \operatorname{GL}_2(L))$ . Item (1) gives us a matrix  $M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  such that  $U(g) \cdot g(M) = M$ . Since M is invertible, either c or d is  $\neq 0$ , say c. The relation  $U(g) \cdot g(M) = M$  tells us that g(c) = c for all  $g \in G$  so that  $c \in K$  and also that g(a) + f(g)g(c) = a so that f(g) = a/c - g(a/c) and f is indeed trivial.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 16.4.** — Let L/K be a Galois extension with G = Gal(L/K) and give L the discrete topology. If we consider only continuous cocycles, then  $H^1(G, \text{GL}_d(L)) = \{1\}$  and  $H^1(G, L) = \{0\}$ .

*Proof.* — In both cases, such a cocyle factors through a finite quotient  $\operatorname{Gal}(M/K)$  of  $\operatorname{Gal}(L/K)$  and the field generated over K by all the possible values of the cocycle is also a finite extension of K so that we are in the situation of theorem 16.2.

**Example 16.5.** — Let  $L = K^{\text{alg}}$  and G = Gal(L/K). We have an exact sequence  $0 \to \mu_n \to L^{\times} \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^n} L^{\times} \to 0$ . The resulting long exact sequence and theorem 16.2 give us  $H^1(G, \mu_n) = K^{\times}/(K^{\times})^n$ .

Let K be a finite extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ , with uniformizer  $\pi$ , and let  $G = \operatorname{Gal}(K^{\operatorname{unr}}/K)$ . Recall that  $G = \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p/k)$ . Let  $\widehat{K}^{\operatorname{unr}}$  denote the *p*-adic completion of  $K^{\operatorname{unr}}$ , so that  $\widehat{K}^{\operatorname{unr}} \subset \mathbf{C}_p$ . The group G acts on  $\widehat{K}^{\operatorname{unr}}$  by continuous automorphisms. Let  $H^1(G, \operatorname{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{K}^{\operatorname{unr}}}))$  denote the set of continuous cocycles modulo equivalence.

**Proposition 16.6.** — The set  $H^1(G, \operatorname{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{K}^{\operatorname{unr}}}))$  is trivial.

Proof. — Let  $A = \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{K}^{unr}}$  so that there is a map  $x \mapsto \overline{x}$  from A to  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ . Since  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$  is a field,  $\operatorname{GL}_d(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p)$  is generated by transvections and diagonal matrices, so that the map  $\operatorname{GL}_d(A) \to$   $\operatorname{GL}_d(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p)$  is surjective. If  $U \in H^1(G, \operatorname{GL}_d(A))$  then  $\overline{U} \in H^1(G, \operatorname{GL}_d(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p))$  so that by the triviality of  $H^1(G, \operatorname{GL}_d(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p))$  and the surjectivity of the map  $\operatorname{GL}_d(A) \to \operatorname{GL}_d(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p)$ , there exists a matrix  $M_0 \in \operatorname{GL}_d(A)$  with  $M_0^{-1} \cdot U(g) \cdot g(M_0) \in \operatorname{Id} + \pi \operatorname{M}_d(A)$ . Assume that we have constructed matrices  $M_0, \ldots, M_{k-1}$  with  $M_j \in \operatorname{Id} + \pi^j \operatorname{M}_d(A)$  such that

$$M_{k-1}^{-1}\cdots M_0^{-1} \cdot U(g) \cdot g(M_0\cdots M_{k-1}) = \operatorname{Id} + \pi^k C(g) \in \operatorname{Id} + \pi^k \operatorname{M}_d(A),$$

and note that  $\overline{C} \in H^1(G, \mathcal{M}_d(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p))$ . If we write  $M_k = \mathrm{Id} + \pi^k R_k$ , then

$$M_k^{-1} \cdots M_0^{-1} \cdot U(g) \cdot g(M_0 \cdots M_k) = \mathrm{Id} + \pi^k (C(g) + R_k - g(R_k)) + \mathrm{O}(\pi^{k+1}),$$

and the triviality of  $H^1(G, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p)$  allows us to find  $R_k$  such that

$$M_k^{-1}\cdots M_0^{-1}\cdot U(g)\cdot g(M_0\cdots M_k)\in \mathrm{Id}\,+\pi^{k+1}\,\mathrm{M}_d(A).$$

The infinite product  $\prod_{k=0}^{+\infty} M_k$  converges to a matrix M such that  $M^{-1} \cdot U(g) \cdot g(M) = \mathrm{Id}$ , which proves that  $H^1(G, \mathrm{GL}_d(A))$  is indeed trivial. The proof of the triviality of  $H^1(G, A)$ is similar (and easier).

