

# Kaehler manifolds with a big automorphism group

Abdelghani Zeghib

UMPA, ENS-Lyon

<http://www.umpa.ens-lyon.fr/~zeghib/>

(joint work with Serge Cantat)

Vienna, Schrödinger Institute,

Cartan Connections, Geometry of Homogeneous Spaces, and Dynamics

July 19, 2011

## How this talk is different (most of the others)?

1. We deal with automorphisms of a complex structure,

There is no “locally rigid” geometric structure involved

## How this talk is different (most of the others)?

1. We deal with automorphisms of a complex structure,

There is no “locally rigid” geometric structure involved

Rather, global considerations

2. Elie Cartan is not involved

## How this talk is different (most of the others)?

1. We deal with automorphisms of a complex structure,

There is no “locally rigid” geometric structure involved

Rather, global considerations

2. Elie Cartan is not involved

Rather, Henri Cartan could be concerned!

## About the relation “Local vs Global” (Elie - Henri)

It would not be a historical mistake to say that Elie Cartan has proved everything in differential geometry,

## About the relation “Local vs Global” (Elie - Henri)

It would not be a historical mistake to say that Elie Cartan has proved everything in differential geometry, but locally!

## About the relation “Local vs Global” (Elie - Henri)

It would not be a historical mistake to say that Elie Cartan has proved everything in differential geometry, but locally!

Maybe, He didn't know the definition of a manifold by atlases...!?

## About the relation “Local vs Global” (Elie - Henri)

It would not be a historical mistake to say that Elie Cartan has proved everything in differential geometry, but locally!

Maybe, He didn't know the definition of a manifold by atlases...!?

From a letter of A. Weil to Henri Cartan:

... il me dit de son ton tranquille: “J'apprends l'analysis situs, je crois que je pourrai en tirer quelque chose”

He (E. Cartan) told me with his quiet tone: “ I am currently learning the analysis situs (which means Topology), with hope to take benefit of it”

(published in an Astérisque volume dedicated to Cartan, 1985)





# The Theorem

Warning: almost all statements are, up to a finite cover for spaces,  
and finite index for groups!

## Theorem

*Let  $M$  be a compact Kaehler manifold of dimension  $n$ .*

*Let  $\Gamma$  be a lattice in a simple Lie group  $G$  of real rank  $n - 1$ .*

*Let  $\Gamma$  acts on  $M$  holomorphically. Then, either*

- 1) The action extends to an action of the full Lie group  $G$ .*
- 2) or  $M$  is birational to a complex torus.*

## Theorem

*Let  $M$  be a compact Kaehler manifold of dimension  $n$ .*

*Let  $\Gamma$  be a lattice in a simple Lie group  $G$  of real rank  $n - 1$ .*

*Let  $\Gamma$  acts on  $M$  holomorphically. Then, either*

- 1) The action extends to an action of the full Lie group  $G$ .*
- 2) or  $M$  is birational to a complex torus.*

*More precisely,  $M$  is a Kummer variety: it is obtained from an abelian orbifold  $A/F$  by blow ups and resolution of singularities.*

## Introduction 1: Kähler manifolds

Automorphism groups of Kähler manifolds

Kähler Dynamics

## Actions of discrete groups

Discrete groups: lattices in higher rank groups

## Results

Special tori

Kummer examples

## Cohomological actions

# Introduction: Meeting of two worlds

# Introduction 1: Automorphism groups of Kähler manifolds

# The discrete factor of the automorphism group

Let  $M$  be a complex manifold.

$Aut(M)$  the group of holomorphic diffeomorphisms of  $M$

– If  $M$  is compact, then  $Aut(M)$  is a complex Lie group (of finite dimension).

– The Lie algebra of the identity component  $Aut^0(M)$  is the space of holomorphic fields on  $M$ .

(Bochner-Montgomery...?)



A complex structure is not a rigid geometric structure!

