

Actions of discrete groups on stationary Lorentz manifolds

Abdelghani Zeghib

UMPA, ENS-Lyon

<http://www.umpa.ens-lyon.fr/~zeghib/>
(joint work with Paolo Piccione)

Introduction

Motivations and questions

Examples

Results

Results

Previous results

Linear Dynamics

General considerations

Furstenberg Lemma

Lorentz Dynamics

Introduction

Motivations and
questions
Examples

Results

Results
Previous results

Linear Dynamics

General considerations
Furstenberg Lemma

Lorentz Dynamics

Introduction

Motivations and
questions
Examples

Results

Results
Previous results

Linear Dynamics

General considerations
Furstenberg Lemma

Lorentz Dynamics

Introduction

Global invariants of Lorentz metrics

M a differentiable manifold (today everywhere compact)

$Diff^k(M)$ acts on

$Rie^{k-1}(M)$ (resp. $Lor^{k-1}(M)$) = space of C^{k-1} **Riemannian**
(resp. **Lorentz**) metrics on M .

Endow them with the Banach topology (or Frechet for
 $k = \infty$)

It is known that $Diff(M)$ acts **properly** on $Rie(M)$

i.e. The quotient $X = Riem(M)/Diff(M)$ is **Hausdorff** =
modular space of M .

- A function on $F : g \in X \rightarrow F(g) \in \mathbb{R}$ is a Riemannian invariant: diameter, volume, integral curvature, injectivity radius...

Global invariants of Lorentz metrics

M a differentiable manifold (today everywhere compact)

$Diff^k(M)$ acts on

$Rie^{k-1}(M)$ (resp. $Lor^{k-1}(M)$) = space of C^{k-1} **Riemannian**
(resp. **Lorentz**) metrics on M .

Endow them with the Banach topology (or Frechet for
 $k = \infty$)

It is known that $Diff(M)$ acts **properly** on $Rie(M)$

i.e. The quotient $X = Riem(M)/Diff(M)$ is **Hausdorff** =
modular space of M .

- A function on $F : g \in X \rightarrow F(g) \in \mathbb{R}$ is a Riemannian invariant: diameter, volume, integral curvature, injectivity radius...

Global invariants of Lorentz metrics

M a differentiable manifold (today everywhere compact)

$Diff^k(M)$ acts on

$Rie^{k-1}(M)$ (resp. $Lor^{k-1}(M)$) = space of C^{k-1} **Riemannian**
(resp. **Lorentz**) metrics on M .

Endow them with the Banach topology (or Frechet for
 $k = \infty$)

It is known that $Diff(M)$ acts **properly** on $Rie(M)$

i.e. The quotient $X = Riem(M)/Diff(M)$ is **Hausdorff** =
modular space of M .

- A function on $F : g \in X \rightarrow F(g) \in \mathbb{R}$ is a Riemannian invariant: diameter, volume, integral curvature, injectivity radius...

(SUPER-) QUESTION: **When is the $Diff(M)$ -action on $Lor(M)$ proper?**

Recall G acts properly on X if: $\forall K \subset X$ compact, the set (of return times)

$$G_K = \{g \in G, gK \cap K \neq \emptyset\}$$

is compact

– Gromov: the difficulty in the global studying of Lorentz manifolds lies in the fact that $Lor(M)/Diff(M)$ does not exist (as a Hausdorff space).

(SUPER-) QUESTION: **When is the $Diff(M)$ -action on $Lor(M)$ proper?**

Recall G acts properly on X if: $\forall K \subset X$ compact, the set (of return times)

$$G_K = \{g \in G, gK \cap K \neq \emptyset\}$$

is compact

– Gromov: the difficulty in the global studying of Lorentz manifolds lies in the fact that $Lor(M)/Diff(M)$ does not exist (as a Hausdorff space).

(SUPER-) QUESTION: **When is the $Diff(M)$ -action on $Lor(M)$ proper?**

Recall G acts properly on X if: $\forall K \subset X$ compact, the set (of return times)

$$G_K = \{g \in G, gK \cap K \neq \emptyset\}$$

is compact

– Gromov: the difficulty in the global studying of Lorentz manifolds lies in the fact that $Lor(M)/Diff(M)$ does not exist (as a Hausdorff space).