**Corollary 16.7.** — If  $\eta$  :  $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p/K) \to \mathbf{Z}_p^{\times}$  is an unramified character, then there exists  $x \in \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{K}^{\operatorname{unr}}}^{\times}$  such that  $g(x) = \eta(g) \cdot x$  for all  $g \in \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p/K)$ .

Such an element is called a period of the character  $\eta$ . One motivating question for what follows is: is there a period in  $\mathbf{C}_p$  for the cyclotomic character  $\chi : \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p/\mathbf{Q}_p) \to \mathbf{Z}_p^{\times}$ ?

# 17. The Ax-Sen-Tate theorem

Let K be an extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  contained in  $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$ , and let  $G_K = \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p/K)$ . By Galois theory, we have  $K = \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p^{G_K}$ . What can we say about  $\mathbf{C}_p^{G_K}$ ?

**Theorem 17.1**. — We have  $\mathbf{C}_p^{G_K} = \widehat{K}$ .

Before we prove this theorem, we need to establish two lemmas.

**Lemma 17.2.** — Let  $P(X) \in \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p[X]$  be a monic polynomial of degree n, all of whose roots satisfy  $\operatorname{val}_p(\alpha) \geq c$  for some constant c.

- 1. If  $n = p^k d$  with  $d \ge 2$  and  $p \nmid d$  and  $q = p^k$ , then  $P^{(q)}(X)$  has a root  $\beta$  satisfying  $\operatorname{val}_p(\beta) \ge c$ .
- 2. If  $n = p^{k+1}$  and  $q = p^k$ , then  $P^{(q)}(X)$  has a root  $\beta$  satisfying

$$\operatorname{val}_p(\beta) \ge c - \frac{1}{p^k(p-1)}.$$

Proof. — If we write  $P(X) = X^n + a_{n-1}X^{n-1} + \dots + a_0$  then  $\operatorname{val}_p(a_i) \ge (n-i) \cdot c$  and  $1/q! \cdot P^{(q)}(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-q} {n-i \choose q} a_{n-i}X^{n-i-q}$ . The product of the roots of  $P^{(q)}(X)$  is then  $\pm a_q/{n \choose q}$  so that there is at least one root  $\beta$  satisfying

$$\operatorname{val}_p(\beta) \ge \frac{1}{n-q} \left( (n-q)c - \operatorname{val}_p \binom{n}{q} \right).$$

The lemma follows from the fact that in case (1), we have  $\operatorname{val}_p\binom{n}{q} = 0$  while in case (2), we have  $\operatorname{val}_p\binom{n}{q} = 1$ .

If  $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$ , let  $\Delta_K(\alpha) = \inf_{g \in G_K} \operatorname{val}_p(g(\alpha) - \alpha)$ .

**Lemma 17.3.** — If  $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$ , then there exists  $\delta \in K$  such that  $\operatorname{val}_p(\alpha - \delta) \geq \Delta_K(\alpha) - p/(p-1)^2$ .

*Proof.* — We prove by induction on  $n = [K(\alpha) : K]$  that we can find such a  $\delta$  with

$$\operatorname{val}_p(\alpha - \delta) \ge \Delta_K(\alpha) - \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{1}{p^k(p-1)}$$

where  $p^{m+1}$  is the largest power of p which is  $\leq n$ .

Let Q(X) be the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  over K. Lemma 17.2 applied to  $P(X) = Q(X + \alpha)$  gives us an element  $\alpha' = \beta + \alpha$  such that  $\operatorname{val}_p(\alpha' - \alpha) \ge c$  or  $\operatorname{val}_p(\alpha' - \alpha) \ge c - 1/p^k(p-1)$  depending on the nature of n. We then have  $[K(\alpha') : K] < [K(\alpha) : K]$  while either  $\Delta_K(\alpha') \ge \Delta_K(\alpha)$  or  $\Delta_K(\alpha') \ge \Delta_K(\alpha) - 1/p^k(p-1)$ . This allows us to finish the proof by induction.

