s - E - W - D - sencoding moisy channel de coding Objective: \* R{S≠ŝ} omall \* M large. 1. Classical - quantum channels. Input of W is classical finito set Def: A classical - quantum channel W with input space X and output space B is a collection ? Wagaex of density operators Wax acting on B. Ex: · Classical channel: {W(y/x)}\_zeX, yey W(y) = probability output y for input &. For example : Binary symmetric channel this probability f X={0,1} 0. 1-f f Y={0,1} [0] 1. 1. .1  $W(0|0) = W(1|1) = 1 - f, \quad W(0|1) = W(1|0) = f.$ 

Can ore it as a classical-quantum channel with output B a Hilbert space of dimension 141  $W_{\alpha} = \sum_{y \in Y} W(y|\alpha) |y x y|$ where { y>: y E y y is a fixed orthonormal basic. •  $W_0 = |OXO|$  and  $W_1 = |+X+|$   $|+>=\frac{1}{12}(10)+|N=1$ · Can see W as a quantum channel that starts by measuring is a basis ?Ix? Jack followed by preparation - Elazy - W-Quartum channel W satisfying  $W(|xxa|) = W_x$ . for  $x \in X$ . and W(|x,x'|) = 0 for  $x \neq x'$ • Given W and  $m \ge 1$  integer, can define  $W^{\otimes n}$ : input  $X^n$  and output  $B^{\otimes n}$  $(W_{\chi_1-\chi_n}) = W_{\chi_1} \otimes W_{\chi_2} \otimes \dots \otimes W_{\chi_n}.$  $\chi_1 - \sqrt{\frac{B_1}{B_1}}$ n W Br

[M]:= {1,...,M}. Def: An M-code (E,D) for W is given by  $E: [M] \longrightarrow X$  encoding function  $Decoding is a POVM <math>GD_s$  for m B. Ex: For a classical channel, we may assume  $[D_s]$  are diagonal  $D_s = diag(D_s(y): y \in Y)$ D<sub>s</sub>(y) = Probability of decoding to s when seeing y POVM condition:  $\sum_{s} D_{s}(y) = 1$ .  $\frac{\text{Def}}{\text{for } W \text{ is defined by}} \quad \text{Perr}(E, D) \text{ of an } M\text{-code}$  $Perr(E,D) = 1 - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{s \in [n]} Tr(D_s W_{E(s)})$  $If perr(E,D) \leq E, we say that (E,D) is on (M,E)-code$ <u>Remark</u>: Used a uniform prior on [M], another natural choice is <u>Perr, max</u> (E,D) = man 1-T(C, 1) Perr, mare (E,D) = mayo 1-Tr (DSWE(S)) SE(M) perr and perr, may are related (occ TD)  $\chi \in [0,1]^{10} \longrightarrow g \in [0,1]^{10} \quad \text{flip each by } Y_{g}$   $E(1) = 0 \dots 0 \quad E(2) = 1 \dots 1 \quad D_{o} = \sum_{y:1 \le s} 1_{y \le s} 1_$ M=2.

$$E_{x:} \circ \dim B = [X]. \quad W_{x} = [x \times x].$$

$$(1XI, o) \quad code \quad given \quad by$$

$$E(s) = 1s \times s) \quad (identifying \quad X \quad with \quad [1XI])$$

$$D_{s} = 1s \times sI.$$

$$\frac{1}{1XI} \sum_{s'}^{r} T_{r} (1s \times sI. (1s \times sI)) = 1.$$

• Let 
$$e \in S(B)$$
 and  $W_{a} = e$  for all  $x \in X$ .  
(noclass channel, output does not depend an input)  
For any choice of  $(E, D)$ , we have  
 $\sum_{s \in M} T_n(D_s e) = 1 = perr(E, D) = 1 - 1$ 

Question: Fixed E, largest M for which there  
exists an (M, E)-code for W?  
$$M^{opt}(W, E) = moop [M : Z (M, E) - code for W].$$
  
Objective: Characterize  $M^{opt}(W, E)$  in terms of  
"simple" properties of W.

