A diffusive matrix model for invariant β -ensembles

Romain Allez¹ and Alice $Guionnet^2$

 1 Université Paris-Dauphine, Ceremade, 75 016 Paris, France. $^2 \rm U.M.P.A.$ ENS de Lyon 46, allée d'Italie, 69364 Lyon Cedex 07 - France.

June 8, 2012

Abstract

We define a new diffusive matrix model converging towards the β -Dyson Brownian motion for all $\beta \in [0, 2]$ that provides an explicit construction of β -ensembles of random matrices that is invariant under the orthogonal/unitary group. We also describe the eigenvector dynamics of the limiting matrix process; we show that when $\beta < 1$ and that two eigenvalues collide, the eigenvectors of these two colliding eigenvalues fluctuate very fast and take the uniform measure on the orthocomplement of the eigenvectors of the remaining eigenvalues.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Statement of the results	3
3	Properties of the limiting eigenvalues process 3.1 Regularity properties of the limiting process	7 7 0
	3.2Estimates on consions	0 13 15
4	Properties of the eigenvalues of M_n^β	20
5	Convergence of the law of the eigenvalues till the first hitting time	24
6	Proof of Theorem 2.2.6.1Non colliding case $p\beta \ge 1$ 6.2Colliding case $p\beta < 1$	26 26 27
7	Asymptotic properties of the eigenvectors	29

1 Introduction

It is well known that the law of the eigenvalues of the classical Gaussian matrix ensembles are given by a Gibbs measure of a Coulomb gas interaction with inverse temperature $\beta = 1$ (resp. 2, resp. 4) in the symmetric (resp. Hermitian, resp. symplectic) cases;

$$dP_{\beta}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{Z^{\beta}} \prod_{i < j} |\lambda_i - \lambda_j|^{\beta} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum \lambda_i^2} \prod d\lambda_i \,.$$

Such measures are associated with symmetric Langevin dynamics, the so-called Dyson Brownian motion, which describe the random motion of the eigenvalues of a symmetric (resp. Hermitian, resp. symplectic) Brownian motion. They are given by the stochastic differential system

$$d\lambda_i(t) = db_i(t) - \lambda_i(t)dt + \beta \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{\lambda_i(t) - \lambda_j(t)} dt$$
(1.1)

with iid Brownian motions (b_i) . These laws and dynamics have been intensively studied, and both local and global behaviours of these eigenvalues have been analyzed precisely, starting from the reference book of Mehta [9].

More recently, the generalization of these distributions and dynamics to all $\beta \geq 0$, the so-called β -ensembles, was considered. As for $\beta = 1, 2, 4$, the Langevin dynamics converge to their unique invariant Gibbs measure P_{β} as times goes to infinity. Indeed, the stochastic differential system under study is a set of Brownian motions in interaction according to a strictly convex potential. Thus, one can then show by a standard coupling argument that two solutions driven by the same Brownian motion but with different initial data will soon be very close to each others. This entails the uniqueness of the invariant measure as well as the convergence to this Gibbs measure. It turns out that the case $\beta \in [0, 1)$ and the case $\beta \in [1, \infty)$ are quite different, as in the first case the eigenvalues process can cross whereas in the second the repulsion is strong enough so that the eigenvalues do not collide with probability one in finite time. However, the diffusion was shown to be well defined, even for $\beta < 1$, by Cépa and Lépingle [4], at list once reordered.

The goal of this article is to provide a natural interpretation of β -ensembles in terms of random matrices for $\beta \in [0, 2]$. Dumitriu and Edelman [6] already proposed a tridiagonal matrix with eigenvalues distributed according to the β -ensembles. However, this tridiagonal matrix lacks the invariant property of the classical ensembles. Our construction has this property and moreover is constructive as it is based on a dynamical scheme. It was proposed by JP Bouchaud, and this article provides rigorous proofs of the results stated in [1]. The idea is to interpolate between the Dyson Brownian motion and the standard Brownian motion by throwing a coin at every infinitesimal time step to decide whether our matrix will evolve according to a Hermitian Brownian motion (with probability p) or will keep the same eigenvectors but has eigenvalues diffusing according to a Brownian motion. When the size of the infinitesimal time steps goes to zero, we will prove that the dynamics of the eigenvalues of this matrix valued process converges towards the β -Dyson Brownian motion with $\beta = 2p$. The same construction with a symmetric Brownian motion leads to the same limit with $\beta = p$. This result is more precisely stated in Theorem 2.2. We shall not consider the extension to the symplectic Brownian motion in this paper, but it is clear that the same result holds with $\beta = 4p$. Our construction can be extended to other matrix models such as Wishart matrices, Circular and Ginibre Gaussian Ensembles and will lead to similar results.

We thus deduce from our construction that β -ensembles can be interpreted as an interpolation between free convolution (obtained by adding a Hermitian Brownian motion) and standard convolution (arising when the eigenvalues evolve following standard Brownian motions). It is natural to wonder whether a notion of β -convolution could be more generally defined.

Moreover we shall study the eigenvectors of our matrix-valued process. In the case where $\beta \geq 1$, their dynamics is well known and is similar to the dynamics of the eigenvectors of the Hermitian or Symplectic Brownian motions, see e.g. [2]. When $\beta < 1$ the question is to determine what happens at a collision. It turns out that when we approach a collision, the eigenvectors of the non-colliding eigenvalues converge to some orthogonal family B of d-2 vectors whereas the eigenvectors of the colliding eigenvalues oscillate very fast and take the uniform distribution on the ortho-complement of B, see Proposition 2.6.

2 Statement of the results

Let \mathcal{H}_d^{β} be the space of $d \times d$ symmetric (respectively Hermitian) matrices if $\beta = 1$ (resp. $\beta = 2$) and \mathcal{O}_d^{β} be the space of $d \times d$ orthogonal (respectively unitary) matrices if $\beta = 1$ (resp. $\beta = 2$).

We consider the matrix-valued process defined as follows. Let γ be a positive real number and $M_0^{\beta} \in \mathcal{H}_d^{\beta}$ with distinct eigenvalues $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \cdots < \lambda_d$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we let $(\epsilon_k^n)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of i.i.d $\{0, 1\}$ -valued Bernoulli variables with mean p in the sense that

$$\mathbb{P}[\epsilon_k^n = 1] = p = 1 - \mathbb{P}[\epsilon_k^n = 0]$$

Furthermore, for $t \ge 0$, we set $\epsilon_t^n := \epsilon_{[nt]}^n$.

In the following, the process $(H^{\beta}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ will denote a symmetric Brownian motion, i.e. a process with values in the set of $d \times d$ symmetric matrices (respectively Hermitian if $\beta = 2$) with entries $H^{\beta}_{ij}(t), t \geq 0, i \leq j$ constructed via independent real valued Brownian motions $(B_{ij}, \tilde{B}_{ij}, 1 \leq i \leq j \leq d)$ by

$$H_{ij}^{\beta}(t) = \begin{cases} B_{ij}(t) + i(\beta - 1)\widetilde{B}_{ij}(t) & \text{if } i < j\\ \sqrt{2}B_{ii}(t) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(2.1)

Definition 2.1. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define a diffusive matrix process $(M_n^\beta(t))_{t \ge 0}$ such that $M_n^\beta(0) := M_0^\beta$ and for $t \ge 0$

$$dM_n^\beta(t) = -\gamma M_n^\beta(t)dt + \epsilon_t^n dH_t^\beta + (1 - \epsilon_t^n)dY_t$$
(2.2)

where $(H_t^{\beta})_{t \ge 0}$ is a $d \times d$ symmetric (resp. Hermitian) as defined in (2.1) whereas

$$dY_t = \sqrt{2} \sum_{i=1}^d \chi_i^n \left(\frac{[nt]}{n}\right) dB_t^i$$

with i.i.d Brownian motions $(B_t^i)_{t \ge 0}$ and where $\chi_i^n([nt]/n)$ is the spectral projector associated to the *i*-th eigenvalue $\lambda_i([nt]/n)$ of the matrix $M_n^\beta([nt]/n)$ if the eigenvalues are numbered as $\lambda_1([nt]/n) < \lambda_2([nt]/n) < \cdots < \lambda_d([nt]/n)$ (we shall see that the above is possible as the eigenvalues are almost surely distinct at the given times $\{k/n, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$).

As for all t, the matrix $M_n^{\beta}(t)$ is in the space \mathcal{H}_d^{β} , we know that it can be decomposed as

$$M_n^{\beta}(t) = O_n^{\beta}(t)\Delta_n^{\beta}(t)O_n^{\beta}(t)^*$$

where $\Delta_n^{\beta}(t)$ is the diagonal matrix whose diagonal is the vector of the ordered eigenvalues of $M_n^{\beta}(t)$ and where $O_n^{\beta}(t)$ is in the space \mathcal{O}_d^{β} for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. We also introduce a matrix $O^{\beta}(0)$ to be the initial orthogonal matrix (resp. unitary if $\beta = 2$) such that $M_0^{\beta}(t) = O^{\beta}(0)\Delta_0 O^{\beta}(0)^*$ where $\Delta_0 := \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_d)$.

The evolution of the eigenvalues of $M_n^{\beta}(t)$ during the time interval [k/n; (k+1)/n] is given by independent Brownian motions if $\epsilon_k^n = 0$ and by Dyson Brownian motions if $\epsilon_k^n = 1$.

The eigenvectors of $M_n^{\beta}(t)$ do not evolve on intervals [k/n; (k+1)/n] such that $\epsilon_k^n = 0$ and evolve with the classical diffusion of the eigenvectors of Dyson Brownian motions if $\epsilon_k^n = 1$ (see [2] for a review on Dyson Brownian motion).

Our main theorems describe the asymptotic properties of the ordered eigenvalues of the matrix $M_n^{\beta}(t)$ denoted in the following as

$$(\lambda_1^n(t) \leqslant \lambda_2^n(t) \leqslant \dots \leqslant \lambda_d^n(t)) \tag{2.3}$$

and also those of the matrix $O_n^{\beta}(t)$ defined above, as n goes to infinity.

Let $(b_t^i)_{t \ge 0}$, $i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ be a family of independent Brownian motions on \mathbb{R} . Recall that Cépa and Lépingle showed in [4] the uniqueness and existence of the strong solution to the stochastic differential system

$$d\lambda_i(t) = -\gamma\lambda_i(t)dt + \sqrt{2}db_t^i + \beta p \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{\lambda_i(t) - \lambda_j(t)}dt$$
(2.4)

starting from $\lambda(0) = (\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_d)$ and such that for all $t \ge 0$

$$\lambda_1(t) \le \lambda_2(t) \le \dots \le \lambda_d(t) \quad a.s. \tag{2.5}$$

For the scaling limit of the *ordered* eigenvalues, we shall prove that

Theorem 2.2. Let M_0^{β} be a symmetric (resp. Hermitian) matrix if $\beta = 1$ (resp. $\beta = 2$) with distinct eigenvalues $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \cdots < \lambda_d$ and $(M_n^{\beta}(t))_{t \ge 0}$ be the matrix process defined in Definition 2.1. Let $\lambda_1^n(t) \le \cdots \le \lambda_d^n(t)$ be the ordered eigenvalues of the matrix $M_n^{\beta}(t)$. Let also $(\lambda_1(t), \dots, \lambda_d(t))_{t \ge 0}$ be the unique strong solution of (2.4) with initial conditions in t = 0 given by $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_d)$.

Then, for any $T < \infty$, the process $(\lambda_1^n(t), \ldots, \lambda_d^n(t))_{t \in [0,T]}$ converges in law as n goes to infinity towards the process $(\lambda_1(t), \ldots, \lambda_d(t))_{t \in [0,T]}$ in the space of continuous functions $\mathcal{C}([0,T], \mathbb{R}^d)$ embedded with the uniform topology.

In the case where $\beta p \ge 1$, the eigenvalues almost never collide and we will see (see section 6.1) in this case that it is easy to construct a coupling of λ and λ^n so that λ^n almost surely converges towards λ .

We shall also describe the scaling limit of the matrix $O_n^{\beta}(t)$ (the columns of $O_n^{\beta}(t)$ are the eigenvectors of $M_n^{\beta}(t)$) when *n* tends to infinity, at least until the first collision time for the eigenvalues, i.e. until the time T_1 defined as $T_1 := \inf\{t \ge 0 : \exists i \in \{2, \ldots, d\}, \lambda_i(t) = \lambda_{i-1}(t)\}.$

Let $w_{ij}^{\beta}(t), 1 \leq i < j \leq d$ be a family of real or complex (whether $\beta = 1$ or 2) standard Brownian motions (i.e. $w_{ij}^{\beta}(t) = B_{ij}^{1}(t) + \sqrt{-1}(\beta - 1)B_{ij}^{2}(t)$ where the B_{ij}^{1}, B_{ij}^{2} are standard Brownian motions on \mathbb{R}), independent of the family of Brownian motions $(b_{t}^{i})_{t \geq 0}, i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$. For i < j, set in addition $w_{ji}^{\beta}(t) := \bar{w}_{ij}^{\beta}(t)$ and define the skew Hermitian matrix (i.e. such that $R^{\beta} = -(R^{\beta})^{*}$) by setting for $i \neq j$,

$$dR_{ij}^{\beta}(t) = \frac{dw_{ij}^{\beta}(t)}{\lambda_i(t) - \lambda_j(t)}, \quad R_{ij}^{\beta}(0) = 0.$$

Then, with $\lambda_i(t), 0 \leq t \leq T_1, i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ being the solution of (2.4) until its first collision time, there exists a unique strong solution $(O^{\beta}(t))_{0 \leq t \leq T_1}$ to the stochastic differential equation

$$dO^{\beta}(t) = \sqrt{p}O^{\beta}(t)dR^{\beta}(t) - \frac{p}{2}O^{\beta}(t)d\langle (R^{\beta})^{*}, R^{\beta}\rangle_{t}$$
(2.6)

This solution exists and is unique since it is a linear equation in O^{β} and R^{β} is a well defined martingale at least until time T_1 . It can be shown as in [2, Lemma 4.3.4] that $O^{\beta}(t)$ is indeed an orthogonal (resp. unitary if $\beta = 2$) matrix for all $t \in [0; T_1]$.

We mention at this point that the matrix $O_n^{\beta}(t)$ is not uniquely defined, even when we impose the diagonal matrix to have a non-decreasing diagonal $\lambda_1^n(t) \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_n(t)$. Indeed, the matrix $O_n^{\beta}(t)$ can be replaced, for example, by $-O_n^{\beta}(t)$ (other possible matrices exist). The following proposition overcomes this difficulty.

Define $T_n(1)$ to be the first collision time of the process $(\lambda_1^n(t), \ldots, \lambda_d^n(t))$.

Proposition 2.3. There exists a continuous process $(O_n^{\beta}(t))_{0 \leq t \leq T_1}$ in \mathcal{O}_d^{β} with a uniquely defined law and such that for each $t \in [0; T_n(1)]$, we have

$$O_n^{\beta}(t)\Delta_n^{\beta}(t)O_n^{\beta}(t)^* \stackrel{law}{=} M_n^{\beta}(t) \,,$$

where $\Delta_n^{\beta}(t)$ is the diagonal matrix of the ordered (as in (2.3)) eigenvalues of $M_n^{\beta}(t)$.

Proposition 2.3 is proved in Section 7. We are now ready to state our main result for the convergence in law of the matrix $O_n^{\beta}(t)$.

