Jan 1716 Finite dimensional Hilbert space H. M, OEH < M, OD inner product LEC cu, do>= deujo> chu, v) = h < u, v)I complex conjugate . Linear operators H-> H': L(H, H) $L(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{H}) =: L(\mathcal{H})$ For an operator $S \in L(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}')$, the adjoint S^{*} is defined by $L(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$ $L(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$ $L(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$ $L(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$ $L(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$ Important classes of operators SEL(H): • S is unitary if SS*=SS=I • S is Hermitian if S*=S. * identify. 570 S is positive, we write SE Pos(H) if Sis Hermitian and <u, Su>>0 for all nGH. S is an orthogonal projection if S=S=S
 such an S is positive. Bra-ket notation: We identify $u \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\mathcal{M} \geq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{H})$ defined by $\mathcal{M} \geq \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ if \mathcal{L} $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \mathcal{M}$. The adjoint INX EL(H,C) is devoted < 11

$$c_{M1}: H \rightarrow C$$

$$v \mapsto c_{M,0}$$
We have $\cdot c_{M,100} \in L(C, C)$ identified with C .

$$c_{M,0}^{M}v^{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow unil denote inner product by $c_{M,0}$

$$\cdot 100 > c_{M,1} \in L(H)$$

$$E_{X}: e_{i} is a basis of H then C orthonormal then $I = \sum_{i=1}^{n} 1e_{i}Xe_{i}$.
Refers we will often we shothand [i) for lei?

$$ix > for lens$$

$$Spectral decomposition$$

$$\cdot For any Hermitian $S \in L(H)$, then exists an orthonormal basis of H e_{i} and $f_{i} = 1$

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i} |e_{i}Xe_{i}|$$

$$i = 1$$

$$with A_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\cdot In other words S written in ONB fe_{i} is diagonal $S = \begin{pmatrix} A_{i} & O \\ O & A_{i} & O \\ O & A_{i} & (H) \end{pmatrix}$$$$$$$$$

· S is positive iff di >0 Va. • For $f: R \to C$. $f(S) = \sum_{i} f(A_i) |I_i X I_i|$

Tensor products: Multiple systems A, B, C, ... Hibert space HA, HB, HC Χ,Υ,... Hilbert space for point system: HAOHB bilinear. • vector space spanned by uono bilinear. u & HA, v & Ho · inner product: (1000/1000>= <1/102.20/102 and linear extension. FOR SEL(HA, HA), TEL(HB, HB) define SOT: (SOT) (NOV) = (SU) O(TV), and linear extension

= span ≥ S⊗Tg We identify: $L(H_A, H'_A) \otimes L(H_B, H'_B)$ and $L(H_A \otimes H_B, H'_B)$ In particular $(u) \otimes (v) = |u \otimes v\rangle$ fixed on basis. $- T_n S = \sum_{i} [ce; Seo7]$ Def: A density operator c on H is a monoliged possitive operator on H, i.e., $c \in Pos(H)$ and Tr(c)=1. . The set of density operations is denoted S(H). • e is said to be pure if $\operatorname{rank}(e) = 1$ $e^{\stackrel{?}{=}} it \times t$) $\mathbf{k} \in 21$. • $e^{=1} \cdot \mathbf{I}$ "maximally" mixed.

Density operation fimalism: · If a system is represented by a vector 1(1) (e.g. *10>+1+1) Then the density operator c representary this system is given by p-1+1 given by p = |txt|. e.g. $p = \begin{pmatrix} |\alpha|^2 \alpha \overline{\beta} \\ \overline{\alpha} p |\beta|^2 \end{pmatrix}$ in the basis $\overline{\beta} |07,11\rangle$. · Composition: State of a composite system is given by density operators on $\mathcal{H}_{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{B}$ if individual state spaces are \mathcal{H}_{A} and \mathcal{H}_{B} .

Independent: state of goint system to CAOCE if individual state one CA and CB. Notation: HA -> A. (for short we call the Hilbert space A) • Evolution: Isolated evolution of a subsystem A conseponds to a umbany on A. For a statu (AB on composite system A&B with evolution on A given by UA and the B system uncharged: $\mathcal{C}'_{AB} = (\mathcal{U}_{A} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{B}) \mathcal{C}_{AB} (\mathcal{U}_{A} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{B}).$ • Measurement: A measurement on subsystem A is defined by operators $M_{x} \int_{x \in X}$ for some set X, $M_{n} \in L(A)$ satisfying $\sum_{x \in X} M_{x}^{*} M_{n} = I$ hob of onkome x: p(n)=Tr(Mx & IB (AB Mx & IB)

Check: $\sum_{n}' p(n) = \sum_{n}' \operatorname{Tr} \left(M_{2}^{\pi} M_{3} \otimes \operatorname{I}_{B}(Ab) = \operatorname{Tr} \left(f_{AB} \right) = 1.$ $T_n(ST) = T_n(TS)$

Post-measurement state conditioned on x:

·Special case: projective measurement You might be used to special case $M_{\alpha} = P_{\alpha}$ with P_{α} projector (ie $P_{\alpha} = P_{\alpha} = P_{\alpha}$) coming from the opechal decomposition of observable O $O = Z_1 z \cdot P_2$ A general measurement can model eq. a unitary followed by a projective measurement: U_A followed by $P_A = X_A = X_A$ $p(x) = Tr(P_X \cup_A \otimes I_B) (AB(\cup_A P_X \otimes I_B))$ $M_X = M_X$

· Special case: POVM measurement. Often, we are not interested in post-measurement state but only in the probability distribution p(x). $p(x) = Tr((I_{\mathcal{R}} \otimes I_{\mathcal{B}}) \rho_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}}(M_{\mathcal{R}} \otimes I_{\mathcal{B}}))$ $= T_{\mathcal{N}} \left(M_{\mathcal{R}}^{\mathcal{P}} M_{\mathcal{R}} \otimes I_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{B}} \right)$ We let $E_n = M_n M_n$. 2 only need to know E_n to determine p(n) and not M_n .