Toportant special case:  $W^{\text{orn}}$  with large M. E small  $\lim_{E \to 0} \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log_2 M^{\text{opr}}(w^{\text{opr}}E)}{M} = ?$ munder of bits transmitted per channel use

Intuition: M<sup>opr</sup>(W,E) should be given by a conclation measure between input and output of W. Given a probability measure  $P_X$  on X let  $(x_B = \sum_{x \in X} P_x(x) | n x x | \infty W_x \quad cq-state$ Recall we write  $C_X = Th B C XB$  and  $C_B = Th C XB$ . To characterize M<sup>opt</sup> (W, E) meed: \* upper bound (called converse) \* lower bound (called achievability) Converse th: If there exists an (M,E) code for W then  $\log M \leq \sup D_{H}^{\varepsilon}(x \otimes B)$ Rk: Channel Wis anbitrary, "one-shot" entropy measure expected For Wind,  $D_{H}^{E}$  will become a relative entropy D.  $\underline{Proof}$ : Consider an (M, E) code. (E, D).  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}} C = \{ x \in \mathcal{K} : \exists s \in [\mathsf{f}] : E(s) = x \}$ and define  $P_X(x) = \frac{1}{10}$  for  $\chi \in C$  and  $P_X(x) = 0$  net

Then  $C_{XB} = \frac{1}{|C|} \sum_{\alpha \in C} (\alpha \times \mathcal{A}_{\infty}) W_{\alpha}.$ =1 Z Taliaxanoway  $\frac{1}{10} \sum_{\alpha \in C} (\alpha \times \alpha)$ . and  $F = \frac{|c|}{M} \frac{\sum_{x \in C} |\alpha x x| \otimes (\sum_{x \in S} |D_s)}{\sum_{s \in C} |x|}$ As \$P\_3 is a POVM, O=F = I.  $T_{n}(F_{xB}) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{x \in C} T_{n}(\sum_{s: E(s)=n}^{t} D_{s}) W_{n}$  $=\frac{1}{M}\sum_{A=1}^{M}T_{A}\left(D_{S}W_{E(s)}\right)$ ≥ 1-E . by the fact that (E,D) is an (M,E)-code. 1 St 1xXM On the other hand,  $T_{n}(F(x \otimes B) = \frac{|C|}{M} T_{n}(Z|xxu) \otimes (Z|D_{s})(x \otimes B) = \frac{|C|}{M} \frac{1}{x \in C} \frac{1}{x \in C} \frac{|xxu|}{|x \in C} \frac{|Z|}{|x \in$  $=\frac{|C|}{M}\sum_{\mathcal{H}\in C}^{I} \operatorname{Tr}\left([\mathcal{H}\times\mathcal{H}] \otimes \sum_{B: E(0)=\mathcal{H}}^{D} \right) \left(\frac{|\mathcal{H}\times\mathcal{H}|}{|C|} \otimes_{B}^{D}\right)$  $= \frac{1}{M} T_{n} \left( \begin{array}{c} Z' & Z' & D_{s} \\ x \in C_{n} : E(0) = n \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} B \end{array} \right)$ So DH (PXB Il (x B B) Z log M

Achievability Th: For any  $\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}(0,1)$ and any  $\mathcal{E}'\mathcal{E}(0,\mathcal{E})$  and  $\mathcal{C}>0$ and M' satisfying: ] timble parameters for the bornd.  $\begin{array}{l} \textcircledleg M \leq \underset{X}{\text{Aup }} D_{H}^{\varepsilon'} \left( \underset{XB}{\mathcal{B}} \| \underbrace{\mathcal{C}_{XB}} \mathcal{B} \right) - \underbrace{\log 2 + c + c^{-1}}_{\mathcal{E} - (1 + c) \varepsilon'} \\ & \underbrace{\mathcal{C}_{X}}_{\text{then exists an }} \left( (M, \varepsilon) - \operatorname{tode} \right) \end{array}$ Not the borne E but can choose it arbitrarily cloce to E. Think of this as a onnall error term Rk: \* Achievability statument moldus converse up to error terms that are "small in many settings of interest. \* Proof uses the probabilistic method: does not give an explicit (E,D) that is an (M,E) code but rather we choose (ED) at random and show that on average, it has an error probability  $\leq \varepsilon$ . Proof: dit E<E, c>0, 1/2 distribution on K. By defention, then exists FEPos(X&B) A.T.  $T_{n}(F_{(XB)}) \ge 1 - \varepsilon'$ and  $T_{n}(F_{(XB)}) = 2^{-D_{H}(P_{XB})}(P_{XB})$ Will construct (E,D) from F.

