## Leftover exercises from 2018

#### **Exercise 1** — *Limit in distribution of Gaussian vectors.*

Let  $(X_n)_{n\geq 0}$  be a sequence of Gaussian variables  $(X_n)_{n\geq 0}$ . Give a necessary and sufficient condition for convergence in distribution, show that the limit is always Gaussian, and determine its parameters.

#### Solution 1 - Limit in distribution of Gaussian vectors.

We restrict ourselves to gaussian **variables**. It is rather easy to lift this up to vectors afterwards. Let  $\mu_n$  and  $\sigma_n$  be the parameters of  $X_n$  If we have convergence in distribution, then we have convergence of the characteristic functions to the one of the limit. So there exists a characteristic function  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  such that for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f_n(t) = e^{i\mu_n t - \frac{\sigma_n^2}{2}t^2} \to f(t)$ . Now taking the modulus then the log yields  $\sigma_n^2 \to -\frac{2}{t^2} \log(|f(t)|) = \sigma^2 \ge 0$ . We deduce that  $|f(t)| = e^{-\frac{\sigma^2}{2}t^2}$ . Now  $e^{i\mu_n t} = e^{\frac{\sigma_n^2}{2}t^2}f_n(t) \to e^{\frac{\sigma^2}{2}t^2}f(t) = u(t)$ , which is a continuous function in  $\mathbb{C}$  of modulus 1 (with u(0) =: 1). So it can be lifted up to a continuous real function, *i.e.* there exists h continuous with h(0) = 0 such that  $u(t) = e^{ih(t)}$  for all t. We have

$$e^{i(\mu_n t - h(t))} \to 0$$

We shall now show that  $(\mu_n)_n$  is bounded. This important step is treated with a probabilistic proof: we use the fact that the distribution of  $X_n$  is symmetric about its mean<sup>1</sup>. Suppose there is an increasing subsequence  $\mu_{k_n} \to \infty$ . Then  $\mathbb{P}(X_{k_n} \ge \mu_{k_n}) = 1/2$  for all n, and  $\mathbb{P}(X_{k_n} \ge \mu_{k_p}) \ge 1/2$  for all  $n \ge p$ . So by taking  $n \to \infty$  with fixed p we get  $\mathbb{P}(X \ge \mu_{k_p}) \ge 1/2$  for all p, which is absurd as  $\mu_{k_p} \to \infty$ .

So  $(\mu_n)_n$  is bounded above and the symmetric argument allows to show that it is bounded below.

Back to our problem, we shall now show that  $A = \{t \in \mathbb{R} : \mu_n t \to h(t)\}$  is the whole of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

- It is nonempty as it contains 0.
- It is closed because of the uniform control of  $\mu_n$  in n.
- It is open: let  $t \in A$ . For  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  we have  $e^{i(\mu_n t h(t) \mu_n s + h(s))} \to 0$ . By the bound on  $\mu_n$  and continuity of h we can find  $\epsilon > 0$  such that for all  $s \in (t \epsilon, t + \epsilon)$  and all n,  $|\mu_n t h(t) \mu_n s + h(s)| < \pi/2$ . But for  $|\theta| < \pi/2$ ,  $\theta \mapsto e^{i\theta}$  is an homeomorphism. We deduce  $\mu_n t h(t) \mu_n s + h(s) \to 0$  and hence  $s \in A$ .

We conclude by connectedness of  $\mathbb{R}$ . We get that for every  $t \neq 0$ ,  $\mu_n \to h(t)/t$ , so  $\mu_n$  converges to some  $\mu$  and  $h(t) = \mu t$ . This proves that  $f(t) = e^{i\mu t - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}t^2}$ , so X is a Gaussian with parameters  $\mu = \lim \mu_n$  and  $\sigma^2 = \lim \sigma_n^2$ . Conversely these convergences directly imply convergence in distribution.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Since we know that  $\sigma_n$  is bounded, we could as well use the fact that  $X_n$  concentrates around its mean

**Exercise 2** — The precise constant (Lévy, 1937). We want to show that with probability one,

$$\limsup_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{m_B(h, [0, 1])}{\sqrt{2h \log(1/h)}} = 1.$$

(1) Show that if X is standard Gaussian and x > 0, then

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}(x+1/x)}e^{-x^2/2} \le \mathbb{P}(X \ge x) \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}x}e^{-x^2/2}.$$

- (2) For  $c < \sqrt{2}$ , show that almost surely for all  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $s, t \in [0, 1]$  with  $|t s| \le \epsilon$  and  $|B(t) B(s)| \ge c\sqrt{|t s|\log(1/|t s|)}$ . (Hint: divide [0, 1] in intervals of length  $2^{-n}$ ).
- (3) Fix  $m \ge 1$  and define the following families of intervals:

$$\Lambda_n(m) = \left\{ [(k/m - 1)2^{-n/m}, (k/m)2^{-n/m}], \quad m \le k \le m2^{n/m} \right\}, \quad n \ge 1.$$

For  $c > \sqrt{2}$ , show that almost surely, for *n* large enough and any interval [s, t] in the family  $\Lambda_n(m)$ ,  $|B(t) - B(s)| \le c\sqrt{|t - s|\log(1/|t - s|)}$ .

