$Q E A W B D \hat{Q}$ noist quartern channel. Now want to transmit quantum states Rk: Wis not necessarily commincation line, it can be the memory of a quantum computer. Def: An (M, E) quantum code (E, D) for a quantum channel W: L(A) -> L(B) is given by: • $\mathcal{C}: L(Q) \rightarrow L(A)$ quantum channels • $Q: L(B) \rightarrow L(Q)$ with dim(Q) = dim(Q) = Mnormalized unitarily invandent unit vectors in Q and 1-E < /F (14x41, DoWo E (14x41)) & Y <u>Rk</u>: * For classical information, replace measure d' with uniform distribution over a fixed basis. In That case, we may assure FG[9] * Other measures are also possible: F=1-E +14> All can be related.

* It is not a priori clear that "interesting" encodings exist. In fact, because of "no-clonny" unclear how to add redunding to improve error. Def: The quantum capacity of W i defined

 $\mathcal{W}(S) = T_n(S) \sigma^{\text{ex-fixed statu}}.$ Ex: If $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D})$ i an (M, \mathcal{E}) code then for $\int \langle \Psi | \mathcal{D} \circ \mathcal{W} \circ \mathcal{E} (\Pi \times \Psi) | \Psi > d\Psi = \mathcal{A} - \mathcal{E} \rangle$ ∫<41D(σ)14>dt ≥ (1-ε) $Tr\left(\left[1+x+ld\psi \cdot \mathcal{D}(\sigma)\right] \ge (l-\varepsilon)$ By unitary invariance, Sit XHdt = Io So $M \leq \underline{1}$ $(1-\underline{E})$ and Q(w) = 0 as expected.

X, B can be found by computing Tr(.) and Tr(F.) We get $\int (U \otimes V) (I \circ X \circ I \otimes I \circ X \circ I) U \otimes U^* dW = \int (I_{AA} + F_{AA})$

Back to our calculation

$$= T_{A}\left(\left(T_{A}\otimes \mathcal{E}\right)\left(F_{AA}\right), \frac{1}{d(d+1)}\left(T_{AA} + F_{AA}\right)\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{d(d+1)} T_{A}\left(F_{AA}, T_{A}\otimes \mathcal{E}\left(T_{A}\right)\right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{d(d+1)} \sum_{a,a'} T_{A}\left(2\left(T_{A}\right)\right) = d$$

$$+ \frac{1}{d(d+1)} \sum_{a,a'} T_{A}\left(1axa' |\otimes |a'xa|\right) \left(T_{A}\otimes \mathcal{E}\right)\left(F_{AA}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{a,a'} T_{A}\left(1axa' |\otimes |a'xa|\right) \left(1a'xa|\otimes \mathcal{E}\left(1axa'\right)\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{d(d+1)} \sum_{a,a'} T_{A}\left(1axa' |\otimes |a'xa|\right) \left(1a'xa|\otimes \mathcal{E}\left(1axa'\right)\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{d+1} + \frac{d}{d+1} F_{c}(E) \otimes$$

$$Rh: If \mathscr{C}(S) = \sum_{n} K_{n} S K_{n}^{*} \quad then$$

$$F_{c}(\mathscr{C}) = \frac{1}{d^{2}} \sum_{a,a'} \sum_{n} \langle a|K_{n}|axa'|K_{n}^{*}|d\rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{d^{2}} \sum_{n'} T_{c}(K_{n}) T_{n}(K_{n}^{*}) = \frac{1}{d^{2}} \sum_{n'} |T_{n}(K_{n})|^{2}.$$

Ex: Perfect classical channel: [1x]_{hex}basis $W(S) = Z_i [x \times x]S[x \times x].$ measures and saves outcome "x". Easy: chassical capacity $C(W) = \log |X|.$ Expect this channel is not useful for transmitting quantum information.

