Functions approximable by E-fractions

Nicolas Brisebarre¹ Jean-Michel Muller² ¹Laboratoire LARAL, Université Jean Monnet (Saint-Étienne) and Laboratoire LIP (CNRS/ENS Lyon/INRIA/Univ. Lyon 1), ²CNRS, Laboratoire LIP, Projet Arénaire, 46 allée d'Italie, 69364 Lyon Cedex 07, FRANCE

Abstract-After a brief reminder of Ercegovac's E-method, we introduce the notion of E-fraction (which is a fraction computable, in a given interval, by the E-method). We characterize the fractions that are E-fractions and give an algorithm for checking whether a given function is approximable by an Efraction.

I. THE E-METHOD

We all know that, in general, rational approximations of a given degree (say, the same for numerator and denominator) to a function are much more accurate than polynomial approximations of the same degree. And yet, rational approximations are rather seldom used for approximating elementary functions in the libraries of current use, because floating-point division is much slower than floating-point multiplication. The situation becomes quite different if we use the E-method.

The E-method, introduced in [2], [3], allows efficient solution of diagonally dominant systems of linear equations on simple and highly regular hardware. Since the evaluation of polynomials and certain rational functions can be achieved by solving the corresponding linear systems, the E-method is an attractive general approach for function evaluation. Consider evaluation of

$$R(x) = \frac{p_m x^m + p_{m-1} x^{m-1} + \dots + p_0}{q_k x^k + q_{k-1} x^{k-1} + \dots + q_1 x + 1},$$

where the p_i s and q_i s are real numbers, and define n = $\max\{m,k\}, p_j = 0$ for $m+1 \leq j \leq n$, and $q_j = 0$ for $k+1 \le j \le n.$

One can show that R(x) is equal to y_0 , where $[y_0, y_1, \ldots, y_n]^t$ is the solution of the following linear system:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -x & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ q_1 & 1 & -x & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ q_2 & 0 & 1 & -x & \cdots & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ q_n & & & \cdots & & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_0 \\ y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ y_{n-1} \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} p_0 \\ p_1 \\ p_2 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ p_{n-1} \\ p_n \end{bmatrix}$$

The radix-2 E-method consists in solving this linear system by using the following basic recursion (where A is the matrix of the above linear system):

$$w^{(j)} = 2 \times \left[w^{(j-1)} - Ad^{(j-1)} \right]$$
(2)

i.e., for i = 1, ..., n - 1,

$$w_i^{(j)} = 2 \times \left[w_i^{(j-1)} - q_i d_0^{(j-1)} - d_i^{(j-1)} + d_{i+1}^{(j-1)} x \right]$$

and

and

$$w_0^{(j)} = 2 \times \left[w_0^{(j-1)} - d_0^{(j-1)} + d_1^{(j-1)} x \right]$$

$$w_n^{(j)} = 2 \times \left[w_n^{(j-1)} - d_n^{(j-1)} \right]$$

with $w^{(0)} = [p_0, p_1, \dots, p_n]^t$, where the values $d_i^{(j)} \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$. Define the number $D_i^{(j)} = d_i^{(0)} \cdot d_i^{(1)} d_i^{(2)} \cdot \dots \cdot d_i^{(j)}$ (the $d_i^{(j)}$ are the digits of a radix-2 signed-digit [1] representation of $D_i^{(j)}$). One can show that if the sequence $|w_i^{(j)}|$ is bounded, then $D_i^{(j)}$ goes to y_i as j goes to infinity. The problem at step j is to find a selection function that

gives a value of the terms $d_i^{(j)}$ from the terms $w_i^{(j)}$ such that the values $w_i^{(j+1)}$ will remain bounded. In [3], the following selection function (a form of rounding) is proposed

$$s(x) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{sign} x \times \lfloor |x+1/2| \rfloor, & \text{if } |x| \le 1\\ \operatorname{sign} x \times \lfloor |x| \rfloor, & otherwise, \end{cases}$$
(3)

and applied to the following cases:

- d_i^(j) = s(w_i^(j)), i.e., the selection requires non-redundant w_i^(j);
 d_i^(j) = s(ŵ_i^(j)), where ŵ_i^(j) is an *approximation* of w_i^(j) (in practice, ŵ_i^(j) is deduced from a few digits of w_i^(j)
- by the means of a rounding or a truncation)

Assume

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \forall i, |p_i| \leq \xi, \\ \forall i, |x| + |q_i| \leq \alpha, \\ |w_i^{(j)} - \hat{w}_i^{(j)}| \leq \frac{\Delta}{2}. \end{array} \right.$$

The E-method gives a correct result provided that the above defined bounds ξ, α , and Δ satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \xi = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \Delta), \\ 0 < \Delta < 1, \\ \alpha \le \frac{1}{4}(1 - \Delta). \end{cases}$$
(4)

For instance, if $\Delta = \frac{1}{2}$, one can evaluate R(x) for $|x| \leq \frac{1}{16}$, $\max |p_i| \leq \frac{3}{4}$ and $\max |q_i| \leq \frac{1}{16}$. Those bounds may seem quite restrictive, but in practice:

- if we only wish to evaluate polynomials (i.e., $q_1 = q_2 = \cdots = q_n = 0$), there exist scaling techniques that make it possible to evaluate any polynomial, in any domain;
- if we wish to evaluate rational functions, of course some "scaling" is possible: we can multiply R(x) by a power of 2, so that the p_i are multiplied by the same power of 2. Also, multiplying x by 2^j , one computes the same function, with p_i and q_i multiplied by 2^{-ij} , but we cannot evaluate *all* rational functions. In the following, we call *E*-fractions the functions that are computable using the E-method (a more formal definition is given in the next section).

II. E-FRACTIONS

Definition 1 ((n, p)-fractions): In the following, we call (n, p)-fraction a rational function whose numerator is of degree less than or equal to n, and whose denominator is of degree less than or equal to p.

A. Motivation

As we have seen previously, there is a change of variables that makes it possible to evaluate any polynomial in any domain using the E-method. This is not true for rational functions. And yet, using rational approximations of functions could sometimes be more interesting than using polynomial approximations. The reasons for that are the following:

- firstly, evaluating with the E-method (i.e., using iteration
 (2)) an (n, n)-fraction is only slightly more expensive than evaluating a degree-n polynomial;
- secondly, in practice, the best approximation to a given function with an (n, p)-fraction is as accurate as the best approximation with a polynomial of degree very close to n + p. This is illustrated by Table I.

Definition 2: Let I be the interval [-a, a], and let Δ be a parameter, $0 < \Delta < 1$.

$$\mathcal{R}(x) = \frac{p_0 + p_1 x + \dots + p_m x^m}{q_0 + q_1 x + \dots + q_k x^k}$$

is an *E*-fraction for interval I and parameter Δ if there exists another fraction

$$\mathcal{R}'(x) = \frac{p'_0 + p'_1 x + \dots + p'_m x^m}{1 + q'_1 x + \dots + q'_k x^k}$$

such that

1) there exist two integers j_1 and j_0 such that

$$\mathcal{R}(x) = 2^{j_1} \mathcal{R}' \left(2^{j_0} x \right);$$

2) the coefficients of \mathcal{R}' satisfy

$$\begin{cases} |p'_i| & \leq \frac{1}{2}(1+\Delta), \\ |q'_i| + 2^{j_0}a & \leq \frac{1}{4}(1-\Delta), \end{cases}$$

for any *i*.

It is worth being noticed that the fraction \mathcal{R}' of Definition 2 is immediately computable by the *E*-method, with parameter Δ , in the interval $[-2^{j_0}a, 2^{j_0}a]$. Hence, Definition 2 defines the rational functions that will be computable in interval *I* by the *E*-method with a simple change of variable.