The Question

The $\text{Diff}(M)$ -action on $\text{Lor}(M)$ proper $\implies \forall g \in \text{Lor}(M)$,
Stabilizer(g) is compact,
But Stabilizer(g) = Isom(g)

Question

*When is the isometry group of a **compact** Lorentz manifold **non-compact**?*

In the non-compact case:

Question: Classify Lorentz manifolds (M, g) for which
 $G = \text{Isom}(M, g)$ acts non-properly

The Question

The $\text{Diff}(M)$ -action on $\text{Lor}(M)$ proper $\implies \forall g \in \text{Lor}(M)$,
Stabilizer(g) is compact,
But $\text{Stabilizer}(g) = \text{Isom}(g)$

Question

*When is the isometry group of a **compact** Lorentz manifold **non-compact**?*

In the non-compact case:

Question: Classify Lorentz manifolds (M, g) for which
 $G = \text{Isom}(M, g)$ acts non-properly

The Question

The $\text{Diff}(M)$ -action on $\text{Lor}(M)$ proper $\implies \forall g \in \text{Lor}(M)$,
Stabilizer(g) is compact,
But Stabilizer(g) = Isom(g)

Question

*When is the isometry group of a **compact** Lorentz manifold **non-compact**?*

In the non-compact case:

Question: *Classify Lorentz manifolds (M, g) for which $G = \text{Isom}(M, g)$ acts non-properly*

The Question

The $\text{Diff}(M)$ -action on $\text{Lor}(M)$ proper $\implies \forall g \in \text{Lor}(M)$,
Stabilizer(g) is compact,
But Stabilizer(g) = Isom(g)

Question

*When is the isometry group of a **compact** Lorentz manifold **non-compact**?*

In the non-compact case:

Question: *Classify Lorentz manifolds (M, g) for which $G = \text{Isom}(M, g)$ acts non-properly*

$$G = \text{Isom}(M, g)$$

G^0 its identity component (i.e the connected component of 1)

Cases:

G^0 non-compact (strongest hypothesis)

G^0 compact and non-trivial

G^0 trivial

$\Gamma = G/G^0$ the “discrete part of G ”

Γ acts by conjugacy: $\Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G^0) \rightarrow \text{Out}(G^0)$

(Conditions on this action)

$$G = \text{Isom}(M, g)$$

G^0 its identity component (i.e the connected component of 1)

Cases:

G^0 non-compact (strongest hypothesis)

G^0 compact and non-trivial

G^0 trivial

$\Gamma = G/G^0$ the “**discrete part** of G ”

Γ acts by conjugacy: $\Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G^0) \rightarrow \text{Out}(G^0)$

(Conditions on this action)

Paradigmatic example: Flat Lorentz tori

q a Lorentz form on \mathbb{R}^n

→ a Lorentz flat torus $\mathbb{T}^n = \mathbb{R}^n / \mathbb{Z}^n$.

The linear isometry group of (\mathbb{T}^n, q) :

$$O(q, \mathbb{Z}) = GL(n, \mathbb{Z}) \cap O(q)$$

Full isometry group: the semi-direct product: $O(q, \mathbb{Z}) \ltimes \mathbb{T}^n$

For generic q , $O(q, \mathbb{Z})$ is trivial.

q rational $\iff q(x) = \alpha(\sum a_{ij}x_i x_j)$, and a_{ij} are rational numbers,

Harich-Chandra-Borel theorem ($n \geq 3$)

$O(q, \mathbb{Z})$ is *big* in $O(q)$;

It is a lattice in $O(q)$.

$O(q, \mathbb{Z})$ is a “standard” arithmetic (real) hyperbolic group

For $q_0 = -x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2$: $O(q, \mathbb{Z})$ has finite covolume

$O(q, \mathbb{Z})$ may be co-compact for other q , say in dimension
 $n = 3$

When q is not rational, many intermediate situations are possible.

q rational $\iff q(x) = \alpha(\sum a_{ij}x_i x_j)$, and a_{ij} are rational numbers,

Harich-Chandra-Borel theorem ($n \geq 3$)

$O(q, \mathbb{Z})$ is *big* in $O(q)$;

It is a lattice in $O(q)$.