\*  $E:[M] \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  (M should satisfy  $\mathcal{F}$ ) choose E(s) random with distribution  $P_X$ . independently for every  $s \in [M]$ . \* ) Dajse[M) ~ POVM. Want to use the test F distinguishing (xB from CxCB-Simple to see that we may assume  $F = \sum_{x \in X} |axx| \otimes F_{x}$ Would like to set  $D_s = F_{E(s)}$   $\rightarrow$  does not work as  $\sum_{A} F_{E(s)} \neq$ I in general. vn 20 -> we have to normalize it.  $\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{L} \mathcal{F} = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{F} } F_{E(x)} \neq 0 \\ &D_x = \Lambda^{1/2} F_{E(x)} \Lambda^{1/2} \end{aligned}$  $\begin{bmatrix} \Lambda^{V_2} = \sum_{i:\lambda_i \neq 0} \lambda_i^{V_2} | e_i \times e_i \end{bmatrix} for$   $i: \lambda_i \neq 0 \qquad \Lambda = \sum_i \lambda_i | e_i \times e_i \end{bmatrix}$ Note that  $D_{a \ge 0}$ and  $\sum_{a} D_{a} = \Lambda^{1/2} \sum_{a} F_{E(a)} \Lambda^{-1/2} = \Lambda^{-1/2} \Lambda \Lambda^{1/2} = I$ . Rh: This contruction is protections called protection of measurement. Compute error probability For a fixed s it is given by:  $1 - Tr(D_{S} W_{E(S)}) = Tr(I - D_{S}) W_{E(S)}$  $= Tr(I - \Lambda^{k_{2}} F_{E(S)}) W_{E(S)} = Tr(I - \Lambda^{k_{2}} F_{E(S)}) W_{E(S)}).$ decode s when s is transmitted

 $\frac{Operator inequality}{I + ayashi-Nagaoba}$ For any c>0,  $0 \le S \le I$ ,  $0 \le T$ ,  $I - (S+T)^{V_2} \le (S+T)^{V_2} \le (1+c)(I-S) + (2+c+c')T$ l'ie différence is a positive semidefinte operator. Elementary fact: For A = B and W=0 Tr(AW) = Tr(BW) Moe Hayashi-Nagaoka + fact: Lo with  $S = F_{E(0)}$  and  $T = \sum_{0 \neq 0} F_{E(0)}$ .  $\begin{aligned} T_{\mathcal{L}}\left(\left(I-\Lambda^{k_{2}}F_{E(o)}\Lambda^{k_{2}}\right)W_{E(o)}\right) &\leq \left(1+c\right)T_{\mathcal{L}}\left(\left(I-F_{E(o)}\right)W_{E(o)}\right) \\ &+ \left(2+c+c^{-1}\right)\sum_{s'\neq o}'T_{r}\left(F_{E(o)}W_{E(o)}\right) \end{aligned}$ So  $Perr(E,D) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{o \in [T]} (1 - T_{n}(D_{s}W_{E(o)}))$  $\leq \frac{1}{M} \sum_{o \in [M]} (l+c) T_n(I - F_{E(o)}) W_{E(o)})$  $+\left(2+c+c^{-1}\right)\sum_{\substack{\beta'\neq\beta}}^{\prime} T_{n}\left(F_{E(\sigma)} W_{E(\sigma)}\right)$ Rk: we did not use our choice for E so far, we now use it by computing the expectation over the choice of E.  $E \left\{ \operatorname{Per}(E, \mathcal{D}) \right\} \leq 1 \Sigma \left[ 1 + c \right] E \left\{ \operatorname{Tr}(E - F_{E(a)}) \right\} \\ = \left\{ \operatorname{Per}(E, \mathcal{D}) \right\} \leq 1 \Sigma \left[ 1 + c \right] E \left\{ \operatorname{Tr}(E - F_{E(a)}) \right\} \\ = \left\{ \operatorname{Per}(E, \mathcal{D}) \right\} \leq 1 \Sigma \left[ 1 + c \right] E \left\{ \operatorname{Tr}(E - F_{E(a)}) \right\} \\ = \left\{ \operatorname{Per}(E, \mathcal{D}) \right\} \leq 1 \Sigma \left[ 1 + c \right] E \left\{ \operatorname{Tr}(E - F_{E(a)}) \right\} \\ = \left\{ \operatorname{Per}(E, \mathcal{D}) \right\} \leq 1 \Sigma \left[ 1 + c \right] E \left\{ \operatorname{Tr}(E - F_{E(a)}) \right\} \\ = \left\{ \operatorname{Per}(E, \mathcal{D}) \right\} \leq 1 \Sigma \left[ 1 + c \right] E \left\{ \operatorname{Tr}(E - F_{E(a)}) \right\} \\ = \left\{ \operatorname{Per}(E, \mathcal{D}) \right\} \leq 1 \Sigma \left[ 1 + c \right] \\ = \left\{ \operatorname{Per}(E, \mathcal{D}) \right\} \leq 1 \Sigma \left[ 1 + c \right] \\ = \left\{ \operatorname{Per}(E, \mathcal{D}) \right\} = \left\{ \operatorname{Per}($  $+ \left(2 + c + c^{-1}\right) \sum_{\substack{\Delta' \neq D}} \left(E_{a} \right) \left[T_{a} \left(F_{E(a')} \\ W_{E(a)}\right)\right]$ rondonness in choice of F