Proof of theorem 17.1. — If  $\alpha \in \mathbf{C}_p$  then we can write  $\alpha = \lim \alpha_n$  with  $\alpha_n \in \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$ . We then have  $\Delta_K(\alpha_n) \to +\infty$  and lemma 17.3 gives us a sequence  $\{\delta_n\}_{n\geq 1}$  with  $\delta_n \in K$  and  $\operatorname{val}_p(\alpha_n - \delta_n) \to +\infty$  so that  $\alpha$  is a limit of elements of K.

# 18. Tate's normalized traces

Let  $F = \mathbf{Q}_p$  and  $F_n = \mathbf{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n})$  and  $F_{\infty} = \bigcup_{n \ge 1} F_n$ . If  $x \in F_{\infty}$  and  $n \ge 1$ , then  $x \in F_{n+k}$ for  $k \gg 0$  and  $R_n(x) = p^{-k} \operatorname{Tr}_{F_{n+k}/F_n}(x)$  does not depend on such a k. This defines a  $F_n$ -linear projection  $R_n : F_{\infty} \to F_n$  which commutes with the action of  $G_F$ . Note also that  $R_n \circ R_m = R_{\min(m,n)}$ .

Lemma 18.1. — If  $k \ge 0$  and  $n \ge 1$ , then

$$R_n(\zeta_{p^{n+k}}^j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } 1 \le j \le p^k - 1. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* — The formula follows from the fact that  $\operatorname{Tr}_{F_{n+k}/F_n}(\zeta_{p^{n+k}}^j) = \zeta_{p^{n+k}}^j \sum_{\eta^{p^k}=1} \eta^j$ .  $\Box$ 

The above lemma along with the fact that  $\mathcal{O}_{F_{n+k}} = \mathcal{O}_{F_n}[\zeta_{p^{n+k}}]$  implies that  $R_n(\mathcal{O}_{F_\infty}) \subset \mathcal{O}_{F_n}$  and that  $R_n(\pi_n^j \mathcal{O}_{F_\infty}) \subset \pi_n^j \mathcal{O}_{F_n}$  (where  $\pi_n = \zeta_{p^n} - 1$  is a uniformizer of  $F_n$ ) so that we have the following continuity estimate for the  $R_n$ 's.

Corollary 18.2. — If  $x \in F_{\infty}$  then  $\operatorname{val}_p(R_n(x)) > \operatorname{val}_p(x) - \operatorname{val}_p(\zeta_{p^n} - 1)$ .

In particular, the maps  $R_n$  extend by uniform continuity to maps  $R_n : \hat{F}_{\infty} \to F_n$ satisfying the above properties. If  $x \in F_{\infty}$  then  $R_n(x) = x$  if  $n \gg 0$  so that if  $x \in \hat{F}_{\infty}$ then  $R_n(x) \to x$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

**Theorem 18.3.** — If  $\psi$ : Gal $(F_{\infty}/F) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p^{\times}$  is of infinite order, and if  $x \in \mathbf{C}_p$  is such that  $g(x) = \psi(g) \cdot x$  for all  $g \in G_F$ , then x = 0.

Proof. — If  $h \in \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p/F_\infty)$ , then h(x) = x, so that  $x \in \mathbf{C}_p^{\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p/F_\infty)}$ . By theorem 17.1, this implies that  $x \in \hat{F}_\infty$ . If  $g \in G_F$ , then  $g(x) = \psi(g) \cdot x$  so that if  $n \ge 1$ , then  $g(R_n(x)) = \psi(g) \cdot R_n(x)$ . If  $R_n(x) \ne 0$ , then  $\psi$  is trivial on  $G_{F_n}$ . Since  $\psi$  is of infinite order, we have  $R_n(x) = 0$  for all  $n \ge 0$  and hence  $x = \lim R_n(x) = 0$ .

# 19. The different

Let K be a finite extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  and let L be a finite extension of K. The bilinear form  $L \times L \to K$  given by  $(x, y) \mapsto \operatorname{Tr}_{L/K}(xy)$  is non-degenerate and if I is a fractional ideal of L, we set  $\check{I} = \{y \in L \text{ such that } \operatorname{Tr}_{L/K}(xy) \in \mathcal{O}_K \text{ for all } x \in I\}$ . The different of the extension L/K is the ideal  $\mathfrak{d}_{L/K} = (\check{\mathcal{O}}_L)^{-1}$ . Note that  $\check{\mathcal{O}}_L$  contains  $\mathcal{O}_L$ , so that  $\mathfrak{d}_{L/K}$ is an ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_L$ . Let  $\operatorname{val}_K(\cdot)$  and  $\operatorname{val}_L(\cdot)$  denote the normalized valuations on K and L.

