

R. AZAÏS  
(2024)

$$1 \quad g^*(x) = \underset{k \in \mathcal{Y}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \pi_k(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 2 \quad \mathcal{L}(f, \lambda) &= E[L(z, f) | X=x] - \lambda \sum_{k=1}^K f_k \\
 &= E\left[\exp\left(-\frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^K z_k f_k\right) | X=x\right] \\
 &\quad - \lambda \sum_{k=1}^K f_k \\
 &= E\left[\prod_{k=1}^K \exp\left(-\frac{1}{K} z_k f_k\right) | X=x\right] \\
 &\quad - \lambda \sum_{k=1}^K f_k \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^K \pi_j(x) \exp\left(-\frac{f_j}{K} + \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{f_k}{K(K-1)}\right) \\
 &\quad - \lambda \sum_{k=1}^K f_k
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Or } \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{f_k}{K(K-1)} = \frac{-f_j}{K(K-1)} \quad \left(\text{avec } \sum_{k=1}^K f_k = 0\right)$$

$$\text{Et } -\frac{f_j}{k} - \frac{f_j}{k(k-1)} = \frac{-f_j k + f_j - f_j}{k(k-1)} = \frac{-f_j}{k-1}$$

$$\text{Donc } \mathcal{L}(f, \lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^k \pi_j(x) \exp\left(-\frac{f_j}{k-1}\right) - \lambda \sum_{j=1}^k f_j$$

Alors

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial f_k} = -\frac{\pi_k(x)}{k-1} \exp\left(-\frac{f_k}{k-1}\right) - \lambda \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda} = \sum_{j=1}^k f_j \end{cases}$$

Par conséquent,  $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial f_k} = 0$  nous mène

$$\hat{a} \quad \exp\left(-\frac{f_k}{k-1}\right) = -\frac{\lambda(k-1)}{\pi_k(x)}$$

$$\text{i.e. } f_k = (k-1) \ln(\pi_k(x)) - (k-1) \ln(-\lambda(k-1))$$

Avec  $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda} = 0$ , on a aussi

$$(K-1) \ln(-\lambda^{K-1}) = (K-1) \sum_{j=1}^K \ln(\pi_j(x))$$

Finalement,

$$J_k = (K-1) \left[ \ln \pi_k(x) - \sum_{j=1}^K \ln(\pi_j(x)) \right]$$

On trouve  $J_k^*(x) = (K-1) \left[ \ln \pi_k(x) - \sum_{j=1}^K \ln \pi_j(x) \right]$

---

3 Immédiatement,

$$\exp\left(\frac{J_k^*}{K-1}\right) = \pi_k(x) \times \underbrace{\exp\left(-\sum_{j=1}^K \ln \pi_j(x)\right)}_{= \text{cste}}$$

Donc  $\pi_k(x) \propto \exp\left(\frac{J_k^*}{K-1}\right)$

Et  $g^*(x) = \underset{k \in \mathcal{Y}}{\operatorname{argmax}} J_k^*(x)$

4  $\hat{L}(\beta, h) = \sum_{i=1}^n \omega^{(i)} L(z^{(i)}, \beta h(x^{(i)}))$   
 $= \sum_{i=1}^n \omega^{(i)} \exp\left(-\frac{\beta}{K} \sum_{k=1}^K z_k^{(i)} h(x_k^{(i)})\right)$

• Si  $z^{(i)} = h(x^{(i)})$ , alors

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^K z_k^{(i)} h(x_k^{(i)}) &= 1 + \frac{K-1}{(K-1)^2} \\ &= \frac{K-1+1}{K-1} \\ &= \frac{K}{K-1} \end{aligned}$$

• Si  $z^{(i)} \neq h(x^{(i)})$ , alors

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^K z_k^{(i)} h(x_k^{(i)}) &= \frac{-2}{K-1} + \frac{K-2}{(K-1)^2} \\ &= \frac{-2K+2+K-2}{(K-1)^2} \\ &= -\frac{K}{(K-1)^2} \end{aligned}$$

Finalement,

$$\hat{L}(\beta, h) = \sum_{z^{(i)} = h(x^{(i)})} \omega^{(i)} \exp\left(-\frac{\beta}{k-1}\right)$$

$$+ \sum_{z^{(i)} \neq h(x^{(i)})} \omega^{(i)} \exp\left(\frac{\beta}{(k-1)^2}\right)$$

$$= \exp\left(-\frac{\beta}{k-1}\right) \sum_{i=1}^n \omega^{(i)} + \sum_{i=1}^n \omega^{(i)} \mathbb{1}_{\{z^{(i)} \neq h(x^{(i)})\}} \left[ \exp\left(\frac{\beta}{(k-1)^2}\right) - \exp\left(-\frac{\beta}{k-1}\right) \right]$$

Le minimum en  $h$  pour  $h \in \mathcal{H}$  est obtenu en  $\underline{h^* = \operatorname{argmin}_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{\{z^{(i)} \neq h(x^{(i)})\}}}$

(tant que  $\exp\left(\frac{\beta}{(k-1)^2}\right) - \exp\left(-\frac{\beta}{k-1}\right) > 0$ ;  
c'est bien le cas pour  $\beta > 0$ )

On pose  $\varepsilon^* = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \omega^{(i)} \mathbb{1}_{\{Z^{(i)} \neq h(X^{(i)})\}}}{\sum_{i=1}^n \omega^{(i)}}$