$O(q, \mathbb{Z})$ is a “standard” arithmetic (real) hyperbolic group

For $q_0 = -x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2$: $O(q, \mathbb{Z})$ has finite covolume

$O(q, \mathbb{Z})$ may be co-compact for other q , say in dimension
 $n = 3$

When q is not rational, many intermediate situations are possible.

Recall: $PSL(2, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow SO(1, 2)$

(Action of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ on polynomials of degree 2)

$SL(2, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow O_{\mathbb{Z}}(1, 2)$

Some elements of $O_{\mathbb{Z}}(1, 2)$

Hyperbolic:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \dots$$

Parabolic (unipotent):

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \dots$$

Dimension 2

$$q_0 = x^2 - y^2$$

$$SO(1, 1) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \cosh t & \sinh t \\ \sinh t & \cosh t \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

$$SO_{\mathbb{Z}}(1, 1) = \{1\} ?$$

(Avez: observed that Anosov diffeomorphisms on the 2-torus preserve Lorentz metrics ?)

$A \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ hyperbolic, e.g.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

x^u and x^s coordinates along eigen-directions

$$q = x^u x^s.$$

A preserves q

$\text{Isom}(\mathbb{T}^2, q) = (\text{essentially}) \mathbb{Z} \ltimes \mathbb{T}^2$, \mathbb{Z} generated by A .

A preserves some rational $q = ax^2 + cxy + by^2$ (with all coefficients $\neq 0$)

An arithmetico-dynamical Remark

$$A \in O(q, \mathbb{Z})$$

A hyperbolic means it has an eigenvalue of norm $\neq 1$

Thus Spectrum $(A) = \{\lambda, \lambda^{-1}, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k\}$, algebraic integers,

λ real and > 1

$$\sigma_j \in S^1$$

The corresponding diffeomorphism on \mathbb{T}^n is partially hyperbolic with one dimensionnal stable and unstable foliation.

These foliations may be minimal (all leaves dense)

In this case, λ is a Salem number,

Conversely, any Salem number occur as a leading eigenvalue for some $A \in O(q, \mathbb{Z})$ for some q .

Suspension \mathbb{T}_A^3

The suspension of A gives a flat Lorentz manifold endowed with an isometric flow which is Anosov (chaotic)

$$\mathbb{T}_A^3 = SOL/\Gamma,$$

SOL : the 3-dimensional unimodular solvable non-nilpotent group.

(Compare with Bianchi)

Non-suspension examples

Instead of *SOL*

take $G = SL(2, \mathbb{R})$,

$M = SL(2, \mathbb{R})/\Gamma$, Γ a co-compact lattice

The G action on G/Γ preserves a Lorentz metric,

This metric has constant negative curvature (locally *AdS*)

Explanation: at the origin $1 \in G/\Gamma$, take the Killing form

$\kappa : \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (it has a Lorentz signature).

Another examples: Oscillator (or Warped Heisenberg) groups

There is a (family of) groups G , solvable but looking like $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$:

- they are solvable, so their Killing form is degenerate
- they have a bi-invariant Lorentz form on their Lie algebra
- they have lattices

Results

M compact Lorentz

G acts isometrically

G^0 compact

G non-compact

$\Gamma = G/G^0$ acts on $Aut(G^0)$.

A geometric hypothesis: The G^0 action is not everywhere non-timelike: there is x_0 such that $G^0 x_0$ is timelike (the induced metric is Lorentz).

Example, strong situation: M is **stationary**: there is an everywhere timelike Killing field.

Essentially: the conjugacy action of Γ on G^0 is not equicontinuous

Fact (non-trivial): The algebraic and geometric hypotheses are equivalent.