Def: A positive operator valued measure (POVM) on A is a family $E_{n,T_{n}\in X}$ of positive operators on A and that $\Xi'_{\alpha \in \chi} = I_{\alpha}$ Robability of outcome $\alpha = Tn(E_{\alpha}e)$. Quantum channels . General way of describing evolution of state of a system . The Hilbert space can change: A -> B. (forget a system, add a particle...) · E should map S(A) to S(B) Edenoity operates Admosty operators. Def: A quantum channel É is a linear map from [L(A) to L(B) patiefying: mys convex combinations ECPE+(P-p)E(P)+(P-p)E(P)) · Completely positive. For CEPos(A), E(c)EPos(B). (positive) For any Hilbert space R e E Pos(A@R) (E@T_R)(e) E Pos(B@R) • Trace - preservine: For $T \in L(A)$, Tr(E(T)) = Tr(T)

U unitary m A $\underline{E_{X}}: \quad \mathcal{E}: L(\mathcal{A}) \to L(\mathcal{A})$ $\mathcal{E}(T) = UTU^{\circ}$ for any TEL(A). * Completely .positive: $(\Xi \otimes T_{p})(p) = (\bigcup \otimes I_{p}) p (\bigcup \otimes I_{p}) \ge 0$ $\left[\langle v, (U \otimes I_{p}) e (U^{*} \otimes I_{p}) v \rangle \\ = \langle (U^{*} \otimes I_{p}) v, e^{U^{*} \otimes I_{p} v} \rangle \geq 0 \right]$ More gonerally, a map E(T)=STS for all T is completely positive. * Trace preserving: $Tr(UTU^*) = Tr(U^*UT) = Tr(T)$. · Partial than map & important example. PABES(A&B) statu of a composite system. What is the state of system A mits own? -> Should be a valid quantum channel, conesponds to "forgetting" B. $T_{\mathcal{B}}: L(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}) \longrightarrow L(\mathcal{A})$ $T \mapsto Z_{i}(I_{A} \circ c b) T(I_{A} \circ l b)$ where \$16>3 fours a basis of B.

Note that $Tr_B = Z_A \otimes Tr_map from L(B) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. identity: $L(A) \rightarrow L(A)$ $T_{\mathcal{B}}\left(\mathcal{A}\otimes \mathcal{B}\right) = \mathcal{A}\cdot T_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}\cdot \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}.$ The plays the rule of taking the manginal distribution of a goint distribution. $CAB = \sum_{a,b} P(a,b) |axa| \otimes |bxb|$ flast one of B then $C_A = T_B (AB = \sum_{a} (\sum_{b} P(a,b)) |axa|$ Check: Complete positivity: TH>(IAOCOL)T(IAOLO) in CP Sum of CP is CP. • Trag-puserny: $\sum_{5} Tr(I \otimes (5) T I \otimes (5)) = \sum_{5}^{1} Tr(I \otimes (5) T)$ = Tr(T).. Measuremento.