Theorem 2.4. Let η and T be positive real numbers. Then, conditionally on the sigmaalgebra generated by $(\lambda_1^n(s), \ldots, \lambda_d^n(s))$,

 $0 \leq s \leq T_1 \wedge T$, the matrix process $(O_n^{\beta}(t))_{0 \leq t \leq (T_1-\eta)\wedge T}$ introduced in Proposition 2.3 converges in law in the space of continuous functions $\mathcal{C}([0;T], \mathcal{O}_d^{\beta})$ towards the unique solution of the stochastic differential equation (2.6).

Theorem 2.4 gives a convergence result as n goes to infinity for the eigenvectors of the matrix process $(M_n^\beta(t))$ but only until the first collision time T_1 . If $p\beta \ge 1$, the result is complete as one can show (see [2] and section 6.1) that the process $(\lambda_1(t), \ldots, \lambda_d(t))$ is a non colliding process (i.e. almost surely $T_1 = \infty$). However, if $p\beta < 1$, it would be interesting to have a convergence on all compact sets [0; T] even after collisions occurred. Our next results describe the behavior of the columns of the matrix $O^\beta(t)$ denoted as $(\phi_1(t), \ldots, \phi_d(t))$ when $t \to T_1$ with $t < T_1$.

We first need to describe the behavior of the eigenvalues $(\lambda_1(t), \ldots, \lambda_d(t))$ in the left vicinity of T_1 .

Proposition 2.5. If $p\beta < 1$ then almost surely $T_1 < \infty$ and there exists a unique index $i^* \in \{2, \ldots, d\}$ such that $\lambda_{i^*}(T_1) = \lambda_{i^*-1}(T_1)$. While we have, for all $t \ge 0$ and almost surely,

$$\int_0^t \frac{ds}{(\lambda_{i^*} - \lambda_{i^*-1})(s)} < +\infty \,,$$

the following divergence occurs almost surely

$$\int_{0}^{T_{1}} \frac{ds}{(\lambda_{i^{*}} - \lambda_{i^{*}-1})^{2}(s)} = +\infty.$$
(2.7)

The first part of Proposition 2.5 is proved in subsections 3.1 and 3.2, the last statement is proved in 7. Hence equality (2.7) implies the existence of diverging integrals in the SDE (2.6). Because of this singularity, we will show

Proposition 2.6. Conditionally on $(\lambda_1(t), \ldots, \lambda_d(t)), 0 \leq t \leq T_1$, we have:

- 1. For all $j \neq i^*, i^* 1$, the eigenvector $\phi_j(t)$ for the eigenvalue $\lambda_j(t)$ converges almost surely to a vector denoted ϕ_j as t grows to T_1 . The family $\{\phi_j, j \neq i^*, i^* - 1\}$ is an orthonormal family of \mathbb{R}^d (respectively \mathbb{C}^d) if $\beta = 1$ (resp. $\beta = 2$). We denote by V the corresponding generated subspace and by W its two dimensional orthogonal complementary in \mathbb{R}^d (resp. \mathbb{C}^d).
- 2. The family $\{\phi_{i^*}(t), \phi_{i^*-1}(t)\}$ converges weakly to the uniform law on the orthonormal basis of W as t grows to T_1 .

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 3, we review and establish some new properties for the limiting eigenvalues process $(\lambda_1(t), \ldots, \lambda_d(t))$ defined in 2.4 that will be useful later in our proof of Theorems 2.2 and 2.4. We also introduce, in subsection 3.4, a process with fewer collisions that approximates the limiting eigenvalue process. In fact this gives a new construction of the limiting eigenvalues process already constructed in [4], perhaps simpler and more intuitive using only standard Itô's calculus. We give some useful estimates on the processes of eigenvalues and matrix entries of M_n^β in Section 4. In Section 5, we prove the almost sure convergence of the process $(\lambda_1^n, \ldots, \lambda_d^n)$ to the limiting eigenvalues process $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_d)$ until the first hitting time of two particles with a coupling argument. In Section 6, we finish the proof of Theorem 2.2 by approximating in the same way the process $(\lambda_1^n, \ldots, \lambda_d^n)$ with the same idea of separating the particles which collide by a distance $\delta > 0$. At this point, it suffices to apply that the result of Section 5 to show that the two approximating processes are close in the large *n* limit. In Section 7, we prove Theorem 2.4, the last statement of Proposition 2.5 and Propositions 2.3 and 2.6.

3 Properties of the limiting eigenvalues process

In this section we shall study the unique strong solution of (2.4) introduced by Cépa and Lépingle in [4]. We first derive some boundedness and smoothness properties. In view of proving the convergence of λ^n towards this process, and in particular to deal with possible collisions, we construct it for $p\beta < 1$ as the limit of a process which is defined similarly except when two particles hit, when we separate them by a (small) positive distance, see Definition 3.6.

3.1 Regularity properties of the limiting process

Lemma 3.1. Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_d)$. Then there exists a unique strong solution of (2.4). Moreover, it satisfies

• For all $T < \infty$, there exists $\alpha, M_0 > 0$ finite so that for $M \ge M_0$

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\max_{1\leq i\leq d}\sup_{0\leqslant t\leqslant T}|\lambda_i(t)|\geqslant M\right]\leqslant e^{-\alpha(M-M_0)^2}.$$
(3.1)

• For all $T < \infty$, all $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}, i \neq j$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T \frac{ds}{|\lambda_i(s) - \lambda_j(s)|}\right] < \infty.$$

Furthermore, there exists $\alpha, M_0 > 0$ finite so that for $M \ge M_0$ and $i \ne j$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\int_0^T \frac{ds}{|\lambda_i(s) - \lambda_j(s)|} \ge M\right] \le e^{-\alpha(M - M_0)^2}$$

Proof. The existence and unicity of the strong solution is [4, Proposition 3.2].

For the first point, we choose a twice continuously differentiable symmetric function ϕ , increasing on \mathbb{R}^+ , which approximates smoothly |x| in the neighborhood of the origin so that $\phi(0) = 0$, $x\phi'(x) \ge 0$, $|\phi'(x)| \le c$ and $|\phi''(x)| \le c$, whereas $|\phi(x)| \ge |x| \times |x| \wedge 1$ (take e.g $\phi(x) = x^2(1+x^2)^{-1/2}$) to obtain by Itô's Lemma

$$d(\phi(\lambda_i(t))) = -\gamma \lambda_i(t) \phi'(\lambda_i(t)) dt + \sqrt{2} \phi'(\lambda_i(t)) db_t^i + p\beta \sum_{j \neq i} \phi'(\lambda_i(t)) \frac{dt}{\lambda_i(t) - \lambda_j(t)} + \phi''(\lambda_i(t)) dt.$$

For all t, we have $\lambda_i(t)\phi'(\lambda_i(t)) \ge 0$, and also

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\phi'(\lambda_i(t))}{\lambda_i(t) - \lambda_j(t)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\phi'(\lambda_i(t)) - \phi'(\lambda_j(t))}{\lambda_i(t) - \lambda_j(t)} \leqslant \frac{d(d-1)}{2} \parallel \phi'' \parallel_{\infty} .$$

We deduce from the above arguments that there exists C > 0 such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d} \phi(\lambda_i(t)) \leqslant \sqrt{2} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \int_0^t \phi'(\lambda_i(s)) db_s^i + Ct + \sum_{i=1}^{d} \phi(\lambda_i) \,.$$

By usual martingales inequality, as ϕ' is uniformly bounded we know that, see e.g. [2, Corollary H.13],

$$P\left[\sup_{0 \le t \le T} |\sum_{i=1}^{d} \int_{0}^{t} \phi'(\lambda_{i}(t)) db_{i}(t)| \ge M\right] \leqslant \exp(-\frac{M^{2}}{2cT})$$

and therefore using the fact that $|\phi(x)| \ge |x| \times |x| \wedge 1$, we deduce the first point with $M_0 = |\sum_{i=1}^d \phi(\lambda_i)| + CT$ and $\alpha = 1/2CT$.

For the second point, we first remark as in the proof of [4, Lemma 3.5] that for all i < d

$$p\beta \int_0^T \frac{dt}{|\lambda_d(t) - \lambda_i(t)|} \leq p\beta \sum_{j < d} \int_0^T \frac{dt}{|\lambda_d(t) - \lambda_j(t)|}$$
$$= p\beta \sum_{j < d} \int_0^T \frac{dt}{\lambda_d(t) - \lambda_j(t)}$$
$$= \lambda_d(T) - \lambda_d(0) - \sqrt{2}b_T^d + \gamma \int_0^T \lambda_d(t)dt$$

so that the first point gives the claim fo j = d. We then continue recursively.

3.2 Estimates on collisions

To obtain regularity estimates on the process λ , we need to control the probability that more than two particles are close together. We shall prove, building on an idea from Cépa and Lépingle [5], that

Lemma 3.2. For $r \geq 3$ and $I \subset \{1, \ldots, d\}$ with |I| = r, set

$$S_t^I = \sum_{i,j \in I} (\lambda_i(t) - \lambda_j(t))^2 \,.$$

We let, for $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\tau_{\varepsilon}^r := \inf\{t \ge 0 : \min_{|I|=r} S_t^I \le \varepsilon\}$$

Then, for any T > 0 and $\eta > 0$, for any $r \ge 3$ there exists $\varepsilon_r > 0$ which only depends on $\{S_0^I, |I| \ge 3\}$ so that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\tau_{\varepsilon_r}^r \le T\right) \le \eta \,.$$

Proof. The proof is done by induction over r and we start with the case r = d, $I = \{1, \ldots, d\}$. Then, S verifies the following SDE (see e.g. [5, Theorem 1]):

$$dS_t = -2\gamma S_t dt + 4\sqrt{d}\sqrt{S_t} d\beta_t + adt$$

where β_t is a standard brownian motion and $a = 2d(d-1)(2+p\beta d)$. The square root of $\rho_t := \sqrt{S_t}$ verifies the SDE

$$d\rho_t = -\gamma \rho_t dt + 2\sqrt{d} \, d\beta_t + (\frac{a}{2} - 2d) \frac{dt}{\rho_t}$$

In particular, one can check that, if $\alpha = 2 - \frac{a}{4d} = 2 - (d-1)(1 + p\beta d/2)$

$$d\rho_t^{\alpha} = -\alpha \gamma \rho_t^{\alpha} dt + 2\sqrt{d} \, \alpha \rho_t^{\alpha-1} d\beta_t.$$

Thus, as $\alpha < 0$ for $d \ge 3$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $\rho_{t \wedge \tau_{\varepsilon}^d}^{\alpha - 1}$ is bounded so that $\int_0^{\cdot} \rho_{s \wedge \tau_{\varepsilon}^d}^{\alpha - 1} d\beta_s$ is a martingale and therefore

$$\mathbb{E}[\rho_{T \wedge \tau_{\varepsilon}^{d}}^{\alpha}] \leq \rho_{0}^{\alpha} - \alpha \gamma \int_{0}^{T} \mathbb{E}[\rho_{t \wedge \tau_{\varepsilon}^{d}}^{\alpha}] dt$$

By Gronwall's lemma, since $\sup_t \mathbb{E}[\rho_{t\wedge\tau_\epsilon^d}^\alpha]$ is finite, we deduce that

$$\mathbb{E}[\rho_{T\wedge\tau_{\varepsilon}^{d}}^{\alpha}] \leq \rho_{0}^{\alpha}(1-\frac{1}{\alpha\gamma})e^{-\alpha\gamma T} + \frac{\rho_{0}^{\alpha}}{\alpha\gamma}.$$

As a consequence, since $\alpha < 0$, we have

$$\varepsilon^{\alpha/2} \mathbb{P}(\tau_{\varepsilon}^{d} \leq T) \leqslant \mathbb{E}[S_{T \wedge \tau_{\varepsilon}^{d}}^{\alpha/2}] = \mathbb{E}[\rho_{T \wedge \tau_{\varepsilon}^{d}}^{\alpha}] \leqslant \rho_{0}^{\alpha}(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha\gamma})e^{-\alpha\gamma T} + \frac{\rho_{0}^{\alpha}}{\alpha\gamma}.$$

We can take ε small enough to obtain the claim for r = d.

We next assume that we have proved the claim for $u \ge r+1$ and choose ε_{r+1} so that the probability that the hitting time is smaller than T is smaller than $\eta/2$. We can choose Ito be connected without loss of generality as the λ^i are ordered. We let $R = \min\{\tau_{\varepsilon}^I, \tau_{\varepsilon_{r+1}}^{r+1}\}$ when τ_{ε}^I is the first time where S^I reaches ε . Again following [5], we have

$$\log S_{T \wedge R}^{I} = \log S_{0}^{I} - 2\gamma T + 4\sqrt{2} \sum_{k,j \in I} \int_{0}^{T \wedge R} \frac{\lambda_{j}(t) - \lambda_{k}(t)}{S_{t}^{I}} db_{t}^{j} + 2\beta p \sum_{j,k \in I} \sum_{l \notin I} \int_{0}^{T \wedge R} \frac{\lambda_{j}(t) - \lambda_{k}(t)}{S_{t}^{I}} [\frac{1}{\lambda_{j}(t) - \lambda_{l}(t)} - \frac{1}{\lambda_{k}(t) - \lambda_{l}(t)}] dt + 4r[(r-1)(\frac{p\beta}{2}r+1) - 2] \int_{0}^{T \wedge R} \frac{dt}{S_{t}^{I}}$$
(3.2)

Note that $M_t = 4\sqrt{2}\sum_{k,j\in I} \int_0^{t\wedge R} \frac{\lambda_j(s)-\lambda_k(s)}{S_s^I} db_s^j$ is a martingale with bracket $A_t = 16r \int_0^{t\wedge R} \frac{ds}{S_s^I}$. For $r \ge 3$, $4r[(r-1)(rp\beta/2+1)-2] \ge 2p\beta > 0$ and therefore we deduce

$$\mathbb{E}[\log S_{T \wedge R}^{I}] \ge \log S_{0}^{I} - 2\gamma T + 2\beta p \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{T \wedge R} \frac{dt}{S_{t}^{I}}\right] \\ + \mathbb{E}\left[2\beta p \sum_{j,k \in I} \sum_{l \notin I} \int_{0}^{T \wedge R} \frac{\lambda_{j}(t) - \lambda_{k}(t)}{S_{t}^{I}} \left[\frac{1}{\lambda_{j}(t) - \lambda_{l}(t)} - \frac{1}{\lambda_{k}(t) - \lambda_{l}(t)}\right] dt\right]$$

For $j, k \in I$, we cut the last integral over times

$$\Omega_{j,k} = \{ t \le T \land R : \sum_{l \notin I} \frac{1}{\lambda_j(t) - \lambda_l(t)} \frac{1}{\lambda_k(t) - \lambda_l(t)} \le \frac{1}{S_t^I} \}$$

so that

$$-\sum_{j,k\in I}\int_{\Omega_{j,k}}\frac{(\lambda_j(t)-\lambda_k(t))^2}{S_t^I}\sum_{l\notin I}[\frac{1}{(\lambda_j(t)-\lambda_l(t))(\lambda_k(t)-\lambda_l(t))}]dt \ge -\int_0^{T\wedge R}\frac{dt}{S_t^I}$$