First formulation of results, corollaries

Up to finite cover for M and finite index subgroup for G (everywhere),

G^0 has a toral Γ -invariant factor \mathbb{T} (of some dimension d)

- The action of Γ on \mathbb{T} preserves some Lorentz form q and $\Gamma = O(q, \mathbb{Z})$.
- The action of \mathbb{T} on M is **everywhere free**
- The orbits are all timelike: the identification of any orbit $\mathbb{T}x$ with \mathbb{T} gives a Γ -invariant Lorentz form q_x (on \mathbb{T})

Corollary

If a Lorentz manifold has a non compact isometry group and a somewhere timelike Killing field, then M is stationary.

Corollary

A compact simply connected STATIONARY Lorentz manifold has compact isometry group.

(this will become from the next precise theorem)

D'Ambra Theorem: A compact simply connected ANALYTIC Lorentz manifold has compact isometry group.

Here the metric is C^2

Corollary

A compact simply connected STATIONARY Lorentz manifold has compact isometry group.

(this will become from the next precise theorem)

D'Ambra Theorem: A compact simply connected ANALYTIC Lorentz manifold has compact isometry group.

Here the metric is C^2

Challenge: Generalize D'Ambra Theorem to the smooth case

- Why it is important to deal with the non-analytic case?
- reminiscent to the case of codimension 1 foliations: they may exist on the smooth case but not the analytic one (Heafliger).

Why simply connected manifolds?

- Because it is generally thought that dynamics, at least in a rigid geometric background, is encoded in the fundamental group.

Challenge: Generalize D'Ambra Theorem to the smooth case

- Why it is important to deal with the non-analytic case?
- reminiscent to the case of codimension 1 foliations: they may exist on the smooth case but not the analytic one (Heafliager).

Why simply connected manifolds?

- Because it is generally thought that dynamics, at least in a rigid geometric background, is encoded in the fundamental group.

Challenge: Generalize D'Ambra Theorem to the smooth case

- Why it is important to deal with the non-analytic case?
- reminiscent to the case of codimension 1 foliations: they may exist on the smooth case but not the analytic one (Heafliger).

Why simply connected manifolds?

- Because it is generally thought that dynamics, at least in a rigid geometric background, is encoded in the fundamental group.

Theorem

$\text{Iso}_0(M, \mathbf{g})$ contains a torus $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{T}^d$, endowed with a Lorentz form q , such that Γ is a subgroup of $O(q, \mathbb{Z})$.

There is a new Lorentz metric \mathbf{g}^{new} on M having a larger isometry group than the original \mathbf{g} , such that $\Gamma = O(q, \mathbb{Z})$.

Geometrically:

- M is metric direct product $\mathbb{T} \times N$, where N is a compact Riemannian manifold,
- or M is an amalgamated metric product $\mathbb{T} \times_{S^1} L$, where L is a lightlike manifold with an isometric S^1 -action.

The last possibility holds when Γ is a parabolic subgroup of $O(q)$.

- Having this description of g^{new} , one can understand g : the metric on the \mathbb{T} orbits varies in the modular space of Γ -invariant Lorentz metrics on \mathbb{T} .
- The difference between the direct product and amalgamated case lies in the fact that the orthogonal distribution of \mathbb{T} is integrable and has closed leaves.
- The statement is optimal: giving data: Γ, N, \dots , one constructs M .
- Consideration of finite covers is necessary...

Amalgamated products

Dynamics on
Lorentz manifolds

Abdelghani Zeghib

Introduction

Motivations and
questions
Examples

Results

Results
Previous results

Linear Dynamics

General considerations
Furstenberg Lemma

Lorentz Dynamics

Theorem

(Zimmer, Gromov, Adams-Stuck, Zeghib) Let G be a **connected non-compact Lie group acting isometrically on a compact Lorentz manifold**.

Then the Lie algebra \mathcal{G} is isomorphic to a direct sum

$$\mathcal{K} + \mathbb{R}^k + \mathcal{S},$$

where \mathcal{K} is the Lie algebra of a compact semi-simple Lie group, $k \geq 0$ is an integer and \mathcal{S} is trivial or isomorphic to:

- ▷ a Heisenberg algebra (of some dimension),
- ▷ a warped Heisenberg algebra, or
- ▷ $sl(2, \mathbb{R})$.