 $M: L(A) \longrightarrow L(X \otimes A)$ T is Zi lexel & Ma TMa xEX operator on X operator on A. Check: • CP: $|\alpha \times \alpha| \otimes M_{\alpha} T M_{\alpha}^{*} = (\alpha \times \otimes M_{\alpha}) T (<\alpha \otimes M_{\alpha})$ $L(A, \times \otimes A) \qquad \in L(\times \otimes A, A)$ \Rightarrow save argument. • Trace - preserve: $T_{\alpha}(|\alpha \times \alpha|) > 1$. $T_{\alpha}(\sum_{n}^{*} |\alpha \times \alpha| \otimes M_{\alpha} T M_{\alpha}^{*}) \stackrel{d}{=} \sum_{n}^{*} T_{\alpha}(M_{\alpha}^{*} M_{\alpha} T) \stackrel{d}{=} T_{\alpha}(T)$ $T_{\alpha}(\sum_{n}^{*} |\alpha \times \alpha| \otimes M_{\alpha} T M_{\alpha}^{*}) \stackrel{d}{=} \sum_{n}^{*} T_{\alpha}(M_{\alpha}^{*} M_{\alpha} T) \stackrel{d}{=} T_{\alpha}(T)$ $T_{n}(S \otimes T) = T_{n}(S) \cdot T_{n}(T).$ Can check that this models the measurement.
$$\begin{split} \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}) &= \sum_{i}^{i} T_{i}(\mathcal{M}_{a}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{M}_{a}^{*})) \mathcal{I}_{a}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{M}_{a}) \\ \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{A}) &= \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{M}_{a}) \\ prob of ownown \\ prob of ownown \\ post-measurement \\ state conditioned on \mathcal{X}. \end{split}$$
Rk: Such a state is called a classical - quantum state. i.e. of the form $(cq state) = \sum_{x \in X} P_x(x) I_{XXX} | \otimes P_x(x) = \sum_{x \in X} P_x(x) I_{XXX} | \otimes P_x(x) = \sum_{x \in X} P_x(x) I_{XXX} | \otimes P_x(x) = \sum_{x \in X} P_x(x) I_{XXX} | \otimes P_x(x) = \sum_{x \in X} P_x(x) I_{XXX} | \otimes P_x(x) = \sum_{x \in X} P_x(x) I_{XXX} | \otimes P_x(x) = \sum_{x \in X} P_x(x) I_{XXX} | \otimes P_x(x) = \sum_{x \in X} P_x(x) I_{XXX} | \otimes P_x(x) = \sum_{x \in X} P_x(x) I_{XXX} | \otimes P_x(x) = \sum_{x \in X} P_x(x) I_{XXX} | \otimes P_x(x) = \sum_{x \in X} P_x(x) I_{XXX} | \otimes P_x(x) = \sum_{x \in X} P_x(x) I_{XXX} | \otimes P_x(x) = \sum_{x \in X} P_x(x) I_{XXX} | \otimes P_x(x) = \sum_{x \in X} P_x(x) I_{XXX} | \otimes P_x(x) = \sum_{x \in X} P_x(x) I_{XXX} | \otimes P_x(x) = \sum_{x \in X} P_x(x) I_{XXX} | \otimes P_x(x) = \sum_{x \in X} P_x(x) I_{XXX} | \otimes P_x(x) = \sum_{x \in X} P_x(x) I_{XXX} | \otimes P_x(x) = \sum_{x \in X} P_x(x) I_{XXX} | \otimes P_x(x) = \sum_{x \in X} P_x(x) I_{XXX} | \otimes P_x(x) = \sum_{x \in X} P_x(x) I_{XXX} | \otimes P_x(x) = \sum_{x \in X} P_x(x) I_{XXX} | \otimes P_x(x) = \sum_{x \in X} P_x(x) I_{XXX} | \otimes P_x(x) = \sum_{x \in X} P_x(x) I_{XXX} | \otimes P_x(x) = \sum_{x \in X} P_x(x) I_{XXX} | \otimes P_x(x) = \sum_{x \in X} P_x(x) I_{XXX} | \otimes P_x(x) = \sum_{x \in X} P_x(x) I_{XXX} | \otimes P_x(x) = \sum_{x \in X} P_x(x) I_{XXX} | \otimes P_x(x) = \sum_{x \in X} P_x(x) I_{XXX} | \otimes P_x(x) = \sum_{x \in X} P_x(x) I_{XXX} | \otimes P_x(x) = \sum_{x \in X} P_x(x) I_{XXX} | \otimes P_x(x) = \sum_{x \in X} P_x(x) I_{XXX} | \otimes P_x(x) = \sum_{x \in X} P_x(x) I_{XXX} | \otimes P_x(x) = \sum_{x \in X} P_x(x) I_{XXX} | \otimes P_x(x) = \sum_{x \in X} P_x(x) I_{XXX} | \otimes P_x(x) = \sum_{x \in X} P_x(x) I_{XXX} | \otimes P_x(x) = \sum_{x \in X} P_x(x) I_{XXX} | \otimes P_x(x) = \sum_{x \in X} P_x(x) I_{XXX} | \otimes P_x(x) = \sum_{x \in X} P_x(x) I_{XXX} | \otimes P_x(x) = \sum_{x \in X} P_x(x) I_{XXX} | \otimes P_x(x) = \sum_{x \in X} P_x(x) I_{XXX} | \otimes P_x(x) = \sum_{x \in X} P_x(x) I_{XXX} | \otimes P_x(x) = \sum_{x \in X} P_x(x) I_{XXX} | \otimes P_x(x) = \sum_{x \in X} P_x(x) I_{XXX} | \otimes P_x(x) = \sum_{x \in X} P_x(x) I_{XXX} | \otimes P_x(x) = \sum_{x \in X} P_x(x) I_{XXX} | \otimes P_x(x) = \sum_{x \in X} P_x(x) I_{XXX} | \otimes P_x(x) = \sum_{x \in X} P_x(x) I_{XXX} | \otimes P_x(x) = \sum_{x \in X} P_x(x) I_{XXX} | \otimes P_x(x) = \sum_{x \in X} P_x(x) I_{XXX} | \otimes P_x(x) = \sum_{x \in X} P_x(x) I_{XXX} | \otimes P_x(x) = \sum_{x \in X} P_x(x) I_$