This term will therefore be compensated by the third term in (3.2). For the remaining term, if $l \notin I$ is such that $\min_{i \in I} |\lambda_l - \lambda_i| \leq \min_{i \in I} |\lambda_k - \lambda_i|$ for all $k \notin I$ then if $t \in \Omega_{j,k}^c$ and $i^* \in I$ is so that $\min_{i \in I} |\lambda_l - \lambda_i| = |\lambda_l - \lambda_{i^*}|$, we get

$$\frac{d-r}{(\lambda_l(t) - \lambda_{i^*}(t))^2} \ge \frac{1}{S_t^I}$$

and therefore on $\tau_{\varepsilon_{r+1}}^{r+1} \ge t$,

$$\varepsilon_{r+1} \le S_t^I + \sum_{j \in I} (\lambda_j(t) - \lambda_l(t))^2 \le S_t^I + 2r(\lambda_{i*}(t) - \lambda_l(t))^2 + 2S_t^I \le (3 + 2r(d - r))S_t^I.$$

As a consequence, we have the bound for all $j, k \in I$, all $t \in \Omega_{j,k}^c$, $t \leq R$,

$$\frac{\lambda_j(t) - \lambda_k(t)}{S_t^I} \ge -1/\sqrt{S_t^I} \ge -\sqrt{3 + 2r(d-r)}/\sqrt{\varepsilon_{r+1}}$$

which entails the existence of a finite constant c so that

$$\begin{split} \sum_{j,k\in I} \sum_{l\notin I} \int_{\Omega_{j,k}^c} \frac{\lambda_j(t) - \lambda_k(t)}{S_t^I} [\frac{1}{\lambda_j(t) - \lambda_l(t)} - \frac{1}{\lambda_k(t) - \lambda_l(t)}] dt \\ \geqslant - \frac{c}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_{r+1}}} \sum_{i\in I} \sum_{l\notin I} \int_0^T \frac{dt}{|\lambda_i(t) - \lambda_l(t)|} . \end{split}$$

Using Lemma 3.1 we hence conclude that there exists a universal finite constant c' depending only on T so that

$$\mathbb{E}[\log S_{T \wedge R}^{I}] \ge \log S_{0}^{I} - 2\gamma T - \frac{c'}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_{r+1}}}.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\log S^{I}_{T \wedge R}] \leqslant \mathbb{P}(\tau^{I}_{\varepsilon} \leq T) \log(\varepsilon) + \mathbb{E}[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \log S^{I}_{t}]$$

where the last term is bounded above by (3.1). We deduce that

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau_{\varepsilon}^{I} \leq T) \leq \frac{|\log S_{0}^{I}|}{|\log(\varepsilon)|} + \frac{c''}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_{r+1}}|\log(\varepsilon)|} + \frac{c}{|\log(\varepsilon)|} + \frac{2\gamma T}{|\log(\varepsilon)|}.$$

We finally choose ε small enough so that the right hand side is smaller than $\eta/2$ to conclude.

We next show that not only collisions of three particles are rare but also two collisions of different particles rarely happen around the same time. **Lemma 3.3.** For all i, j such that i + 1 < j, set

$$\tau_{\varepsilon'}^{ij} = \inf\{t \ge 0 : (\lambda_i(t) - \lambda_{i-1}(t))^2 + (\lambda_j(t) - \lambda_{j-1}(t))^2 \le \varepsilon'\}.$$

Then, for any T > 0 and $\eta > 0$, there exists ε' such that

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\tau_{\varepsilon'}^{ij}\leqslant T\right]\leqslant\eta.$$

Proof. Using Itô's formula, it is easy to see that

$$d\left((\lambda_i - \lambda_{i-1})^2 + (\lambda_j - \lambda_{j-1})^2\right) = 8(1 + p\beta)dt$$

- $2\gamma\left[(\lambda_i - \lambda_{i-1})^2 + (\lambda_j - \lambda_{j-1})^2\right]dt$
+ $2\sqrt{2}\left[(\lambda_i - \lambda_{i-1})(db_t^i - db_t^{i-1}) + (\lambda_j - \lambda_{j-1})(db_t^j - db_t^{j-1})\right]$
- $2p\beta\left[\sum_{k \neq i-1, i} \frac{(\lambda_i - \lambda_{i-1})^2}{(\lambda_i - \lambda_k)(\lambda_{i-1} - \lambda_k)} + \sum_{k \neq j-1, j} \frac{(\lambda_j - \lambda_{j-1})^2}{(\lambda_j - \lambda_k)(\lambda_{j-1} - \lambda_k)}\right]dt.$

Set $X_t := (\lambda_i(t) - \lambda_{i-1}(t))^2 + (\lambda_j(t) - \lambda_{j-1}(t))^2$ and note that the quadratic variation of

$$\int_{0}^{t} \frac{(\lambda_{i} - \lambda_{i-1})(db_{s}^{i} - db_{s}^{i-1}) + (\lambda_{j} - \lambda_{j-1})(db_{s}^{j} - db_{s}^{j-1})}{\sqrt{X_{s}}}$$

is 2t. Thus there exists a standard Brownian motion B so that

$$dX_t = 8(1+p\beta)dt - 2\gamma X_t dt + 4\sqrt{X_t} dB_t$$
$$- 2p\beta \left[\sum_{k \neq i-1, i} \frac{(\lambda_i - \lambda_{i-1})^2}{(\lambda_i - \lambda_k)(\lambda_{i-1} - \lambda_k)} + \sum_{k \neq j-1, j} \frac{(\lambda_j - \lambda_{j-1})^2}{(\lambda_j - \lambda_k)(\lambda_{j-1} - \lambda_k)} \right] dt.$$

Note that, by the previous Lemma 3.2, we can choose ε such that

$$\mathbb{P}[\tau_{\varepsilon}^3 < T] \leqslant \frac{\eta}{2}.$$
(3.3)

Moreover, for all $t \leq \tau_{\varepsilon}^3$ such that $X_t \leq \varepsilon/4$, we have for all $k \neq i-1, i$,

$$(\lambda_i - \lambda_k)(\lambda_{i-1} - \lambda_k)(t) \ge \frac{\varepsilon}{8}.$$

The same property holds for j. To finish the proof, we will use the fact that the sum in the last term is bounded for all $t \leq \tau_{\varepsilon}^3$ such that $X_t \leq \varepsilon/4$. We thus need to introduce the process Y_t defined by $Y_t = \min(X_t, \frac{\varepsilon}{4})$. Let us set $f(x) := \min(x, \varepsilon/4)^{-p\beta}$. Note that f is a convex function $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ and that the left-hand derivative of f is given by

$$f'_{-}(x) = -p\beta x^{-p\beta-1} \mathbf{1}_{\{x \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\}}$$

Its second derivative in the sense of distributions is the positive measure

$$f''(dx) = p\beta\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{4}\right)^{-p\beta-1}\delta_{\frac{\varepsilon}{4}} + \frac{p\beta(p\beta+1)}{x^{p\beta+2}}1_{\{x \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\}}\,dx$$

Thus, by Itô-Tanaka formula, see e.g. [8, Theorem 6.22], we have

$$Y_t^{-p\beta} = Y_0^{-p\beta} - p\beta \int_0^t X_s^{-p\beta-1} 1_{\{X_s \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\}} dX_s + \frac{1}{2} \left(p\beta \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{4}\right)^{-p\beta-1} L_t^{\frac{\varepsilon}{4}}(X) + \int_0^{\frac{\varepsilon}{4}} \frac{p\beta(p\beta+1)}{x^{p\beta+2}} L_t^x(X) dx \right) ,$$

where $L_t^x(X)$ is the local time of X in x. By definition we have

$$\int_0^{\frac{\varepsilon}{4}} \frac{p\beta(p\beta+1)}{x^{p\beta+2}} L_t^x(X) dx = \int_0^t \frac{p\beta(p\beta+1)}{X_s^{p\beta+2}} \mathbb{1}_{\{X_s \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\}} d\langle X, X \rangle_s,$$

and thus, we obtain

$$Y_{t}^{-p\beta} = Y_{0}^{-p\beta} + \int_{0}^{t} 1_{\{X_{s} \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\}} \left(p\beta\gamma Y_{s}^{-p\beta}dt + 4Y_{s}^{-p\beta-\frac{1}{2}}dB_{s} \right)$$

$$+ 2p^{2}\beta^{2} \int_{0}^{t} Y_{s}^{-p\beta-1} \left[\sum_{k\neq i-1,i} \frac{((\lambda_{i} - \lambda_{i-1})(s))^{2}}{((\lambda_{i} - \lambda_{k})(s))((\lambda_{i-1} - \lambda_{k})(s))} + \sum_{k\neq j-1,j} \frac{((\lambda_{j} - \lambda_{j-1})(s))^{2}}{((\lambda_{j} - \lambda_{k})(s))((\lambda_{j-1} - \lambda_{k})(s))} \right] 1_{X_{s} \le \varepsilon/4} ds + \frac{1}{2}p\beta \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{4}\right)^{-p\beta-1} L_{t}^{\frac{\varepsilon}{4}}(X) .$$
(3.4)

The definition of local time implies that, almost surely, $L_t^x(X) \leq t$. We thus deduce from (3.4) that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[Y_{T\wedge\tau_{\varepsilon'}^{ij}\wedge\tau_{\varepsilon}^{3}}^{-p\beta}\right] \leqslant Y_{0}^{-p\beta} + \frac{1}{2}p\beta\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{4}\right)^{-p\beta-1}T + C\int_{0}^{T}\mathbb{E}\left[Y_{t\wedge\tau_{\varepsilon'}^{ij}}^{-p\beta}\right]dt\,.$$

with $C = (p\beta\gamma + 4p^2\beta^2(d-1)\frac{8}{\varepsilon})$. Gronwall's Lemma implies that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[Y_{T\wedge\tau_{\varepsilon'}^{ij}\wedge\tau_{\varepsilon}^{3}}^{-p\beta}\right] \leqslant \left(Y_{0}^{-p\beta} + \frac{1}{2}p\beta\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{4}\right)^{-p\beta-1}T\right)\exp(CT).$$
(3.5)

If $\varepsilon' < \varepsilon/4$, equation (3.5) implies that

$$(\varepsilon')^{-p\beta} \mathbb{P}\left[\tau_{\varepsilon'}^{ij} \leqslant T \wedge \tau_{\varepsilon}^{3}\right] \leqslant Y_{0}^{-p\beta} \exp(CT),$$
(3.6)

Taking ε' small enough gives the result with (3.3).

As a direct consequence, we deduce the uniqueness of the i^* of Proposition 2.5.

Lemma 3.4. With the same notations as in the previous Lemma 3.3, we have almost surely

$$\inf_{(k,\ell):k+1<\ell}\tau_0^{k\ell}=+\infty.$$

In particular, this gives the unicity of the i^* in Proposition 2.5.

Proof. It is enough to write that for all $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\inf_{k+1<\ell}\tau_0^{k\ell}\leq T\right)\leq d^2\{\max_{k+1<\ell}\mathbb{P}\left(\tau_0^{k\ell}\leq T\wedge\tau_{\varepsilon}^3\right)+\mathbb{P}\left(\tau_{\varepsilon}^3\leq T\right)\}$$

and deduce from Lemmas 3.3 and 3.2 that the right hand side is as small as wished when ε goes to zero.

3.3 Smoothness properties of the limiting process

Lemma 3.5. We have the following smoothness properties:

• For all $T < \infty$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists C, c', c finite positive constants so that for all δ, η positive real numbers so that $\eta \leq c'(\varepsilon^2 \wedge \delta \varepsilon)$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\max_{\substack{1 \le i \le d \\ 0 \le t \le T}} \sup_{\substack{s \le t \le (s+\eta) \land \tau_{\varepsilon}^{2} \\ 0 \le t \le T}} |\lambda_{i}(s) - \lambda_{i}(t)| \ge \delta\right] \le \frac{C}{\eta} \left(e^{-c\delta^{4}/2\eta} + e^{-c\varepsilon^{4}/\eta}\right).$$

• For all $T < \infty$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists C, c', c finite positive constants so that for all δ, η positive real numbers so that $\eta \le c'(\varepsilon^2 \land \delta \varepsilon)$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\max_{\substack{i\neq j \\ 0 \leqslant t \leqslant T}} \sup_{s \le t \le (s+\eta) \land \tau_{\varepsilon}^{3}} \int_{s}^{t} \frac{du}{|\lambda_{i}(u) - \lambda_{j}(u)|} \ge \delta\right] \le \frac{C}{\eta} \left(e^{-c\delta^{4}/2\eta} + e^{-c\varepsilon^{4}/\eta}\right).$$

Proof. Let us first fix $s \in [0, T]$ and set $I = \{i \in \{2, ..., d\} : |\lambda_i(s) - \lambda_{i-1}(s)| \leq \varepsilon/3\}$ and note that on the event $\{s \leq \tau_{\varepsilon}^3\}$, the connected subsets of I contain at most one element. Let $T_{\varepsilon} = \inf\{t \geq s : \inf_{i \notin I} |\lambda_i(t) - \lambda_{i-1}(t)| \leq \varepsilon/4\}$. The continuity of the λ_i implies that T_{ε} is almost surely strictly positive.

If $i \notin I \cup \{I - 1\}$, then we have, for $t \in [s; (s + \eta) \land \tau_{\varepsilon}^3 \land T_{\varepsilon}]$

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda_i(t) - \lambda_i(s)| &\leq \gamma \int_s^t |\lambda_i(u)| du + \sqrt{2} |b_t^i - b_s^i| + p\beta \int_s^t \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{du}{|\lambda_i(u) - \lambda_j(u)|} \\ &\leq \gamma \int_s^t |\lambda_i(u)| du + \sqrt{2} |b_t^i - b_s^i| + 4p\beta(d-1) \frac{t-s}{\varepsilon} \,. \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.1) and [2, Corollary H.13], it is easy to deduce that there exists a constant c > 0 such that for $\eta < \varepsilon \delta/(8p\beta(d-1))$

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\max_{\substack{i\notin I\cup\{I-1\}}}\sup_{t\in[s;(s+\eta)\wedge\tau_{\varepsilon}^{3}\wedge T_{\varepsilon}]}|\lambda_{i}(t)-\lambda_{i}(s)| \ge \delta\right] \leqslant cde^{-\frac{\delta^{2}}{2\eta}}.$$
(3.7)

Now, if $i \in I$, with the same argument as for (3.7) (the drift term in the SDE satisfied by $\lambda_i + \lambda_{i-1}$ is also bounded), we can show that there exists a constant c > 0 such that

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\sup_{t\in[s;(s+\eta)\wedge\tau_{\varepsilon}^{3}\wedge T_{\varepsilon}]}|(\lambda_{i}+\lambda_{i-1})(t)-(\lambda_{i}+\lambda_{i-1})(s)| \ge \delta\right] \leqslant ce^{-c\frac{\delta^{2}}{2\eta}}.$$
(3.8)

On the other hand, the process $x_i(t) := (\lambda_i - \lambda_{i-1})(t)$ verifies

$$dx_{i}^{2}(t) = 4(1+p\beta)dt - \gamma x_{i}^{2}(t)dt + 2x_{i}(t)(db_{t}^{i} - db_{t}^{i-1}) - 2p\beta \sum_{k\neq j-1,j} \frac{(\lambda_{i}(t) - \lambda_{i-1}(t))^{2}}{(\lambda_{i}(t) - \lambda_{k}(t))(\lambda_{i-1}(t) - \lambda_{k}(t))}dt.$$

