

**Green Days** @ Toulouse

#### Segment Routing based Traffic Engineering for Energy Efficient Backbone Networks

Radu Cârpa, Olivier Glück, Laurent Lefèvre radu.carpa@ens-lyon.fr

16 / 03 / 2015



### Outline

- Context: energy efficiency and backbone networks
- Switching OFF/ON for energy efficiency
  - Why?
  - What?
  - How?
- Simulation
- Results
- Future work

Innía

### Some messages from our planet

Ice melting: 500Gtons/year in 2011-2014 x2/x3 increase compared to averages in 2003 - 2009 ocean rising > 1 m (2100)

Temperature increasing  $(2^{\circ}C - 2100) \rightarrow 4^{\circ}C$  (50% chance – 2100)

No more oil in 50 years ... more coal usage...

IT -> electricity -> CO2 -> impact







### **Backbone networks**



### **Backbone networks**

- High speed core networks
- Relatively small number of nodes
- High speed ports
- The network must not be disconnected

- Based on optical fibers
- High energy consumption
- Overprovisioning

# Telecom Italia



### AT & T





### **Energy proportionality**

#### <u>Servers</u>

Idle power consumption Non-linear increase of energy efficiency



\*Luiz André Barroso and Urs Hölzle, « The case for Energy-Proportional Computing », IEEE Computer, 2007

#### <u>Network</u>

Innia

Even less proportional

Energy consumption almost constant



# Increasing the energy efficiency

### Google's way: increase the utilization!



\*Sushant Jain, Alok Kumar et al. «B4: experience with a globally-deployed software defined wan». SIGCOMM Comput. Commun. Rev. 43, 4 (August 2013), 3-14.



### Increasing the energy efficiency

Our way: increase the utilization ...

... of some links

Completely unload other links and switch them off - lesser trafic in the network = more savings possible



**Overprovisioning** 



Distribution of link utilization in the Geant network



### **On-demand ON/OFF**

During the off-peak hours, choose the best links to be switched off

- May be easy (inefficient), up to NP-hard
- Using link utilization (actual solution), or flow matrices:
  - In most cases are difficult to obtain
  - Can be estimated, but error-prone and expensive

During the rush periods, find the links to be **switched on** 

• Can be even more complex



### Choosing what to switch off/on in a multi-layered network

\*Van Heddeghem, Ward, Filip Idzikowski et al.. 2012. "Power Consumption Modeling in Optical Multilayer Networks." Photonic Network Communications 24 (2): 86–102

	Power consumption [Watt]	
1 Gbps port	7 W	
2.5 Gbps port	15 W	
10 Gbps port	34 W	
40 Gbps port	160 W	
100 Gbps port	360 W	
400 Gbps port	(1236 W)	
1 Tbps port	(2794 W)	
	IP Laver	



Inría

### Choosing what to switch off/on

#### **On optical layer**

- Switching off optical fibers
- Allows to switch off amplifiers
- 17 -> 1870 W
- Need to reconfigure optical paths
- Amplifiers switch ON is long



#### **On IP layer**

- Switching off IP links
- Allows to switch off router ports
- 2 -> 5588 W
- Need to re-route in IP layer
- Ports switch ON is faster than amplifiers switch ON





### **Coordinating the switch off/on process**

Usualy used In optical transport networks : GMPLS distributed management.

Industry moving towards centralized network management: SDN

Good news for energy efficiency !

SDN controller can coordinate the switch-off/on optimaly Use trafic matrices for optimal rerouting Without disconnecting the network Fast reaction to increase in network load



### **Rerouting: SPRING/Segment Routing**

#### Source Packet Routing In NetworkinG

Current IETF draft (last update on march 3, 2015)

Source routing protocol designed for trafic engineering

Robust and scalable









(nría\_















### Simulation

in the OMNeT++ simulator Implementation of SPRING Full emulation of a network Including management protocols





client5

3

lifecycleController

server1

server5

### Simulation results on backbone topologies

Network load, our solution's overhead

% of links in Minimum Spanning Tree

Time

(a) Impact on link loads

Time (c) Impact on link loads

Initial network load

% of links ON

Network load, our solution's overhead

% of links in Minimum Spanning Tree

Initial network load

% of links ON

100%

50%

25%

0%

100%

75%

50%

25%

0%

15h 18h 21h 24h

15h 18h 21h 24h

500

400

300

200

100

0

100

80

60

40

20

0

0h 3h 6h 9h 12h

Innia

Network Load (Gbps)

0h 3h

6h 9h 12h

Network Load (Gbps)



Time

(d) Impact on end-to-end delay

- 22

### Conclusion

Solution fully implemented in OMNeT++

Proving SPRING may be the right choice for energy efficient traffic engineering

Reducing the energy consumed in ports by almost 50%:





204 kWh/day

Germany50:



522 kWh/day



### **Next Steps**

NetFPGA test-bed Implementing and testing the proposed solution on a network of NetFPGA devices



OMNeT++: we'll try to push our changes upstream Opaque LSA option for OSPF SPRING

Ínría

## **Thank You**



radu.carpa@ens-lyon.fr