Cloud materiality What mitigation strategy?

GREGORY LEBOURG GLOBAL ENVIRONMENTAL DIRECTOR

Eco-ICT 2024 autumn school October 7, 2024





Agenda

_	_

Definition and scope covered



Physical infrastructure description



Environmental impact and its measurement



Technological mitigation levers



What about the usages ?

Definition and scope covered

What is Cloud ?

Cloud refers to a system that provides access to IT services (storage, computing, software) through the internet or private networks





The Cloud segmentation

The Cloud is typically divided in 4 segments that cover all or part of the value chain

On-Premises	laaS Infrastructure as a Service	PaaS Platform as a Service	SaaS Software as a Service
Applications	Applications	Applications	Applications
Data	Data	Data	Data
Runtime	Runtime	Runtime	Runtime
Middleware	Middleware	Middleware	Middleware
O/S	O/S	O/S	O/S
Virtualization	Virtualization	Virtualization	Virtualization
Servers	Servers	Servers	Servers
Storage	Storage	Storage	Storage
Networking	Networking	Networking	Networking





Scope covered in this lecture is the one under the CSP responsibility





The Cloud relies on heavy physical infrastructures

Data centers = land + buildings + industrial gears + networks (energy/water/telco)



Data center architectural standard - TIER I

Fundamental requirements

- > Non redundant capacity components.
- > Non redundant distribution path (single path)..

Operational impacts

- The site is susceptible to disruption from a single unplanned event.
- The site is susceptible to disruption from any planned work activities.
- The site infrastructure maintenance can not be performed without the shutdown of the site infrastructure.



Data center architectural standard - TIER II

Fundamental requirements

- **7-Non** redundant capacity components.
- > Non redundant distribution path (single path).
- > 12 hours of on-site fuel storage for "N" capacity.

Operational impacts

- > The site is susceptible to disruption from a single unplanned event. An unplanned capacity component failure may impact the the computer equipment.
- > The site is susceptible to disruption from any planned work activities.
- > The site infrastructure maintenance can not be performed without the shutdown of the site infrastructure.



Data center architectural standard - TIER III

Fundamental requirements

- > Redundant capacity components.
- 7-Non redundant Multiple distribution paths. But only one distribution path is required to serve the components at any time.
- > 12 hours of on-site fuel storage for "N" capacity.

Operational impacts

- The site is susceptible to disruption from a single unplanned event.
- The site is not susceptible to disruption from any planned work activities.
- The site infrastructure maintenance can not be performed without the shutdown of the site infrastructure. During maintenance activities, the risk of disruption may be elevated.

Schematic



Data center architectural standard - TIER IV

Fundamental requirements

- > Redundant capacity components.
- > Multiple active distribution paths
- > 12 hours of on-site fuel storage for "N" capacity.
- Complementary systems and distribution paths must be physically isolated from one another to prevent any single event from simultaneously impacting both systems or distribution paths.
- > Continuous cooling is required.

Operational impacts

- The site is not susceptible to disruption from a single unplanned event.
- The site is not susceptible to disruption from any planned work activities.
- The site infrastructure maintenance can be performed without the shutdown of the site infrastructure.
- During maintenance activity where redundant capacity components shut down, the computer equipment is exposed to an increased risk of disruption in the event of a failure occurs on the remaining path.

Schematic



Note : After any default, N capacity should be maintained.

OVHcloud data centers architectural standard - TIER III+

Tiers Level	TIER I	TIER II	TIER III	TIER IV	
			Cooling	[Elec
Active capacity components to support IT load	N	N+1	N+1 1P 2P	N after any failure	1P 2P
Distribution paths	1	1	1 active 1 alternate 1P	2 simultaneously active	2P
Concurrently maintainable	No	No	Yes	Yes	1P 2P
Fault tolerant	No	No	No	Yes	1P 2P
Compartmentalization	No	No	No 1P 2P	Yes	1P 2P
Continuous cooling	Load density dependent	Load density dependent	Load density dependent	Class A	1P 2P
On-site fuel	12 hours	12 hours	12 hours	12 hours	1P 2P
Annual impact of maintenance and/or unplanned outages	28.8 hours	22.0 hours	1.6 hours	26 min	
Site availability	99,671%	99,749%	99,982%	99,995%	