The denominator in the last term of the above r.h.s is bounded below on the interval $t \in [s; (s + \eta) \wedge \tau_{\varepsilon}^3 \wedge T_{\varepsilon}]$ by $2p\beta(d-2)\frac{1}{\varepsilon}$. Thus, using again (3.1) and [2, Corollary H.13], we can show that for $\delta > c\eta/\varepsilon$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\sup_{t\in[s;(s+\eta)\wedge\tau_{\varepsilon}^{3}\wedge T_{\varepsilon}]}|x_{i}(t)-x_{i}(s)| \geq \sqrt{\delta}\right] \leq \mathbb{P}\left[\sup_{t\in[s;(s+\eta)\wedge\tau_{\varepsilon}^{3}\wedge T_{\varepsilon}]}|x_{i}^{2}(t)-x_{i}^{2}(s)| \geq \delta\right] \leqslant ce^{-c\frac{\delta^{2}}{2\eta}}$$
(3.9)

where the first inequality is due to the fact that x_i is non-negative. Using (3.8) and (3.9) gives for $\eta < \delta \varepsilon / c$

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\max_{i\in I\cup\{I-1\}}\sup_{t\in[s;(s+\eta)\wedge\tau_{\varepsilon}^{3}\wedge T_{\varepsilon}]}|\lambda_{i}(t)-\lambda_{i}(s)| \ge \delta\right] \le 2cde^{-c\frac{\delta^{4}}{2\eta}}.$$

Thus, with (3.7), we deduce that for $\eta < \delta \varepsilon / c$

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\max_{i} \sup_{t \in [s;(s+\eta) \land \tau_{\varepsilon}^{3} \land T_{\varepsilon}]} |\lambda_{i}(t) - \lambda_{i}(s)| \ge \delta\right] \le 2cde^{-c\frac{\delta^{4}}{2\eta}}$$

In particular, there exists c' > 0 so that if $\varepsilon^2 > c\eta$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[T_{\varepsilon} < (s+\eta) \wedge \tau_{\varepsilon}^{3}\right] \leq \mathbb{P}\left[\max_{i} \sup_{s \leq t \leq (s+\eta) \wedge T_{\varepsilon} \wedge \tau_{\varepsilon}^{3}} |\lambda_{i}(t) - \lambda_{i}(s)| \geq 5\varepsilon/12\right] \leq \frac{4cdT}{\eta} e^{-c'\varepsilon^{4}/2\eta},$$

which is as small as wished provided η is chosen small enough. This allows to remove the stopping time and get for any fixed s < T, and $\delta > c\eta/\varepsilon$

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\max_{i} \sup_{s \le t \le (s+\eta) \land \tau_{\varepsilon}^{3}} |\lambda_{i}(t) - \lambda_{i}(s)| \ge \delta\right] \le 2cde^{-c\delta^{4}/2\eta} + 2dce^{-c'\varepsilon^{4}/2\eta}.$$

The uniform estimate on s is obtained as usual by taking s in a grid with mesh $\eta/2$ up to divise δ by two and to multiply the probability by $2T/\eta$. Thus we find constant c, c', and C so that if $\eta \leq c(\varepsilon^2 \wedge \delta \varepsilon)$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\max_{\substack{i \\ s \leq t \leq (s+\eta) \wedge \tau_{\varepsilon}^{3} \\ 0 \leq s, t \leq T}} \sup_{|\lambda_{i}(t) - \lambda_{i}(s)| \geq \delta}\right] \leq \frac{CT}{\eta} \left(e^{-c\delta^{4}/2\eta} + e^{-c'\varepsilon^{4}/\eta}\right).$$

The second control is a direct consequence of the first as we can first consider the cas j = d to deduce that for i < d

$$\left|\int_{s}^{t} \frac{du}{\lambda_{d}(u) - \lambda_{i}(u)}\right| \leq \left|\lambda_{d}(t) - \lambda_{d}(s)\right| + \sqrt{2}\left|b_{d}(t) - b_{d}(s)\right|$$

where the right hand side is continuous. We then consider recursively the other indices. \Box

3.4 Approximation by less colliding processes

When $p\beta \ge 1$, it is well known [2, Lemma 4.3.3] that the process λ has almost surely no collision. In this case, the singularity of the drift which defines the SDE is not really important as it is almost always avoided. In the case $p\beta < 1$, we know that collisions occur and in fact can occur as much as for a Bessel process with small parameter. The singularity of the drift becomes important, in particular when we will show the convergence in law of the process of the eigenvalues λ^n towards λ . To this end, we show that λ can be approximated by a process which does not spend too much time in collisions.

For $\delta > 0$, we define a new process $(\lambda_i^{\delta}(t))_{t \ge 0}$ as follows.

Definition 3.6. Let $T_1 := \inf\{t \ge 0 : \exists i \ne j, \lambda_i(t) = \lambda_j(t)\}$ and for all $t < T_1$, set $\lambda_i^{\delta}(t) := \lambda_i(t)$. For $t > T_1$, we define the process recursively by setting for all $\ell \ge 2, \lambda_i^{\delta}(T_{\ell}^{\delta}) := \lambda_i^{\delta}(T_{\ell}^{\delta}) + i\delta$ and for $t > T_{\ell}^{\delta}$, the process $\lambda_i^{\delta}(t)$ is defined up to time $T_{\ell+1}^{\delta} := \inf\{t > T_{\ell}^{\delta} : \exists i \ne j, \lambda_i^{\delta}(t) = \lambda_j^{\delta}(t)\}$ as the unique strong solution of the system

$$d\lambda_i^{\delta}(t) = -\gamma \lambda_i^{\delta}(t)dt + \sqrt{2}db_t^i + p\beta \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{dt}{\lambda_i^{\delta}(t) - \lambda_j^{\delta}(t)} \,. \tag{3.10}$$

The main result of this section is that

Theorem 3.7. Construct the process λ with the same Brownian motion b. Then, for any time T > 0, any $\xi \in (0, p\beta/4)$

$$\lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0 \le t \le T} \max_{1 \le i \le d} |\lambda_i(t) - \lambda_i^{\delta}(t)| \le \delta^{\xi} \right) = 1$$

The theorem is a direct consequence of the following lemma and proposition.

Lemma 3.8. Let $\delta > 0$. Construct the process λ with the same Brownian motion b than λ^{δ} . There exists a constant c > 0 such that, almost surely, for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\max_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant d} \sup_{0 \leqslant t \leqslant T_{\ell}^{\delta}} |\lambda_i^{\delta}(t) - \lambda_i(t)| \leqslant c\delta\ell.$$

To finish the proof it is enough to show that T_{ℓ}^{δ} goes to infinity for $\ell \ll 1/\delta$. This is the content of the next proposition.

Proposition 3.9. Let $T < \infty$, $0 < \xi < p\beta/4$ and $L = [1/\delta^{1-\xi}]$. Then the probability $\mathbb{P}[T_L^{\delta} \leq T]$ vanishes when δ goes to zero.

Proof of Lemma 3.8. We proceed by induction over ℓ to show that, for each ℓ ,

$$\sup_{0 \leqslant t \leqslant T_{\ell}^{\delta}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{d} (\lambda_i^{\delta} - \lambda_i)^2(t) \right)^{1/2} \leqslant c \delta \ell$$

with $c = (\sum_{i=1}^{d} i^2 = d(d+1)(2d+1)/6)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

• We treat the case $\ell = 1$. By definition of the processes, $\lambda^{\delta} = \lambda$ on $[0, T_1^{\delta})$. At time $t = T_1^{\delta}$, the separation procedure implies that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d} (\lambda_i^{\delta} - \lambda_i)^2 (T_1^{\delta}) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} ((\lambda_i^{\delta} - \lambda_i)(T_1^{\delta} -) + i\delta)^2 = c^2 \delta^2.$$

The property is true for $\ell = 1$.

• Suppose it is true for ℓ . For $t \in [T_{\ell}^{\delta}, T_{\ell+1}^{\delta})$, since λ^{δ} and λ are driven by the same Brownian motion, we get

$$d\sum_{i=1}^{d} (\lambda_i^{\delta}(t) - \lambda_i(t))^2 = -2\gamma \sum_{i=1}^{d} (\lambda_i^{\delta}(t) - \lambda_i(t))^2 dt + 2p\beta \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j \neq i} (\lambda_i^{\delta}(t) - \lambda_i(t)) \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{\delta}(t) - \lambda_j^{\delta}(t)} - \frac{1}{\lambda_i(t) - \lambda_j(t)} \right) dt.$$

Observe that

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j \neq i} (\lambda_{i}^{\delta}(t) - \lambda_{i}(t)) \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{i}^{\delta}(t) - \lambda_{j}^{\delta}(t)} - \frac{1}{\lambda_{i}(t) - \lambda_{j}(t)} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j \neq i} (\lambda_{i}^{\delta}(t) - \lambda_{j}^{\delta}(t) - (\lambda_{i}(t) - \lambda_{j}(t))) \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{i}^{\delta}(t) - \lambda_{j}^{\delta}(t)} - \frac{1}{\lambda_{i}(t) - \lambda_{j}(t)} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j \neq i} \left(\lambda_{i}^{\delta}(t) - \lambda_{j}^{\delta}(t) - (\lambda_{i}(t) - \lambda_{j}(t)) \right)^{2} \frac{1}{(\lambda_{i}^{\delta}(t) - \lambda_{j}^{\delta}(t))(\lambda_{i}(t) - \lambda_{j}(t))} \\ &\leqslant 0 \end{split}$$
(3.11)

as the $(\lambda_i)_{1 \leq i \leq d}$ and the $(\lambda_i^{\delta})_{1 \leq i \leq d}$ are ordered. Thus,

$$\sup_{t\in[T^{\delta}_{\ell},T^{\delta}_{\ell+1})}\sum_{i=1}^{d}(\lambda^{\delta}_{i}(t)-\lambda_{i}(t))^{2} \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{d}(\lambda^{\delta}_{i}(T^{\delta}_{\ell})-\lambda_{i}(T^{\delta}_{\ell}))^{2}.$$
(3.12)

In addition, because of the separation procedure at time $T^{\delta}_{\ell+1}$, we have

$$\begin{split} &\left(\sum_{i=1}^d (\lambda_i^{\delta} - \lambda_i)^2 (T_{\ell+1}^{\delta})\right)^{1/2} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \left((\lambda_i^{\delta} - \lambda_i) (T_{\ell+1}^{\delta} -) + i\delta \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leqslant \left(\sum_{i=1}^d (\lambda_i^{\delta} - \lambda_i)^2 (T_{\ell+1}^{\delta} -) \right)^{1/2} + \delta c \leqslant \delta(\ell+1)c \,, \end{split}$$

where we used the induction hypothesis in the last line. The proof is thus complete.

Proof of Proposition 3.9. In the case $p\beta \ge 1$, it is well known [2, p. 252] that T_1 is almost surely infinite and therefore the proposition is trivial. We hence restrict ourselves

to $p\beta \leq 1$. Let $\eta > 0$. Let us define the stopping times

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\varepsilon}^{3,\delta} &:= \inf\{t \ge 0 : \min_{|I|=3} S_t^{I,\delta} \leqslant \varepsilon\},\\ \tau_{\varepsilon}^{2,\delta} &:= \inf\{t \ge 0 : \min_{1 \leqslant i,j \leqslant d} ((\lambda_i^{\delta} - \lambda_{i-1}^{\delta})^2 + (\lambda_j^{\delta} - \lambda_{j-1}^{\delta})^2)(t) \leqslant \varepsilon\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $S_t^{I,\delta} := \sum_{i,j \in I} (\lambda_i^{\delta} - \lambda_j^{\delta})^2(t)$. Set also $\tau_{\varepsilon}^{\delta} := \tau_{\varepsilon}^{2,\delta} \wedge \tau_{\varepsilon}^{3,\delta}$. We know from Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 that we can choose ε small enough so that

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\tau_{2\varepsilon}^3 \wedge \tau_{2\varepsilon}^2 \leqslant T\right] \leqslant \eta.$$

The number ε being fixed, it is then straightforward to see from Lemma 3.8 that there exists δ_0 small enough so that for all $\delta \leq \delta_0$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\tau_{\varepsilon}^{\delta} \leqslant T\right] \leqslant \eta$$

Now, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left[T_L^{\delta} \leqslant T\right] \leqslant \eta + \mathbb{P}\left[\delta^{\xi} \sum_{\ell=1}^L \mathbf{1}_{\{T_{\ell+1}^{\delta} - T_{\ell}^{\delta} \geqslant \delta^{\xi}\}} \leqslant T; \tau_{\varepsilon}^{\delta} \geqslant T_L^{\delta}\right].$$

We need to show that the second term goes to 0 when $\delta \to 0$. Let $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ be the filtration of the driving Brownian motion. We will prove in Lemma 3.12, there exists a constant c > 0 such that, on the event $\{\tau_{\varepsilon}^{\delta} \geq T_L^{\delta}\}$, almost surely

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{L} \mathbb{P}\left[T_{\ell+1}^{\delta} - T_{\ell}^{\delta} \ge \delta^{\xi} \mid \mathcal{F}_{T_{\ell}^{\delta}}\right] \ge c \, \delta^{-p\beta+\xi} \,.$$

In the following, we suppose that δ is small enough so that $c \,\delta^{-p\beta+\xi} \ge \delta^{-p\beta+2\xi}$ and $\delta^{-\xi} T - \delta^{-p\beta+\xi} \le -\delta^{-p\beta+2\xi}$. For such δ , we have

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{P}\left[\sum_{\ell=1}^{L} \mathbf{1}_{\{T_{\ell+1}^{\delta} - T_{\ell}^{\delta} \geqslant \delta^{\xi}\}} \leqslant \delta^{-\xi} \, T; \tau_{\varepsilon}^{\delta} \geqslant T_{L}^{\delta}\right] \\ & \leqslant \mathbb{P}\left[\sum_{\ell=1}^{L} \mathbf{1}_{\{T_{\ell+1}^{\delta} - T_{\ell}^{\delta} \geqslant \delta^{\xi}\}} - \mathbb{P}\left[T_{\ell+1}^{\delta} - T_{\ell}^{\delta} \geqslant \delta^{\xi} \mid \mathcal{F}_{T_{\ell}^{\delta}}\right] \leqslant -\delta^{-p\beta+2\xi}; \tau_{\varepsilon}^{\delta} \geqslant T_{L}^{\delta}\right] \\ & \leqslant \mathbb{P}\left[\left|\sum_{\ell=1}^{L} \mathbf{1}_{\{T_{\ell+1}^{\delta} - T_{\ell}^{\delta} \geqslant \delta^{\xi}\}} - \mathbb{P}\left[T_{\ell+1}^{\delta} - T_{\ell}^{\delta} \geqslant \delta^{\xi} \mid \mathcal{F}_{T_{\ell}^{\delta}}\right]\right| \geqslant \delta^{-p\beta+2\xi}; \tau_{\varepsilon}^{\delta} \geqslant T_{L}^{\delta}\right] \\ & \leqslant \delta^{2p\beta-4\xi} \sum_{\ell=1}^{L} \mathbb{P}\left[T_{\ell+1}^{\delta} - T_{\ell}^{\delta} \geqslant \delta^{\xi}; \tau_{\varepsilon}^{\delta} \geqslant T_{L}^{\delta}\right] \end{split}$$

where we used the Tchebychev inequality in the last line. Using Lemma 3.10, we get that there exists a constant C > 0 such that

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\sum_{\ell=1}^{L} \mathbb{1}_{\{T_{\ell+1}^{\delta} - T_{\ell}^{\delta} \ge \delta^{\xi}\}} \leqslant \delta^{-\xi} T; \tau_{\varepsilon}^{\delta} \ge T_{L}^{\delta}\right] \leqslant C \, \delta^{2p\beta - 4\xi} \, L \, \delta^{(1-p\beta)(1-2^{-1}\xi)} \leqslant C \, \delta^{p\beta - 4\xi}$$

which goes to 0 when δ goes to 0. The proposition is proved.

