Analyzing Power Decisions in Data Center Powered by Renewable Sources

Igor Fontana de Nardin, Patricia Stolf, and Stephane Caux LAPLACE, IRIT, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, Toulouse INP, UT3

Green Days 2023 @ Lyon





- 1 Introduction
- 2 Problem statement
- 3 Model
- 4 Experiments
- 5 Results
- 6 Conclusion







Introduction

Introduction











Problem statement

Problem statement











Remark

The *offline* uses two predictions (workload and weather) and two constraints (initial and target storage level) to decide the actions for the next 3-day time window.



March 28, 2023 6/23

Problem statement







Problem statement





March 28, 2023 6/23







The main goals of online scheduling are to **place the jobs** on servers and **avoid killing jobs**.







The main goals of online scheduling are to **place the jobs** on servers and avoid killing jobs.

We have implemented a well-known algorithm named Easy-Backfilling.





The main goals of online scheduling are to place the jobs on servers and avoid killing jobs.

We have sorted the waiting queue by the slowdown.

$$slow_j = \frac{wait_j + wall_j}{wall_j}$$

(1)

Where:

- \blacksquare *slow_j* is the slowdown;
- wait_j is the waiting time;

 wall_j is the walltime. Walltime is the maximum execution time of a job given by the user;

I. Fontana

Analyzing Power Decisions

March 28, 2023 _10,



Mode



The main goals of online scheduling are to place the jobs on servers and **avoid killing jobs**.

A job is killed in one of below situations:

- Server goes to sleep. In this case, we try to use more battery than planned to maintain the server running;
- 2 Walltime is reached. Trying to avoid this, we estimate the total work to do and try to maintain the speed $Flops_{s,d}$ from the equation: (2)

 $(wall_i - elapTime_i) \times Flops_{s,d} \ge jobFlop_i - elapFlop_i$

Where:

- \blacksquare *elapTime*_{*i*} is elapsed time;
- \blacksquare *Flops*_{*s*,*d*} is speed of the server in flop per second;
- $ightharpoonup jobFlop_i$ is an estimate of the FLOP to run;
- \blacksquare $elapFlop_i$ is how much the jobs have run already;

I Fontana





Workload and weather real values can vary from the estimations.

We consider three types of variations:

- Renewable production;
- 2 Server idleness;
- **3** Scheduling changes.





So, we propose four policies of compensation to deal with these variations.



The main goal is to have the storage level as close to the plan as possible at the end of the time window.



















Data center

We have simulated a platform from Grid5000 ^a using 400 servers with eight different types:

Dahu;

- Grvingt;
- Parasilo;
- Chifflet;
- Grisou;
- Chetemi;
- Gros;
- Graffiti.

^ahttps://www.grid5000.fr



Renewable production

We have used three power profiles collected from the Renewable ninja website ^{*a*}.



We have created two scenarios:

- Offline uses profile 1 and online uses profile 2 (less energy).
- Offline uses profile 1 and online uses profile 3 (more energy).





^ahttps://www.renewables.ninja/





^aPierre-François Dutot et al. "Batsim: a realistic language-independent resources and jobs management systems simulator". In: *Job Scheduling Strategies for Parallel Processing*. Springer. 2015, pp. 178–197.

^bHenri Casanova. "Simgrid: A toolkit for the simulation of application scheduling". In: Proceedings First IEEE/ACM International Symposium on Cluster Computing and the Grid. IEEE. 2001, pp. 430-437.



We have compared our four policies (*Peak*, *Next*, *Last*, and *Load*) with:

- Baseline: This execution applies the offline plan with no changes;
- Power reactive: This execution configures the servers according to the renewable power available;
- Workload reactive: This execution places any incoming job on a server. It uses a Dynamic power management (DPM) technique¹ to define the moment to turn off the servers.

¹Issam Raïs et al. "Quantifying the impact of shutdown techniques for energy-efficient data centers". In: *Concurrency and Computation: Practice and Experience* 30.17 (2018), e4471.







Hydrogen (Target 300 kg)



Battery (Target 50%)



I. Fontana

Analyzing Power Decisions

March 28, 2023





















I. Fontana

Analyzing Power Decisions

March 28, 2023

المجاهدة المحمد الم المحمد المحم المحمد ال

Hydrogen (Target 300 kg)



Battery (Target 50%)



I. Fontana

Analyzing Power Decisions

March 28, 2023





I. Fontana

Analyzing Power Decisions

March 28, 2023





Conclusion



Just following an offline plan may not be sufficient to deal with all elements of a renewable-only data center.

This work presented a model for online adaptations to change an offline plan, aiming to improve jobs finished and deal with power fluctuations.

Future works:

- 1 Create a reinforcement learning algorithm to learn which policy to use in each case. Well, we have tried, and it is not so good;
- 2 Create a heuristic mixing prediction and scheduling.



Conclusion

Merci ! Thank you! Obrigado!

https://www.irit.fr/datazero/
igor.fdn@gmail.com

Acknowledgment

This work was partly supported by the French Research Agency under the project Datazero 2 (ANR-19-CE25-0016)

I. Fontana