B. Characterization of E-fractions

The following result shows that almost all rational functions will be computable, if interval I is small enough. *Theorem 1:* Let

$$\mathcal{R}(x) = \frac{p_0 + p_1 x + \dots + p_m x^m}{q_0 + q_1 x + \dots + q_k x^k}$$

be a rational function, and let Δ be a parameter, $0 < \Delta < 1$. If $q_0 \neq 0$ then there exists a > 0 such that \mathcal{R} is an E-fraction for interval I = [-a, a] and parameter Δ .

Proof. We will proceed by successive transformations of the initial fraction. Assume a (momentarily) arbitrary value a > 0. First, define $\xi = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \Delta)$,

and

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{4}(1 - \Delta).$$

TABLE I

For a given function f and domain, and a given error $\epsilon, n_{\rm POL}$ is the smallest degree of a minimax polynomial that

APPROXIMATES f with error $\leq \epsilon$, and n_{FRAC} is the smallest numerator and denominator degree of an (n, n)-fraction

That approximates f with $\operatorname{error} \leq \epsilon.$

function	domain	ε	n _{pol}	nfrac
$\exp(x)$	[0, 1]	10^{-10}	8	4
$\exp(x)$	[-1/128, 1/128]	10^{-20}	6	3
$\arctan(x)$	[-1, 1]	10^{-2}	3	2
$\log(1+x)$	[-1/4, 1/4]	2^{-24}	7	3
$\sin(x)$	$[0, \pi/4]$	2^{-16}	4	2
$\cos(x)$	$[0, \pi/8]$	2^{-53}	9	5
$\log(1+2^x)$	[-1/2, 1/2]	2^{-53}	12	6

1) we first divide all coefficients by the degree-0 coefficient of the denominator of \mathcal{R} . This gives

$$\mathcal{R}^{(1)}(x) = \frac{p_0^{(1)} + p_1^{(1)}x + \dots + p_m^{(1)}x^m}{1 + q_1^{(1)}x + \dots + q_k^{(1)}x^k},$$

with, for any i, $p_i^{(1)} = p_i/q_0$ and $q_i^{(1)} = q_i/q_0$. This first step is not really a "transformation", since, obviously, $\mathcal{R}^{(1)}(x) = \mathcal{R}(x)$. Being able to perform that step requires that q_0 be nonzero.

2) Let j_0 be the largest integer such that

$$\left|2^{j_0}a\right| \le \frac{\alpha}{2},$$

and define, for any i,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rrr} p_i^{(2)} &=& 2^{-j_0 i} p_i^{(1)} \\ q_i^{(2)} &=& 2^{-j_0 i} q_i^{(1)} \end{array} \right. \label{eq:pi_i}$$

The rational function

$$\mathcal{R}^{(2)}(x) = \frac{p_0^{(2)} + p_1^{(2)}x + \dots + p_m^{(2)}x^m}{1 + q_1^{(2)}x + \dots + q_k^{(2)}x^k}$$

satisfies

$$\mathcal{R}(x) = \mathcal{R}^{(2)} \big(2^{j_0} x \big).$$

Notice that

$$\max_{i=1,\dots,k} \left| q_i^{(2)} \right| = \max_{i=1,\dots,k} 2^{-j_0 i} \left| \frac{q_i}{q_0} \right|.$$

3) Choose j_1 equal to the smallest integer such that

$$\max_{i=1,...,m} \left| \frac{p_i^{(2)}}{2^{j_1}} \right| \le \xi,$$

and define, for any i,

$$\begin{cases} p_i^{(3)} &= p_i^{(2)}/2^{j_1}, \\ q_i^{(3)} &= q_i^{(2)}. \end{cases}$$

Define \mathcal{R}' as

$$\mathcal{R}'(x) = \frac{p_0^{(3)} + p_1^{(3)}x + \dots + p_m^{(3)}x^m}{1 + q_1^{(3)}x + \dots + q_k^{(3)}x^k}$$

This rational function satisfies

$$\mathcal{R}(x) = 2^{j_1} \mathcal{R}'\left(2^{j_0} x\right).$$

Therefore, if

$$\max_{i=1,\dots,k} 2^{-j_0 i} \left| \frac{q_i}{q_0} \right| \le \frac{\alpha}{2}$$
 (5)

then \mathcal{R} is an E-fraction for interval [-a, a] and parameter Δ .