Lemma 3.10. Let $\xi \in (0; 2)$. Then there exists a constant C > 0 such that, almost surely, on ; $\tau_{\varepsilon}^{\delta} \ge T_{L}^{\delta}$

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\delta^{\xi} \leqslant T_{\ell+1}^{\delta} - T_{\ell}^{\delta} \mid \mathcal{F}_{T_{\ell}^{\delta}}\right] \leqslant C\delta^{(1-p\beta)(1-2^{-1}\xi)}.$$
(3.13)

Proof. We know that there are no multiple collisions nor simultaneous collisions (because of Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3) and therefore we can denote by *i* the unique element such that $\lambda_i^{\delta}(T_{\ell}^{\delta}-) = \lambda_{i-1}^{\delta}(T_{\ell}^{\delta}-)$ and $(\lambda_i^{\delta}-\lambda_{i-1}^{\delta})(T_{\ell}^{\delta}) = \delta$. We have by Itô's formula

$$d(\lambda_{i}^{\delta} - \lambda_{i-1}^{\delta})(t) = -\gamma(\lambda_{i}^{\delta} - \lambda_{i-1}^{\delta})(t)dt + \sqrt{2}(db_{t}^{i} - db_{t}^{i-1})$$

$$+ 2p\beta \frac{dt}{(\lambda_{i}^{\delta} - \lambda_{i-1}^{\delta})(t)} - \beta p \sum_{k \neq i, i-1} \frac{(\lambda_{i}^{\delta} - \lambda_{i-1}^{\delta})(t)}{(\lambda_{i}^{\delta} - \lambda_{k}^{\delta})(t)(\lambda_{i-1}^{\delta} - \lambda_{k}^{\delta})(t)} dt.$$

$$(3.14)$$

Let us define the Bessel like process $(X_t)_{t \ge 0}$ by $X_0 = \delta$ and for $t \ge 0$,

$$dX_t = \sqrt{2} (db^i_{T^{\delta}_{\ell} + t} - db^{i-1}_{T^{\delta}_{\ell} + t}) + 2p\beta \frac{dt}{X_t}.$$
(3.15)

Using the comparison theorem for SDE [8, Proposition 2.18] (note that the drifts are smooth before $T_{\ell+1}^{\delta} - T_{\ell}^{\delta}$), we know that for all $t \in [0, T_{\ell+1}^{\delta} - T_{\ell}^{\delta})$, we have almost surely

$$(\lambda_i^{\delta} - \lambda_{i-1}^{\delta})(T_{\ell}^{\delta} + t) \leqslant X_t.$$
(3.16)

Let us define $T_X^{\delta} := \inf\{t \ge 0 : X_t = 0\}$. It is clear that almost surely $T_{\ell+1}^{\delta} - T_{\ell}^{\delta} \le T_X^{\delta}$. We thus have on $\tau_{\varepsilon}^{\delta} \ge T_L^{\delta}$

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\delta^{\xi} \leqslant T_{\ell+1}^{\delta} - T_{\ell}^{\delta} \mid \mathcal{F}_{T_{\ell}^{\delta}}\right] \leqslant \mathbb{P}\left[T_{X}^{\delta} \ge \delta^{\xi}\right].$$

We finally conclude using a classical result for Bessel process, see e.g. [?, (13)]; the density with respect to the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}_+ of the law of the random variable T_X^{δ} is

$$p_{\delta}(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{1-p\beta}{2})} \frac{1}{t} \left(\frac{\delta^2}{2t}\right)^{\frac{1-p\beta}{2}} e^{-\frac{\delta^2}{2t}}.$$

Hence we deduce that for $\xi \leq 2$ there exists a constant c > 0 such that

$$\mathbb{P}\left[T_X^{\delta} \ge \delta^{\xi}\right] \leqslant c \,\delta^{(1-p\beta)(1-2^{-1}\xi)}.$$

For time $t \in [0; T]$, we define the random set

$$I_t := \{ i \in \{2, \dots, d\} : |\lambda_i^{\delta} - \lambda_{i-1}^{\delta}| (t) \leqslant \sqrt{\varepsilon}/3 \}.$$

$$(3.17)$$

Note that, on the event $\Omega := \{\tau_{\varepsilon}^{\delta} \ge T\}$, for each $t \le T$, the set I_t contains at most one element. For each $\ell \in \{1, \ldots, L\}$, and $i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$, we define the stopping times

$$t_{\ell}^{\delta}(\sqrt{\varepsilon}/3) := \inf\{t \ge T_{\ell}^{\delta} : \min_{j} |\lambda_{j}^{\delta} - \lambda_{j-1}^{\delta}|(t) \ge \sqrt{\varepsilon}/3\},\$$
$$\bar{t}_{\ell}^{\delta}(i,\sqrt{\varepsilon}/6) := \inf\{t \ge T_{\ell}^{\delta} : \min_{j \neq i} |\lambda_{j}^{\delta} - \lambda_{j-1}^{\delta}|(t) \le \sqrt{\varepsilon}/6\}.$$

If *i* denotes the unique index such that $\lambda_i^{\delta}(T_{\ell}^{\delta}-) = \lambda_{i-1}(T_{\ell}^{\delta}-)$, note that if $T_{\ell}^{\delta} \leq \tau_{\varepsilon}^{\delta}$ then $\min_{j \neq i} |\lambda_j^{\delta} - \lambda_{j-1}^{\delta}|(T_{\ell}^{\delta}) \geq \sqrt{\varepsilon}/3$.

Lemma 3.11. If $T_{\ell}^{\delta} \leq \tau_{\varepsilon}^{\delta}$ and if *i* denotes the (unique) index such that $\lambda_{i}^{\delta}(T_{\ell}^{\delta}-) = \lambda_{i-1}^{\delta}(T_{\ell}^{\delta}-)$, then there exists a constant c > 0 and $\delta_{0} > 0$ such that for all $\delta \leq \delta_{0}$, we have

$$c\delta^{1-p\beta} \leqslant \mathbb{P}\left[t_{\ell}^{\delta}(\sqrt{\varepsilon}/3) \wedge \bar{t}_{\ell}^{\delta}(i,\sqrt{\varepsilon}/6) \leqslant T_{\ell+1}^{\delta} | \mathcal{F}_{T_{\ell}^{\delta}}\right].$$
(3.18)

Proof. Note that *i* is the unique element of the set $I_{T_{\ell}^{\delta}}$ defined by (3.17) for which $|\lambda_i^{\delta} - \lambda_{i-1}^{\delta}|(T_{\ell}^{\delta}) = \delta$. For $\alpha = 1 - p\beta$ and $t \in [T_{\ell}^{\delta}; T_{\ell+1}^{\delta})$, we have by Itô's formula

$$d(\lambda_{i}^{\delta} - \lambda_{i-1}^{\delta})^{\alpha}(t) = -\gamma \alpha (\lambda_{i}^{\delta} - \lambda_{i-1}^{\delta})^{\alpha}(t) dt \qquad (3.19)$$
$$+ \alpha (\lambda_{i}^{\delta} - \lambda_{i-1}^{\delta})^{\alpha-1}(t) \sqrt{2} (db_{t}^{i} - db_{t}^{i-1}) - \beta p \sum_{k \neq i, i-1} \frac{(\lambda_{i}^{\delta} - \lambda_{i-1}^{\delta})^{\alpha}(t)}{(\lambda_{i}^{\delta} - \lambda_{k}^{\delta})(t)(\lambda_{i-1}^{\delta} - \lambda_{k}^{\delta})(t)} dt .$$

For $t \in [T_{\ell}^{\delta}, \tau_{\varepsilon}^{\delta}]$, we deduce that

$$d(\lambda_i^{\delta} - \lambda_{i-1}^{\delta})^{\alpha}(t) \ge \alpha(\lambda_i^{\delta} - \lambda_{i-1}^{\delta})^{\alpha-1}(t)\sqrt{2}(db_t^i - db_t^{i-1}) - c'(\lambda_i^{\delta} - \lambda_{i-1}^{\delta})^{\alpha}(t)dt$$

where $c' = \alpha \gamma + \beta p(d-2)36/\varepsilon$. Let $T_{\ell+1}^{\delta,\kappa}$ be the first time after T_{ℓ}^{δ} so that $\lambda_i^{\delta} - \lambda_i^{\delta-1}$ reaches $\kappa < \delta$. Then, as $\int_0^{.\wedge T_{\ell+1}^{\delta,\kappa}} (\lambda_i^{\delta} - \lambda_{i-1}^{\delta})^{\alpha-1}(t) \sqrt{2}(db_t^i - db_t^{i-1})$ is a martingale, we find that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[(\lambda_i^{\delta} - \lambda_{i-1}^{\delta})^{\alpha} (t_{\ell}^{\delta}(\sqrt{\varepsilon}/3) \wedge \bar{t}_{\ell}^{\delta}(i,\sqrt{\varepsilon}/6) \wedge T_{\ell+1}^{\delta,\kappa}) \mid \mathcal{F}_{T_{\ell}^{\delta,\kappa}} \right] \ge \delta^{\alpha} \exp\left(-c'T\right).$$
(3.20)

Before time $\bar{t}_{\ell}^{\delta}(i, \sqrt{\varepsilon}/6)$, $(\lambda_j^{\delta} - \lambda_{j-1}^{\delta})(t)$ can not cancel if $j \neq i$. Therefore we can choose κ small enough so that the last inequality implies

$$\mathbb{E}\left[(\lambda_{i}^{\delta} - \lambda_{i-1}^{\delta})^{\alpha} (t_{\ell}^{\delta}(\sqrt{\varepsilon}/3) \wedge \bar{t}_{\ell}^{\delta}(i,\sqrt{\varepsilon}/6)) \, 1_{\{t_{\ell}^{\delta}(\sqrt{\varepsilon}/3) \wedge \bar{t}_{\ell}^{\delta}(i,\sqrt{\varepsilon}/6) \leqslant T_{\ell+1}^{\delta}\}} \mid \mathcal{F}_{T_{\ell}^{\delta}} \right] \geqslant \frac{1}{2} \delta^{\alpha} \exp\left(-c' T\right).$$

which can be rewriten using the fact that $|\lambda_i^{\delta} - \lambda_{i-1}^{\delta}|(t_{\ell}^{\delta}(\sqrt{\varepsilon}/3) \wedge \bar{t}_{\ell}^{\delta}(i,\sqrt{\varepsilon}/6)) \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon}/3$, as follows

$$\mathbb{P}\left[t_{\ell}^{\delta}(\sqrt{\varepsilon}/3) \wedge \bar{t}_{\ell}^{\delta}(i,\sqrt{\varepsilon}/6) \leqslant T_{\ell+1}^{\delta} \mid \mathcal{F}_{T_{\ell}^{\delta}}\right] \ge \delta^{\alpha} \left(\frac{3}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right)^{\alpha} \exp(-c'T).$$

The lemma follows with $c = (\frac{3}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}})^{\alpha} \exp(-c' T)$.

Lemma 3.12. Let $\xi, T > 0$. There exists a constant c > 0 and $\delta_0 > 0$ so that if $\delta \leq \delta_0$, on $T_{\ell}^{\delta} \leq \tau_{\varepsilon}^{\delta} \wedge T$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\delta^{\xi} \leqslant T_{\ell+1}^{\delta} - T_{\ell}^{\delta} \mid \mathcal{F}_{T_{\ell}^{\delta}}\right] \geqslant c\delta^{1-p\beta} \,. \tag{3.21}$$

Proof.We assume in the sequel that $\delta \leq 1$. The proof is based on Lemma 3.11. It implies

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{P}\left[\delta^{\xi} \leqslant T_{\ell+1}^{\delta} - T_{\ell}^{\delta} \mid \mathcal{F}_{T_{\ell}^{\delta}}\right] \\ & \geqslant \mathbb{P}\left[t_{\ell}^{\delta}(\sqrt{\varepsilon}/3) \wedge \bar{t}_{\ell}^{\delta}(i,\sqrt{\varepsilon}/6) \leqslant T_{\ell+1}^{\delta}; \delta^{\xi} \leqslant T_{\ell+1}^{\delta} - T_{\ell}^{\delta} \leq 1 \mid \mathcal{F}_{T_{\ell}^{\delta}}\right]. \end{split}$$

By Lemma 3.11, we deduce that

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\delta^{\xi} \leqslant T_{\ell+1}^{\delta} - T_{\ell}^{\delta} \leq 1 \mid \mathcal{F}_{T_{\ell}^{\delta}}\right]$$

$$\geqslant c\delta^{1-p\beta} - \mathbb{P}\left[t_{\ell}^{\delta}(\sqrt{\varepsilon}/3) \wedge \bar{t}_{\ell}^{\delta}(i,\sqrt{\varepsilon}/6) \leqslant T_{\ell+1}^{\delta} \leqslant T+1; \delta^{\xi} \geqslant T_{\ell+1}^{\delta} - T_{\ell}^{\delta} \mid \mathcal{F}_{T_{\ell}^{\delta}}\right].$$

But

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{P}\left[t_{\ell}^{\delta}(\sqrt{\varepsilon}/3) \wedge \bar{t}_{\ell}^{\delta}(i,\sqrt{\varepsilon}/6) \leqslant T_{\ell+1}^{\delta} \leq T+1; T_{\ell+1}^{\delta} - T_{\ell}^{\delta} \leqslant \delta^{\xi} \mid \mathcal{F}_{T_{\ell}^{\delta}}\right] \\ & \leqslant \mathbb{P}\left[t_{\ell}^{\delta}(\sqrt{\varepsilon}/3) \leqslant \wedge T+1; T_{\ell+1}^{\delta} - t_{\ell}^{\delta}(\sqrt{\varepsilon}/3) \leqslant \delta^{\xi} \mid \mathcal{F}_{T_{\ell}^{\delta}}\right] \\ & + \mathbb{P}\left[\bar{t}_{\ell}^{\delta}(i,\sqrt{\varepsilon}/6) \leqslant t_{\ell}^{\delta}(\sqrt{\varepsilon}/3); \bar{t}_{\ell}^{\delta}(i,\sqrt{\varepsilon}/6) - T_{\ell}^{\delta} \leqslant \delta^{\xi} \mid \mathcal{F}_{T_{\ell}^{\delta}}\right]. \end{split}$$

Let us handle the first term of the previous right hand side

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{P}\left[t_{\ell}^{\delta}(\sqrt{\varepsilon}/3) \leqslant T_{\ell+1}^{\delta} \wedge (T+1); T_{\ell+1}^{\delta} - t_{\ell}^{\delta}(\sqrt{\varepsilon}/3) \leqslant \delta^{\xi} \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_{\ell}^{\delta}(\sqrt{\varepsilon}/3)}\right] \\ & \leqslant \mathbb{P}\left[\max_{j} \sup_{t_{\ell}^{\delta}(\sqrt{\varepsilon}/3) \leqslant s \leqslant (t_{\ell}^{\delta}(\sqrt{\varepsilon}/3) + \delta^{\xi}) \wedge t_{\ell}^{\delta}(\sqrt{\varepsilon}/12) \wedge (T+1)} |\lambda_{j}^{\delta}(s) - \lambda_{j}^{\delta}(t_{\ell}^{\delta}(\sqrt{\varepsilon}/3))| \geqslant \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{24} \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_{\ell}^{\delta}(\sqrt{\varepsilon}/3)}\right] \\ & \leqslant C \exp(-\frac{c\varepsilon^{2}}{\delta\xi}) \end{split}$$

where we used Lemma 3.5 for the last line (actually the proof since we used the estimate for a fixed s). For the second term, the idea is similar

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{P}\left[\bar{t}_{\ell}^{\delta}(i,\sqrt{\varepsilon}/6) \leqslant t_{\ell}^{\delta}(\sqrt{\varepsilon}/3); \bar{t}_{\ell}^{\delta}(i,\sqrt{\varepsilon}/6) - T_{\ell}^{\delta} \leqslant \delta^{\xi} \mid \mathcal{F}_{T_{\ell}^{\delta}}\right] \\ & \leqslant \mathbb{P}\left[\max_{j \neq i} \sup_{T_{\ell}^{\delta} \leqslant s \leqslant (T_{\ell}^{\delta} + \delta^{\xi}) \wedge \bar{t}_{\ell}^{\delta}(i,\sqrt{\varepsilon}/6) \wedge (T+1)} |\lambda_{j}^{\delta}(s) - \lambda_{j}^{\delta}(T_{\ell}^{\delta})| \geqslant \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{12} \mid \mathcal{F}_{T_{\ell}^{\delta}}\right] \\ & \leqslant C \exp(-\frac{c\varepsilon^{2}}{\delta^{\xi}}) \,, \end{split}$$

by Lemma 3.5. As for all $\xi > 0$, $\exp(-\frac{c}{\delta^{\xi/4}}) \ll \delta^{1-p\beta}$ for small enough δ , the proof is complete.