Distance measures between states

Def: The trace distance between two states p and σ in S(A) is defined by $\Delta(\rho,\sigma) = \frac{1}{2} \|\rho - \sigma\|_{1} = \frac{1}{2} \pi |\rho - \sigma|_{1}.$ Zildil, di eigenvalues of C-0 $K_{k}: - \Delta(e,e) = 0, \quad \Delta(e,\sigma) \leq \frac{1}{2}(|e||_{1} + ||\sigma||_{1}) = 1.$ - Invariant under unitary $\Delta(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{U}^{*},\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{O}}\mathcal{V}^{*})=\Delta(\mathcal{E},\sigma)$ - For $p = \sum_{a} P(a) |a \times a|$ $\sigma = \sum_{a}^{\prime} Q(a) |axa|$ $\Delta(\ell, \sigma) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a} \left| P(a) - Q(a) \right|,$ called total variation defince between P and Q - Data processing & important property for any Equantum channel distance measure. $\Delta(\mathcal{E}(\rho), \mathcal{E}(\sigma)) \leq \Delta(\rho, \sigma)$

Operational interpretation: distinguishing states. Hypotheses System A is either in: $H_0: C_0$ $H_1: C_1$ Question: Minimum probability of error? Strakegy given by a POVM: Eo, E1 Ho H Prior: Ho with pubabliky 1/2. Hy with probability 1/2. Error probability = $\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}(E_{1}(E_{1})) + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}(E_{0}(1))$ state is Co but we wrongly say H₁ but wrongly say H₀ "Type I" error "Type II" error Often Ho and H, play asymetric role. $\frac{Proposition}{strategies} is \qquad \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \Delta(lo1(l)) \cdot$ Rk: Hypothesis testing can also be considered in different regimes e.g. fix Type I error = E and minimize Type I error.

Def: The hypothesis testing relative entropy with panameter EEEO,D is defined by 24/04/2023 $D_{H}^{E}(P \parallel \sigma) = \max_{\substack{0 \le E \le I}} \log \ln(E\sigma)$ Tr(Ep)=1-E E conesponds to Eo, $- D_{H}(ello) \in [0,+\infty]$. Kk. $E_{1}=I-E_{0}=I-E.$ $-2^{-D_{H}^{\varepsilon}(ell\sigma)}$ is the minimum Type I error if Type I error $\leq \varepsilon$. - For $\mathcal{E} = 1$, $D_{t}(\rho \| \sigma) = +\infty$ (not interesting) -- For $\mathcal{E} = O$, $D_{\mathcal{H}}^{\circ}(\mathcal{E} | \sigma) = -\log \operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{E}} \sigma)$ where The = projector onto the support of C $:= \sum_{i: \lambda_i \neq 0}^{i} |l_i X l_i| \quad \text{where } p = \sum_{i}^{i} \lambda_i |l_i X l_i|$ - For e=0, $D_{H}^{E}(e||_{e}) = -\log(1-E)$. = 0 if E small. - For ρ and σ having orthogonal supports, i.e., $\rho\sigma = 0$ $D_{H}^{E}(\rho||\sigma) = +\infty$

Announcements:

* Please send an email to omar. fawzi@ens-lyon.fr if you're planning to take the come * Evaluation will be Paper presentation + report One homework near the end. * You are encouraged to prepare the problems you couldn't cover in tutorial for the following time.

Jan 25th Further remarks about DH (Pllo) . In general, no closed form expression but it $\min T_{n}(E_{\sigma})$ subject to $T_{n}(E_{\sigma}) \ge 1-\varepsilon$ $\infty E \le I$. is a convex optimization program, more opecifically it is a <u>Semi-definite</u> program. can be computed efficiently for small dimension. • Classical case $p = \sum_{n \in \mathcal{X}} P(n) | a \times e$ $\sigma = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} Q(x) [x \times x].$ A natural ted: For sample α , compute $\frac{P(\alpha)}{Q(\alpha)} > If \ge 1$ output "P" $\frac{Q(\alpha)}{Q(\alpha)} > If \le 1$ output "Q" called likelihood ratio. 'hop: Di satisfies the data processing enequality ie. for any quantum channel E, we have $\frac{Prevof}{E(e)}: Very intuitive: If I have a strategy to distinguish <math>\mathcal{E}(e)$ from $\mathcal{E}(\sigma)$, can dusting with e and σ by first applying \mathcal{E} then the strategy.

Lt E be such that $D_{H}^{\varepsilon}(\varepsilon(e)||\varepsilon(\sigma)) = -\log \operatorname{Tr}(\varepsilon(e)) \text{ and } \operatorname{Tr}(\varepsilon(e))_{z_{1}-\varepsilon}$ Note that L(H) is itself a Hilbert space with inner product $\langle S,T \rangle = Tn(S^*T)$. So $\mathcal{E} \in L(L(\mathcal{H}))$ has an adjoint denoted \mathcal{E} , it Satisfies : $T_n(E \mathscr{E}(\sigma)) = T_n(E^*\mathscr{E}(\sigma)) = T_n(\mathscr{E}(E)\sigma)$ Eis Hermitian and $T_n(EE(e)) = T_n(E^{*}(E)e)$ Fact: \mathcal{E} completely positive (=) \mathcal{E}^{\dagger} completely positive. \mathcal{E} trace preserving (=) \mathcal{E}^{\dagger} is unital i.e. $\mathcal{E}^{\dagger}(I) = I$. As a nsult, $\mathscr{C}(E)$ satisfies $O = \mathscr{C}(O) = \mathscr{C}(E) \leq \mathscr{C}(I) = I$. and it is a feasible solution for the program for $D_{H}^{\varepsilon}(e \| \sigma)$ So $D_{H}^{\varepsilon}(\mathcal{C}(e) || \mathcal{C}(e)) \leq D_{H}^{\varepsilon}(e^{||0|})$