The Lie group property (for compact spaces) follows from “ellipticity”

(Ref: Kobayashi’s book, transformation groups)

the space of holomorphic sections of a holomorphic bundle over a compact complex manifold, has finite dimension (Cauchy formula):

$L^\infty$  boundeness  $\implies C^1$  boundeness



## Automorphism groups in the Kähler case

If  $M$  is Kähler, the dynamics of  $Aut^0(M)$  (the connected component of 1) is poor (in particular non-chaotic)

Explanations:

- Elements of  $Aut^0(M)$  have vanishing topological entropy.

- In the projective case, i.e.  $M \subset \mathbb{C}P^N$ ,

$$Aut^0(M) = \{g \in PGL_{N+1}(\mathbb{C}), gM = M\},$$

i.e. automorphisms of  $M$  are restrictions of global linear automorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}P^N$

(actually we need to consider a bigger  $N$ )

## Automorphism groups in the Kähler case

If  $M$  is Kähler, the dynamics of  $Aut^0(M)$  (the connected component of 1) is poor (in particular non-chaotic)

Explanations:

- Elements of  $Aut^0(M)$  have vanishing topological entropy.

- In the projective case, i.e.  $M \subset \mathbb{C}P^N$ ,

$$Aut^0(M) = \{g \in PGL_{N+1}(\mathbb{C}), gM = M\},$$

i.e. automorphisms of  $M$  are restrictions of global linear automorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}P^N$

(actually we need to consider a bigger  $N$ )

→ it is more important to consider the discrete factor

$$\Gamma_M = Aut^\#(M) = Aut(M)/Aut^0(M).$$

- Which discrete groups are equal to  $\Gamma_M = \text{Aut}^\#(M)$  for some  $M$ ?
- For fixed dimension  $n$ , find  $M$  for which  $\text{Aut}^\#(M)$  is as big as possible?

# What is and Why Kähler?

Definition:  $(M, J)$  is Kähler, if there exists a Hermitian metric  $g$ , such that  $\omega(u, v) = g(u, Jv)$  is a closed 2-form.

- Kähler: compatibility of complex geometry and Riemannian geometry:
  - “holomorphic  $\sim$  harmonic”
  - Any complex submanifold is minimal (in the sense of Riemannian geometry)
- Kähler: it gives a natural, almost optimal condition for holomorphic embedding in  $\mathbb{C}P^n$

## Non-Kähler examples

$M = G/\Gamma$ , homogeneous with  $\Gamma$  discrete,  $G$  complex

## Non-Kähler examples

$M = G/\Gamma$ , homogeneous with  $\Gamma$  discrete,  $G$  complex

$M$  admits a Kähler metric  $\iff G$  is abelian!



# Kähler dynamics

Two facts:

- The action of  $\text{Aut}^0(M)$  looks like an algebraic action of an algebraic group on an algebraic manifold
- The action of  $\Gamma_M$  on the cohomology is (virtually) faithful.

$\Gamma$  acts on  $H^*(M, \mathbb{C})$ ,

$$W = H^{1,1}(M, \mathbb{R}) \subset H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$$

$$\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(W)$$

–  $\mathrm{Aut}(M)$ , in fact  $\mathrm{Aut}^\#(M) = \mathrm{Aut}(M)/\mathrm{Aut}^0(M)$ , acts on  $W$ .

**Fundamental Kaehler Fact:** The action of  $\mathrm{Aut}^\#(M)$  is virtually faithful: its kernel is finite  $\iff$  if an automorphism acts trivially on  $W$ , then a power of it belongs a flow.

(authors: Lieberman, Fujiki...)