**Proposition 19.1**. — 1. If I is an ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_L$ , then  $\check{I} = I^{-1}\mathfrak{d}_{L/K}^{-1}$ ; 2. If  $I_K$  and  $I_L$  are ideals of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  and  $\mathcal{O}_L$ , then  $\operatorname{Tr}_{L/K}(I_L) \subset I_K$  iff  $I_L \subset I_K \mathfrak{d}_{L/K}^{-1}$ ; 3.  $\operatorname{val}_K(\operatorname{Tr}_{L/K}(I)) = \lfloor \operatorname{val}_K(I \cdot \mathfrak{d}_{L/K}) \rfloor$ .

Proof. — If  $I = \pi_L^r \mathcal{O}_L$ , then  $\check{I} = \pi_L^{-r} \check{\mathcal{O}}_L = I^{-1} \check{\mathcal{O}}_L$ . This proves (1). We have  $\operatorname{Tr}_{L/K}(I_L) \subset I_K$  iff  $\operatorname{Tr}_{L/K}(I_K^{-1}I_L) \subset \mathcal{O}_K$  iff  $I_K^{-1}I_L \subset \mathfrak{d}_{L/K}^{-1}$ , which proves (2). In particular,  $\operatorname{Tr}_{L/K}(I)$  is the smallest ideal J of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  such that  $J \cdot \mathcal{O}_L$  contains  $I \cdot \mathfrak{d}_{L/K}$ , which implies (3).  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 19.2.** — If L/K/F is a tower of extensions, then  $\mathfrak{d}_{L/F} = \mathfrak{d}_{L/K} \cdot \mathfrak{d}_{K/F}$ .

Proof. — If  $x \in \mathcal{O}_L$ , then  $x \in \mathfrak{d}_{L/F}^{-1}$  iff  $\operatorname{Tr}_{L/F}(x\mathcal{O}_L) \subset \mathcal{O}_F$  iff  $\operatorname{Tr}_{K/F}\operatorname{Tr}_{L/K}(x\mathcal{O}_L) \subset \mathcal{O}_F$  iff  $\operatorname{Tr}_{L/K}(x\mathcal{O}_L) \subset \mathfrak{d}_{K/F}^{-1}$  iff  $x\mathcal{O}_L \subset \mathfrak{d}_{L/K}^{-1}\mathfrak{d}_{K/F}^{-1}$ .

**Theorem 19.3.** — If  $\mathcal{O}_L = \mathcal{O}_K[\alpha]$ , then  $\mathfrak{d}_{L/K} = P'_{\min,\alpha}(\alpha) \cdot \mathcal{O}_L$ .

*Proof.* — Let  $P = P_{\min,\alpha}$  and let  $\alpha_1 = \alpha, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_d$  be the roots of P. We have

$$\frac{1}{P(T)} = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{1}{P'(\alpha_i)(T - \alpha_i)}$$

Write  $P(T) = T^d + p_{d-1}T^{d-1} + \dots + p_0 = T^d(1 + p_{d-1}/T + \dots + p_0/T^d)$ . We have

$$\frac{1}{P(T)} = \frac{1}{T^d(1 + p_{d-1}/T + \dots + p_0/T^d)} = \frac{1}{T^d}(1 - \frac{p_{d-1}}{T} + \dots) \in \mathcal{O}_K[\frac{1}{T}],$$

so that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{1}{P'(\alpha_i)T(1-\alpha_i/T)} = \sum_{k\geq 1} \frac{1}{T^k} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\alpha_i^{k-1}}{P'(\alpha_i)}$$
$$= \sum_{k\geq 1} \frac{1}{T^k} \operatorname{Tr}_{L/K}\left(\frac{\alpha^{k-1}}{P'(\alpha)}\right) = \frac{1}{T^d} (1 - \frac{p_{d-1}}{T} + \cdots) \in \mathcal{O}_K[\frac{1}{T}].$$

This tells us that  $\operatorname{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha^{k-1}/P'(\alpha)) = 0$  if  $k = 1, \ldots, d-1$  and  $\operatorname{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha^{k-1}/P'(\alpha)) = 1$ if k = d and  $\operatorname{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha^{k-1}/P'(\alpha)) \in \mathcal{O}_K$  for all  $k \ge 1$ , so that  $P'(\alpha)^{-1}\mathcal{O}_L \subset \check{\mathcal{O}}_L$ .