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{T} E \left\{ T_{n} \left( (I - F_{E(0)}) W_{E(0)} \right) \right\} &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}}^{l} P_{x}^{2} E(x) = x \int_{T_{n}}^{l} T_{n} \left( (I - F_{x}) W_{x} \right) \\ &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}}^{l} P_{x}(x) T_{n} \left( \left( I - F_{x} \right) W_{x} \right) \\ &= 1 - T_{n} \left( \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}}^{l} |axx| \otimes F_{x} \right) \cdot \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}}^{l} P_{x}(n) |axx| \otimes W_{n} \right) \\ &= 1 - T_{n} \left( F_{n} \right) \\ &= 1 - T_{n} \left( F_{n} \right) \\ &= 1 - E' \text{ by assumption} \end{aligned}$$

 $\mathbb{E}\left\{ \operatorname{Tr}\left(F_{E(a)}, W_{E(a)}\right) \right\} = \mathbb{E}\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{F}} \\ \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}} \\ \mathcal{R$  $= \sum_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}'} P_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}) P_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}') T_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}') T_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}')$  $= T_{n} \left( \left( \begin{array}{c} Z_{i} | \mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{e} \nabla F_{\mathbf{a}'} \right) \left( \left( \begin{array}{c} Z_{i} \\ \mathbf{x} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}$  $-2^{-}D_{H}^{\varepsilon'}(\operatorname{exp} \| \operatorname{exp})$ 

As a result  

$$E \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} Perr(E,D) \leq (1+c) \epsilon' + (2+c+\epsilon) (M-1) 2^{-D_{H}(e_{x,0}) | e_{x,0} e_{B})}$$
because we sum over  $\rho' \neq 0$ .  
Many condition on  $M$  in  $\mathfrak{E}$   
 $\leq \mathcal{E}$   
 $\Rightarrow$  There exists  $(E,D)$  of.  $Perr(E,D) \leq \mathcal{E}$ 

This characterization of log M<sup>opr</sup>(w, E) is very deneral. general. Important special case where we can evaluate the expression more explicitly: Memoryless channel W<sup>81</sup>. Def: Let W be a cq channel. The classical capacity C(W) of W is defined by  $C(W) := \lim_{\substack{E \to 0 \\ m \to \infty}} \lim_{\substack{h \to \infty \\ m \to \infty}} \frac{\log MOV^{h}(W,E)}{n}$  M optimal rate for transmitting informations.

