Adapting Distributed Shared Memory Applications in Diverse Environments

Daniel Potts and Ihor Kuz

danielp@cse.unsw.edu.au



University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia

and

National ICT Australia

Overview

- Motivation
- Related work
- View model
- Experiments and Results

Motivation: An Application

Application:

A Matrix Multiply implemented using Lazy Release Consistency (LRC) for a cluster of Linux nodes with Ethernet interconnect.



Problem: Computation environments are diverse.



• Poor resource utilisation



- Poor resource utilisation
- Environment structure ignored



- Poor resource utilisation
- Environment structure ignored
- Heterogeneous environments poorly supported



- Poor resource utilisation
- Environment structure ignored
- Heterogeneous environments poorly supported
- Wide-area poorly supported

Goals

- Run-time adaption to different homogeneous environments
- Optimise for environment structure

• Utilise resources of heterogeneous environments

- Run-time adaption to different homogeneous environments:
 - \Rightarrow Protocol selection in DSM-PM2
- Optimise for environment structure:

• Utilise resources of heterogeneous environments:

- Run-time adaption to different homogeneous environments:
 - \Rightarrow Protocol selection in DSM-PM2
- Optimise for environment structure:
 - \Rightarrow Home-based protocols eg. Home-based LRC
 - \Rightarrow Hybrid protocols eg. Albatross
- Utilise resources of heterogeneous environments:

- Run-time adaption to different homogeneous environments:
 - \Rightarrow Protocol selection in DSM-PM2
- Optimise for environment structure:
 - \Rightarrow Home-based protocols eg. Home-based LRC
 - \Rightarrow Hybrid protocols eg. Albatross
- Utilise resources of heterogeneous environments:
 - ⇒ Poor performing generic software protocols

- Run-time adaption to different homogeneous environments:
 - \Rightarrow Protocol selection in DSM-PM2
- Optimise for environment structure:
 - \Rightarrow Home-based protocols eg. Home-based LRC
 - \Rightarrow Hybrid protocols eg. Albatross
- Utilise resources of heterogeneous environments:
 - ⇒ Poor performing generic software protocols

X No overall solution

Can we develop a flexible model to meet goals?

View Model

Views: An abstraction for protocol encapsulation

- The view model separates:
 - programming model,
 - consistency protocol,
 - communication protocol,
 - sharing interactions,
 - execution environments,

to give us *flexibility*.

Approach using Views



Approach using Views



- Green view: single protocol, identical to traditional approach
- Purple view: data access localised to clusters

Approach using Views



- Green view: single protocol, identical to traditional approach
- Purple view: data access localised to clusters
- Pink/Blue view: use optimised protocols

Views: Non-Overlapping



- View clients (e.g. C1) represent data sharers such as threads.
- An application may utilise many views.
- Can use different data sharing semantics for different data regions.

Views: Overlapping



- Each view may have a different consistency behaviour
- Views interact to represent the same data element
- Conceptual client CX proxies operations
- CX provided for *free* by view model
- Can use different data sharing semantics for same region

✓ Great for heterogeneous environments!

Views: Mapped Views



- Extension to overlapping views.
- Mapping client implements a mapping function that translates view operations

Experiment: DSM Matrix Multiply

- 1200 x 1200 matrix multiply
- Cluster 1: Itanium 4-way SMP
- Cluster 2: Itanium 4-way ccNUMA + six Itanium 2-way SMP
- Cluster 2 has 1000Mbit internal switch
- Cluster 1 and 2 are connected via 100Mbit link
- Three view configurations: traditional, two domain, multi-protocol two domain.

Environment:



• Multi-cluster of 20 CPUs.

Traditional/single domain:



• Strict consistency over all nodes.

Two locality domains:



• Two views of strict consistency.

Two locality domains, multi-protocol:



- Two views of strict consistency.
- Internal multi-reader/multi-writer (MRMW) views on each multi-processor.

Matrix Multiply Results



- Poor traditional performance due to false-sharing
- Two domain improves performance by reducing inter-cluster communication
- Two domain with protocol selection improves performance further by utilising ccNUMA/SMP resources



- Clients on same node communicate using view interface operations
- Separation of client and protocol pager



 Pagers communicate with remote clients just like other clients



- Protocol selection requires only change of view specification
- No change to clients necessary



- Pagers communicate with different views just like other clients
- Views encapsulate a group of data sharers

How do Views work? Views Operations

requests updates for given region update request propagate updates for given region update propagate protection request request access for given region **protection propagate** indication of new region access request a synchronisation token token request receive a synchronisation token token response view create create a new view view select select a view for use view unselect release a view

Creating and Using Views

Combination of three methods:

- 1. direct application use,
- 2. middleware or library,
- 3. system and administrative domains.

Example application use

```
view1 = view_create (0, base, end, Strict);
view_select (view1);
```



Creating and Using Views

Combination of three methods:

- 1. direct application use,
- 2. middleware or library,
- 3. system and administrative domains.

Example application use

```
view1 = view_create (0, base, end, Strict);
view2 = view_create (view1, base, end, MRMW);
view select (view2);
```



Conclusions

Summary:

- Resource utilisation and performance improvements
- Protocol inter-operability avoids new hybrid protocols

Future work:

- Other programming models such as MPI.
 - Already examined single-sided MPI.
- Programming model interoperability
- Views for bulk data transfer, check-pointing etc.
- Comprehensive benchmarking: SPLASH, NAS, etc.
- Views in a wide area, single-system-image environment.

Adapting Distributed Shared Memory Applications in Diverse Environments

University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia

danielp@cse.unsw.edu.au



Questions?

Application Interoperability



 Different view pagers communicate using view interface operations

. .

• Mechanism for visualisation, multi-model applications,

Index

- Overview (page 2)
- Motivation: Application (page 3)
- Motivation: Diverse Environments (page 4)
- Goals and Related Work (page 5)
- The View Model (page 6)
- Approach using Views (page 7)
- Views: Non-Overlapping (page 8)
- Views: Overlapping (page 9)
- Views: Mapped (page 10)
- Experiment: Matrix Multiply (page 11)
- Experiment: View Configurations (page 12)
- Experiment: Results (page 13)
- View Architecture (page 14)
- View Operations (page 15)
- Creating an Using Views (page 16)
- Conclusion (page 17)
- Application Inter-operability (MPI) (page 19)