- (4) Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ , show that there exists  $m \ge 1$  such that any interval  $[s,t] \subset [0,1]$  can be approximated with an interval  $[s',t'] \in \Lambda(m) = \bigcup_{n\ge 1}\Lambda_n(m)$ , with  $|t-t'|, |s-s'| \le \epsilon |t-s|$ , and  $|t'-s'| \le |t-s|$ .
- (5) Deduce that almost surely, for h small enough,  $m_B(h, [0, 1]) \leq C\sqrt{h \log(1/h)}$ , for a constant C that can be brought arbitrarily close to  $\sqrt{2}$ . Conclude.
- **Solution 2** The precise constant (Lévy, 1937). (1) The upper bound comes from the inequality  $\int_x^{\infty} e^{-t^2/2} dt \leq \int_x^{\infty} \frac{t}{x} e^{-t^2/2} dt$ . The lower bound can be obtained by differentiating the difference.
  - (2) First of all,  $\mathbb{P}(E_{k,n}) = \mathbb{P}(B_{(k+1)2^{-n}} B_{k2^{-n}} \ge c\sqrt{2^{-n}\log(2^n)}) = \mathbb{P}(B_1 \ge c\sqrt{n\log 2}) \ge \frac{1}{1000c\sqrt{n}}2^{-c^2n/2}$ . Then

$$\mathbb{P}(\forall 0 \le k \le 2^{-}n, B_{(k+1)2^{-n}} - B_{k2^{-n}} < c\sqrt{2^{-n}\log(2^{n})}) = \mathbb{P}(\bigcap_{k} E_{k,n}^{\complement})$$
$$= \prod_{k} (1 - \mathbb{P}(E_{k,n})) \le (1 - \frac{1}{1000c\sqrt{n}}2^{-c^{2}n/2})^{2^{n}} \le \exp(-2^{n}\frac{1}{1000c\sqrt{n}}2^{-c^{2}n/2})$$
$$= \exp(-\frac{1}{1000c\sqrt{n}}2^{(1-c^{2}/2)n}) = \text{summable in}n.$$

So by Borel-Cantelli, we get that infinitely often in n, there is an increment of length  $2^{-n}$  that exceeds  $c\sqrt{2^{-n}\log(2^n)}$ . This implies the claim.

(3)

$$\mathbb{P}(\exists [s,t] \in \Lambda_n(m), |B(t) - B(s)| > c\sqrt{|t-s|\log(1/|t-s|)})$$
  
$$\leq m2^{n/m} \mathbb{P}(|B_1| \geq c\sqrt{n/m\log 2})$$
  
$$\leq m2^{n/m} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}c\sqrt{n/m\log 2}} 2^{-(c^2/2)n/m} = \text{summable in } n$$

So almost surely, for n large enough, any interval in  $\Lambda_n(m)$  has the required growth bound.

- (4) Take *m* to be determined later in terms of  $\epsilon$ . Then given *t* and *s*, we can find *n* so that  $1 \leq |t-s|/2^{-n/m} \leq (2^{1/m}) \leq 1 + \epsilon/3$ . We can now find *k* so that  $|s - \frac{k}{m}2^{-n/m}| \leq \frac{1}{m}2^{-n/m} \leq \frac{1}{m}|t-s|$ . Set  $s' = \frac{k}{m}2^{-n/m}$ ,  $t' = (\frac{k}{m}+1)2^{-n/m}$ . Then  $|s'-s| \leq \frac{1}{m}|t-s|$  and  $|t'-t| \leq |t'-s'| + |s'-s| \leq (2^{1/m}-1)|t-s| + \frac{1}{m}|t-s|$ . Now choose retrospectively *m* so that  $2^{1/m} - 1 + \frac{1}{m} < \epsilon$  and  $\frac{1}{m} < \epsilon$  makes everything work. Remark that we additionally get  $|t'-s'| \leq |t-s|$  which eases the solution of the next question.
- (5) Fix  $\epsilon$  and m accordingly. Now almost surely, there is  $n_0$  such that for  $n \ge n_0$ , all intervals in  $\Lambda_n(m)$  have the growth bound with the constant c. Moreover, from the lecture, almost surely there is a  $h_0$  such that all intervals of length  $< h_0$  have the growth bound with the constant C from the lecture. Now take s, t such that  $|s-t| \le \epsilon, \epsilon |s-t| \le h_0$  and  $|s-t| \le 2^{-n_0/m}$ . Then consider s', t' as in the previous question. It comes that  $|t'-t|, |s'-s| \le h_0$  and that  $|s'-t'| \in \Lambda_n(m)$  with  $n \ge n_0$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |B_t - B_s| &\leq |B_t - B'_t| + |B_s - B'_s| + |B_t - B_s| \\ &\leq C\sqrt{|s' - s|\log(1/|s' - s|)} + C\sqrt{|t' - t|\log(1/|t' - t|)} + c\sqrt{|t' - s'|\log(1/|t' - s'|)} \\ &\leq 2C\sqrt{\epsilon|t - s|\log(1/(\epsilon|t - s|))} + c\sqrt{|t - s|\log(1/|t - s|)} \\ &\leq (2C\sqrt{\epsilon(1 + 1)} + c)\sqrt{|t - s|\log(1/|t - s|)}. \end{aligned}$$