# Lieberman-Fujiki

$(M, \omega)$

$\text{Aut}_{[\omega]}(M) = \{f \in \text{Aut}(M) \text{ such that } f^*\omega \text{ is cohomologous to } \omega \}$

$\omega$  Kaehler form

Fact:  $\text{Aut}_{[\omega]}(M)$  has a finite number of connected components

Idea of proof:

–  $\text{Graph}(f^n) \subset M \times M$  have a bounded volume:

$$\text{Graph}(f) = \{(x, f(x)) \mid x \in M\}$$

$$\omega^n = \omega \wedge \dots \wedge \omega \text{ (} n\text{-times)}$$

$$\int_{\text{Graph}(f)} \omega^n = \int_{\text{Graph}(\text{Identity})} \omega^n$$

Kähler character: **The Riemannian volume of any complex submanifold  $Y$  of dimension  $d$  equals  $\int_Y \omega^d$**

In particular, complex submanifolds are minimal submanifolds in the sense of Riemannian geometry

Chow or Hilbert scheme:  $\mathcal{C}_v$  the space of complex analytic sets of a bounded volume  $v$  = it is a (singular) complex space:

Example: for  $\mathbb{C}P^n$ : bounded volume  $\iff$  bounded degree  
 $\mathcal{C}_v$  has a finite number of connected components. (one basic property of algebraic sets)



## Comparison with the affine torus

$$M = \mathbb{T}^n = \mathbb{R}^n / \mathbb{Z}^n$$

$$\text{Aff}(M) = \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \rtimes \mathbb{T}^n$$

$\text{Aff}^0(M) = \mathbb{T}^n$  acts with Zen dynamics

Elements of  $\text{Aff}^\#(M) = \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$  act with a violent (generally chaotic) dynamics,

- Affine transformations minimize topological entropy in their homotopy classes and are optimal mechanical model in this class
- all this thoughts extend to Kähler structures, without being a rigid geometric structure!!!



# Introduction 2: Actions of arithmetic groups, Zimmer program



# Margulis super-rigidity

$G$  a semi-simple (real) group (e.g.  $G = \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})\dots$ )

$\Gamma \subset G$  a lattice:  $G/\Gamma$  has finite volume, e.g. co-compact.

Example  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$  is non co-compact lattice of  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ .

# Margulis super-rigidity

$G$  a semi-simple (real) group (e.g.  $G = \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})\dots$ )

$\Gamma \subset G$  a lattice:  $G/\Gamma$  has finite volume, e.g. co-compact.

Example  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$  is non co-compact lattice of  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ .

The world of (simple Lie) groups:

$$\mathcal{F} = \{O(n, 1); SU(n, 1); \}$$

$$\mathcal{R} = \{\text{the others, e.g., } Sp(n, 1), SL_n(\mathbb{R}), SO(p, q), p, q > 1\dots\}$$

A  $\Gamma$  a lattice of  $G$ , and  $G \in \mathcal{R}$ , is **super-rigid**: any  $h : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{R})$  extends to a homomorphism  $G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{R})$ , unless it is bounded...

The authors: Margulis if  $\mathrm{rk}_{\mathbb{R}} G \geq 2$  (e.g.  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $n \geq 3$ ...)

Gromov-Shoen: for the rk-one:  $\mathrm{Sp}(n, 1)$  and the isometry group of the hyperbolic Cayley plane.

# Zimmer program

- Super-rigidity solves linear representation theory of  $\Gamma$
- Zimmer program, a tentative to understand “non-linear representations”, i.e.  $\Gamma \rightarrow \text{Diff}(M)$ , where  $M$  is compact, i.e. differentiable actions of  $\Gamma$ .

## Question

*Let  $\Gamma$  be a lattice in a simple Lie group  $G$  of real rank  $\geq 2$ .*

- *Find the minimal dimension  $d_\Gamma$  of compact manifolds on which  $\Gamma$  acts, but not via a finite group.*
- *Describe all actions at this dimension.*

## Remark

*Zimmer proves a “super-rigidity of cocycles”.*

*– In general, one deals (in the question above) with volume preserving actions.*

Example:  $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$  (and congruence groups)

– The minimal linear representation is the standard one in  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ , or its dual.