Condary: For any cy channel W where  $\sum_{(X_i-X_nB_i-B_n)} = \sum_{\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_n} P_{(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_n)} W_{\alpha_1} \otimes W_{\alpha_2} \otimes \dots \otimes W_{\alpha_n}$ 

Notation:  $X'' = X_1 - X_n$   $B'' = B_1 - B_n$ <u>Proof</u>: We have

 $\lim_{\substack{\xi \to 0 \\ n \to \infty}} \int_{\mathcal{H}^{n}} \frac{\mathcal{E}_{3}}{\left( \left( \begin{array}{c} \chi \mathcal{B}^{n} \\ \chi \mathcal{B}^{n} \end{array}\right) - \frac{1}{n} \frac{\log(4)}{\mathcal{E}_{3}} \leq C(\mathcal{M}) \leq \lim_{\substack{\xi \to 0 \\ \xi \to 0}} \int_{\mathcal{H}^{n}} \frac{1}{n} \frac{\log \mathcal{E}_{3}}{\mathcal{E}_{3}} \leq C(\mathcal{M}) \leq \lim_{\substack{\xi \to 0 \\ \xi \to 0}} \int_{\mathcal{H}^{n}} \frac{1}{n} \frac{\log \mathcal{E}_{3}}{\mathcal{E}_{3}} \left( \left( \begin{array}{c} \chi \mathcal{B}^{n} \\ \chi \mathcal{B}^{n} \end{array}\right) \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} \chi \mathcal{B}^{n} \\ \chi \mathcal{B}^{n} \end{array}\right) = \int_{\mathcal{H}^{n}} \frac{1}{n} \frac{\log(4)}{\mathcal{E}_{3}} \leq C(\mathcal{M}) \leq \lim_{\substack{\xi \to 0 \\ \xi \to 0}} \int_{\mathcal{H}^{n}} \frac{1}{n} \frac{\log \mathcal{E}_{3}}{\mathcal{E}_{3}} \left( \left( \begin{array}{c} \chi \mathcal{B}^{n} \\ \chi \mathcal{B}^{n} \end{array}\right) \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} \chi \mathcal{B}^{n} \\ \chi \mathcal{B}^{n} \end{array}\right) = \int_{\mathcal{H}^{n}} \frac{1}{n} \frac{\log(4)}{\mathcal{E}_{3}} \leq C(\mathcal{M}) \leq \lim_{\substack{\xi \to 0 \\ \xi \to 0}} \int_{\mathcal{H}^{n}} \frac{1}{n} \frac{\log \mathcal{E}_{3}}{\mathcal{E}_{3}} \left( \left( \begin{array}{c} \chi \mathcal{B}^{n} \\ \chi \mathcal{B}^{n} \end{array}\right) \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} \chi \mathcal{B}^{n} \\ \chi \mathcal{B}^{n} \end{array}\right) = \int_{\mathcal{H}^{n}} \frac{1}{n} \frac{\log(4)}{\mathcal{E}_{3}} \leq C(\mathcal{M}) \leq \lim_{\substack{\xi \to 0 \\ \xi \to 0}} \int_{\mathcal{H}^{n}} \frac{1}{n} \frac{\log \mathcal{E}_{3}}{\mathcal{E}_{3}} \left( \left( \begin{array}{c} \chi \mathcal{B}^{n} \\ \chi \mathcal{B}^{n} \end{array}\right) \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} \chi \mathcal{B}^{n} \\ \chi \mathcal{B}^{n} \end{array}\right) = \int_{\mathcal{H}^{n}} \frac{1}{n} \frac{\log(4)}{\mathcal{E}_{3}} \leq C(\mathcal{M}) \leq \lim_{\substack{\xi \to 0 \\ \eta \to 0}} \int_{\mathcal{H}^{n}} \frac{1}{n} \frac{\log(4)}{\mathcal{E}_{3}} \left( \begin{array}{c} \chi \mathcal{B}^{n} \\ \chi \mathcal{B}^{n} \end{array}\right) = \int_{\mathcal{H}^{n}} \frac{1}{n} \frac{\log(4)}{\mathcal{E}_{3}} \leq C(\mathcal{M}) \leq \lim_{\substack{\xi \to 0}} \frac{1}{n} \frac{\log(4)}{\mathcal{E}_{3}} \leq U(\mathcal{M}) \leq U(\mathcal$ 