Special state of interest: $C^{\otimes n}$, $C^{\otimes n}$. with $n \to \infty$. $\frac{Th}{C}(Quantum Stein Lemma) \\ | & & & \\ Let E \in (0,1) \text{ and } e, \sigma \in S(A).$ Then $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \frac{D}{H} \left(\frac{e^{\Theta n}}{e^{\Theta n}} \right) = \frac{D}{n} \left(\frac{e^{||\sigma|}}{e^{||\sigma|}} \right).$ Will give proof sketch. (e state but o not recessarily normalized) The quantum relative entropy Def: For $(ES(A)), \sigma \in Pos(A)$ where A is a finite dimensional Hilbert space, the quantum relative entropy is defined by: Supp(P) = Span P(P) : dit 0if P = Z(A)(P(P)) $D(e^{||\sigma|}) = \left\{ T_{n}(e^{(\log e - \log \sigma)}) \text{ if } \sup_{e \in e} p_{0}(e) \leq p_{0}(\sigma) + p_{0}(e^{-\log \sigma}) \right\}$ $Kk: * \log c = \sum_{i} (\log d_i) |eiXei| for c = \sum_{i} d_i |eiXei|$ * Classich case, we pand σ commulu $P = \sum_{n} P(n) [n \times n], \quad \sigma = \sum_{n} Q(n) [n \times n].$ $D(p||\sigma) = \sum_{n} P(n) [on P(n)]$ $D(ello) = \sum_{n}' P(n) \log \frac{P(n)}{Q(n)}$

called relative entropy on Kullback-Leibler diregena

Juantim relative entropy can be seen as a noncommulative generalization of KL divergence (this are others as well) The (Properties of the quantum relative entropy) • We have $D(e||\sigma) \ge O$ for $e, \sigma \in S(A)$ with equality off $e=\sigma$. · Dala processing for D: for a quantum channel E $D(\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{A}) || \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{O})) \leq D(\mathcal{A}||\mathcal{O})$ We ship the proof, proof of data processing not easy. D can be used to define entropies $\frac{Def:}{Pef:} For a state (AB) \in S(AB) ve define$ $+ I(A):=-D(A || I_A) Recall (A = Tro (AB)$ entropy Sign.in (A || Sign.) $H(A|B) := -D(PAB \parallel - F_A \otimes C_B)$ von Nermann enhopiks, conditional entropy $\cdot I(A:B) := D(AB \| CAB \| CB).$ mutual information Properties in TD.

Theof of Stein lemma; Will only give elements. See references for full profs. Kecall $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} D_{H}^{z} \left(\frac{\partial^{n}}{\partial n} \right) = D(e^{||\sigma|})$ * Achievability: > (have to give a drakegy) Will restrict to case where P and σ commute. $C = \sum_{x}^{1} P(x) |x \times n|$ $\sigma = \sum_{x}^{1} Q(x) |x \times n|$. $\mathcal{C}^{\otimes n} = \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_n} \mathcal{P}(x_1) \cdots \mathcal{P}(x_n) |x_1 \times x_1| \otimes \dots \otimes |x_n \times x_n|$ $\mathcal{J}^{\otimes n} = \sum_{a_1,\dots,a_n}^{I} Q(a_1) \cdots Q(a_n) [n_i n_i] \otimes \dots \otimes [n_n \times a_n).$ Will define a test for this hypothesis testing problem. Given X1,..., Xm Compute $R = \frac{P(X_1)P(X_2)\cdots P(X_n)}{Q(X_1)Q(X_2)\cdots Q(X_n)}$ If $\frac{1}{m}\log R \ge D(P||Q) - S$ 5,0 is a parameter, will let 5-, 0 at this end. Return "Samples from P". Else Return "Sample, from Q". In quantum notation conespondo to: $E = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} [\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n \times \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n]$ it charty $f_{n,\dots,n}: \frac{P(n_1) - P(n_n)}{Q(n_1) - Q(n_n)} \ge 2^n (\mathcal{D}(P||Q) - \delta)$ depends mm

Analysis of this test. * If samples are from P. (Hypothisis O) $P_{X-X_{m}} \sim P \sum_{m}^{n} \log R \ge D(P|Q) - 5 = T_{n}(Ee^{n})$ $= \mathbb{P}\left\{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\log\frac{\mathbb{P}(X_i)}{\mathbb{Q}(X_i)} \ge \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{Q}) - \delta\right\}$ But $\mathbb{E}_{X;\sim P}^{\gamma} \log \frac{P(X;)}{Q(X;)} = \sum_{\alpha} P(\alpha) \log \frac{P(\alpha)}{Q(\alpha)} = D(P|Q).$ So by the law of large numbers $\xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} 1$ The constraint $T_{n}(E_{c}^{on}) \ge 1 - E$ satisfied for large enough M. * If samples one from Q. (Hypothusis 1) $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i}^{1} \log \frac{P(n_{i})}{Q(n_{i})} \geq \left(D(\mathbb{P} \| Q) - \delta \right)$ $Ta(E_{\sigma})$ $= \sum_{n=1}^{l} Q(n_{1}) \cdots Q(n_{n}) ... Q(n_{n}) ... Q(n_{n}) ... Q(n_{n}) ... Q(n_{n}) ... Q(n_{n}) ... Q(n_{n}) = \sum_{n=1}^{l} P(n_{1}) \cdots P(n_{n})$ $\leq 2^{-n} (D(P||Q) - \delta) Z_{1} \cdots Z_{n}$ $\leq 2^{n} (D(P||Q) - \delta) Z_{1} \cdots Z_{n}$