4 Properties of the eigenvalues of M_n^β

In this section, we will study the regularity and boundedness properties of the eigenvalues of M_n^{β} .

Definition 4.1. Let M_0^β be a symmetric (resp. Hermitian) matrix if $\beta = 1$ (resp. $\beta = 2$) with distinct eigenvalues $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \cdots < \lambda_d$ and $(M_n^\beta(t))_{t \ge 0}$ be the matrix process defined in Definition 2.1. For all $t \ge 0$, the ordered eigenvalues of the matrix $M_n^\beta(t)$ will be denoted by $\lambda_1^n(t) \le \lambda_2^n(t) \le \cdots \le \lambda_d^n(t)$.

The following proposition characterizes the evolution of the process $\lambda^n(t)$ until its first collision time.

Proposition 4.2. Let $(\lambda_1^n(t), \ldots, \lambda_d^n(t))$ be the process defined in Definition 4.1 and set $T_n(1) := \inf\{t \ge 0 : \exists i \ne j, \lambda_i^n(t) = \lambda_j^n(t)\}$. Then, almost surely, the process $(\lambda_1^n(t), \ldots, \lambda_d^n(t))$ verifies for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the following strict inequality

$$\lambda_1^n(k/n) < \lambda_2^n(k/n) < \dots < \lambda_d^n(k/n).$$
(4.1)

In addition, there exist a sequence of Bernoulli random variables $(\epsilon_k^n)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ with mean p and a sequence of independent (standard) Brownian motions $(b_t^i)_{t \ge 0}$, $i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ also independent of the Bernoulli random variables $(\epsilon_k^n)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that, the process $(\lambda_1^n(t), \ldots, \lambda_d^n(t))_{t \ge 0}$ is the re-ordering of the process $(\mu_1^n(t), \ldots, \mu_d^n(t))_{t \ge 0}$ defined for $t \ge 0$ by

$$d\mu_i^n(t) = -\gamma \mu_i^n(t) \, dt + \sqrt{2} db_t^i + \beta \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\epsilon_t^n}{\mu_i^n(t) - \mu_j^n(t)} \, dt \,. \tag{4.2}$$

with initial conditions in t = 0 given by $(\mu_1^n(0), \ldots, \mu_d^n(0)) = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_d)$. In particular, up to time $T_n(1)$, the process λ^n verifies

$$d\lambda_i^n(t) = -\gamma \lambda_i^n(t) \, dt + \sqrt{2} db_t^i + \beta \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\epsilon_t^n}{\lambda_i^n(t) - \lambda_j^n(t)} \, dt \, .$$

Remark here that we use the property that $\epsilon_t^n = (\epsilon_t^n)^2$.

Proof. Let us show first that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k/n < T_n(1)$, we have almost surely the strict inequality (4.1). We will proceed by induction over k. Note that under our assumptions, it is true for k = 0. Suppose it is true at rank k and let us show it is then true at rank k+1. From Definition 2.1, if the eigenvalues of $M_n^\beta(k/n)$ are denoted as $\lambda_1^n(k/n) < \cdots < \lambda_d^n(k/n)$, then, depending on the value of the Bernoulli random variable ϵ_k^n , the dynamic for $t \in [k/n; (k+1)/n]$ is

• if $\epsilon_k^n = 1$, the process $(\lambda_1^n(t), \ldots, \lambda_d^n(t))$ follows the Dyson Brownian motion with initial conditions $(\lambda_1^n(k/n), \ldots, \lambda_d^n(k/n))$ (see [2, Theorem 4.3.2]); More precisely, we have for $t \in [k/n; (k+1)/n)$

$$d\lambda_i^n(t) = -\gamma\lambda_i^n(t) dt + \sqrt{2}dW_t^i + \beta \sum_{j \neq i} rac{dt}{\lambda_i^n(t) - \lambda_j^n(t)} \, .$$

where the $(W_t^i)_{t \ge 0}$, $i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ are independent Brownian motions. In particular, this process is non-colliding in the sense that the $\lambda_i^n(t)$ will almost surely remain strictly ordered for all $t \in [k/n; (k+1)/n)$ (see [2, Theorem 4.3.2]). Thus, we will almost surely have $\lambda_1^n((k+1)/n) < \cdots < \lambda_d^n((k+1)/n)$.

• on the other hand, if $\epsilon_k^n = 0$, we need to define a new process $(\mu_1^n(t), \ldots, \mu_d^n(t))$ of independent Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes with initial conditions $(\lambda_1^n(k/n), \ldots, \lambda_d^n(k/n))$; More precisely, the evolution for $t \in [k/n; (k+1)/n]$ is given by

$$d\mu_i^n(t) = -\gamma \mu_i^n(t)dt + \sqrt{2}dB_t^i \tag{4.3}$$

where the Brownian motions B^i are the ones of Definition 2.1. Note that, before time $T_n(1)$, the two processes λ^n and μ^n coincide. In this case, the $\mu_i^n(t)$ can cross and the

ordering can be broken in the interval [k/n; (k+1)/n]. However, if crossing for the process μ^n happen before time t = (k+1)/n still we know that $e^{\gamma(k+1)/n}\mu_i^n((k+1)/n)$ are almost surely distinct. The re-ordering of the μ_i^n thus always gives $\lambda_1^n((k+1)/n) < \cdots < \lambda_d^n((k+1)/n) a.s.$

The induction is complete and proves equality (4.1) for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We deduce from the above arguments that for k such that $k/n < T_n(1)$, the evolution of $\lambda^n(t)$ for $t \in [k/n; (k+1)/n \wedge T_n(1))$ is

$$d\lambda_i^n(t) = -\gamma\lambda_i^n(t)\,dt + \sqrt{2}(\epsilon_t^n dW_t^i + (1-\epsilon_t^n)dB_t^i) + \beta\sum_{j\neq i}\frac{\epsilon_t^n}{\lambda_i^n(t) - \lambda_j^n(t)}\,dt$$

with initial conditions in t = k/n given by $(\lambda_1^n(k/n), \ldots, \lambda_d^n(k/n))$. Let us define the process b^i for $t \ge 0$ by $b_t^i := \int_0^t (\epsilon_s^n dW_s^i + (1 - \epsilon_s^n) dB_s^i)$. Using the fact that the Brownian motions $(W_t^i)_{t \ge 0}, i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ are mutually independent and independent of the Brownian motions $(B_t^i)_{t \ge 0}, i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ (also mutually independent), it is straightforward to check that the processes $(b_t^i)_{t \ge 0}, i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ are mutually independent), it is straightforward to check that the processes $(b_t^i)_{t \ge 0}, i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ are mutually independent Brownian motions. It is also easy to see that, for all $s, t \in [k/n; (k+1)/n]$, the random variables $\epsilon_k^n(W_t^i - W_s^i) + (1 - \epsilon_k^n)(B_t^i - B_s^i)$ and ϵ_k^n are independent. Therefore, we deduce that the brownian motions $(b_t^i)_{t \ge 0}, i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ are independent of the sequence $(\epsilon_k^n)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$.

The following regularity properties will be useful later on.

Lemma 4.3. Let $T < \infty$. Then there exist constants $C, A_0, c, c', \alpha > 0$ which depend only on T, d such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, all $A \ge A_0$ and all $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\max_{1 \leqslant i,j \leqslant d} \sup_{0 \leqslant t \leqslant T} |M_n^\beta(t)_{ij}| > A\right] \leqslant C \exp(-\alpha A^2), \qquad (4.4)$$

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\max_{\substack{1 \leqslant i,j \leqslant d \ \sup_{\substack{0 \leqslant s,t \leqslant T, \\ |t-s| \leqslant \delta}}} |M_n^\beta(t)_{ij} - M_n^\beta(s)_{ij}| > \varepsilon\right] \leqslant \frac{c}{\delta} \exp(-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{c'\delta}).$$
(4.5)

Proof. Using Itô's formula, we can check that

$$e^{\gamma t}M_n^\beta(t) - e^{\gamma s}M_n^\beta(s) = \int_s^t e^{\gamma s} \left(\epsilon_s^n dH_s^\beta + (1-\epsilon_s^n)\sqrt{2}\sum_{i=1}^d \chi_i^n(\frac{[ns]}{n}) dB_s^i\right).$$

Let us set $\Delta_n(s,t) := e^{\gamma t} M_n^\beta(t) - e^{\gamma s} M_n^\beta(s)$. The entries of $\Delta_n(s,.)$ are martingales with respect to the filtration of the Brownian motions conditionally to the Bernoulli random variables $(\epsilon_k^n)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ (this is due to the independence between the Brownian motions $(B_t^i)_{t \ge 0}, (H_t^\beta(ij))_{t \ge 0}, 1 \le i, j \le d$ and the sequence of Bernoulli random variables $(\epsilon_k^n)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$. Using the fact that $|\chi_i^n([ns]/n)_{ij}| \le 1$ for all i, j, we can check that there exists a constant C(d, T) which does not depend on n such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$|\langle \Delta_n(s,\cdot)_{ij}, \Delta_n(s,\cdot)_{kl} \rangle_t| \leqslant C(T,d)|t-s|.$$

Let A > 0, using [2, corollary H.13], we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\max_{1 \leq i,j \leq d} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |(e^{\gamma t} M_n^{\beta}(t))_{ij}| > A\right] \\
\leq d^2 \max_{1 \leq i,j \leq d} \mathbb{P}\left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |(e^{\gamma t} M_n^{\beta}(t) - M_0^{\beta})_{ij}| > A - \max_{i,j} |M_0^{\beta}(i,j)|\right] \\
= d^2 \max_{1 \leq i,j \leq d} \mathbb{P}\left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |\Delta_n(0,t)_{ij}| > A - \max_{i,j} |M_0^{\beta}(i,j)|\right] \\
\leq d^2 \exp\left(-\frac{(A - \max_{i,j} |M_0^{\beta}(i,j)|)^2}{C(d,T)T}\right).$$
(4.6)

Similarly, for any given $s \in [0, T]$, for $\varepsilon > 0$, using [2, Corollary H.13], we have, for each entry ij and for every $\delta > 0$:

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\max_{1 \leqslant i,j \leqslant d} \sup_{t \in [s-\delta,s+\delta]} |(e^{\gamma t} M_n^\beta(t) - e^{\gamma s} M_n^\beta(s))_{ij}| > \varepsilon\right] \leqslant d^2 \exp\left(-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2C\delta}\right).$$

and therefore there exists a positive constant c' so that

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{P}\left[\max_{\substack{1 \leqslant i,j \leqslant d}} \sup_{\substack{0 \leqslant s,t \leqslant T, \\ |t-s| \leqslant \delta}} |(e^{\gamma t} M_n^{\beta}(t) - e^{\gamma s} M_n^{\beta}(s))_{ij}| > \varepsilon\right] \\ & \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{[2T/\delta]+1} \mathbb{P}\left[\max_{\substack{1 \le i,j \le d}} \sup_{|t-\frac{i\delta}{2}| \leqslant \delta/2} |(e^{\gamma t} M_n^{\beta}(t) - e^{\gamma i\delta/2} M_n^{\beta}(i\delta/2))_{ij}| > \varepsilon/2\right] \\ & \leqslant d^2 \frac{2T}{\delta} \exp\left(-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{c'\delta}\right). \end{split}$$

Lemma 4.4. Let $T < \infty$. Then there exist constants $C', A_0, c', c'', \alpha, \epsilon_0 > 0$ which depend only on T, d such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, all $A \ge A_0$ and all $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\max_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant d} \sup_{0 \leqslant t \leqslant T} |\lambda_i^n(t)| > A\right] \leqslant C' \exp(-\alpha A^2),$$
(4.7)

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\max_{\substack{1 \leqslant i \leqslant d \quad \sup_{\substack{0 \leqslant s,t \leqslant T, \\ |t-s| \leqslant \delta}}} |\lambda_i^n(t) - \lambda_i^n(s)| > \varepsilon\right] \leqslant \frac{c''}{\delta} \exp(-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{c'\delta}).$$
(4.8)

Proof. This lemma is a consequence of Lemma 4.3 and the inequalities

$$\max_{1 \le k \le d} |\lambda_k^n(t) - \lambda_k^n(s)| \le \left(\sum_{i=1}^d |\lambda_i^n(t) - \lambda_i^n(s)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ = \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^d |M_n^\beta(t)_{ij} - M_n^\beta(s)_{ij}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ \le d \max_{1 \le i,j \le d} |M_n^\beta(t)_{ij} - M_n^\beta(s)_{ij}|$$
(4.9)

where, for the second inequality, we used [2, lemma 2.1.19] and the fact that the λ_i^n are ordered.

5 Convergence of the law of the eigenvalues till the first hitting time

Proposition 5.1. Take $\lambda(0) = (\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \cdots < \lambda_d)$. Construct μ^n , strong solution of (4.2), with the same Brownian motion than λ , strong solution of (2.4), both starting from $\lambda(0)$. λ^n equals μ^n till $T_n(1)$. For all T > 0, we have the following almost sure convergence

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \max_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant d} \sup_{t \leqslant T \land T_n(1) \land \tau_{\varepsilon}^3} |\lambda_i^n(t) - \lambda_i(t)| = 0.$$

As a consequence, if we let $T_1 = \inf\{t > 0, \exists i \neq j, \lambda_i(t) = \lambda_j(t)\}$, we have almost surely

$$T_1 \leq \liminf T_n(1)$$
.