OVHcloud single power supply server racks: N+1 architecture



OVHcloud dual power supply server racks: 2N architecture



OVHcloud 2N architecture is optimized (2N A/B/C or A/B/C/D)

In case of failure, the service continuity is ensured by a **mutualized** back-up line-up

Whatever the architecture it's all about chasing the inefficiency

Efficiency depends on the load and on the type of equipment chosen Transformers class (A,B,C or D) / UPS conversion mode / PSU 80+ certification (Bronze/Silver/Gold/Platinum/Titanium)

Cooling systems – choosing the right technology

Mechanical cooling only

Chiller

Air treatment station

Cloud materiality – What mitigation strategy ?

Mechanical cooling associated with evaporative cooling

Direct free cooling

Direct free cooling

Non isolated data center

Ventilation system

Indirect free cooling with evaporative cooling

Indirect free cooling with evaporative cooling (OVHcloud proprietary DLC)

Water

Dry coolers with humid media

OVHcloud Cooling Design Resiliency

Water Cooling Modules

(supplying cold water to the "fridge doors" at the back of the rack)

- 2N electrical supply
- 2N water pumps

Dry Coolers

(cooling the warm water generated by servers' *cooling system, using outside fresh air)*

- 50% fans on source A, 50% on source B
- N+1

"Fridge doors"

= 30% of server's heat dissipation

4

(fans + heat exchanger = air cooling system, refreshing the warm air from servers, then supplying tepid water to the Mini Water Cooling Modules)

• 50% fans on source A, 50% on source B

= 70% of server's heat dissipation

(closed-circuit water cooling system (plate heat exchanger) supplying tepid water to cool the servers' CPUs or GPUs)

• 2N

Indirect free cooling with natural water cooling

Environmental impact and its measurement

ICT sector impact on the environment is multifactorial and exponential

Projections show that needs will more than double across all segments*

Resources used (Abiotic resources/biomass/soil movement/water/air) (million tonnes)

Energy consumption (in TWh)

Metal and mineral consumption (Metal/mineral resources as antimony eq.) (tonnes Sb eq.)

*Source – ADEME-Arcep 2023 assessment of the digital environmental footprint in France

The 4 main environmental impact of the ICT

Some (stunning) numbers

2.1-3.9% (2020)	ICT sector contribution to GHG emissions in 2020 (x3 by 2050)
-1000 BC - Today	As much minerals to be extracted by 2050 (circa x2 for ICT vs 2020)
2.5% (2020)	ICT sector demand to global energy demand (x2 by 2050)
4.2-6.6 billions m ³	Al water consumption projection by 2027 (⇔ France)
1400+ (2024)	# of Data centers in Europe (+100 by 2026)

Greenhouse gases emissions (aka carbon footprint)

Indicator : measurement of the amount (mass) of greenhouse gases released into the atmosphere

Since not all greenhouse gases have the same global warming potential (GWP), it was decided to compare them against a standard: carbon dioxide equivalent (CO2e)

By convention : 1 molecule of CO2 has a warming potential of 1 over 100 years For example, 1 molecule of methane (CH4) has a warming potential of 28 over the same period

The carbon balance (tCO2e) can be calculated using annual flow vision (GHG protocol) or life cycle analysis (LCA)

ICT carbon footprint by components and by cycle

Répartition de l'empreinte carbone du

numérique en 2020 par composantes du numérique en 2020 par phase du cycle numérique (%) de vie (%) Equipements Fabrication Fabrication et utilisation des équipements grand public (smartphones, téléviseurs...) 78% 79% 17 Réseaux (fixe et mobile) 5 % Distribution L'utilisation des Impact of the Cloud équipements est $\overline{}$ responsable de 21 % des infrastructure Utilisation émissions du numérique et 21 % comprend l'utilisation des réseaux et datacenters

Répartition de l'empreinte carbone du

*Source – ADEME-Arcep 2023 assessment of the digital environmental footprint in France

Cloud materiality – What mitigation strategy ?