So $-\log Tn(Eo^{\circ}) \ge n(D(PIQ)-\delta)$ and $1D_{H}^{\varepsilon}(\mathcal{C}^{\otimes n} || \mathcal{C}^{\otimes n}) \ge D(\mathcal{P}||Q) - S$ for large enough n. Works for any 5>0 so we have $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} D_{H}^{\mathcal{E}} \left(e^{\otimes n} \| \sigma^{\otimes n} \right) \ge D(P \| Q).$ * Converse: Will only prove General quantum $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} D_{H}^{\varepsilon} \left(e^{\infty n} \| \sigma^{\infty n} \right) \leq D(\rho \| \sigma)$ ase The statement is that it hedds for any EE(0,1) Let E be such that $T_n(E_{\mathcal{C}}^{\otimes n}) \ge 1-\varepsilon$. We apply the data processing megality for the quantum channel $\mathcal{E}: L(A^{\circ}) \longrightarrow L(\mathbb{C}^2)$ T is loxal Tr(ET) + /1×11 Tr ((-E)T). $\mathscr{E}(\mathscr{O}^{(n)}) = |O \times O| T_n(\mathbb{E}_{\mathscr{O}^{(n)}}) + |1 \times 1| (1 - T_n(\mathbb{E}_{\mathscr{O}^{(n)}}))$ $\mathcal{E}(\sigma^{\otimes n}) = 10 \times 17 (E\sigma^{\otimes n}) + 11 \times 11 (1 - Tr(E\sigma^{\otimes n}))$

We have on one side: • $D(e^{\otimes n} || e^{\otimes n}) = T_n(e^{\otimes n} | e_q e^{\otimes n}) - T_n(e^{\otimes n} | e_q e^{\otimes n})$ Note that if $p = \sum_{x} A_{x} | x \times a |$ for a basis $g_{1}x g_{2}^{2}$ $\mathcal{P}^{\otimes n} = \sum_{\chi_1 \dots \chi_n} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \lambda_1 \\ \chi_1 \\ \chi_n \end{array} \right\} \cdots \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \lambda_n \\ \chi_n \end{array} \right\} \left[\chi_1 \dots \\ \chi_n \end{array} \right\} \left[\chi_1 \dots \\ \chi_n \end{array} \right]$ $\log(\mathbb{O}^n) = \sum_{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log \lambda_i \quad |\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n \chi_{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n}|$ $=\sum_{i=1}^{n} I_{A_{i}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{A_{i-1}} \otimes (\log_{A_{i}}) \otimes I_{A_{i+1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{A_{n}}$ So Tr(pon log on) = n Tr(plogp) and $D(\mathcal{O}^n | \mathcal{O}^n) = m D(\mathcal{O}^{| \mathcal{O} \rangle})$. • But dela processing $D(e^{\otimes n} || e^{\otimes n}) \ge D(e(e^{\otimes n}) || e(e^{\otimes n}))$ $= T_{n}(E_{e}) \log \frac{T_{n}(E_{e})}{T_{n}(E_{e})} + (1 - T_{n}(E_{e})) \log \frac{(1 - T_{n}(E_{e}))}{(1 - T_{n}(E_{e}))}$ $\geq -1 - T_n(E_{\mathcal{O}}^{\circ n}) \log T_n(E_{\mathcal{O}}^{\circ n})$ L'elementary inequalities $\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{S}_{\sigma} - \log \operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{E}_{\sigma}^{on}) \leq \frac{n D(\rho | \sigma) + 1}{\operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{E}_{\rho}^{on})} \leq \frac{n D(\rho | \sigma) + 1}{\Lambda - \varepsilon} \end{aligned}$ $\frac{1}{n} \frac{D}{H} \left(\frac{\rho^{(0)}}{\rho^{(0)}} \right) \leq \frac{D(\rho^{(0)})}{1 - \varepsilon} + \frac{1}{(1 - \varepsilon)}$ letting n-so then E-O, we get the desired result.

Rk: D^E_H is called a "one-shot entropy" measure as it has an operational interpretation for any states. Many others: H^E_{min} ~ Cryptegraphy. "vorst ase entropy • The usual relative entropy D and corresponding von Neumann entropy H only has an operational interpretation in an iid (interpendent dentically dubintuted) or average setting

