HW I  (due March 6th, before tutorial)

1. Suppose that for an application, the attacker has access to an encryption of the key with itself, i.e., $E(k,k)$ (for this we assume that our cipher is such that $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$). To define security, we slightly modify the semantic security game: after choosing the key $k$ at random, the challenger starts by sending $E(k,k)$ to the adversary then the game continues as before. Show an example of a semantically secure cipher $(E,D)$ that stays secure even if an encryption of the key is revealed, and another semantically secure cipher $(E',D')$ that becomes completely insecure if an encryption of the key is revealed.

2. Assume $G_1,G_2 : \{0,1\}^\ell \rightarrow \{0,1\}^L$ are pseudo-random generators. Assume moreover that one of them is secure, but we do not know which one. Propose a construction of a secure PRG $G : \{0,1\}^{2\ell} \rightarrow \{0,1\}^L$ and prove its security.

3. Let $f : \mathcal{K} \times \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}^n$ be a pseudo-random function. We define a pseudo-random permutation $F : (\mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K}) \times \{0,1\}^{2n} \rightarrow \{0,1\}^{2n}$ obtained by applying a two-round Feistel construction. More precisely, we define $F(k_1||k_2,u_0||v_0) = u_2||v_2$ where $u_1 = v_0, v_1 = u_0 \oplus f(k_1,v_0)$ and $u_2 = v_1, v_2 = u_1 \oplus f(k_2,v_1)$. Show that for any choice of $f$, $F$ is a pseudo-random permutation, but that it is never a secure pseudo-random permutation. In contrast, one can show that a 3-round Feistel network is a secure PRP provided $f$ is a secure PRF (you are not asked to prove this).