Computer F. (Do No E) and show I cannot be close to I for M22. Can write Do No E(S) = Zr De 12x21 Er SEr 12x21 De So Mr. F. (Dowo E) = Zi (Tr. (Delaxal Ee)) $= \sum_{k,l,n} \left| \langle \chi | E_k D_{ln} \rangle \right|^2$ < SI CRIERE 12> < RIDE De D2) (Cauchy-Schwaz) $= \sum_{k} T_{k} \left(E_{k} E_{k}^{*} \right) \qquad \text{Using } \sum_{k} D_{k}^{*} D_{k} = I$ $= \dim \mathbb{Q} = M. \qquad \text{and } \sum_{k} E_{k}^{*} E_{k} = I$ So $F_{c}(D_{0}\mathcal{H}_{0}\mathcal{E}) \leq \frac{1}{M}$. Pef (Coherent information). | For a channel $W: L(A) \rightarrow L(B)$, the coherent information is defined as $I_{c}(W) = max - H(\overline{A}|B) \in W(\overline{A}A)$ $G_{AA} \in S(\overline{A} \otimes A).$ PAA A W B KKs: • ω→-H(AB) is convex so maximum is achieved on extreme points i.e. pure dats. Cave restrict to PAA pure. . As all purifications of PA in A are related by a unitary on A and -H(AIB) is invariant under unharises on TA use can choose a fixed purification of CA.

 $(\overline{AA} = 1 + X + H_{\overline{AA}} \quad \text{with} \quad 1 + 2_{\overline{AA}} = 1 \cdot 100 \cdot A_{\overline{AA}} + (1 - 1 + 1) \cdot A_{\overline{AA}} \cdot A_{\overline{AA}$ $\omega_{AB} = (\overline{I_A} \otimes \mathcal{W})(\underline{I_{AA}}) = \lambda [0 \times 0|_{\overline{A}} \otimes \mathcal{W}(10 \times 0]) + (1 - \lambda) [1 \times 1|_{\overline{A}} \otimes \mathcal{W}(10 \times 0]) + (1 - \lambda) [1 \times 0|_{\overline{A}} \otimes \mathcal{W}(10 \times 0]) + (1 - \lambda) [1 \times 0|_{\overline{A}} \otimes \mathcal{W}(10 \times 0)] + (1 - \lambda) [1 \times 0|_{\overline{A}} \otimes \mathcal{W}(10 \times 0)] + (1 - \lambda) [1 \times 0|_{\overline{A}} \otimes \mathcal{W}(10 \times 0)]$ $= (1-p) |\Psi X \Psi|_{\overline{AB}} + p(A | o X o | + (1-A) | |X | |) \otimes |exe|_{\overline{B}}$ $-H(AB) = (1-p) \log(1-p) + p \lambda \log(p\lambda) + p(1-\lambda) \log(p(1-\lambda))$ = $(1-p)\log(1-p) + p\log p + p(d \log d + (1-d)\log(1-d))$ $H(B) = -(1-p) \lambda bg((1-p)\lambda) - (1-p)(1-\lambda)bg(1-p)(1-\lambda) - pbgp = -(1-p)bg(1-p) - (1-p)(\lambda bg\lambda + (1-\lambda)bg(1-\lambda)) - pbgp$
$$\begin{split} T_{c}(\mathcal{W}) &= \max_{\substack{A \in [0, D] \\ A \in [0, D] \\ e}} \begin{pmatrix} (1-p)h_{2}(A) - ph_{2}(A) \\ A \in [0, D] \\ e = M - 2p. & for p \leq h_{2} \\ for p \leq h_{2} \\ for p \geq h_{2} \\ binary entrop function. \\ for p \geq h_{2} \\ h_{2}(A) \geq -d \log A - (1-d) \log(1-d) \\ binary entrop function. \\ for p \geq h_{2} \\ h_{2}(A) \geq -d \log A - (1-d) \log(1-d) \\ h_{2}(A) \geq -d \log(1-d) \\ h_{2}(A) = -d \log(1-d) \\ h_{2}(A$$
Rk: The classical capacity of this channel is 1-p. The fact that $I_c(w) = 0$ is for $p = \frac{1}{2}$ is consistent with no-closing. Stinespring dilation A = V = B Channel $A \to B$ are both $A \to E$ eration channels $If I_c(w) > 0$ could transmit information to B and E simultaneously Th: For any channel W; $Q(W) = \sup_{m} \frac{1}{m} I_c(W^{\circ n})$