Conversely, any such algebra is isomorphic to the Lie algebra of the isometry group of some compact Lorentz manifold.

In particular if the G -orbits are somewhere timelike, the the factor \mathcal{S} is non-trivial, and we have a (local) warped product...

Introduction

Motivations and
questions
Examples

Results

Results
Previous results

Linear Dynamics

General considerations
Furstenberg Lemma

Lorentz Dynamics

Linear Dynamics

Recurrence vs homogeneity: A Gauß map

G acts on (M, g) , g a pseudo-Riemannian metric

Each orbit $G.x$ is a G -homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian space : G/H .

- So the metric is left invariant by G
- and also right invariant by H .

In particular if G/H is compact, the metric is bi-invariant by a big subgroup, essentially bi-invariant,

Zimmer-Gromov ... Philosophy: Since M is compact, $G.x$ looks like a compact space:... the metric is essentially bi-invariant

A Gauß map $Ga : M \rightarrow \text{Sym}(\mathcal{G})$,

$Ga(x)$ is the quadratic form on \mathcal{G} obtained via $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow T_x(Gx)$,
the derivative at 1 of the map $G \rightarrow Gx$

$$\begin{aligned} Ga(U, V) &= g_x(\bar{U}(x), \bar{V}(x)) \\ &= g_x\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\exp tU)(x), \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\exp tV)(x)\right) \end{aligned}$$

\bar{U} the vector field on M associated to U

$$\bar{U}(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\exp tU)(x)$$

$$Ga(g.x) = g.Ga(x)$$

The system $X = Ga(M) \subset \text{Sym}(\mathcal{G})$ is a factor of M .

Opposition:

(G, M) a conservative (general) G -dynamical system

(G, X) a dissipative (linear) dynamical system

Goal: The action on X is trivial!

Interpretation: the metric on orbits is bi-invariant.

What is special for linear systems

“Furstenberg lemma”, Illustration (in a radically simple situation)

Let

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

act on \mathbb{R}^2 .

Let $z = (x, y)$.

If z is H -recurrent, then $z = 0$

If z is non-escaping, then $x = 0$, or $y = 0$.

Recall:

- z recurrent, if there is $n_j \rightarrow \infty$, and $H^{n_j} z \rightarrow z$
- z is non-escaping if there is K a compact set and $H^{n_j} z \in K$

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Any U -recurrent point is fixed.

$$E = \begin{pmatrix} \cos t & -\sin t \\ \sin t & \cos t \end{pmatrix}$$

All points are recurrent...

Furstenberg: A **recurrent** linear dynamical system is made up to elliptic elements only.

- If $X \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ admits a finite G -invariant measure, then G acts on its support via a homomorphism in a compact group in $GL(N)$.

Warning: One also needs linear actions on projective spaces, and “meromorphic” Gauß maps...

Case of semi-simple groups

G a simple Lie group,

$X \subset \text{Sym}(\mathcal{G})$ a compact G -invariant subset $\implies G$ acts trivially on X .

(Typical case: $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$)

Embedding theorems (Zimmer...): If G acts on M preserving a pseudo-Riemannian metric of type (p, q) , then G embeds in $O(p, q)$.

In fact, the embedding is made via the adjoint representation $Ad : G \rightarrow GL(\mathcal{G})$.

The standard homogeneous example is G/Γ .

(The general case is a “non-commutative” G/Γ)

Case of semi-simple groups

G a simple Lie group,

$X \subset \text{Sym}(\mathcal{G})$ a compact G -invariant subset $\implies G$ acts trivially on X .

(Typical case: $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$)

Embedding theorems (Zimmer...): If G acts on M preserving a pseudo-Riemannian metric of type (p, q) , then G embeds in $O(p, q)$.

In fact, the embedding is made via the adjoint representation $Ad : G \rightarrow GL(\mathcal{G})$.

The standard homogeneous example is G/Γ .

