

Functions approximable by E-fractions

Nicolas Brisebarre¹ Jean-Michel Muller²

¹Laboratoire LARAL, Université Jean Monnet (Saint-Étienne)
and Laboratoire LIP (CNRS/ENS Lyon/INRIA/Univ. Lyon 1),

²CNRS, Laboratoire LIP,
Projet Arénaire, 46 allée d'Italie, 69364 Lyon Cedex 07,
FRANCE

Abstract—After a brief reminder of Ercegovac's E-method, we introduce the notion of E-fraction (which is a fraction computable, in a given interval, by the E-method). We characterize the fractions that are E-fractions and give an algorithm for checking whether a given function is approximable by an E-fraction.

I. THE E-METHOD

We all know that, in general, rational approximations of a given degree (say, the same for numerator and denominator) to a function are much more accurate than polynomial approximations of the same degree. And yet, rational approximations are rather seldom used for approximating elementary functions in the libraries of current use, because floating-point division is much slower than floating-point multiplication. The situation becomes quite different if we use the E-method.

The E-method, introduced in [2], [3], allows efficient solution of diagonally dominant systems of linear equations on simple and highly regular hardware. Since the evaluation of polynomials and certain rational functions can be achieved by solving the corresponding linear systems, the E-method is an attractive general approach for function evaluation. Consider evaluation of

$$R(x) = \frac{p_m x^m + p_{m-1} x^{m-1} + \dots + p_0}{q_k x^k + q_{k-1} x^{k-1} + \dots + q_1 x + 1},$$

where the p_i s and q_i s are real numbers, and define $n = \max\{m, k\}$, $p_j = 0$ for $m + 1 \leq j \leq n$, and $q_j = 0$ for $k + 1 \leq j \leq n$.

One can show that $R(x)$ is equal to y_0 , where $[y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n]^t$ is the solution of the following linear system:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -x & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ q_1 & 1 & -x & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ q_2 & 0 & 1 & -x & \dots & 0 \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & \ddots & 0 \\ q_n & \dots & 0 & -x & 1 & y_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_0 \\ y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ y_{n-1} \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} p_0 \\ p_1 \\ p_2 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ p_{n-1} \\ p_n \end{bmatrix} \quad (1)$$

The radix-2 E-method consists in solving this linear system by using the following basic recursion (where A is the matrix of the above linear system):

$$w_i^{(j)} = 2 \times [w_i^{(j-1)} - A d_i^{(j-1)}] \quad (2)$$

i.e., for $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$,

$$w_i^{(j)} = 2 \times [w_i^{(j-1)} - q_i d_0^{(j-1)} - d_i^{(j-1)} + d_{i+1}^{(j-1)} x],$$

and

$$w_0^{(j)} = 2 \times [w_0^{(j-1)} - d_0^{(j-1)} + d_1^{(j-1)} x],$$

and

$$w_n^{(j)} = 2 \times [w_n^{(j-1)} - d_n^{(j-1)}]$$

with $w^{(0)} = [p_0, p_1, \dots, p_n]^t$, where the values $d_i^{(j)} \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$. Define the number $D_i^{(j)} = d_i^{(0)} d_i^{(1)} d_i^{(2)} \dots d_i^{(j)}$ (the $d_i^{(j)}$ are the digits of a radix-2 signed-digit [1] representation of $D_i^{(j)}$). One can show that if the sequence $|w_i^{(j)}|$ is bounded, then $D_i^{(j)}$ goes to y_i as j goes to infinity. The problem at step j is to find a *selection function* that gives a value of the terms $d_i^{(j)}$ from the terms $w_i^{(j)}$ such that the values $w_i^{(j+1)}$ will remain bounded. In [3], the following selection function (a form of rounding) is proposed

$$s(x) = \begin{cases} \text{sign } x \times \lfloor |x + 1/2| \rfloor, & \text{if } |x| \leq 1 \\ \text{sign } x \times \lfloor |x| \rfloor, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

and applied to the following cases:

- 1) $d_i^{(j)} = s(w_i^{(j)})$, i.e., the selection requires non-redundant $w_i^{(j)}$;
- 2) $d_i^{(j)} = s(\hat{w}_i^{(j)})$, where $\hat{w}_i^{(j)}$ is an *approximation* of $w_i^{(j)}$ (in practice, $\hat{w}_i^{(j)}$ is deduced from a few digits of $w_i^{(j)}$ by the means of a rounding or a truncation)

Assume

$$\begin{cases} \forall i, |p_i| \leq \xi, \\ \forall i, |x| + |q_i| \leq \alpha, \\ |w_i^{(j)} - \hat{w}_i^{(j)}| \leq \frac{\Delta}{2}. \end{cases}$$

The E-method gives a correct result provided that the above defined bounds ξ , α , and Δ satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \xi = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \Delta), \\ 0 < \Delta < 1, \\ \alpha \leq \frac{1}{4}(1 - \Delta). \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

