M1- Sciences de la Matière, A. A. 2022-2023 Superconductivity, superfluidity and magnetism Tommaso Roscilde, Fabio Mezzacapo, Youssef Trifa

# TD8: Anderson's pseudo-spin model and BCS variational wavefunction

In this TD we will explore a very insightful approach to the BCS Hamiltonian provided by P. W. Anderson (1958). Recasting the BCS Hamiltonian in terms of pseudo-spin variables, we will be able to write down the ground state of BCS theory in a very transparent and suggestive way.

NOTE: the angle  $\theta_k$  that appears in the following is not the same as the angle  $\theta_k$  discussed in the lectures on BCS theory. We shall establish the relationship between the two later.

### 1 Pair operators and spin operators

Consider the pair operators

$$\hat{b}_{\boldsymbol{k}} = \hat{c}_{-\boldsymbol{k}\downarrow}\hat{c}_{\boldsymbol{k}\uparrow} \qquad \hat{b}^{\dagger}_{\boldsymbol{k}} = \hat{c}^{\dagger}_{\boldsymbol{k}\uparrow}\hat{c}^{\dagger}_{-\boldsymbol{k}\downarrow} \qquad (1)$$

#### 1.1

Show that

$$\left[\hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}}, \hat{b}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger}\right] = \left(1 - n_{\mathbf{k},\uparrow} - n_{-\mathbf{k},\downarrow}\right) \delta_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{k}} \tag{3}$$

Moreover, justify that  $(b_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger})^2 = (b_{\mathbf{k}})^2 = 0$ .

#### 1.2

Introducing the operators (Anderson's pseudospins)

$$\hat{S}_{\mathbf{k}}^{z} = \frac{1}{2} (\hat{n}_{\mathbf{k},\uparrow} + \hat{n}_{-\mathbf{k},\downarrow} - 1)$$

$$\hat{S}_{\mathbf{k}}^{+} = \hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger}$$

$$\hat{S}_{\mathbf{k}}^{-} = \hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}}$$
(4)

show that they satisfy the commutation relations of angular momentum

$$\left[\hat{S}_{k}^{+}, \hat{S}_{k}^{-}\right] = 2\hat{S}_{k}^{z} \qquad \left[\hat{S}_{k}^{+}, \hat{S}_{k}^{z}\right] = -\hat{S}_{k}^{+} \tag{5}$$

Given that  $(\hat{S}_{k}^{+})^{2} = (\hat{S}_{k}^{-})^{2} = 0$ , what is the spin length S?

## 2 BCS Hamiltonian as a spin Hamiltonian

The BCS Hamiltonian for (quasi-)electrons interacting via an effective phonon-mediated interaction reads

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}} - \mu \hat{N} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left( \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \mu \right) \left( \hat{n}_{\mathbf{k},\uparrow} + \hat{n}_{\mathbf{k},\downarrow} \right) - \frac{V_0}{\mathcal{V}} \sum_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q}}' \hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{\mathbf{q}} . \tag{6}$$

Here  $\mathcal{V}$  is the volume,  $V_0$  is the strength of the interaction, and the sum  $\sum_{k,q}$  runs over momenta k and q such that  $|\epsilon_{k(q)} - \mu| \leq \epsilon_c$ , where  $\epsilon_c \approx \hbar \omega_D$  is the characteristic energy cutoff of the interaction.

#### 2.1

Rewrite the above Hamiltonian in terms of the pseudo-spin operators. You should find

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}} - \mu \hat{N} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left[ 2 \left( \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \mu \right) - \frac{V_0}{\mathcal{V}} \, \vartheta \left( \epsilon_c - |\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \mu| \right) \right] \hat{S}_{\mathbf{k}}^z - \frac{V_0}{\mathcal{V}} \sum_{\mathbf{k} \neq \mathbf{q}}' \left( \hat{S}_{\mathbf{k}}^x \hat{S}_{\mathbf{q}}^x + \hat{S}_{\mathbf{k}}^y \hat{S}_{\mathbf{q}}^y \right) + \text{const.}$$
(7)