Take  $y \in \check{\mathcal{O}}_L$  and write  $y = y_0/P'(\alpha) + y_1\alpha/P'(\alpha) + \cdots + y_{d-1}\alpha^{d-1}/P'(\alpha)$  with  $y_i \in K$ . We have  $\operatorname{Tr}_{L/K}(y) = y_{d-1}$  so that  $y_{d-1} \in \mathcal{O}_K$ , and then  $\operatorname{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha y) = y_{d-2} + \operatorname{Tr}_{L/K}(y_{d-1}\alpha^d/P'(\alpha))$  so that  $y_{d-2} \in \mathcal{O}_K$ , and by induction  $y_i \in \mathcal{O}_K$  for all *i*. This shows that  $\check{\mathcal{O}}_L \subset P'(\alpha)^{-1}\mathcal{O}_L$ .  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 19.4.** — If L/K is a Galois extension and  $G = \operatorname{Gal}(L/K)$ , then  $\operatorname{val}_L(\mathfrak{d}_{L/K}) = \sum_{g \neq 1 \in G} i_L(g) = \int_{-1}^{\infty} (|G_t| - 1) dt$ .

*Proof.* — We have  $\operatorname{val}_L(\mathfrak{d}_{L/K}) = \operatorname{val}_L(P'(\alpha)) = \sum_{g \neq 1 \in G} \operatorname{val}_L(g(\alpha) - \alpha) = \sum_{g \neq 1 \in G} i_L(g)$ . Next, note that  $i_L(g) = i + 1$  if and only if  $g \in G_i \setminus G_{i+1}$ , and the second formula follows, by integrating by parts.

**Corollary 19.5.** — We have  $\operatorname{val}_{K}(\mathfrak{d}_{L/K}) = \int_{-1}^{\infty} (1 - 1/|G^{u}|) du$ .

*Proof.* — By the previous corollary,  $\operatorname{val}_{L}(\mathfrak{d}_{L/K}) = \int_{-1}^{\infty} (|G_t| - 1) dt$ . Let  $t = \psi_{L/K}(u)$  where  $\psi_{L/K}$  is the function defined after proposition 8.9. We have  $\psi'_{L/K}(u) = [G^0 : G^u]$ , so that  $\operatorname{val}_{L}(\mathfrak{d}_{L/K}) = \int_{-1}^{\infty} (|G^u| - 1) |G^0| / |G^u| du$ . The corollary follows, since  $|G^0| = e(L/K)$  and  $\operatorname{val}_{L}(\cdot) = e(L/K) \operatorname{val}_{K}(\cdot)$ .

If L/K is a Galois extension, let  $L^u = L^{\operatorname{Gal}(L/K)^u}$ . If L/K is not Galois, and L is contained in some Galois extension M of K, then  $L^u = M^u \cap L$  does not depend on M by Herbrand's theorem. Corollaries 19.2 and 19.5 then imply the following.

Theorem 19.6. — We have

$$\operatorname{val}_{K}(\mathfrak{d}_{L/K}) = \int_{-1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{[L:L^{u}]}\right) du.$$

# 20. Ramification in cyclotomic extensions

Let  $F = \mathbf{Q}_p$  and  $F_n = \mathbf{Q}_p(\zeta_{p^n})$  for  $n \ge 1$ . We know that  $F_n$  is a totally ramified extension of F of degree  $p^{n-1}(p-1)$  and that  $\mathcal{O}_{F_n} = \mathbf{Z}_p[\zeta_{p^n}]$ . If K is a finite extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  and  $K_n = K(\zeta_{p^n})$  for  $n \ge 1$ , the above properties are no longer necessarily true.

**Proposition 20.1**. — If K is a finite extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ , there exists  $n(K) \ge 1$  such that if  $n \ge n(K)$ , then

- 1.  $[K_{n+1}: F_{n+1}] = [K_n: F_n];$
- 2.  $K_{n+1}/K_n$  is totally ramified of degree p;
- 3.  $\chi : \operatorname{Gal}(K_{\infty}/K_n) \to 1 + p^n \mathbf{Z}_p$  is an isomorphism.