From the definition of j_0 , we have

$$2^{j_0}a \le \frac{\alpha}{2} < 2^{j_0+1}a,$$

therefore,

$$\frac{\alpha}{4a} < 2^{j_0},$$

hence, for any i,

$$\left|q_{i}^{(3)}\right| \leq \left(\frac{4a}{\alpha}\right)^{i} \frac{q_{i}}{q_{0}}.$$
(6)

Equation (6) shows that if a is small enough, all values $\left|q_i^{(3)}\right|$ will be less than $\alpha/2$, so that \mathcal{R} will be an E-fraction for interval [-a, a] and parameter Δ . This ends the proof of Theorem 1.

When the problem at stake is to approximate functions for which range reduction to a small interval is easily feasible, Theorem 1 is immediately applicable. Examples are the exponential, logarithm and trigonometric functions. Let us examine an example with more details.

C. Application: exponential function in [-1, 1]

Let us consider rational approximations to the exponential function in [-1, 1], with numerators and denominators of degree 3. Let us choose $\Delta = 1/2$. Consider the (3, 3)-Pade approximant to $\exp(x)$:

$$\mathcal{R}(x) = \frac{1 + 1/2 x + 1/10 x^2 + 1/120 x^3}{1 - 1/2 x + 1/10 x^2 - 1/120 x^3}$$

This rational fraction is not an E-fraction for interval [-1, 1]and $\Delta = 1/2$. And yet, it is an E-fraction for interval [-1/128, 1/128] and $\Delta = 1/2$. The corresponding fraction transformation is

$$\mathcal{R}(x) = 2^2 \mathcal{R}'(2^3 x)$$

with

$$\mathcal{R}'(x) = \frac{1/4 + 1/64 \, x + 1/2560 \, x^2 + 1/245760 \, x^3}{1 - 1/16 \, x + 1/640 \, x^2 - 1/61440 \, x^3}$$

The approximation error is 1.78×10^{-20} which is quite good. Getting a similar error in the same interval with a minimax polynomial approximation would require a polynomial of degree 6. Range reduction to [-1/128, 1/128] is done rather easily, if we assume that the values $\exp(i/128)$ are precomputed and stored for $i = -128, \ldots, 128$.

It is possible to get an even better rational approximation to the exponential function, that is also an E-fraction for interval [-1/128, 1/128] and $\Delta = 1/2$, by starting from the minimax rational approximation of degree-3 numerator and denominator to $\exp(x)$ in [-1/128, 1/128]. The approximation error becomes 2.75×10^{-22} .

In the appendix, we give a Maple program that computes the best rational approximation to a given function f in an interval I = [-xmax, +xmax] and checks if the obtained approximation is an E-fraction. Using that program, we have for instance obtained the following results:

- the best (3,3)-fraction for $\sin(x)$ in $I = [-\pi/64, +\pi/64]$ is an E-fraction in I. The approximation error is 1.83×10^{-17} , which corresponds to around 57 bits of accuracy. Reaching the same accuracy with a polynomial would require degree 6: that would correspond to an operator twice as large;
- the best (2,2)-fraction for $\log(1 + x)$ in $I = [-\log(2)/256, +\log(2)/256]$ is an E-fraction in I. The approximation error is 1.56×10^{-18} , which corresponds to around 59 bits of accuracy. Reaching the same accuracy with a polynomial would require degree 5.

CONCLUSION

We are able to determine if a rational function is an Efraction in a given domain. Using that, we are able to find good rational approximations to most usual functions, that can be evaluated using the E-method.