GHG protocol accounting is divided in 3 Scopes

OVHcloud carbon footprint breakdown

Source – OVHcloud 2023 extra financial report

Scopes 1 et 2 break down

Scope 3 breakdown

VI OVHcloud | Cloud materiality – What mitigation strategy ?

CO2

Data center energy efficiency indicator (PUE)

The **PUE** (Power Usage Effectiveness) is the ratio between the total energy consumed by the data center and the electrical energy consumed by the servers or the network equipment

It is measured over 12 rolling months to average seasonality phenomena - it has no unit

This indicator is defined by the ISO/IEC 30134-2 standard

The global average PUE is 1.56* mainly driven by cooling systems

*Source – Uptime institute Global Data Center Survey 2024

PUE components

Waterfall of contribution on PUE per functional area Example OVHcloud Gravelines

Electricity carbon intensity indicator

• The electricity of the data centers is produced from primary energy sources that are heterogenous in terms of carbon intensity

Light Water Reactor Concentrated Solar Power Photovoltaic Polycrystalline Silicon Carbon Capture & Storage Copper Indium Gallium Selenide

LWR CSP

PV

CCS

CIGS

Poly-SI

Renewable energy indicator (REF)

An energy source is deemed to be renewable when the primary energy source from which it is extracted is renewed naturally and continuously Renewable energy is generally clean, meaning it produces little or no polluting emissions Clean, renewable energy is called green energy

Note: not all clean energies are renewable (nuclear for example)

The **REF** (Renewable Energy Factor) is the ratio that measures the rate of electricity from renewable sources relative to the total energy consumed by a data center - it is expressed in %

This indicator is defined by the ISO/IEC 30134-3 standard

Data center carbon efficiency indicator (CUE)

CUE (Carbon Usage Effectiveness) is the ratio of CO2 emissions linked to the data center energy consumption to the electrical energy consumed by the servers or the network equipment

It is measured over 12 rolling months - expressed in kgCO2e/kWh

This indicator is defined by the ISO/IEC 30134-8 standard

The CUE might be a misleading indicator As the energy mix varies greatly from country to country^{*}, the Cloud Service Provider footprint has a huge impact on it

*Information available at https://app.electricitymaps.com/map

Data center water efficiency indicator (WUE)

Reminder: most of the water used by the data centres is the evaporative water used in outdoor cooling systems

Water Usage Effectiveness (**WUE**) is the ratio of the amount of water used directly by a data center to the electrical energy consumed by the servers or the network equipment

It is measured over a rolling 12-month period to average seasonality phenomena - expressed in l/kWh

This indicator is defined by the ISO/IEC 30134-9 standard

The global average WUE is 1.8 l/kWh*

*Source – US Department of Energy 2019

Technological mitigation levers

1- Scope 1 reduction

- Introduction of bio-sourced fuel (HVO100) for a 70% to 80% reduction in generator emissions
- Removal of refrigerants from servers/network/power (replaced by closed loop water cooling systems)
- Waste heat recovery for heating (removal of gas heater in Limburg)

2- Scope 2 reduction via water cooling

Power efficiency: OVHcloud PUE = 1.26 (1.29 previous year) (vs 1.56 industry average which is no longer decreasing in the last 4 years)

New design allows a PUE as low as 1.15

2bis- Scope 2 reduction via waste heat recovery

Back-up generators have pre-heating systems which power ranges from 4kW up to 18kW with a temperature set-up at 40°C (run time close to 50%)

Waste heat recovery feasible with OVHcloud water profile (inlet 27°C – outlet 47°C)

3- Scope 3 reduction and resource savings by extending the lifespan of the components

Reverse supply chain - OVHcloud components reuse ratio between 25% to 36%

4- Water frugality on the heat dissipation front

Due to OVHcloud water profile, evaporative cooling is working only when outside temp is above 25°C