Proof. — Since  $K_{n+1} = K_n F_{n+1}$ , the sequence  $\{[K_n : F_n]\}_{n \ge 1}$  is decreasing, and therefore equal to  $d = [K_{\infty} : F_{\infty}]$  for  $n \ge n_0(K)$ . Since  $F_n \subset K_n$ , we have  $f(K_n/F) = f(K_n/F_n) \le [K_n : F_n]$ , so that  $f(K_n/F) \le d$  and  $f(K_n/F)$  is equal to  $f(K_{\infty}/F)$  for  $n \ge n_1(K)$ .

Take  $n \ge \max(n_0(K), n_1(K))$ . We have  $[K_{n+1} : F_{n+1}] = [K_n : F_n]$  so that  $[K_{n+1} : K_n] = [F_{n+1} : F_n] = p$ . In addition,  $f(K_{n+1}/K_n) = f(K_{n+1}/F)/f(K_n/F) = 1$  so that  $K_{n+1}/K_n$  is totally ramified. The extension  $K_{\infty}/F_n$  is then the compositum of the disjoint extensions  $F_{\infty}/F_n$  and  $K_n/F_n$  so that  $\operatorname{Gal}(K_{\infty}/K_n) = \operatorname{Gal}(F_{\infty}/F_n)$ .

**Theorem 20.2.** — If K is a finite extension of  $F = \mathbf{Q}_p$ , then  $\{p^n \operatorname{val}_p(\mathfrak{d}_{K_n/F_n})\}_{n \geq 1}$  is bounded.

*Proof.* — Applying theorem 19.6, we get

$$[K_n : F] \operatorname{val}_p(\mathfrak{d}_{K_n/F}) = \int_{-1}^{\infty} ([K_n : F] - [K_n^u : F]) du,$$
  
$$[K_n : F] \operatorname{val}_p(\mathfrak{d}_{F_n/F}) = \int_{-1}^{\infty} ([K_n : F] - [K_n : F_n][F_n^u : F]) du$$

By subtracting, we get

$$[K_n:F] \operatorname{val}_p(\mathfrak{d}_{K_n/F_n}) = \int_{-1}^{\infty} ([K_n:F_n][F_n^u:F] - [K_n^u:F]) du.$$

There exists a constant u(K) such that if u > u(K), then  $K^u = K$ . In this case, we have  $K_n^u F_n = K_n$  as well as  $K_n^u \cap F_n = F_n^u$  so that  $[K_n : F_n][F_n^u : F] = [K_n^u : F]$  and therefore

$$[K_n:F] \operatorname{val}_p(\mathfrak{d}_{K_n/F_n}) = \int_{-1}^{u(K)} ([K_n:F_n][F_n^u:F] - [K_n^u:F]) du.$$

Since  $[K_n : F_n] \leq [K : F]$  and  $F_n^u \subset F_{\lfloor u \rfloor}$ , the integrand above is bounded independently of n which proves the theorem.

**Proposition 20.3**. — If L/K is a finite extension, then  $\operatorname{Tr}_{L_{\infty}/K_{\infty}}(\mathfrak{m}_{L_{\infty}}) = \mathfrak{m}_{K_{\infty}}$ .

Proof. — Take  $n \geq \max(n(K), n(L))$ . Proposition 19.1 implies that  $\operatorname{Tr}_{L_{\infty}/K_{\infty}}(\mathfrak{m}_{L_n}) = \mathfrak{m}_{K_n}^{c_n}$  where  $c_n = \lfloor \operatorname{val}_{K_n}(\mathfrak{m}_{L_n} \cdot \mathfrak{d}_{L_n/K_n}) \rfloor$  and theorem 20.2 implies that the sequence  $\{\operatorname{val}_{K_n}(\mathfrak{d}_{L_n/K_n})\}_{n\geq 1}$  is bounded. This shows that there exists some constant c such that  $c_n \leq c$  for all n and hence that  $\operatorname{Tr}_{L_{\infty}/K_{\infty}}(\mathfrak{m}_{L_n}) \supset \mathfrak{m}_{K_n}^c$  for all  $n \gg 0$ .

If  $x \in \mathfrak{m}_{K_{\infty}}$  then  $x \in \mathfrak{m}_{K_n}^c$  for  $n \gg 0$  so that  $x \in \operatorname{Tr}_{L_{\infty}/K_{\infty}}(\mathfrak{m}_{L_n})$ .

Let  $H_K = \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p/K_\infty)$ . This result allows us to compute  $H^1(H_K, \mathbf{C}_p)$ .