For instance, if $\Delta = \frac{1}{2}$, one can evaluate $R(x)$ for $|x| \leq \frac{1}{16}$, $\max |p_i| \leq \frac{3}{4}$ and $\max |q_i| \leq \frac{1}{16}$. Those bounds may seem quite restrictive, but in practice:

- if we only wish to evaluate polynomials (i.e., $q_1 = q_2 = \dots = q_n = 0$), there exist scaling techniques that make it possible to evaluate any polynomial, in any domain;
- if we wish to evaluate rational functions, of course some “scaling” is possible: we can multiply $R(x)$ by a power of 2, so that the p_i are multiplied by the same power of 2. Also, multiplying x by 2^j , one computes the same function, with p_i and q_i multiplied by 2^{-ij} , but we cannot evaluate *all* rational functions. In the following, we call *E-fractions* the functions that are computable using the E-method (a more formal definition is given in the next section).

II. E-FRACTIONS

Definition 1 ((n, p) -fractions): In the following, we call (n, p) -fraction a rational function whose numerator is of degree less than or equal to n , and whose denominator is of degree less than or equal to p .

A. Motivation

As we have seen previously, there is a change of variables that makes it possible to evaluate any polynomial in any domain using the E-method. This is not true for rational functions. And yet, using rational approximations of functions could sometimes be more interesting than using polynomial approximations. The reasons for that are the following:

- firstly, evaluating with the E-method (i.e., using iteration (2)) an (n, n) -fraction is only slightly more expensive than evaluating a degree- n polynomial;
- secondly, in practice, the best approximation to a given function with an (n, p) -fraction is as accurate as the best approximation with a polynomial of degree very close to $n + p$. This is illustrated by Table I.

Definition 2: Let I be the interval $[-a, a]$, and let Δ be a parameter, $0 < \Delta < 1$.

$$\mathcal{R}(x) = \frac{p_0 + p_1x + \dots + p_mx^m}{q_0 + q_1x + \dots + q_kx^k}$$

is an *E-fraction* for interval I and parameter Δ if there exists another fraction

$$\mathcal{R}'(x) = \frac{p'_0 + p'_1x + \dots + p'_mx^m}{1 + q'_1x + \dots + q'_kx^k}$$

such that

- 1) there exist two integers j_1 and j_0 such that

$$\mathcal{R}(x) = 2^{j_1} \mathcal{R}'(2^{j_0}x);$$

- 2) the coefficients of \mathcal{R}' satisfy

$$\begin{cases} |p'_i| & \leq \frac{1}{2}(1 + \Delta), \\ |q'_i| + 2^{j_0}a & \leq \frac{1}{4}(1 - \Delta), \end{cases}$$

for any i .

It is worth being noticed that the fraction \mathcal{R}' of Definition 2 is immediately computable by the E-method, with parameter Δ , in the interval $[-2^{j_0}a, 2^{j_0}a]$. Hence, Definition 2 defines the rational functions that will be computable in interval I by the E-method with a simple change of variable.

B. Characterization of E-fractions

The following result shows that almost all rational functions will be computable, if interval I is small enough.

Theorem 1: Let

$$\mathcal{R}(x) = \frac{p_0 + p_1x + \dots + p_mx^m}{q_0 + q_1x + \dots + q_kx^k}$$

be a rational function, and let Δ be a parameter, $0 < \Delta < 1$. If $q_0 \neq 0$ then there exists $a > 0$ such that \mathcal{R} is an E-fraction for interval $I = [-a, a]$ and parameter Δ .

Proof. We will proceed by successive transformations of the initial fraction. Assume a (momentarily) arbitrary value $a > 0$. First, define

$$\xi = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \Delta),$$

and

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{4}(1 - \Delta).$$

TABLE I

FOR A GIVEN FUNCTION f AND DOMAIN, AND A GIVEN ERROR ϵ , n_{POL} IS THE SMALLEST DEGREE OF A MINIMAX POLYNOMIAL THAT APPROXIMATES f WITH ERROR $\leq \epsilon$, AND n_{FRAC} IS THE SMALLEST NUMERATOR AND DENOMINATOR DEGREE OF AN (n, n) -FRACTION THAT APPROXIMATES f WITH ERROR $\leq \epsilon$.

function	domain	ϵ	n_{pol}	n_{frac}
$\exp(x)$	$[0, 1]$	10^{-10}	8	4
$\exp(x)$	$[-1/128, 1/128]$	10^{-20}	6	3
$\arctan(x)$	$[-1, 1]$	10^{-2}	3	2
$\log(1 + x)$	$[-1/4, 1/4]$	2^{-24}	7	3
$\sin(x)$	$[0, \pi/4]$	2^{-16}	4	2
$\cos(x)$	$[0, \pi/8]$	2^{-53}	9	5
$\log(1 + 2^x)$	$[-1/2, 1/2]$	2^{-53}	12	6

1) we first divide all coefficients by the degree-0 coefficient of the denominator of \mathcal{R} . This gives

$$\mathcal{R}^{(1)}(x) = \frac{p_0^{(1)} + p_1^{(1)}x + \cdots + p_m^{(1)}x^m}{1 + q_1^{(1)}x + \cdots + q_k^{(1)}x^k},$$

with, for any i , $p_i^{(1)} = p_i/q_0$ and $q_i^{(1)} = q_i/q_0$. This first step is not really a “transformation”, since, obviously, $\mathcal{R}^{(1)}(x) = \mathcal{R}(x)$. Being able to perform that step requires that q_0 be nonzero.