Feb 8th. Furification of a quantum state: Prop: Any quantum state 14> $\in A \otimes B$ can be written | as $| 14 \rangle = \sum_{i}' = \sum_{i}' | u_i \rangle \otimes | v_i \rangle_{B}$ where $|M_i\rangle$ are eigenvectors of $T_{\rm B}(14\times41)$ unit norm and $|V_i\rangle$ are eigenvectors of $T_{\rm A}(14\times41)$ is orthogone and S_i^2 are the eigenvalue of $T_{\rm A}(14\times41)$ and $T_{\rm A}(14\times41)$ Same as the singular value decomposition using the isomorphism [U) \$10> ~> [U>col A@B L(B,A) Consequence: If CAB is pure, then CA and CB have the some non zero eigenvalue. Prop: For any density operator $P_A \in S(A)$ and a Hilbert space B with $\dim B \ge \operatorname{rank}(P_A)$, thus exists a state $P_{AB} \in S(A \otimes B)$ s.t. * $\ln_{B}(AB) = PA$ * CAB is pure. Proof: Spectral decomposition $C_A = \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i | u_i \times M_i |$, $r = \operatorname{rank}(P_A)$ Le [NiBji=1,...,n be re orthonormal vectors in B

Define (AB = 14X4) with $|\mathcal{H}\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \langle \mathcal{H}_{i} | \mathcal{M}_{i} \rangle_{A} \otimes | \mathcal{N}_{i} \rangle_{B}$ rank $(\mathcal{H}_{AB}) = \mathcal{L}$ by construction. $T_{n} = \sum_{i,i'=1}^{n} \int_{AB} \int_{AB$ $= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{X} |M_i \times M_i|_A = (A = A)$ Another similarity measure for quantum state: Fidelity For pure stats 197, 147, [414] is a weful similarly measure. Fidelity generalizes it for density operators. Def: The fidelity between pand or ES(A) is defined by $F(\rho,\sigma) = \| \nabla \rho \nabla \sigma \|_{1} = Tn(\nabla \sigma \rho \sigma)$ $\|S\|_{1:=} T_n(\sqrt{S^*S'})$ * If one of the state so pure, T = 14X41, then $F(c, \sigma) = 1 < P[c]PD$ Rk: If e is also pure e=14x41, F(e,o)=1<414>1 * F(e,c)=1 and $F(e,\sigma)=0$ if $e \& \sigma$ have orthogonal supports.

* If $c = \sum_{i} P(i) [i \times i]$, $\sigma = \sum_{i} Q(i) [i \times i]$ $F(p,\sigma) = \sum_{i} (P(i) \setminus Q(i))$ By Cauchy-Schwarz, easy to see F(e, r)=1 (2) e-0 * The fidelity does not have a direct operational meaning like trace distance but it is often very convenient to use. Th (Uhlmann) Lev CA, JAES(A) and let B with dun Bedin A. Thin $F((A, T_A) = \max F((AB, T_{AB}))$ (AB / AB / AB / AB / AB)provifications of CA/TA $(AB = 14 \times 1/AB = mare | < 414> |$ $T_{AB} = 14 \times 1/AB = 143_{AB}, 142_{AB}$ purifications of (A) (B <u>Proof</u>: det flizz j. be an orthonormal basis of A and Flizz j. an orthonormal basis of B (assume dum A=dum B) Define $I_{AB} = \sum_{i} / i \partial_{A} \otimes I i \partial_{B}$. EAB.

unnormalized entangled state.

· <u>Claim</u>; For any purifications It as of CA, there exist unkning UA, UB such that IVPAB = (VPA UA & UB) | DAB. In fact, write Schundt decomposition HAB = Z, VA; | Ui ≥ ∞10i>B. where A: are igenvalues of fA and 1Ui> eigenvalors Let UA be the unitary 1i≥ → 1ui > UB be the unitary 1i≥ → 1vi > UB be the unitary 1i≥ → 1vi > So (PA VAOUD Lizalize = VA: 141>1ND) which proves the claim. Similarly 1 PAB = VTA VA OUR 1 DAB. · Another useful fact about 1 \$PAB: $(I_{A} \otimes S_{B}) | \overline{\Phi}_{AB} = (S_{A} \otimes I_{B}) | \overline{\Phi}_{AB}$ where $S'_{A} = S^{T}_{B}$ Transpose in fixed basis lize. (To be accurate, $S'_{A} = W^{T}S^{T}_{B}W$ with W: 12/ +i2) "Transpose trick". $\begin{aligned} & \leq \forall |\Psi| = |\langle \overline{\Phi} | \bigcup_{A} \bigvee_{A} \bigvee_{A} \bigcup_{B} \bigcup_{B} \bigvee_{B} | \overline{\Phi} \rangle | \\ &= |\langle \overline{\Phi} | \bigcup_{A} \bigvee_{A} \bigvee_{A} \bigvee_{A} (\bigcup_{B} \bigvee_{B} \bigcup_{B} \bigcup_{B} | \overline{\Phi} \rangle | \\ &= |\langle \overline{\Phi} | \bigcup_{A} \bigvee_{A} \bigvee_{A} \bigvee_{A} (\bigcup_{B} \bigcup_{B} \bigcup_{B} \bigcup_{B} | \overline{\Phi} \rangle | \\ & = A \end{aligned}$ acts on A

