

Proofs and Categories

CR15 CTCS — Homework 6 — return date: January 16, 2024

Olivier . Laurent @ens-lyon . fr

Exercise 1: The Logic of a Category

In the whole exercise, we fix a category \mathcal{C} .

The sequent calculus $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{C})$ is defined by:

- *formulas* are objects of \mathcal{C}
- *sequents* are of the shape $A \vdash B$ where A and B are formulas
- *rules* are:

$$\frac{}{A \vdash B} \text{mph}(f) \quad \text{for each } f \in \mathcal{C}(A, B)$$

$$\frac{A \vdash B \quad B \vdash C}{A \vdash C} \text{cut}$$

It is important here to see the difference between $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{C})$ and $L(\text{ob}(\mathcal{C}))$. The second one, used in the course, does not depend on morphisms of \mathcal{C} . One can see $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{C})$ as an extension of $L(\text{ob}(\mathcal{C}))$ by:

$$\frac{}{A \vdash A} \text{ax} \quad \mapsto \quad \frac{}{A \vdash A} \text{mph}(id_A)$$

1. Since $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{C})$ extends $L(\text{ob}(\mathcal{C}))$, describe how to extend $\llbracket _ \rrbracket$ to $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{C})$ (we use here $\llbracket A \rrbracket = A$ for formulas since they are already objects of \mathcal{C}).
2. As we want to try to eliminate cuts in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{C})$, give a cut-free right-hand side for reducing the following pattern containing a (*cut*) rule:

$$\frac{\frac{}{A \vdash B} \text{mph}(f) \quad \frac{}{B \vdash C} \text{mph}(g)}{A \vdash C} \text{cut}}{\quad} \rightsquigarrow ?$$

in such a way that both sides have the same image under $\llbracket _ \rrbracket$.

3. We extend \rightsquigarrow to contexts, by allowing it to be applied anywhere inside a proof. From now on, we use the notation \rightsquigarrow for this extension.

Prove that \rightsquigarrow is terminating.

4. Prove cut-elimination for $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{C})$, *i.e.* for any proof π of $A \vdash B$, there exists a cut-free proof π' of $A \vdash B$ such that $\llbracket \pi \rrbracket = \llbracket \pi' \rrbracket$.
5. Given a proof π , provide a direct way of computing a normal form π_0 of π for \rightsquigarrow (*i.e.* a reduct of π , after possibly many steps, which cannot be reduced anymore: $\pi \rightsquigarrow^* \pi_0 \not\rightsquigarrow$).
6. Conclude that the normal form is unique.

Exercise 2: Preorder Semantics of Logic

Given a category \mathcal{C} , we want to build a category $\text{th}(\mathcal{C})$ such that:

- $ob(\text{th}(\mathcal{C})) = ob(\mathcal{C})$
- Given two objects A and B of \mathcal{C} ,

$$\text{th}(\mathcal{C})(A, B) = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } \mathcal{C}(A, B) = \emptyset \\ \{\star\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(note that $\text{th}(\mathcal{C})(A, B)$ has at most one element).

1. Define identities and composition so that $\text{th}(\mathcal{C})$ becomes a category.
2. We consider $W(A) = A$ on objects of \mathcal{C} , and $W(f) = \star$ on morphisms of \mathcal{C} .
 - (a) Prove that W defines a functor from \mathcal{C} to $\text{th}(\mathcal{C})$.
 - (b) Is it a full functor? if not, under which hypotheses on \mathcal{C} would it be a full functor?
 - (c) Is it a faithful functor? if not, under which hypotheses on \mathcal{C} would it be a faithful functor?
3. Given a proof π of $A \vdash B$ in $L(ob(\text{th}(\mathcal{C})))$, compute $\llbracket \pi \rrbracket$ in $\text{th}(\mathcal{C})$.
4. Let (\mathcal{X}, \leq) be a preorder, we know that it can be seen as a category whose objects are elements of \mathcal{X} and with at most one morphism between two objects.

By taking inspiration from $W(\llbracket _ \rrbracket)$, explain how to interpret proofs of $L(\mathcal{X})$ in a preorder (\mathcal{X}, \leq) over \mathcal{X} .
5. Explain why this kind of semantic interpretation in a preorder is called “semantics of provability” while interpretations in more general categories are called “semantics of proofs”.