We should evaluate lin lin 1 sup  $D_{H}^{\epsilon}(x^{n}B^{n}\|(x^{n}\otimes B^{n})=:\alpha)$ •  $X \ge \sup_{P_X} I(X:B)_{AB}$  at  $P_X$  achieve the sup. Choose  $P_{X^n} = P_X \otimes P_X \dots \otimes P_X$   $(X_1 \dots X_n independent distribution P_X).$  $\geq \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{D_{H}^{\epsilon}}{H} \left( \begin{array}{c} \otimes n \\ \times B \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} \otimes n \\ \times \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} \otimes n \\ \times \end{array} \right)$  $= \mathcal{D}(x_{\mathcal{B}} \| x_{\mathcal{B}} \otimes e_{\mathcal{B}})$  $= \mathbb{I}(X:B)$  $\bullet X \leq \sup_{M} \sup_{P_{X^n}} \frac{1}{T(X^n; B^n)}$ In the converse port of Stein's limma, we should  $\mathcal{D}_{H}^{\varepsilon}(\mathcal{O}^{||\sigma)} \leq \frac{\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{O}^{||\sigma)} + 4}{1 - \varepsilon}$  $\alpha \leq \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sup_{x \to 0} \frac{D((x - \varepsilon - \varepsilon) + 1)}{1 - \varepsilon}$  $= \lim_{m \to pp} \frac{1}{n} \sup_{Xn} \overline{D(X^{n}; B^{n})} (x^{n}g^{n})$  $= \sup_{n \neq n} \frac{1}{n} \sup_{Xn} \overline{D(X^{n}; B^{n})} (x^{n}g^{n}) (x^{n}g^{n})$  $f(n) := pmp I(x^n; B^n)$ is superadditive (ex)  $f(n+m) \ge f(n) + f(m)$ . + Fekek lemma.

			2
<u>Rk</u> :	Achally	easy to see	$C(W) = \sup_{\substack{n \neq n \\ n \neq n}} I(X^n, B)$

 $\sup_{m} \frac{1}{n} \sup_{R_{n}} \frac{\Gamma(X^{n}; B^{n})}{R_{n}}$ ? How to compute For <u>cq chamelo</u> -> = pup I(X:B), it f(n) additive Lemma: For any n  $\int_{n} \sup_{R_{n}} I(X^{n}, B^{n}) = \sup_{X} I(X; B)$ Proof: . > simple (always true, not only cq chamels) ∘ ≤ Let P<sub>x</sub> be arbitrary  $\left(\chi^{n}\beta^{n}=\sum_{\chi^{n}}P_{\chi^{n}}(\chi^{n})|\chi^{n}\chi^{n}|\otimes W_{\chi^{n}}\right)$  $I(X^n:B^n) = H(B^n) - H(B^n/X^n)$ \*  $H(B^n) \leq Z(H(B_i))$  (subadditudy).  $* H(B^{n}/X^{n}) = \sum_{\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{n}}^{n-1} P_{X^{n}}(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{n}) H(B^{n})_{W_{\alpha_{1}} \otimes W_{\alpha_{2}} \otimes \cdots \otimes W_{\alpha_{n}}}$ hoperts of von Neumann enhopy: conditional entropy = average of entropy of conditional state. =  $\sum_{z_1, \dots, z_n} P_{x_n}(a_1 \dots a_n)(H(B)_{W_{a_1}} + H(B)_{W_{a_n}} + H(B)_{W_{a_n}})$  $= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{x_i}^{n} \frac{P_i(x_i) H(B)}{X_i} + H(B)_{W_{x_i}}$  $= \sum_{i=1}^{n} H(B_i | X_i)$ 

So 
$$I(x^{n}:B^{n}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} H(B_{i}) - H(B_{i}|X_{i})$$
  
 $= \sum_{i=1}^{n} I(X_{i}:B_{i})$   
 $\leq n \cdot \sup I(X:B) = ...$   
The (Shannon theorem for Cg channel)  
The capacity of a cg channel is given by  
 $C(W) = \sup I(X:B) = I($ 