REFERENCES

- A. Avizienis. Signed-digit number representations for fast parallel arithmetic. *IRE Transactions on electronic computers*, 10:pp 389–400, 1961. Reprinted in E.E. Swartzlander, Computer Arithmetic, Vol. 2, IEEE Computer Society Press Tutorial, 1990.
- [2] M.D. Ercegovac. A general method for evaluation of functions and computation in a digital computer. PhD thesis, Dept. of Computer Science, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign, 1975.
- [3] M.D. Ercegovac. A general hardware-oriented method for evaluation of functions and computations in a digital computer. *IEEE Trans. Comp.*, C-26(7):667–680, 1977.
- [4] J.F. Hart. Computer Approximations. Wiley, 1968.

Our Maple program

The following program computes the best rational approximation to a given function f in an interval I = [-xmax, +xmax] and checks if the obtained approximation is an E-fraction.

```
Efraction := proc(f, xmax, degnum, degden, Delta);
Digits := 45:
with(numapprox):
# computation of best rational approximation of f
# in [0, xmax]
# with degree degnum numerator
# and degree degden denominator
R := minimax(f(x),x=0..xmax,[degnum,degden],1,'err');
# xmax is divided by the smallest value 2^kx
# such that xmax/2^kx is less than 1/8(1-Delta)
# the 1/8(1-Delta) is arbitrary
# it comes from xmax + max|qi| < 1/4(1-Delta)</pre>
# I have cut the 1/4(1-Delta) in two parts
kx := floor(log(xmax)/log(2.0));
boundx := (1/8)*(1-Delta);
xmaxnew := evalf(xmax/2^kx);
while xmaxnew > boundx do
    xmaxnew := xmaxnew/2; kx := kx+1 od;
Rup := numer(R); Rdown := denom(R);
# we divide the coefficients by the degree-0
# coefficient of the denominator
for i from 0 to degnum do numerator[i] :=
 coeff(Rup,x,i)/coeff(Rdown,x,0); od;
 for i from 0 to degden do denominator[i] :=
```

```
coeff(Rdown,x,i)/coeff(Rdown,x,0); od;
# we take into account the scaling on x
for i from 0 to degnum do
  numerator[i] := 2^(kx*i)*numerator[i] od;
for i from 0 to degden do
  denominator[i] := 2^(kx*i)*denominator[i] od;
scalmaxnum := floor(log(abs(numerator[0]))/log(2.0));
for i from 1 to degnum do
  tempmax := floor(log(abs(numerator[i]))/log(2.0));
   if tempmax > scalmaxnum
  then scalmaxnum := tempmax; fi
od:
twopscalmaxnum := 2^(scalmaxnum+2);
for i from 0 to degnum do
 numerator[i] := numerator[i]/twopscalmaxnum od;
OK := true;
boundqi := (1/8)*(1-Delta);
for i from 1 to degden do
   if abs(denominator[i]) > boundgi then
      OK := false fi od;
if OK then
printf("** The obtained approximation is
   an E-fraction **\n");
printf("f(x) = 2^{8} R(2^{8} x), where R is n",
scalmaxnum+2,-kx);
printf("Error: %a, which means %a bits of
 accuracy\n"
    err,evalf(-log(abs(err))/log(2.),2));
  printf("Numerator: \n");
for i from 0 to degnum do printf("Degree %a : a\n",
   i,numerator[i]) od;
printf("Denominator: \n");
for i from 0 to degden do printf("Degree %a : %a\n",
i,denominator[i]) od;
else printf("** The obtained approximation is
NOT an E-fraction **\n"); fi
end;
```

An example using our program

```
> Efraction(x -> sin(x),Pi/64,3,3,1/2);
** The obtained approximation is an E-fraction **
f(x) = 2^1 R(2^0x), where R is
Error: .183078739413985291741196088010e-16,
which means 57. bits of accuracy
Numerator:
Degree 0 : .9153936970699046151929920426083131e-17
Degree 1 : .499999999999817248496946178784821
Degree 2 : .9648050586288877689143893172480277e-3
Degree 3 : -.583409301613327113256598660327032e-1
Denominator:
Degree 0 : 1.000000000000000000000000000000
Degree 1 : .1929610105344390635659656884237157e-2
Degree 2 : .499848078014991850985908388598444e-1
Degree 3 : .3215169326520229484056893731331355e-3
```