It might be useful to remember the following relationships

$$\hat{S}_{k}^{+}\hat{S}_{k}^{-} = \frac{1}{2} + \hat{S}_{k}^{z} \qquad \frac{1}{2} \left( \hat{S}_{k}^{+} \hat{S}_{q}^{-} + \hat{S}_{q}^{+} \hat{S}_{k}^{-} \right) = \hat{S}_{k}^{x} \hat{S}_{q}^{x} + \hat{S}_{k}^{y} \hat{S}_{q}^{y} \quad . \tag{8}$$

Now, think of k-space as a lattice (it is discretized after all, due to the boundary conditions), and put a S=1/2 (pseudo-)spin on each lattice site. The above Hamiltonian effectively describes a system of interacting spins on a lattice. Taking the spin at lattice site k, which are the sites that this spin is interacting with? And what is the value of the local magnetic field?

#### 2.2

We take for the moment  $V_0 = 0$ . Write down the ground state for each pseudo-spin  $\hat{S}_k$ . If you report the k points on the energy axis  $\epsilon_k$  (a simply sketch is sufficient) together with the associated pseudo-spin, can you find a "domain wall" at a given energy in the spin configuration? And can you anticipate qualitatively what happens when the interaction is turned on?

#### 3 BCS variational wavefunction

We now look for the ground state of the interacting system  $(V_0 \neq 0)$  in a factorized form, namely in the form

$$|\Psi_0\rangle = \prod_{\mathbf{k}} |\vartheta_{\mathbf{k}}, \phi_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle \tag{9}$$

where

$$|\vartheta,\phi\rangle = \cos(\vartheta/2) |\uparrow\rangle + \sin(\vartheta/2) e^{i\phi} |\downarrow\rangle$$
 (10)

#### 3.1

Show that the above wavefunction is equivalent to the so-called BCS wavefunction

$$|\Psi_0\rangle = \prod_{\mathbf{k}} \left( u_{\mathbf{k}} + v_{\mathbf{k}} \ \hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \right) |0\rangle$$
 (11)

where the coefficients  $u_k$  and  $v_k$  are to be identified. (Suggestion: write the vacuum  $|0\rangle$  in terms of the pseudo-spin states).

#### 3.2

Show that the expectation value of the spin operator  $\hat{S}$  on the  $|\vartheta,\phi\rangle$  state behaves like a classical spin of length S=1/2:

$$\langle \vartheta, \phi | \hat{\mathbf{S}} | \vartheta, \phi \rangle = \frac{1}{2} (\cos \phi \sin \vartheta, \sin \phi \sin \vartheta, \cos \vartheta)$$
 (12)

and, moreover

$$\langle \vartheta_{\mathbf{k}}, \phi_{\mathbf{k}} | \langle \vartheta_{\mathbf{q}}, \phi_{\mathbf{q}} | \left( \hat{S}_{\mathbf{k}}^{x} \hat{S}_{\mathbf{q}}^{x} + \hat{S}_{\mathbf{k}}^{y} \hat{S}_{\mathbf{q}}^{y} \right) | \vartheta_{\mathbf{k}}, \phi_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle | \vartheta_{\mathbf{q}}, \phi_{\mathbf{q}} \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \cos \left( \phi_{\mathbf{k}} - \phi_{\mathbf{q}} \right) \sin \vartheta_{\mathbf{k}} \sin \vartheta_{\mathbf{q}}$$
(13)

Show that the variational energy takes the form

$$\langle \Psi_0 | \hat{\mathcal{H}} - \mu \hat{N} | \Psi_0 \rangle = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left[ \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \mu - \frac{V_0}{2\mathcal{V}} \, \vartheta \left( \epsilon_c - |\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \mu| \right) \right] \cos \vartheta_{\mathbf{k}} - \frac{V_0}{4\mathcal{V}} \sum_{\mathbf{k} \neq \mathbf{q}}' \cos \left( \phi_{\mathbf{k}} - \phi_{\mathbf{q}} \right) \sin \vartheta_{\mathbf{k}} \sin \vartheta_{\mathbf{q}}$$

$$(14)$$

Given that  $V_0 > 0$  and  $\vartheta_k \in [0, \pi]$ , what value of the  $\phi_k$  angles minimizes the energy?