Alors

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\hat{L}(\beta, h^*)}{\sum_{i=1}^n \omega^{(i)}} &= \exp\left(-\frac{\beta}{k-1}\right) + \varepsilon^* \left[ \exp\left(\frac{\beta}{(k-1)^2}\right) - \exp\left(-\frac{\beta}{k-1}\right) \right] \\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{\beta}{k-1}\right) (1 - \varepsilon^*) + \varepsilon^* \exp\left(\frac{\beta}{(k-1)^2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

On dérive par rapport à  $\beta$  :

$$-\frac{1 - \varepsilon^*}{k-1} \exp\left(-\frac{\beta}{k-1}\right) + \frac{\varepsilon^*}{(k-1)^2} \exp\left(\frac{\beta}{(k-1)^2}\right) = 0$$

$$\frac{1 - \varepsilon^*}{\varepsilon^*} = \frac{1}{k-1} \exp\left(\frac{\beta}{k-1} \underbrace{\left[1 + \frac{1}{k-1}\right]}_{\frac{k}{k-1}}\right)$$

Donc 
$$\left[ \ln\left(\frac{1-\varepsilon^*}{\varepsilon^*}\right) + \ln(k-1) \right] \frac{(k-1)^2}{k} = \beta^*$$

5 On a

$$\sum_{k=1}^k z_k^{(i)} f(x^{(i)})_k = \sum_{m=1}^n \beta_m \sum_{k=1}^k z_k^{(i)} h_m(x^{(i)})_k$$

Donc 
$$L(z^{(i)}, f) = \prod_{m=1}^n L(z^{(i)}, \beta_m h_m(x^{(i)}))$$

$$= L(z^{(i)}, \beta_n h_n(x^{(i)}))$$

$$\times \underbrace{\prod_{m=1}^{n-1} L(z^{(i)}, \beta_m h_m(x^{(i)}))}_{\omega_n^{(i)}}$$

Finalement

$$\sum_{i=1}^n L(z^{(i)}, f) = \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_n^{(i)} L(z^{(i)}, \beta_n h_n(x^{(i)}))$$

Et  $\omega_n^{(i)} = \omega_{n-1}^{(i)} \times L(z^{(i)}, \beta_{n-1} h_{n-1}(x^{(i)}))$

avec  $\omega_0^{(i)} = 1$  et  $h_0 = 0$

6  $\sum_{i=1}^n L(z^{(i)}, f)$  est la version empirique de la fonction minimisée en 3 pour définir  $f^*$ !

Pour  $m$  entre 1 et  $M$ :

- $\omega_m^{(i)} = \omega_{m-1}^{(i)} L(z^{(i)}, \beta_{m-1} h_{m-1}(x^{(i)}))$

(En particulier  $\omega_1^{(i)} = 1$ )

- $h_m = \underset{h \in \mathcal{H}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_m^{(i)} \mathbb{1}_{\{h(x^{(i)}) \neq z^{(i)}\}}$

- $\mathcal{E}_m = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \omega_m^{(i)} \mathbb{1}_{\{h_m(x^{(i)}) \neq z^{(i)}\}}}{\sum_{i=1}^n \omega_m^{(i)}}$



- $\alpha_m = \ln \frac{1 \cdot E_m}{E_m} + \ln K-1$

- $\beta_m = \frac{(K-1)^2}{K} \alpha_m$

Retourner  $f = \sum_{m=1}^n \beta_m h_m$

Rq: Vérifier que  $\sum_{k=1}^K f_k = 0 \dots$

---

7 Le classifieur induit par 3 et 6 est

$$H(x) = \underset{k \in \mathcal{Z}}{\operatorname{argmax}} f_k(x)$$

$$= \underset{k \in \mathcal{Z}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \sum_{m=1}^n \beta_m h_m(x)_k$$

Si  $g_m: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  est le classifieur associé à  $h_m$ , i.e.

$$g_m(x) = k \text{ si } h_m(x)_k = 1$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Alors } \beta_m h_m(x)_k &= \beta_m \mathbb{1}_{\{g_m(x)=k\}} \\
 &= \frac{\beta_m}{k-1} \underbrace{\mathbb{1}_{\{g_m(x) \neq k\}}}_{1 - \mathbb{1}_{\{g_m(x)=k\}}} \\
 &= \beta_m \underbrace{\left(1 + \frac{1}{k-1}\right)}_{\frac{k}{k-1}} \mathbb{1}_{\{g_m(x)=k\}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Donc le classifieur  $G: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  associé à  $H: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  est donné par

$$\begin{aligned}
 G(x) &= \underset{k \in \mathcal{Y}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \sum_{m=1}^n \beta_m \mathbb{1}_{\{g_m(x)=k\}} \\
 &= \underset{k \in \mathcal{Y}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \sum_{m=1}^n \alpha_m \mathbb{1}_{\{g_m(x)=k\}}
 \end{aligned}$$

car  $\alpha_m \propto \beta_m$

$$8 \quad q_m = \ln\left(\frac{1 - E_m}{E_m}\right) + \underline{\ln(K-1)}$$

terme qui généralise  
de manière non-triviale  
AdaBoost

Rq: On aurait pu prendre en multi-classe  

$$q_m = \ln\left(\frac{1 - E_m}{E_m}\right)$$
 comme pour le problème à 2 classes.

---

EXERCICE BASÉ SUR MULTI-CLASS

ADA BOOST, par Zhu et al. (Statistics and  
its Interface, 2009)

---