We point out that this convergence does not happen on a trivial interval since we have

Remark 5.2. For any $\eta > 0$, there exists $\tau(\eta) > 0$ so that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}\left[T_n(1) \ge \tau(\eta)\right] \ge 1 - \eta \,.$$

Proof of Remark 5.2. By the same arguments developed in (4.9), we find that

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\sup_{t \leqslant T} \max_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant d} |\lambda_i^n(t)e^{\gamma t} - \lambda_i(0)| \ge \epsilon\right] \leqslant \mathbb{P}\left[\sup_{t \leqslant T} |\operatorname{tr}((M^n(t)e^{\gamma t} - M_0)^2)| \ge \epsilon^2\right] \\ \leqslant d^2 \exp\left(-\frac{\epsilon^2}{2C(d,T)T}\right).$$

But since also the λ_i^n are uniformly bounded with high probability, we can choose for any $\eta > 0$ the parameter T small enough so that

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\max_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant d} \sup_{t \leqslant T} |\lambda_i^n(t) - \lambda_i(0)| \ge \min_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant d} |\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1}|/3\right] \leqslant \eta$$

This implies that $P(T_n(1) \leq T) \leq \eta$.

24

Proof of Proposition 5.1 Using Itô's formula, we can compute

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d} \left(\lambda_i^n(t) - \lambda_i(t)\right)^2 = -2\gamma \int_0^t \sum_{i=1}^d \left(\lambda_i^n(s) - \lambda_i(s)\right)^2 ds \tag{5.1}$$
$$+ 2\beta \int_0^t \epsilon_s^n \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j \neq i} \left(\lambda_i^n(s) - \lambda_i(s)\right) \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^n(s) - \lambda_j^n(s)} - \frac{1}{\lambda_i(s) - \lambda_j(s)}\right) ds$$
$$+ 2\beta \int_0^t (\epsilon_s^n - p) \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\lambda_i^n(s) - \lambda_i(s)}{\lambda_i(s) - \lambda_j(s)} ds .$$

By the same argument as in (3.11) the second term in the right hand side is non positive. Thus using equations 5.1, we find for $t \leq T_n(1)$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d} \left(\lambda_i^n(t) - \lambda_i(t)\right)^2 \leqslant 2\beta \int_0^t (\epsilon_s^n - p) \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\lambda_i^n(s) - \lambda_i(s)}{\lambda_i(s) - \lambda_j(s)} ds := R_n(t).$$

We next prove that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{0 \leqslant t \leqslant T \land \tau_{\varepsilon}^3} R_n(t) = 0 \quad a.s.$$
(5.2)

Write $R_n(t)$ as $R_n(t) = P_n(t) + Q_n(t)$ where

$$P_n(t) := \int_0^t (\epsilon_s^n - p) \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\lambda_i^n([ns]/n) - \lambda_i(s)}{\lambda_i(s) - \lambda_j(s)} ds,$$
$$Q_n(t) := \int_0^t (\epsilon_s^n - p) \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\lambda_i^n(s) - \lambda_i^n([ns]/n)}{\lambda_i(s) - \lambda_j(s)} ds.$$

We first handle the convergence of $Q_n(t)$. Set $\Omega_1 = \{\sup_{\substack{|s-t| \leq 1/n \\ t \leq T}} \max_{1 \leq i \leq d} |\lambda_i^n(t) - \lambda_i^n(s)| \leq n^{-1/2+\epsilon}\}.$ On the event Ω_1 , we have

$$|Q_n(t)| \le n^{-1/2+\epsilon} \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j \ne i} \int_0^t \frac{ds}{|\lambda_i(s) - \lambda_j(s)|}$$

Following (4.9), we know that

$$P(\Omega_1^c) \le c e^{-cn^{2\epsilon}}$$

We thus deduce from Lemma 3.1 that

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\sup_{t \leqslant T} |Q_n(t)| > \delta\right] \leqslant \mathbb{P}\left[\sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j \neq i} \int_0^T \frac{ds}{|\lambda_i(s) - \lambda_j(s)|} > \delta n^{1/2-\epsilon}\right] + \mathbb{P}\left[\Omega_1^c\right]$$
$$\leqslant c \, e^{-c \, \delta^2 \, n^{1-2\epsilon}} + c \, e^{-c \, n^{2\epsilon}}.$$

Hence, Borel Cantelli's Lemma insures the almost sure convergence of Q_n to zero. We now turn to the convergence of $P_n(t)$. Let $\eta > 0$ small and write

$$P_n(t) = -\frac{d(d-1)}{2} \int_0^t (\epsilon_s^n - p) ds + \widetilde{P}_n(t)$$

with

$$\widetilde{P}_n(t) = \int_0^t (\epsilon_s^n - p) \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j < i} \frac{\lambda_i^n([ns]/n) - \lambda_j^n([ns]/n)}{\lambda_i(s) - \lambda_j(s)} ds.$$

The process $\int_0^t (\epsilon_s^n - p) ds$ is a martingale and by Azuma-Hoeffding inequality, for any $\delta > 0$

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\max_{t\leq T} \left|\int_0^t (\epsilon_s^n - p)ds\right| \geq \delta\right) \leq 2\exp(-\frac{\delta^2 n}{2}).$$

We now use the independence between the brownian motions $(b_t^i)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$, $i = 1, \ldots, d$ and the Bernoulli random variables $\epsilon_k^n, k = 1, \ldots, [nT]$. Conditionally on the $(b_t^i)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$, $i = 1, \ldots, d$, the processes $\lambda_i(t), i = 1, \ldots, d$ are deterministic and the process \widetilde{P}_n is a martingale with respect to the filtration of the ϵ_k^n . We let

$$A_k^n = \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j < i} \int_{k/n}^{k+1/n} \frac{\lambda_i^n([ns]/n) - \lambda_j^n([ns]/n)}{\lambda_i(s) - \lambda_j(s)} ds.$$

By Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 4.4, the set

$$\Omega = \{ \sup_{k \le nT \land \tau_{\epsilon}^3} |A_k^n| \le n^{-1/8} \}$$

has probability larger than $1 - e^{-cn^{1/16}}$. Moreover, by martingale property it is easy to see that for all $\lambda \ge 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}[1_{\Omega}e^{\lambda \widetilde{P}_{n}(k/n) - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^{2}\sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1}(A_{k/n}^{n})^{2}}] \leq 1.$$

Taking $\lambda = n^{1/16}$, since on Ω , $-n^{1/16}|A_k^n| + n^{1/8}|A_k^n|^2/2 \le 0$, Tchebychev's inequality yields

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\{|\widetilde{P}_n(k/n \wedge \tau_{\varepsilon}^3)| \ge n^{-1/16} (\sum_{\ell=0}^{[Tn]} |A_k^n| + t)\} \cap \Omega\right) \le e^{-t}$$

As by Lemma 3.1, $\sum_{\ell=0}^{[Tn]} |A_k^n|$ is bounded by $n^{1/32}$ with probability greater than $1 - e^{-n^{1/16}}$ we conclude that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(|\widetilde{P}_n(k/n \wedge \tau_{\varepsilon}^3)| \ge n^{-1/32}\right) \le C e^{-n^{1/32}}.$$

The uniform estimate is obtained easily by controlling the increments of \tilde{P}_n in between the times $k/n, k \leq [nT]$ by $\sup_{k \leq [nT]} |A_k^n|$ which we have already bounded.

6 Proof of Theorem 2.2.

6.1 Non colliding case $p\beta \ge 1$

It is straightforward to deduce Theorem 2.2 when $p\beta \ge 1$. Indeed if $\beta p \ge 1$ we know that there are no collisions for the limiting process and more precisely, see e.g [2, p. 252],

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau_{\varepsilon}^2 \leqslant T) \leqslant c(\lambda_0)T/|\log \varepsilon|$$

with some finite constant $c(\lambda_0)$ which only depends on the spacings of the eigenvalues at the initial time. This implies in particular that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}(T_{\varepsilon}^n \leqslant T) = 0$$

from which we easily deduce Theorem 2.2 from Proposition 5.1.

6.2 Colliding case $p\beta < 1$

We now define the process $(\lambda_i^{n,\delta}(t))_{t \ge 0}$ which will depend on the sequence $(T_\ell^\delta)_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$ defined in Definition 3.6. To unify notations, set $T_1^\delta := T_1$ and $T_n^\delta(1) := T_n(1)$.

Definition 6.1. For $t < T_1^{\delta}$, set $\lambda_i^{n,\delta}(t) := \lambda_i^n(t)$. For time $t > T_1^{\delta}$, we define the process recursively by setting for each $\ell \ge 1$, $\lambda_i^{n,\delta}(T_{\ell}^{\delta}) = \lambda_i^{n,\delta}(T_{\ell}^{\delta}-) + i\delta$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ and for $t > T_{\ell}^{\delta}$, the process $\lambda_i^{n,\delta}$ is defined up to time $T_{\ell+1}^{\delta}$ by ordering the process $(\mu_1^{n,\delta}(t), \ldots, \mu_d^{n,\delta}(t))_{T_{\ell}^{\delta} \le t \le T_{\ell+1}^{\delta}}$ which is defined for $t \ge T_{\ell}^{\delta}$ as

$$d\mu_i^{n,\delta}(t) = -\gamma \mu_i^{n,\delta}(t) \, dt + \sqrt{2} db_t^i + \beta \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\epsilon_t^n}{\mu_i^{n,\delta}(t) - \mu_j^{n,\delta}(t)} \, dt \,. \tag{6.1}$$

with initial conditions in $t = T_{\ell}^{\delta}$ given by $(\lambda_1^{n,\delta}(T_{\ell}^{\delta}), \dots, \lambda_d^{n,\delta}(T_{\ell}^{\delta})).$

Lemma 6.2. Let $T < \infty$ and $\delta > 0$. We have the following convergence in probability, for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \max_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant d} \sup_{0 \leqslant t \leqslant T_{\ell}^{\delta} \wedge T} |\lambda_i^{\delta}(t) - \lambda_i^{n,\delta}(t)| = 0.$$

In particular, for every ℓ , if T_n^{δ} is the first collision time for $\lambda^{n,\delta}$ after $T_{\ell-1}^{\delta}$,

$$T_{\ell}^{\delta} \wedge T \leqslant \liminf T_{n}^{\delta}(\ell) \wedge T \quad a.s.$$

Proof Again, we prove this Lemma by induction over ℓ .

• We begin with the case $\ell = 1$. Proposition 5.1 yields that the random variable $\max_{1 \leq i \leq d} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_n(1) \wedge T} |\lambda_i(t) - \lambda_i^n(t)| = 0$ converges to 0 in probability as by Lemma 3.2, $P(\tau_{\varepsilon}^3 \geq T)$ goes to one as ε vanishes. Since we have the almost sure inequality $T_1^{\delta} \leq \liminf_i T_n^{\delta}(1)$, the continuity of the $\lambda_i, 1 \leq i \leq d$, the regularity property of the λ_i^n given by Lemma 4.4, Lemma 3.5 and Proposition 5.1, we can check that since before $T_1^{\delta} \lambda_i^{\delta} = \lambda_i$ and $\lambda_i^{n,\delta} = \lambda_i^n$, if $T_n^{\delta}(1) < T_1^{\delta} \wedge T$,

$$\max_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant d} \sup_{T_n^{\delta}(1) \leqslant t < T_1^{\delta} \wedge T} |\lambda_i^{\delta}(t) - \lambda_i^{n,\delta}(t)|$$
(6.2)

$$\leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq d} \sup_{T_n^{\delta}(1) \leq t < T_1^{\delta} \wedge T} \{ |\lambda_i^n(t) - \lambda_i^n(T_n^{\delta}(1))| + |\lambda_i(t) - \lambda_i(T_n^{\delta}(1))| \}$$

$$+ |\lambda_i^n(T_n^{\delta}(1)) - \lambda_i(T_n^{\delta}(1))|$$

$$(6.3)$$

goes to zero in probability, when n goes to infinity.

• Suppose the property is true for ℓ and let us show that it is then true for $\ell + 1$. By the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 5.1, we can show that, for all $t \in [T_{\ell}^{\delta}; T_n^{\delta}(\ell+1) \wedge T_{\ell+1}^{\delta}]$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d} \left(\lambda_{i}^{n,\delta} - \lambda_{i}^{\delta}\right)^{2}(t) \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{d} \left(\lambda_{i}^{n,\delta} - \lambda_{i}^{\delta}\right)^{2}(T_{\ell}^{\delta})$$

$$+ 2\beta \int_{T_{\ell}^{\delta}}^{t} (\epsilon_{s}^{n} - p) \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\lambda_{i}^{n,\delta}(s) - \lambda_{i}^{\delta}(s)}{\lambda_{i}^{\delta}(s) - \lambda_{j}^{\delta}(s)} ds.$$

$$(6.4)$$

The same proof as in Proposition 5.1 shows that, if $\tau_{\epsilon}^{3,\ell}$ is the stopping time τ_{ℓ}^3 for the process $\lambda^{\delta}(t), t \geq T_{\ell}^{\delta}$,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{t \in [T_{\ell}^{\delta}; T_n^{\delta}(\ell+1) \wedge T_{\ell+1}^{\delta} \wedge \tau_{\epsilon}^{3,\ell}]} \int_{T_{\ell}^{\delta}}^{t} (\epsilon_s^n - p) \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\lambda_i^{n,\delta}(s) - \lambda_i^{\delta}(s)}{\lambda_i^{\delta}(s) - \lambda_j^{\delta}(s)} ds = 0 \quad a.s.$$
(6.5)

Thus, because of (6.4), the following convergence in holds

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \max_{i} \sup_{t \in [T_{\ell}^{\delta}; T_{n}^{\delta}(\ell+1) \wedge T_{\ell+1}^{\delta} \wedge \tau_{\epsilon}^{3}]} |\lambda_{i}^{n,\delta}(t) - \lambda_{i}^{\delta}(t)| = 0 \quad a.s.$$
(6.6)

Because of (6.6), we have $T_{\ell+1}^{\delta} \wedge \tau_{\epsilon}^{3} \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} T_{n}^{\delta}(\ell+1) \wedge \tau_{\epsilon}^{3}$. Since the probability that τ_{ϵ}^{3} is larger than T goes to one as ϵ vanishes, we can show as in (6.2) (note that Lemma 4.4, Lemma 3.5 and Proposition 5.1 extend to $\{\lambda_{t}^{n,\delta}, \lambda_{t}^{\delta}, t \geq T_{\ell}^{\delta}\}$) that in probability,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \max_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant d} \sup_{T_n^{\delta}(\ell+1) \leqslant t \leqslant T_{\ell+1}^{\delta}} |\lambda_i^{\delta}(t) - \lambda_i^{n,\delta}(t)| = 0.$$

The property at rank $\ell + 1$ is established. The Lemma is proved.