(The general case is a “non-commutative” G/Γ)

Introduction

Motivations and
questions
Examples

Results

Results
Previous results

Linear Dynamics

General considerations
Furstenberg Lemma

Lorentz Dynamics

Generalities on Toral actions

Notations: $\Gamma = G/G^0$ acts by automorphism on G^0

The action is non-equicontinuous $\implies G^0$ is not semi-simple
(since for a compact semisimple G^0 , $Aut(G^0) \cong G$ is compact)

\mathbb{T}_1 the toral factor

$\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{T}^k \subset \mathbb{T}_1$ a minimal Γ -invariant sub-torus

$\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow Aut(\mathbb{T}^k) = GL(k, \mathbb{Z})$

Γ acts on $Sym(\mathbb{R}^k)$

Almost Lorentz implies Lorentz

Lemma

(Case $\Gamma = \{A^n, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$)

Let $F = \text{Sym}(\mathcal{E})$, ($\mathcal{E} = \mathbb{R}^k$)

and assume $A = EHU$ non-elliptic (i.e., either H or U is non-trivial).

Suppose there is a Lorentz form q_0 which is A -recurrent, and let $K \subset \text{GL}(\mathcal{E})$ be the torus generated by the powers of E .

Then, $\int_K B^F(q_0) d\mu(B)$ is an A -invariant Lorentz form, where μ is the Haar measure on K .

Remarks:

- This fact is trivial in the case of Euclidean (positive) forms

Proposition

Let $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow GL(\mathcal{E})$ be such that $\rho(a)$ is non-elliptic for any $a \in \Gamma$.

Let $F = \text{Sym}(\mathcal{E})$, and assume that the associated action ρ^F preserves a compact set of F contained in the (open) subset of Lorentz forms, and that ρ^F leaves invariant a finite measure on such compact set. Then, $\rho(\Gamma)$ preserves some Lorentz form.

Corollary

Let Γ be a subgroup of $GL(k, \mathbb{Z})$ which acts on $\text{Sym}(\mathbb{R}^k)$ by preserving a finite measure supported in the open set of Lorentz forms. Then, up to a finite index, Γ preserves a Lorentz form.

Lorentz Dynamics

Goal: uniformity and no-singularity

Dynamics on
Lorentz manifolds

Abdelghani Zeghib

Introduction

Motivations and
questions
Examples

Results

Results
Previous results

Linear Dynamics

General considerations
Furstenberg Lemma

Lorentz Dynamics

Prototypes of Lorentz isometries: hyperbolic and parabolic

Dynamics on
Lorentz manifolds

Abdelghani Zeghib

Introduction

Motivations and
questions
Examples

Results

Results
Previous results

Linear Dynamics

General considerations
Furstenberg Lemma

Lorentz Dynamics

which qualitative properties unify them?

Let ϕ be a diffeomorphism of a compact manifold M .

Definition

A vector $v \in T_x M$ is called *approximately stable* if there is a sequence $v_n \in T_x M$ such that:

- $v_n \rightarrow v$
- $D_x \phi^n v_n$ is bounded in TM .

The set of approximately stable vectors in $T_x M$ is denoted $AS(x, \phi)$

Their union over M is denoted $AS(\phi)$,

The vector v is called **strongly approximately stable** if $D_x \phi^n v_n \rightarrow 0$.

Similar notations: $SAS(x, \phi)$ and $SAS(\phi)$

Theorem (Zeghib)

Let ϕ be an isometry of a compact Lorentz manifold (M, \mathbf{g}) such that the powers $\{\phi^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of ϕ form an unbounded set (i.e., non precompact in $\text{Iso}(M, \mathbf{g})$). Then:

- ▶ *AS(ϕ) is a Lipschitz codimension 1 vector subbundle of TM which is tangent to a codimension 1 foliation of M by geodesic lightlike hypersurfaces;*
- ▶ *SAS(ϕ) is a Lipschitz 1-dimensional subbundle of TM contained in AS(ϕ) and everywhere lightlike.*

Introduction

Motivations and
questions
Examples

Results

Results
Previous results

Linear Dynamics

General considerations
Furstenberg Lemma

Lorentz Dynamics