Rks; * Easy to see I_C is superaddiline. But it is not additive even for very simple channels e.g. depolarizing $W(e)=(t-p)e+p_T^{\pm}$ $Q(W) \ge I_C(W)$ but inequality can be strict. * Unlike for classical capitates where $C(w)=0 \cong input l'output independent.$ For Q are do not have a good characterization of Q(1)=0 hoof sketch: · Converse bound. Assume E=D Consider an (M, E=D) code for W^{oon} Q & A W B D Q We have $T_{Q} O \cdot \mathcal{W}^{\circ} \mathcal{C}(I \neq X \neq I_{QQ}) = I \not P X \not P \mid_{QQ}$ Note that $H(\overline{Q}|\widehat{Q}) = H(\overline{Q}\widehat{Q}) - H(\widehat{Q}) = -\log M$. $I_{\underline{Q}}$ Data processing inequality $I_{\underline{Q}}$ $\begin{array}{l} H(\overline{Q}|B^{n}) & \leq H(\overline{Q}|\widehat{Q}) \\ (\overline{I}_{\overline{Q}} \circ (\mathcal{W}^{n} \circ \mathcal{E}))(\overline{I}_{\overline{Q}} \times \overline{\varrho}I) & \leq H(\overline{Q}|\widehat{Q}) \\ = -\log M \\ = -\log M \\ \overline{I}_{c}(\mathcal{W}^{m}) \geq -H(\overline{Q}|B^{n}) \\ p & = -\log M \\ (\overline{I}_{\overline{Q}} \circ (\mathcal{W}^{n} \circ \mathcal{E}))(\overline{I}_{\overline{Q}} \times \overline{\varrho}I) \\ = \log M \\ (\overline{I}_{\overline{Q}} \circ (\mathcal{W}^{n} \circ \mathcal{E}))(\overline{I}_{\overline{Q}} \times \overline{\varrho}I) \\ = -\log M \\ (\overline{I}_{\overline{Q}} \circ \mathcal{E}) \\ (\overline{I}_{\overline{Q}} \times \overline{\varrho}I) \\ = -\log M \\ (\overline{I}_{\overline{Q}} \circ \mathcal{E}) \\ (\overline{I}_{\overline{Q}} \times \overline{\varrho}I) \\ = -\log M \\ (\overline{I}_{\overline{Q}} \circ \mathcal{E}) \\ (\overline{I}_{\overline{Q}} \times \overline{\varrho}I) \\ = -\log M \\ (\overline{I}_{\overline{Q}} \circ \mathcal{E}) \\ (\overline{I}_{\overline{Q}} \times \overline{\varrho}I) \\ = -\log M \\ (\overline{I}_{\overline{Q}} \circ \mathcal{E}) \\ (\overline{I}_{\overline{Q}} \times \overline{\varrho}I) \\ (\overline{I}_{\overline{Q}} \times \overline{\varrho}I)$

 $\frac{\log M}{m} \leq \frac{I_c(w^{m})}{m}$ For $\mathcal{E} > \mathcal{O}$, show that $H(\overline{Q}|\widehat{Q})$, $(\underline{I}_{\overline{Q}} \otimes \mathbb{D} \circ \mathcal{W}^{\mathfrak{m}} \mathscr{D}(I_{\overline{P}} x_{\overline{P}} I)) \xrightarrow{\leq} (I - \mathcal{E}) \log M$ Fano's inequality - Achievability Have to construct an encoding & dicoding. max ent. 140> 14,2 14,2 14,2 2 2 2 2 state Juin-M 107 ××) 10 R AN F En Stinespring Toometry for decoding mag. encoding map Stingping isometry for W Moe the idea of decoupling Assume we managed to construct () such that $F(\Psi_{\overline{Q}E^n}, \Psi_{\overline{Q}}\otimes Y_{E^n}) \ge 1-2$ (\mathbf{x}) where Y=IXXY antikary state. * A punification of YREn is (YREn) * A punification of Ya@ En is 10200 (V En En

Uhlmann's theorem: There exists an Toometry D: B^ ~ QÊ such that purifying system for state 400En purifying sistem for state facen $F(t_{QE^n}, t_{\overline{Q}} \otimes t_{E^n}) = K \overline{\Phi}_{QQ} \otimes \delta t_{E^n} D H_{QBE^n}$ 1-8 5 $= F(I \Phi X \Phi L_{QQ} \otimes I X X M), DY_{QQ} \Phi D)^{-1}$ $\leq F(1 \Phi x \Phi |_{\overline{QQ}}, T_{F}(D \Psi D))^{2}$ Conclusion: $(VS) = Tr_{En}(DSD)$ is a decoder achieving fidelity 1-E provided decoupling property D is satisfied. It remains to find U so that (x) is satisfied when $\frac{\log M}{m} \leq \frac{I(w^{\circ})}{m} - S$. I dia : choose U at random from Haar measure. Recall $I_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{W}^{\otimes n}) = \max_{\substack{(A^n) \\ (A^n)}} H(\mathcal{B}^n) - H(\mathcal{E}^n) - H$ Will only show log M ≤ (log dim B - log dom E) - 5 good codes that when m ≤ (log dim B - log dom E) - 5 good codes _exist.