•

2. General quantum channels. Now W: L(A) -> L(B) quantum channel. Very similar defenitions: Def: An M-code (E,D) for W is given by  $E: [M] \longrightarrow S(A) encoding function$  $Decoding is a POVM <math>GD_s$  for m B.  $\frac{\text{Def}}{\text{for } W \text{ is defined by}} \quad \text{Perr}(E, D) \text{ of an } M\text{-code}$  $Perr(E,D) = 1 - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{s \in [n]} T_n(D_s \mathcal{W}(E(s)))$ If  $perr(E,D) \leq E$ , we say that (E,D) is on (M,E)-code Locking back at the proofs for cg channels, we see that it suffices to optimize over choices of  $\int \sigma^{\mathcal{H}} \in S(\mathcal{A})$ and consider the corresponding cg channel  $W_{\mathcal{A}} = W(\sigma^{\mathcal{A}})$ We then define (as before) for  $P_X(n)$ ,  $A_{J_X \in X}$  $(XB = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{Y}} P_x(n) | x \times n | \otimes W(P_A)$  This is called an ensemble

Th: Any (OI, E)- code for W satisfies  $\log M \leq \sup_{T_A^n} \sup_{X} D_H^e((XB \| (X \otimes (B))))$ and there exists and (M, E)-code for W $\underset{\sigma_{A}}{leg}M \ge \sup_{\sigma_{A}} \sup_{X} D_{H} \left( \sum_{XB} \| e_{X} \otimes e_{B} \right) - \log \left( \frac{2+c+c^{-1}}{c-(1+c)\epsilon^{1}} \right)$ Basically the some proof. (good exercice to redo it yourself) Rk: we take supremum over arbitrarily large X but in many cases can bound it. Important special case: W. Def: The classical capacity (W) of a quantum channel W is defined as: some dif as for equals  $(W):= \lim_{E\to 0} \lim_{n\to p} \frac{\log M^{opt}(W, E)}{n}$ Same as before ; using Stein lemma  $\frac{1}{m} \stackrel{\mathcal{E}}{\to} \mathcal{D}$ . Notation:  $X(W) := \sup_{\substack{x \in A, P_X(W)}} D(P_XB || P_X \otimes P_B)$ I(X:B) (×B) where  $C_{XB} = \sum_{n} f_{X}(n) | \lambda X N \otimes \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{O}_{A}^{n})$ 

Rk: In literation X/W/ is called the Holevs momenting of W. See (Wilde, Ch13) or [Wathows, Ch8] for properties. \* The Holevo information of an ensemble  $\{P_{X}(x), \sigma_{A}^{x}\}$ also commonly denoted I(X:A) for  $P_{XA} = \sum_{n}^{i} P_{X}(n) |nXn| \otimes \sigma_{A}^{n}$  $N''(SDG) = 2\ell$  $\chi'(\overline{\zeta}_{R}^{R}(u), \sigma_{A}^{2})$ With this notation, for a cq channel W. C(w) = sup X(3Px(w), w) Th (Holevo-Schumacher-Westmorland, HSW) Let W be a quantum channel  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W}) = \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{m} \chi(\mathcal{W}^{\infty}) = \sup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \chi(\mathcal{W}^{\infty})$ Proof is the same as what we did in cg case. Question: Is Kaddelive under tensor product? ie  $X(w^{\otimes n}) \stackrel{!}{=} n X(w)$ Note that  $\mathcal{K}(w^{\otimes n}) \ge n \mathcal{K}(w)$  is simple, follows from the fact that  $D(e^{\otimes e^{1}|\sigma \otimes \tau}) = 2D(e^{1}|\sigma)$ . Answer: NO in general, i.e., there exids channels W.s.r.  $K(W^{or}) > 2K(W)$ . This means that optimal choice of state The will be entangled Construction in [Hastings, 200] by choosing W "random" and a very involved analysis. [See book Alice & Bob meet Barned]

. But then are families of channel for which additivity can be proved. parameter SE(9) Research question: Consider the amplitude damping channel As [Simplishe model for decay of 2-lived atom due to spontaneous enviroin of photon]