Where at the second inequality we used the increasing character (close to 0) of  $x \mapsto \sqrt{x \log(1/x)}$  and at the last one we used  $\log(1/\epsilon) \leq \log(1/|s-t|)$ . The constant obtained can be brought arbitrarily close to  $\sqrt{2}$  as c was arbitrary  $> \sqrt{2}$ ,  $\epsilon$  arbitrary > 0 and C fixed.

# **Exercise 3** — A bit more on differentiability.

We know that almost surely, B is nowhere differentiable. Set  $D^*B(t) = \limsup_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{t}(B_{t+h} - B_t)$  and  $D_*B(t) = \liminf_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{t}(B_{t+h} - B_t)$ .

- (1) Show that B almost surely not bounded above nor below. Deduce that  $D^*B(0) = +\infty$  a.s. and  $D_*B(0) = -\infty$  a.s.
- (2) Deduce that almost surely, the Lebesgue measure of times t such that  $D^*B(t) \neq +\infty$  or  $D_*B(t) \neq -\infty$  is 0.

- (3) Show that with probability one a fixed point t is not a one-sided local maximum of B. Deduce that with probability one there exists a density of exceptional random times where  $D^*B(t) \leq 0$ .
- (4) Show that there almost surely exists an uncountable density of points t where  $D^*(t) = 0$ . (Hint : consider  $\tau(x) = \inf\{t \ge 0, B_t = x\}$ . Show that this is almost surely a strictly increasing function whose discontinuity points are dense and deduce that  $V_n = \{x \ge 0, \exists h \in (0, 1/n), \tau(x h) < \tau(x) nh\}$  is open and dense. What can be said about  $\bigcap_{n \ge 1} V_n$ ?)

### **Solution 3** — A bit more on differentiability.

We know that almost surely, B is nowhere differentiable. Set  $D^*B(t) = \limsup_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{t}(B_{t+h} - B_t)$  and  $D_*B(t) = \liminf_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{t}(B_{t+h} - B_t)$ .

- (1) We showed earlier that almost surely,  $\limsup B_t = +\infty$  and  $\liminf B_t = -\infty$  almost surely (actually we showed that the rate strictly more than  $\sqrt{t}$ ) Hence the claim by time inversion.
- (2)  $\mathbb{E}[\operatorname{Leb}\{t \ge 0, D^*B(t) \ne +\infty \text{ or } D_*B(t) \ne -\infty\}] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} dt \, \mathbb{P}(D^*B(t) \ne +\infty \text{ or } D_*B(t) \ne -\infty) = \int_B 0 = 0$ , where we used Fubini and Markov.
- (3) We know that 0 is almost surely not a local extremum at its right because there is an accumulation of instants where B is strictly positive and negative near 0. For a fixed point t, we treat the right side by Markov and the left side by time reversal. Now for fixed  $p, q \in \mathbb{Q}_+$  almost surely p, q are not one-sided local extrema. Hence the maximum of B on [p, q] is reached somewhere in the interior, and that is a point inside (p, q) where  $D^*B \leq 0$ . We get the claim by countable union.
- (4) We consider  $\tau(x) = \inf\{t \ge 0, B_t = x\}$ . This is by definition strictly increasing function, and if it were continuous on some open interval, then B would be monotonous on some open interval, which it is almost surely not. Now if we consider  $V_n = \{x \ge 0, \exists h \in (0, 1/n), \tau(x - h) < \tau(x) - nh\}$ , it is open because  $\tau$  is càglàd strictly increasing. It is dense because otherwise we found an open interval of xwhere  $\forall h \in (0, 1/n), \tau(x) - nh \le \tau(x - h) \le \tau(x)$ , implying continuity on some open interval. Then by the Baire category theorem,  $\bigcap_{n\ge 1} V_n$  is uncountable and dense. Let x be in this set, and  $t = \tau(x)$ . Then there exists a sequence  $t_n \uparrow t$ ,  $B^*(t_n) > t - 1/n, t_n < t - nB^*(t_n)$ . Hence the lower left derivative of B at t is 0. The upper left derivative is 0 too by definition. We get the claim by time reversal.