**Corollary 20.4.** — If  $f: H_K \to p^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}_p}$  is a continuous cocycle, then there exists  $x \in p^{n-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}_p}$  such that the cohomologous cocycle  $g \mapsto f(g) - (x - g(x))$  has values in  $p^{n+1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}_p}$ .

Proof. — Let L/K be a finite extension such that  $f(H_L) \subset p^{n+2}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}_p}$ . Lemma 20.3 gives us  $y \in p^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{L_{\infty}}$  such that  $\operatorname{Tr}_{L_{\infty}/K_{\infty}}(y) = 1$ . Let Q be a set of representatives of  $H_K/H_L$ and let  $x_Q = \sum_{h \in Q} h(y)f(h)$  so that if  $g \in H_K$  then  $g(x_Q) = x_{g(Q)} - f(g)$  and hence  $f(g) - (x_Q - g(x_Q)) = x_{g(Q)} - x_Q$ . The cocyle relation and the choice of L tells us that  $x_{g(Q)} - x_Q \in p^{n+1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}_p}$  so that we can take  $x = x_Q$ .

**Theorem 20.5**. — We have  $H^1(H_K, \mathbf{C}_p) = \{0\}$ .

Proof. — Let  $f: H_K \to \mathbb{C}_p$  be a cocycle, and let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  be such that  $f(H_K) \subset p^k \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}$ . Set  $f_0 = f$  so that  $f_j(H_K) \subset p^{k+j} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}$  for j = 0. If  $j \ge 0$ , lemma 20.4 gives us  $x_j \in p^{k+j-1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}$  such that if we set  $f_{j+1}(g) = f_j(g) - (x_j - g(x_j))$ , then  $f_{j+1}(H_K) \subset p^{k+j+1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}$ . We then have  $f(g) = \sum_{j\ge 0} x_j - g(\sum_{j\ge 0} x_j)$ .

We finish by extending the construction of section 18 to  $\hat{K}_{\infty}$ . If  $n \geq n(K)$ , then  $[K_n : F_n] = d = [K_{\infty} : F_{\infty}]$ . If  $e_1, \ldots, e_d$  is a basis of  $\mathcal{O}_{K_n}$  over  $\mathcal{O}_{F_n}$ , then it is also a basis of  $K_{n+k}$  over  $F_{n+k}$ . Furthermore if  $e_1^*, \ldots, e_d^*$  denotes the dual basis, then  $e_i^* \in \mathfrak{d}_{K_n/F_n}^{-1}$  so that if  $\delta > 0$  is given and  $n \gg 0$  then  $\operatorname{val}_p(e_i^*) \geq -\delta$ . If  $x \in \mathcal{O}_{K_{n+k}}$  then we can write  $x = \sum_{i=1}^d x_i e_i^*$  where  $x_i = \operatorname{Tr}_{K_{n+k}/F_{n+k}}(xe_i) \in \mathcal{O}_{F_{n+k}}$ .

We then define  $R_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^d R_n(x_i) e_i^*$  which gives a projection  $R_n : \hat{K}_\infty \to K_n$ .

**Proposition 20.6**. — If  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $n(\varepsilon)$  such that if  $n \ge n(\varepsilon)$ , then the maps  $R_n : \hat{K}_{\infty} \to K_n$  defined above satisfy  $\operatorname{val}_p(R_n(x)) \ge \operatorname{val}_p(x) - \varepsilon$ .

Proof. — If we write 
$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{d} x_i e_i^*$$
 where  $x_i = \operatorname{Tr}_{K_{n+k}/F_{n+k}}(xe_i) \in \mathcal{O}_{F_{n+k}}$  then  
 $\operatorname{val}_p(x_i) > \operatorname{val}_p(x) - \operatorname{val}_p(\zeta_{p^{n+k}} - 1)$  by  $F_{n+k}$ -linearity,  
 $\operatorname{val}_p(R_n(x_i)) > \operatorname{val}_p(x_i) - \operatorname{val}_p(\zeta_{p^n} - 1)$  by corollary 18.2,  
 $\operatorname{val}_p(e_i^*) \ge -\delta$  if  $\delta > 0$  and  $n \gg 0$ .

The proposition follows.

LAURENT BERGER, UMPA, ENS de Lyon, UMR 5669 du CNRS, IUF

*E-mail* : laurent.berger@ens-lyon.fr • *Url* : http://perso.ens-lyon.fr/laurent.berger/