–  $\Gamma$  acts on the (real) torus  $M = \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n$ .

**Rigidity question (variant):** prove that all smooth actions of  $\Gamma$  on the torus are smoothly conjugate to the standard one. (Authors: Zimmer, Margulis, Katok, Spatzier, Hurder, Lewis, Kanai...)

# Strategy

Fix a kind of geometric structure, and restrict himself to actions preserving such a structure.

Our theorem: solves the question in the holomorphic Kaehler case.

# Strategy

Fix a kind of geometric structure, and restrict himself to actions preserving such a structure.

Our theorem: solves the question in the holomorphic Kaehler case.

## Conjecture

*(???) If  $\Gamma$  a lattice in a higher semi-simple Lie group acts on a compact Kaehler manifold, and a Zariski generic point has a Zariski dense orbit, then  $M$  is birational to a torus?*





Remark: another connection (Kähler and arithmetics):  
mapping class group

$Teic(M)$  space of complex structures up to (smooth) isotopy

$Mod(M) = Diff(M)/Diff^0(M)$  acts on  $Teic(M)$

**Sullivan:**  $Mod(M)$  is an arithmetic group...

$Aut^\#(M, c) \cong$  stabilizer of  $c \in Teic(M)$  in  $Mod(M)$ .

**Special points:** those with a big stabilizer.



# More details about the statement

# Complex tori: some arithmetics

# Space of tori

Torus  $X = X_\Lambda = \mathbb{C}^n / \Lambda$

$\Lambda$  a lattice in  $\mathbb{C}^n \cong \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  (there is no way to define  $\Lambda$  a complex lattice)

Space of Lattices:  $\mathcal{L} = \mathrm{SL}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}) / \mathrm{SL}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})$

$G = \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$  acts on  $\mathcal{L}$ .

$(\mathrm{SL}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))$  acts transitively on  $\mathcal{L}$  but not  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$

$\mathrm{Aut}^\#(X_\Lambda) = \text{stabilizer of } \Lambda \text{ in } G = \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C}) =$

$$\Gamma_\Lambda = \mathrm{Aut}(X_\Lambda) / \mathrm{Aut}^0(X_\Lambda) = \{g \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C}), g\Lambda = \Lambda\}$$

Remark (dual point of view): the Teichmuller space is  $SL_{2n}(\mathbb{R})/SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ , endowed with the action of the modular group  $SL_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})$ .

Generically:  $\Gamma_\Lambda = \{1\}$

**Our case:** classify  $\Lambda$  such that:  $\Gamma_\Lambda$  is isomorphic to a lattice in a semi-simple Lie group of rang  $n - 1$

$\iff$  its Zariski closure  $G \subset \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$  has real rank  $= n - 1$

$\iff G = \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ , or  $G$  conjugate to the standard copy  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ .

## Proposition

Let  $G$  be the Zariski closure of  $\Gamma_\Lambda$

1) If  $G = \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ , then  $\Lambda = R^n$ ,  $R = \mathbb{Z} + \sqrt{-d}\mathbb{Z}$ , and  $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z} + \sqrt{-d}\mathbb{Z})$ .

2) If  $G = \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ , then, either

2.1)  $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^n + \delta\mathbb{Z}^n = (\mathbb{Z} + \delta\mathbb{Z})^n$ , and  $\Gamma \cong \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ , or

2.2)  $n = 2d$ ,  $\Lambda = R^n$ , where  $R$  is lattice in  $\mathbb{R}^4 = \mathbb{C}^2$ ,  $R = H_{a,b}(\mathbb{Z})$  the ring of integer points of a quaternion algebra over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

$\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}_n(H_{a,b}(\mathbb{Z})) \subset \mathrm{SL}_n(H_{a,b}(\mathbb{R})) = \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathrm{Mat}_2(\mathbb{R})) = \mathrm{SL}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ .



In the first two case:  $X = Y^n$ ,  $Y$  an an elliptic curve,

In the last case:  $X = Z^n$ ,  $Z = \mathbb{C}^2/H_{a,b}(\mathbb{Z})$

$Z$  is an abelian surface.

## More details

$\mathbf{H}_{a,b}(\mathbb{Q})$  quaternion algebra over  $\mathbb{Q}$  defined by its basis  $(1, i, j, k)$ , with

$$i^2 = a, j^2 = b, ij = k = -ji, \quad (a, b > 0)$$

It embeds into  $\text{Mat}_2(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a}))$  by mapping  $i$  and  $j$  to the matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{a} & 0 \\ 0 & -\sqrt{a} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ b & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\mathbf{H}_{a,b}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbf{H}_{a,b} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$$

The matrix associated to  $q = x + yi + zj + tk$  has determinant

$$\text{Nrd}(q) = x^2 - ay^2 - bz^2 + abt^2.$$

$H_{a,b}(\mathbb{Z})$  is the set of norm 1 and points of integer coordinates

Case  $a = b = -1$ , we get  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  a lattice (in the non-linear sense) of  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$

General case,  $H_{a,b}(\mathbb{Z})$  is big in  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ , it is a lattice, usually co-compact  
(first cases of Harish-Chandra-Borel Theorem)t

# Complex multiplication

Quoting Hilbert:

Complex multiplication is not only the most beautiful theory in mathematics, but in all sciences!

Quoting Hilbert:

Complex multiplication is not only the most beautiful theory in mathematics, but in all sciences!

Google search: complex multiplication?

Quoting Hilbert:

Complex multiplication is not only the most beautiful theory in mathematics, but in all sciences!

Google search: complex multiplication?

Surprise: not our human multiplication,

Book by Serge Lang: complex multiplication,...

$$X = \mathbb{C}^n / \Lambda$$

$End(X)$  complex endomorphism ring of  $X$

$$End(X) \supset \mathbb{Z}$$

- If  $\dim X = 1$ , then

$$End(X) = \mathbb{Z}, \text{ or}$$

$\mathbb{Z} + \sqrt{-d}\mathbb{Z}$ , in which case:  $\Lambda$  is a sub-ring of  $\mathbb{C}$

In the last case:  $X$  is of CM type,

Higher dimension ...



## Abelian orbifolds with a Lattice action

$$Y = X/F$$

$F$  abelian finite generated by a rotation  $\vec{z} \rightarrow \eta \vec{z}$

$\eta$  a root of unity,

$$\eta^k = 1,$$

$$k = 1, 2, 3, 4, 6$$

This is Calabi-Yau if  $\dim Y = k$  (in particular  $M$  is simply connected)

# Actions of Lie groups

# Actions of Lie groups

Let  $M$  be a connected compact complex manifold of dimension  $n \geq 3$ . Let  $H$  be an almost simple complex Lie group with  $\text{rk}_{\mathbb{C}}(H) = n - 1$ .

If there exists an injective morphism  $H \rightarrow \text{Aut}(M)^0$ , then  $M$  is one of the following:

- (1) a projective bundle  $\mathbb{P}(E)$  for some rank 2 vector bundle  $E$  over  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C})$ , and then  $H$  is isogenous to  $\mathrm{PGL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ ;
- (2) a principal torus bundle over  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C})$ , and  $H$  is isogenous to  $\mathrm{PGL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ ;
- (3) a product of  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C})$  with a curve  $B$  of genus  $g(B) \geq 2$ , and then  $H$  is isogenous to  $\mathrm{PGL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ ;
- (4) the projective space  $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ , and  $H$  is isogenous to  $\mathrm{PGL}_n(\mathbb{C})$  or to  $\mathrm{PSO}_5(\mathbb{C})$  when  $n = 3$ ;
- (5) a smooth quadric of dimension 3 or 4 and  $H$  is isogenous to  $\mathrm{SO}_5(\mathbb{C})$  or to  $\mathrm{SO}_6(\mathbb{C})$  respectively.