$$\begin{array}{c} \overline{A} & \overline{$$

JU s.r. $\Delta \left(\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{H}_{E^{n}} \\ AE^{n} \end{array}, \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{H}_{A} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{E^{n}} \end{array} \right)^{2} \leq \mathcal{E}^{2}$ $F(\Psi_{\overline{AE}^n}, \Psi_{\overline{A}} \otimes \Psi_{\overline{E}^n}) \ge 1 - \Delta \ge 1 - \varepsilon.$ \Rightarrow Can find a decoder achieving a channel fidelity $(1-E)^{2}$ Rk: Description of the code not explicit: () random and decoder obtained from Ubbroanne theorem. In practice, want & and D explicit, efficient, "geometrically friendly" fault tolerat, <u>Proof of decoupling inequality:</u> Thoof of decoupling inequality: Recall $\Delta(e, \sigma) = \frac{1}{2} \|e - \sigma\|_1$. Will assume V = E, A = BE• Trace norm -> Frobenious norm (Cauchy-Schwarz) 1 1/2² = (dw) 11 1/2 $\left\| \mathcal{T}_{\overline{AE}} - \mathcal{T}_{\overline{A}} \otimes \underbrace{I_{E}}_{dim E} \right\|_{1}^{2} \leq (d_{im} \overline{A}) (d_{im} E) \left\| \mathcal{T}_{\overline{AE}} - \mathcal{T}_{\overline{A}} \otimes \underbrace{I_{E}}_{dim E} \right\|_{2}^{2}$ = $\dim \overline{A} \dim \overline{E} \left(\operatorname{Tr} \left($ $T_n(\sigma_{AE}, \sigma_A \otimes I_E) = T_n(\sigma_A^2)$

 $= (\operatorname{dim} \overline{A}) (\operatorname{dim} E) (\operatorname{Tr} (\sigma_{\overline{A} E}^{2}) - \operatorname{Tr} (\sigma_{\overline{A}}^{2}) \cdot \frac{1}{\operatorname{dim} E})$

• Compute expectations
*
$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{U}} \left\{ T_{n} \left(\sigma_{A}^{2} \right) \cdot \frac{1}{dimE} \right\} = T_{n} \left(\sigma_{A}^{2} \right) \cdot \frac{1}{dmE}$$

independent of \mathcal{U} desped some T_{A} .
* $\mathbb{E}_{A}^{T} T_{n} \left(\sigma_{AE}^{2} \right) \right\} = \mathbb{E}_{A}^{T} T_{n} \left(T_{E}^{T} \left(\mathcal{U} \sigma_{A} \mathcal{U}^{*} \right) \right)^{2} \right] \left\}$
Expectation of a polynowal in $\mathcal{U} \Rightarrow$ can in principle compute
if via Weingenta catches.
= $\mathbb{E}_{A}^{T} T_{n} \left[T_{E}^{T} \left(\mathcal{U} \sigma_{A} \mathcal{U}^{*} \right) \otimes T_{E}^{T} \left(\mathcal{U} \sigma_{A} \mathcal{U}^{*} \right) \right] \frac{1}{T_{A} E_{1} A_{2} E_{2}} \right]$
= $\mathbb{E}_{A}^{T} T_{E}^{T} \left[\mathcal{U} \sigma_{A} \mathcal{U}^{*} \right] \otimes \mathcal{U} \sigma_{A} \mathcal{U}^{*} = \mathbb{E}_{B_{1} B_{2}} \otimes \mathbb{E}_{A}^{T} \mathbb{E}_{A}^{$

Solving this system :
$$\chi = \frac{\dim B \dim A - \dim E}{(\dim A)^2 - 1} = \frac{1}{(\dim E)} \cdot \left(\frac{1 - \dim E}{1 - (\dim A)^2}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{\dim E}$$

 $\beta \sim \frac{1}{\dim B}$

 $= \operatorname{Tr} \left(\operatorname{F}_{\overline{A_1}A_1} \otimes \operatorname{F}_{\overline{A_2}A_2} \cdot \operatorname{F}_{\overline{A_1}A_2} \otimes \operatorname{F}_{A_1A_2} + \operatorname{P} \operatorname{F}_{A_1A_2} \right)$ $= \alpha \ln \left(f_{\overline{A}}^{2} \right) + \beta \ln \left(f_{\overline{A}A}^{2} \right) =$