Water efficiency: OVHcloud WUE = 0.30-0.37 l/kWh (vs 1.8 l/kWh industry average)

New design allows a WUE as low as 0.1 l/kWh

5- Resource savings through minerals recovery

Pyrolysis + electrolysis processes to recover Copper and aggregated Gold/Silver/Nickel/Palladium/Platinum from WEEE

6- Land artificialisation reduction via building revamp

Brownfield vs greenfield rate: 27 out of 30 OVHcloud owned DCs are old industrial buildings

6bis-Land artificialisation reduction via densification

OVHcloud servers room urbanization allows a greater U/m²

Typical colocation layout Cold aisle / hot aisle containment 48 U **vertical** racks 104 racks hosted in a 207 m² server room

24 U/m²

Cloud materiality – What mitigation strategy?

OVHcloud

30 U/m²

OVHcloud layout

No cold aisle / hot aisle containment

48 U horizontal racks (stackable up to 4 levels)

128 racks hosted in a 207 m² server room

Immersive cooling : the buzz word ? Overview of current technologies

Heat Exchanger

Two-phase

Volatile fluids with high environmental impact Sophisticated sealed cover is required High electrical efficiency Typical 48U rack Not inflammable

OVHcloud hybrid cooling concept

OVHcloud hybrid server concept

OVHcloud hybrid cooling kills 3 birds with 1 stone

AI : another buzz word ? Numbers are stunning

1283 MWh	ChatGPT3 training (⇔ 600 French households)*
206 GWh	ChatGPT inference (⇔ 90 000 French households)*
2.9 vs 0.3 Wh	ChatGPT vs Google request*
500 000	# of H100 produced by NVIDIA in 2023 (1 500 000 in 2024)**
85-134 TWh	Projected consumption (Pelgium-Norway)*
1/2 litre of water	ChatGPT typical prompt***

*Source – Dr Alex de Vries VU Amsterdam School of Business and Economics **Source – Financial Times ***Source – OECD report / Pr Shaolei Ren University of California Riverside

Cloud materiality – What mitigation strategy?

OVHcloud GPU installed base and findings

Close to **30 000** GPU installed already (NVIDIA, AMD)

Introduced massively in 2021 for the gaming industry

In average severs with GPU dedicated to AI consume **5x** as much electricity as the average one

50 kgCO2e

100 kgCO2e

150 kgCO2e

Typical LCA (from cradle to gate without uncertainty)* :

- Intel CPU range 5 25 kgCO2e
- NVIDIA GPU L4
- NVIDIA GPU L40s
- NVIDIA GPU A100 150 kgCO2e
- NVIDIA GPU H100

*Source – Intel PCF / OVHcloud LCA

Cloud materiality – What mitigation strategy ?

Example of arbitration for Public Cloud platforms

Extending lifespan of GPU through PCI compute platforms

Réseau

public

2 Gbit/s

garantis

Réseau

4 Gbit/s

max.

privé

Example of arbitration for AI platforms

Choosing the right location to train the models

Source – OVHcloud carbon calculator

Cloud materiality – What mitigation strategy?

Example of arbitration for Private Cloud platforms

Choosing the right performance even for the latest range of dedicated servers

Source – OVHcloud carbon calculator

Example of arbitration for Private Cloud platforms

OVHcloud

Cloud materiality – What mitigation strategy?

Choosing second/third life servers for non-demanding workloads

Example of arbitration for Cold Storage platforms

For cold storage instances, archiving on tapes is a sustainable option

OCP JBOD 3576 tCO2e (over 8 years)

110PB 5-year life 16TB Archive drives 3 JBOD per Controller 1.26 Erasure Coding Assumes 20TB drives

Source – IBM LCA published by Shawn O. Brume Sc.D.

VI OVHClOUd Cloud materiality – What mitigation strategy ?

IBM TS4500 369 tCO2e (over 8 years)

110 PB 15-year life 75TB/HR Data Rate 60 Tape drives 6112 Cartridges