# Cohomological Actions: a major ingredient

# The cohomological automorphism group

A diffeomorphism  $f$  of  $M$  acts on its cohomology

$$H^*(M, \mathbb{R}) = \Sigma H^i(M, \mathbb{R})$$

$f_*$  preserves the cup products  $H^i \times H^j \rightarrow H^{i+j}$

and Poincaré duality:  $H^i \cong (H^{n-i})^*$

if  $M$  Kähler,  $H^{p,q}$  Hodge decomposition

$\text{CoAut}(M) =$  the Linear subgroup of  $\text{GL}(H^*(M, \mathbb{R}))$  preserving Hodge decomposition, the cup product and Poincaré duality,

This is an algebraic group,

Why it is non-trivial?

For instance,  $W = H^{1,1}(M, \mathbb{R}) \neq 0$

General question: understand  $\text{CoAut}(M)$ ?

# Using Super-rigidity

$$\text{Aut}(M) \rightarrow \text{CoAut}(M)$$

If  $\Gamma \subset \text{Aut}(M)$ , then

$$\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{CoAut}(M)$$

If  $G \subset \Gamma$  is a lattice in  $G$ , e.g.  $G \cong \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $n \geq 3$ ,

then  $\rho$  extends to  $G$

Example  $\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$  acts on  $\mathbb{T}^n$ , and  $\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$  acts on  $H^*(\mathbb{T}^n, \mathbb{R})$ ,

This appears as a Zariski closure!



Question: How  $\text{CoAut}(M)$ , where  $\dim M = n$  contains  $\text{SL}_m(\mathbb{R})$ ,  
 $m \geq n$ ?

Is this impossible for  $m > n$

## Surface case,

In  $\dim = 2$ , the cup product is a quadratic form:  $b : W \times W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

**Hodge index theorem** (Noether theorem):  $b$  has (anti-) Lorentz signature  $+ - \dots -$  (or  $+$ ).

**Fact** If  $M$  is a surface (Kähler or not)

A semi-simple Lie group (with no compact factor) can be embedded in  $O(1, N)$  iff it is (locally) isomorphic to some  $SO(1, m)$ ,  $m \leq N$

In particular,

$\text{CoAut}(M)$  is either  $\cong SO(1, m)$ ,

or contained in a parabolic group  $P$  of  $O(1, N)$ ,

$$P = SO(n-1) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n$$

If  $\Gamma$  a lattice in  $G$  of higher rank, acts,  
then  $G$  embeds in  $O(1, N)$ ,  
impossible!

## Higher dimension

$$c : W \times \dots W \rightarrow W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

- Is there a kind of Noether theorem for  $c$ ?
- Can the “signature” be bounded by means of the dimension?

Case: dimension = 3,

- “Trilinear forms are a challenge for mathematics” !!!
- (The quotient space under the  $GL_3(\mathbb{R})$ -action is infinite...)

# Hodge index theorem, Hodge-Riemann bilinear relations

$$q_\omega : \alpha \in H^{1,1} \rightarrow \int \alpha \wedge \alpha \wedge \omega^{n-1}$$

If  $\omega$  is a Kähler, then  $q_\omega$  is negative definite on the primitive space

$$[\omega]^\perp = \{\alpha \in W, \alpha \wedge \omega \wedge \omega = 0\}$$

## Dimension 3

### Fact

*(Lorentz-like property)*

$b : W \times W \rightarrow W^*$  satisfies, if  $E \subset W$  is isotropic for  $c$ , then  $\dim E \leq 1$ .

This allows one to classify  $\rho$  assuming  $\text{rk}_{\mathbb{R}}(G) \geq 2$ .