#### 3.3

Minimize the variational energy with respect to  $\vartheta_k$  for  $|\epsilon_k - \mu| < \epsilon_c$ , to find the condition

$$\left(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \mu - \frac{V_0}{2V}\right) \sin \vartheta_{\mathbf{k}} = -\frac{V_0}{2V} \sum_{\mathbf{q} \neq \mathbf{k}}' \sin \vartheta_{\mathbf{q}} \cos \vartheta_{\mathbf{k}}$$
(15)

This condition defines a set of coupled equations.

Making an error of order  $\mathcal{O}(1/\mathcal{V})$ , we can neglect the term  $V_0/(2\mathcal{V})$  on the left-hand side and the add the term with q = k in the sum on the right-hand side. Introducing then the symbol

$$\Delta = \frac{V_0}{2\mathcal{V}} \sum_{\mathbf{q}}' \sin \vartheta_{\mathbf{q}} \tag{16}$$

rewrite Eq. (15) in terms of  $\Delta$ ,  $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}$ ,  $\mu$  and  $\vartheta_{\mathbf{k}}$ .

#### 3.4

Solve for  $\vartheta_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ , to find

$$\sin \vartheta_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{\Delta}{E_{\mathbf{k}}} \qquad \cos \vartheta_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{\mu - \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}}{E_{\mathbf{k}}} \qquad E_{\mathbf{k}} = \sqrt{\Delta^2 + (\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \mu)^2}$$
 (17)

What is the pseudo-spin orientation at the Fermi energy  $\epsilon_F = \mu$ ? Draw schematically how the pseudo-spin orientation evolve when the energy goes from  $\mu - \epsilon_c$  to  $\mu + \epsilon_c$ .

#### 3.5

From Eq. (16) recover the (implicit) gap equation for  $|\Delta|$  as seen in the lecture.

## 4 Average particle number

The BCS wavefunction, Eq. (11), does not contain a well defined particle number. In particular,  $|\Psi_0\rangle$  contains all possible *even* particle numbers from 0 to  $\infty$ . But let us have a look at how well defined the *average* particle number is

#### 4.1

Express the average particle number

$$\langle \hat{N} \rangle = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \langle \hat{n}_{\mathbf{k},\uparrow} + \hat{n}_{\mathbf{k},\downarrow} \rangle$$
 (18)

and the average square number

$$\langle \hat{N}^2 \rangle = \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}} \langle (\hat{n}_{\mathbf{k},\uparrow} + \hat{n}_{\mathbf{k},\downarrow}) (\hat{n}_{\mathbf{q},\uparrow} + \hat{n}_{\mathbf{q},\downarrow}) \rangle$$
(19)

in terms of the  $\vartheta_{\boldsymbol{k}}$  angles.

#### 4.2

Show that

$$\langle \delta^2 \hat{N} \rangle = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left( 1 - \langle \cos \vartheta_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle^2 \right) \sim \mathcal{O}(N)$$
 (20)

How can one conclude that the sum is  $\mathcal{O}(N)$ ? Which k states are contributing to it?

#### 4.3

Conclude on the importance of the relative particle-number fluctuations.

## 5 Angle $\theta_k$ vs. angle $\theta_k$

Consider the angle  $\theta_{\mathbf{k}}$  given in the lectures on BCS theory (such that  $u_{\mathbf{k}} = \cos(\theta_{\mathbf{k}}/2)$ ,  $v_{\mathbf{k}} = \sin(\theta_{\mathbf{k}}/2)$ ): what is the relationship with the angle  $\theta_{\mathbf{k}}$  introduced in this exercise?