2) Let j_0 be the largest integer such that

$$|2^{j_0}a| \leq \frac{\alpha}{2},$$

and define, for any i ,

$$\begin{cases} p_i^{(2)} &= 2^{-j_0 i} p_i^{(1)} \\ q_i^{(2)} &= 2^{-j_0 i} q_i^{(1)} \end{cases}$$

The rational function

$$\mathcal{R}^{(2)}(x) = \frac{p_0^{(2)} + p_1^{(2)}x + \cdots + p_m^{(2)}x^m}{1 + q_1^{(2)}x + \cdots + q_k^{(2)}x^k}$$

satisfies

$$\mathcal{R}(x) = \mathcal{R}^{(2)}(2^{j_0}x).$$

Notice that

$$\max_{i=1,\dots,k} |q_i^{(2)}| = \max_{i=1,\dots,k} 2^{-j_0 i} \left| \frac{q_i}{q_0} \right|.$$

3) Choose j_1 equal to the smallest integer such that

$$\max_{i=1,\dots,m} \left| \frac{p_i^{(2)}}{2^{j_1}} \right| \leq \xi,$$

and define, for any i ,

$$\begin{cases} p_i^{(3)} &= p_i^{(2)}/2^{j_1}, \\ q_i^{(3)} &= q_i^{(2)}. \end{cases}$$

Define \mathcal{R}' as

$$\mathcal{R}'(x) = \frac{p_0^{(3)} + p_1^{(3)}x + \cdots + p_m^{(3)}x^m}{1 + q_1^{(3)}x + \cdots + q_k^{(3)}x^k}.$$

This rational function satisfies

$$\mathcal{R}(x) = 2^{j_1} \mathcal{R}'(2^{j_0}x).$$

Therefore, if

$$\max_{i=1,\dots,k} 2^{-j_0 i} \left| \frac{q_i}{q_0} \right| \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} \quad (5)$$

then \mathcal{R} is an E-fraction for interval $[-a, a]$ and parameter Δ .

From the definition of j_0 , we have

$$2^{j_0}a \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} < 2^{j_0+1}a,$$

therefore,

$$\frac{\alpha}{4a} < 2^{j_0},$$

hence, for any i ,

$$|q_i^{(3)}| \leq \left(\frac{4a}{\alpha} \right)^i \frac{q_i}{q_0}. \quad (6)$$

Equation (6) shows that if a is small enough, all values $|q_i^{(3)}|$ will be less than $\alpha/2$, so that \mathcal{R} will be an E-fraction for interval $[-a, a]$ and parameter Δ . This ends the proof of Theorem 1. \square

When the problem at stake is to approximate functions for which range reduction to a small interval is easily feasible, Theorem 1 is immediately applicable. Examples are the exponential, logarithm and trigonometric functions. Let us examine an example with more details.

C. Application: exponential function in $[-1, 1]$

Let us consider rational approximations to the exponential function in $[-1, 1]$, with numerators and denominators of degree 3. Let us choose $\Delta = 1/2$. Consider the (3, 3)-Pade approximant to $\exp(x)$:

$$\mathcal{R}(x) = \frac{1 + 1/2x + 1/10x^2 + 1/120x^3}{1 - 1/2x + 1/10x^2 - 1/120x^3}.$$

This rational fraction is not an E-fraction for interval $[-1, 1]$ and $\Delta = 1/2$. And yet, it is an E-fraction for interval $[-1/128, 1/128]$ and $\Delta = 1/2$. The corresponding fraction transformation is

$$\mathcal{R}(x) = 2^2 \mathcal{R}'(2^3x)$$

with

$$\mathcal{R}'(x) = \frac{1/4 + 1/64x + 1/2560x^2 + 1/245760x^3}{1 - 1/16x + 1/640x^2 - 1/61440x^3}.$$

The approximation error is 1.78×10^{-20} which is quite good. Getting a similar error in the same interval with a minimax polynomial approximation would require a polynomial of degree 6. Range reduction to $[-1/128, 1/128]$ is done rather easily, if we assume that the values $\exp(i/128)$ are pre-computed and stored for $i = -128, \dots, 128$.

It is possible to get an even better rational approximation to the exponential function, that is also an E-fraction for interval $[-1/128, 1/128]$ and $\Delta = 1/2$, by starting from the minimax rational approximation of degree-3 numerator and denominator to $\exp(x)$ in $[-1/128, 1/128]$. The approximation error becomes 2.75×10^{-22} .

In the appendix, we give a Maple program that computes the best rational approximation to a given function f in an interval $I = [-x_{max}, +x_{max}]$ and checks if the obtained