 $= \left| T_{A} \left(U_{A}^{*} \left(V_{A} V_{A} V_{A} \left(U_{B}^{*} V_{B} \right)^{\prime} \right) \right|$ = $\left| T_{A} \left(V_{A} V_{A} V_{A} \right) \right|$ where $U = V_{A} \left(U_{B} V_{B} \right) U_{A}$ unitary. Lemma: Operator S, max [Th(SU)] = Th 15*5 Unintary Proof: Polar decomposition + Cauchy-Schwarz. This concludes the proof of Uhlmann 2 Rk: Some consequence $* 0 \le F(\ell, \sigma) \le 1$. * $F(AB, AB) \leq F(A, A)$ $\max_{ABC} F(ABC, ABC) \leq \max_{AD} F(AD, AO) \\ \left(ABC, ABC, ABC\right) \leq \max_{AD} F(AD, AO) \\ \left(AD, AD, Pmf; where AD) \right)$ punifications R Specific punctications of PA and JA * Satisfies "data processing" inequality. E quantum channel $F(\rho,\sigma) \leq F(\mathcal{E}(\rho),\mathcal{E}(\sigma))$. (oce TD)Lemma: $p, \sigma \in S(A)$. $1 - F(p, q) \leq \Delta(p, q) \leq \sqrt{1 - F(p, q)^{2}}$

More on the representation of quantum channel Recall we defined a quantum channel E: L(A) -> L(B) completely positive & trace preserving. E To posite Tr. E=Tr. 3 ways of representing a quantum channel. * Choi < one operator in L(A&B). * Knaus < a list of operators in L(A,B) * Stinespring # an operator in L(A, B&E) ~ new span to be defined The Choi operator: Fix a basis of A $\frac{7}{3}$, let $\overline{A} \cong A$ $J_{AB}^{E} = \sum_{a,a'}^{I} |axa'| \otimes \mathcal{E}(|axa'|) \in \mathcal{L}(\overline{A} \otimes \mathcal{B})$ $= (\overline{F_A} \otimes \overline{E}) (\overline{\Phi})$ R. unnomnalized monochrally entangled state $\overline{E_X}: \cdot \overline{E} = \overline{T}$ identify channel ($\overline{B} \cong A$) $\overline{J^E} = \overline{\Phi} = \overline{Z_A'} |aa \times a'a'|$ $\mathcal{E} = \overline{\mathcal{L}} \qquad (B = \overline{\mathcal{L}})$ $\mathcal{J}^{\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{A}}$ $\mathscr{E}(S) = Tn(S)\sigma$ (constant output) $J^{\mathcal{E}}_{=} \sum_{aa'} |a \times a'| \otimes T_n \left(T_n \left([a \times a'] \right) \right) = I_A \otimes \Gamma$

Does JE capture everything about E? Choi-Jamiolkowski comorphism: $L(L(A), L(B)) \rightarrow L(A \otimes B)$ & $\rightarrow \mathcal{J}^{\mathcal{E}}$ and its inverse is Transpose on the respect to basisfies $J \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} S \mapsto T_A (S_A^T \otimes I_B) J \end{bmatrix}$ Check: $\sum_{a,a'} |a \times a'| \otimes \mathcal{F}(|a \times a'|) = \sum_{a,a'} |a \times a'| \otimes T_{A}(|a \times a'| \otimes I) \mathcal{J})$ $= \sum_{a,a'} |a \times a'| \otimes \langle a'| (|a' \times a| \otimes I) J| o'>$ $= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a \times a'| \otimes \langle a \rangle J |a' \rangle$ JE can be used to easily chech if E & a valid quantum chand Th: $\mathcal{E} \in L(L(A), L(B))$ is completely positive $J_{AB}^{\mathcal{E}} \ge O$ $J_{AB}^{\mathcal{E}} \ge O$ $J_{AB}^{\mathcal{E}} \ge O$ $J_{A}^{\mathcal{E}} = I_{A}$. Conseq: Complete positivity can be checked efficiently. for all $F_{R}^{\otimes E}(S) \ge 0$ for $S \in Pos(R \otimes A)$. Theorem says sufficient to take RZA and S=Z laaxad

Lorollary: Any CP map E: L(A)->L(B) can be written as where $K_{a} \in L(A,B) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} K_{n} S_{A} K_{n}^{*}$ where $K_{a} \in L(A,B) \xrightarrow{n=1} Called Krans operators$ with $\pi \leq (\dim A)(\dim B) \xrightarrow{n=1} actually \pi = rank(J^{E})$. E is trace preserving iff $\sum_{x} K_{x} K_{z} = I_{A}$ 19k: Also called operator-sum representation. Kraus

Corollary: Any CP map C:L(A)->L(B) written as $\mathcal{E}(S_A) = Tr_E(MS_AM^*)$ can bé written as where $M \in L(A, B \otimes E)$ with dim $E \leq (dim A)(dim B)$ \mathcal{E} is that - preserving of $M^*M = I_A$ $M = I_A$ Mis an isometry $\frac{P_{noof}}{White E(S_A) = \sum_{n=1}^{n} K_n S_A K_n^*}$ Ser E = Span $\{12\}$ ie. preserves norms ' ||MI4>||=||14>||

and $M = Z_1^{\prime} K_{\mathcal{R}} \otimes |\mathcal{R}$ Then $MS_AM^* = \sum_{x,x'} K_x S_A K_{x'} \otimes |x \times z'|_{\underline{F}}$ Interpretation: Can see any evolution modeled by quantum channel E: L(A) - L(B) as a impage evolution Input space of interest U R output space of interest Fixed state R R E environment we do not have access to U: 142010> HM14> (check: such a R untary exists)