(for instance,  $G$  can not contain  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \times \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \dots$ )

– Proof of the Fact: If  $\dim E \neq 0$ , then,  $E \cap [\omega]^\perp \neq 0$ , and  $q(a, b) = \omega \wedge a \wedge b$  negative definite.

Question: classify the orthogonal group of a vectorial bilinear (or equivalently a trilinear form) satisfying the Lorentz-like property?



## Representation of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$

Let  $H = S \times R$  be the orthogonal group of a Lorentz-like trilinear form,

$S$  semi-simple, thus contains locally  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$

Bounds of the  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  in  $S$

$R_k$  representation in

$P_k =$  Polynômes homogènes de degré  $k = \{p = \sum x^i y^{k-i}\}$

A diagonal matrix  $(\lambda, \lambda^{-1})$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

$A_k$  action on  $P_k$

Weights (eigenvalues)  $\lambda^k, \lambda^{k-2}, \lambda^{2-k}, \lambda^k,$

Eigen-vectors:  $e_k, \dots, e_{-k}$

- The wedges  $e_r \wedge e_s$  are eigenvalues (or 0)

$$A_k(e_r \wedge e_s) = \lambda^{r+s}(e_r \wedge e_s) = A_k^*(e_r \wedge e_s) = \lambda^l(e_r \wedge e_s)$$

So:

- either  $e_r \wedge e_s = 0$
- or  $r + s$  is a weight of the dual  $R_k^* \cong R_k$  and thus  $-k \leq r + s \leq k$

- Necessarily  $e_k \wedge e_k = 0$  (since  $2k > k$ )

By the Lorentz-like property, we can not have

$$e_k \wedge e_{k-2} = 0, \text{ and } e_{k-2} \wedge e_{k-2} = 0$$

Hence  $2(k-2) \leq k$ , i.e.  $k \leq 4$ .

## Conclusion

- In dimension 3, by representation theory, we get information on the cohomology
- Essentially,  $H^{1,1}(M, \mathbb{R}) = H^{1,1}(\mathbb{T}^3, \mathbb{R}) \oplus T$ , where the representation on  $T$  is trivial,
- Other structures on the cohomology are needed, e.g. The Kähler cone....
- All this is a crucial step in the proof...

In dimension  $> 3$ , other approach...



# Non-algebraic structures on the cohomology: The Kaehler cone

$W$  is a ordered linear space:

$\mathcal{K} \subset W$  the space of  $\alpha \in W$  having a representative  $\omega \in [\alpha]$ , which is Kaehler, i.e.  $g(u, v) = \omega(u, Jv)$  is positive definite. (so  $h = g + \omega$  is a hermitian metric)

$\mathcal{K}$  is a convex non-degenerate cone with a non-empty interior.

The nef cone is the closure  $\overline{\mathcal{K}}$

# Preserved sub-cones

## Proposition

*Let  $\Gamma$  be a lattice in a semi-simple group  $G$ , and  $\rho : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(W)$ . Assume  $\rho(\Gamma)$  preserves a non-degenerate cone  $\mathcal{K}$ . Then  $\rho(G)$  preserves a non-degenerate cone  $\mathcal{K}'$ .*

Remarks:

- 1) The cone is unique if  $\rho$  is irreducible.
- 2) This is not true if  $\Gamma$  is merely a Zariski dense subgroup.





# Algebraic geometry

# What is the torus

$M$  a Kähler manifold,

$M$  is covered by a torus  $\iff$

$$c_1(M) = c_2(M) = 0$$

$$c_1(M) \in W = H^{1,1},$$

$$c_2(M) \in H^{2,2} = W^*$$

$c_1, c_2$  are invariant by  $\text{Aut}(M)$

$$c_1 \in T$$

How to kill the fixed space  $T$  of the cohomological representation

$\Gamma \rightarrow \text{GL}(W)$ ?

This is possible if  $c_1$  corresponds to:

- 1) an effective (i.e positive) divisor,
- 2) this divisor is rigid  $\mathbb{C}P^2$ ...