Lemma 6.3. There exists a constant c > 0 such that for all $L \in \mathbb{N}$, we have the following almost sure estimate

$$\max_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant d} \sup_{0 \leqslant t \leqslant T_L^{\delta}} |\lambda_j^{n,\delta}(t) - \lambda_j^n(t)| \leqslant \delta L \sqrt{c}.$$

Proof. Note that the estimate is striaghtforward on $[0, T_1^{\delta}]$. We then proceed by induction on the time intervals $[T_{\ell}^{\delta}, T_{\ell+1}^{\delta}]$ as in the proof of Lemma 3.8 until the first collision time

$$t_1 := \inf\{t \ge T_{\ell}^{\delta} : \exists i, \, \lambda_i^n(t) = \lambda_{i-1}^n(t) \text{ or } \lambda_i^{n,\delta}(t) = \lambda_{i-1}^{n,\delta}(t)\}.$$

We next claim that, at a given time, almost surely the eigenvalues λ^n are different. Indeed, this is clear if the eigenvalues follows Brownian motion and even more when they follow Dyson Brownian motion. Moreover the probability that more than two eigenvalues collide at some time vanishes. Indeed, this can only happen if the eigenvalues follow the Brownian motion. But the probability that 3 Brownian motions collide vanishes and hence the result. Hence, there are almost surely at most two eigenvalues which can collide. Hence, let $i(t_1)$ be the unique integer in $\{1, \ldots, d\}$ such that $\lambda_i^n(t_1) = \lambda_{i-1}^n(t_1)$ (respectively $\lambda_i^{n,\delta}(t_1) = \lambda_j^{n,\delta}(t_1)$) and let $\tau_1 = ([nt_1] + 1)/n$. Notice that, for $t \in [[nt_1]/n; ([nt_1] + 1)/n)$, we necessarily have $\epsilon_t^n = 0$. Let $\mu_i^{n,\delta}$ and μ_i^n for $i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ be the processes such that for $t \in [t_1; \tau_1]$

$$d\mu_i^{n,\delta}(t) = -\gamma \mu_i^{n,\delta}(t)dt + \sqrt{2}db_t^i$$
$$d\mu_i^n(t) = -\gamma \mu_i^n(t)dt + \sqrt{2}db_t^i$$

with initial conditions at $t = t_1$ respectively given by $\mu^{n,\delta}(t_1) = \lambda^{n,\delta}(t_1)$ and $\mu^n(t_1) = \lambda^n(t_1)$. We know that the $\lambda_i^{n,\delta}$, respectively the λ_i^n , are just a re-ordering of the processes $\mu_i^{n,\delta}$ and μ_i^n

By definition, for $t \in [t_1; \tau_1]$, we find that :

$$(\mu_j^{n,\delta} - \mu_j^n)(t) = e^{-\gamma(t-t_1)}(\mu_j^{n,\delta} - \mu_j^n)(t_1).$$

As a consequence, we deduce that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{d} (\mu_{j}^{n,\delta} - \mu_{j}^{n})^{2}(t) \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{d} (\lambda_{j}^{n,\delta} - \lambda_{j}^{n})^{2}(t_{1}).$$

Moreover, as the λ 's are ordered but the set of the values of the λ 's and the μ 's are the same, using for instance [2, lemma 2.1.19], we have that

$$\sum_{j=1}^d (\lambda_j^{n,\delta} - \lambda_j^n)^2(t) \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^d (\mu_j^{n,\delta} - \mu_j^n)^2(t) \,.$$

Gathering the above inequalities, we have shown that

$$\sup_{t\in[0,\tau_1]}\sum_{j=1}^d (\lambda_j^{n,\delta}-\lambda_j^n)^2(t) \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^d (\lambda_j^{n,\delta}-\lambda_j^n)^2(T_\ell^\delta).$$

We can continue inductively until we reach the time $T_{\ell+1}^{\delta}$ to finish the proof.

7 Asymptotic properties of the eigenvectors

Recall that w_{ij}^{β} , i < j are real (respectively complex) standard Brownian motions if $\beta = 1$ (resp. $\beta = 2$) with quadratic variation βt and that we also set for i < j, $w_{ji}^{\beta} := \bar{w}_{ij}^{\beta}$. In addition we also defined the skew Hermitian matrix $R^{\beta} = -(R^{\beta})^*$ by setting for i < j,

$$dR_{ij}^{\beta}(t) = \frac{dw_{ij}^{\beta}(t)}{\lambda_i^n(t) - \lambda_j^n(t)}, \quad R_{ij}^{\beta}(0) = 0.$$

Proof of Proposition 2.3

It is classical to check that the unique strong solution of the stochastic differential equation

$$dO_n^{\beta}(t) = \epsilon_t^n O_n^{\beta}(t) dR^{\beta}(t) - \frac{\epsilon_t^n}{2} O_n^{\beta}(t) d\langle (R^{\beta})^*, R^{\beta} \rangle_t, \qquad (7.1)$$

with initial condition $O_n^{\beta}(0) := O^{\beta}(0)$ (defined at the end of Section 1), is in the space \mathcal{O}_d^{β} for all time t (see e.g. [2, Lemma 4.3.4]) and is such that, with $\Delta_n^{\beta}(t)$ being the diagonal matrix of the ordered (as in (2.3)) eigenvalues of $M_n^{\beta}(t)$, we have

$$O_n^{\beta}(t)\Delta_n^{\beta}(t)O_n^{\beta}(t)^* \stackrel{law}{=} M_n^{\beta}(t).$$

The law of the continuous process O_n^β is uniquely determined as the unique strong solution of (7.1).

One can thus define the eigenvectors of $M_n^{\beta}(t)$, denoted as $\phi_i^n(t)$, so that they satisfy the stochastic differential system

$$d\phi_i^n(t) = \epsilon_t^n \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{dw_{ij}^\beta(t)}{\lambda_i^n(t) - \lambda_j^n(t)} \phi_j^n(t) - \frac{\epsilon_t^n}{2} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\beta}{(\lambda_i^n(t) - \lambda_j^n(t))^2} dt \phi_i^n(t)$$
(7.2)

where w_{ij}^{β} , i < j is a family of i.i.d. Brownian motions (on \mathbb{R} if $\beta = 1$, \mathbb{C} if $\beta = 2$), independent of the eigenvalues λ_i^n , $1 \leq i \leq d$.

Proof of Theorem 2.4

This proof is classical and uses the theory of stability for stochastic differential equations.

For $\eta > 0$ fixed, we deduce from Proposition 5.1 and Lemma 3.2 that the process $(\lambda_1^n(t), \ldots, \lambda_d^n(t))$ converges almost surely in the space of continuous functions $C([0; (T_1 - \eta) \wedge T], \mathbb{R}^d)$ (respectively \mathbb{C}^d) if $\beta = 1$ (resp. $\beta = 2$) endowed with the uniform norm towards $(\lambda_1(t), \ldots, \lambda_d(t))_{0 \leq t \leq (T_1 - \eta) \wedge T}$ where the λ_i 's are the unique strong solutions of (2.4) (with the same Brownian motions b^i) and where T_1 is the first collision time of the $\lambda_i, 1 \leq i \leq d$. In the sequel we will work conditionally to the (λ_i^n, λ_i) 's satisfying the above convergence.

Define for $i \neq j$ the processes $w_{ij}^{\beta,n}$ by setting

$$w_{ij}^{\beta,n}(t) = \int_0^t \epsilon_s^n dw_{ij}^{\beta}(s) \,.$$
(7.3)

Note that the quadratic variation of this continuous martingale converges almost surely towards βpt so that by Rebolledo's theorem $(w_{ij}^{\beta,n}, i < j)$ converges towards $(\sqrt{p}w_{ij}^{\beta}, i < j)$.

Moreover, if T_1^{ϵ} is the first time at which two eigenvalues are at distance less than ϵ , the drift coefficients being bounded, we see, with a proof similar to the proof of Proposition 5.1, that for $i \neq j$

$$\int_0^{t\wedge T_1^{\epsilon}} \frac{\epsilon_s^n}{(\lambda_i^n - \lambda_j^n)^2(s)} ds$$

converges towards $p \int_0^{t \wedge T_1^{\epsilon}} (\lambda_i(s) - \lambda_j(s))^{-2} ds$ uniformly almost surely. Since T_1^{ϵ} converges towards T_1 as ϵ goes to zero, the convergence holds till $(T_1 - \eta) \wedge T$ for any $\eta > 0$.

Gathering the above arguments, the result follows from [7, Theorem 6.9, p. 578]. \Box

We now turn to the analysis of the behavior of the columns $\phi_i(t)$ of the matrix $O^{\beta}(t)$ when $t \to T_1$ with $t < T_1$. Those vectors $\phi_i(t)$ form an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^d (respectively \mathbb{C}^d) if $\beta = 1$ (resp. $\beta = 2$) and it is easy to check that they verify the following stochastic differential system

$$d\phi_i(t) = \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\sqrt{p}}{\lambda_i(t) - \lambda_j(t)} dw_{ij}^\beta(t)\phi_j(t) - \frac{p\beta}{2} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{dt}{(\lambda_i(t) - \lambda_j(t))^2} \phi_i(t) \,. \tag{7.4}$$

In the following of this section, we will denote by i^* the unique (because of Lemma 3.4) index such that $\lambda_{i^*}(T_1) = \lambda_{i^*-1}(T_1)$.

The main issue we meet at this point in the presence of collisions (that *will* occur if $p\beta < 1$; see [4]) lies in the divergence of the integral 2.7 that we now prove.

We now describe the behavior of the d-2 vectors $\phi_j(t), j \neq i^*, i^*-1$ just before the first collision time T_1 .

Proof of the first statement of Proposition 2.6

We will denote by $\phi_{j\ell}(t)$ the ℓ -th entry of the d-dimensional vector $\phi_j(t)$. For $0 \leq t < T_1$, we have

$$d\phi_j(t) = \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{\sqrt{p}}{\lambda_j(t) - \lambda_k(t)} dw_{jk}^\beta(t) \phi_k(t) - \frac{p}{2} \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{\beta}{(\lambda_j - \lambda_k)^2} \phi_j(t) dt.$$
(7.5)

We recall from section 3.2 that there are no multiple collisions nor two collisions at the same time for the system $(\lambda_1(t), \lambda_2(t), \ldots, \lambda_d(t))_{0 \leq t \leq T_1}$ verifying (2.4), and therefore we may assume without loss of generality that for $j \neq i^*, i^* - 1$, every diffusions and drift terms of (7.5) remains almost surely bounded for $t \in [0; T_1]$. To prove the lemma, we just need to prove that almost surely

$$\lim_{\substack{s \to T_1; \\ s < T_1}} \sup_{s \leq t < T_1} \|\phi_j(t) - \phi_j(s)\|_2 = 0.$$

The drift terms appearing in (7.5) are obvious to deal with since $1/(\lambda_j - \lambda_k)(t)$ is bounded in the vicinity of T_1 and that $|\phi_{j\ell}(t)| \leq 1$ for all $t < T_1$. For the diffusion terms, we have for every $\ell \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ and for every $s \in [0; T_1]$ the following estimate

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\sup_{s \leqslant t < T_1} \left| \int_s^t \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{\sqrt{p}}{\lambda_j(u) - \lambda_k(u)} dw_{jk}^\beta(u) \phi_{k\ell}(u) \right| > \eta \right] \leqslant \exp\left(-\frac{\eta^2}{2\beta p(d-1)M(T_1-s)}\right),$$

where $M = \sup_{t \in [0;T_1]} \max_{k \neq j} \frac{1}{(\lambda_j - \lambda_k)^2(t)}$. Using the Borel-Cantelli Lemma, we deduce the result.

For $\delta > 0$, we want to define a process $(\widetilde{\phi}_1(t), \widetilde{\phi}_2(t), \dots, \widetilde{\phi}_d(t))_{T_1 - \delta \leq t < T_1}$ that will be a good approximation of the process $(\phi_1(t), \phi_2(t), \dots, \phi_d(t))_{T_1 - \delta \leq t < T_1}$ on the time interval $[T_1 - \delta; T_1]$. Hence for $j \neq i^*, i^* - 1$, we set $\widetilde{\phi}_j(t) = \widetilde{\phi}_j$ (the vectors do not depend of time). It remains to define the evolution for $(\widetilde{\phi}_{i^*-1}(t), \widetilde{\phi}_{i^*}(t))$ that will depend of time t.

Let V be the (d-2)-dimensional subspace spanned by the orthonormal family $\{\tilde{\phi}_j; j \neq i^*, i^*-1\}$ and W its orthogonal complement in \mathbb{R}^d . Let us define the "diffusive orthonormal basis" in the space W that will describe the evolution of the two vectors $(\tilde{\phi}_{i^*-1}(t), \tilde{\phi}_{i^*}(t))$ on the interval $[T_1 - \delta; T_1]$ (up to the initial conditions at time $t = T_1 - \delta$ we will explicit later).

Lemma 7.1. Let $\delta > 0$ and (u, v) an orthonormal basis of the two-dimensional subspace W. We consider the following stochastic differential system

$$d\tilde{\phi}_{i^*}(t) = \frac{\sqrt{p}}{(\lambda_{i^*} - \lambda_{i^*-1})(t)} dw_{i^*-1,i^*}^{\beta}(t) \ \tilde{\phi}_{i^*-1}(t) - \frac{p\beta}{2} \frac{dt}{(\lambda_{i^*} - \lambda_{i^*-1})^2(t)} \tilde{\phi}_{i^*}(t) , \qquad (7.6)$$

$$d\tilde{\phi}_{i^*-1}(t) = -\frac{\sqrt{p}}{(\lambda_{i^*} - \lambda_{i^*-1})(t)} d\bar{w}_{i^*-1,i^*}^{\beta}(t) \ \tilde{\phi}_{i^*}(t) - \frac{p\beta}{2} \frac{dt}{(\lambda_{i^*} - \lambda_{i^*-1})^2(t)} \tilde{\phi}_{i^*-1}(t)$$

with initial conditions $(\widetilde{\phi}_{i^*-1}(T_1-\delta), \widetilde{\phi}_{i^*}(T_1-\delta)) = (u, v).$

This stochastic differential system has a unique strong solution defined on the interval $[T_1 - \delta; T_1)$ such that for each $t \in [T_1 - \delta; T_1)$, $\{\widetilde{\phi}_{i^*-1}(t), \widetilde{\phi}_{i^*}(t)\}$ is an orthonormal basis of W.

Proof. For all $\epsilon > 0$, the function $t \to 1/(\lambda_{i^*} - \lambda_{i^*-1})(t)$ is bounded on the interval $[T_1 - \delta; T_1^{\epsilon}]$ and therefore there is a unique strong solution to the stochastic differential system (7.6) till the time T_1^{ϵ} where $|\lambda_{i^*} - \lambda_{i^*-1}| < \epsilon$ as it is driven by bounded linear drifts. As T_1^{ϵ} grows to T_1 the proof is complete.

To show that for all $t \in [T_1 - \delta; T_1)$ the family $\{\widetilde{\phi}_{i^*-1}(t), \widetilde{\phi}_{i^*}(t)\}$ is an orthonormal basis of W, we proceed along the same line as in the proof of [2, Lemma 4.3.4].

In the following lemma, we show that we can choose a constant $\delta > 0$ small enough and an initial condition $(u, v) \in W$ such that the processes $(\tilde{\phi}_1(t), \ldots, \tilde{\phi}_1(t))_{t \in [T_1 - \delta; T_1)}$ defined by Lemma 7.1 is indeed a good approximation of the process $(\phi_1(t), \ldots, \phi_d(t))_{t \in [T_1 - \delta; T_1)}$. The advantage of the process $(\tilde{\phi}_1(t), \ldots, \tilde{\phi}_1(t))_{t \in [T_1 - \delta; T_1)}$ is that it is simpler to study in the vicinity of T_1 (see Lemma 7.3 below).

Lemma 7.2. Let $\eta > 0$ and $\kappa > 0$. Then there exists an orthonormal basis (u, v) of Wand $\delta > 0$ small enough such that if we denote by $(\widetilde{\phi}_{i^*-1}(t), \widetilde{\phi}_{i^*}(t))_{t \in [T_1 - \delta; T_1)}$ the unique strong solution of the stochastic differential system (7.6) with initial conditions given in $t_0 = T_1 - \delta$ by $(\widetilde{\phi}_{i^*-1}(t_0), \widetilde{\phi}_{i^*}(t_0)) = (u, v)$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{t\in[t_0;T_1)}||\phi_{i^*}(t)-\widetilde{\phi}_{i^*}(t)||_2^2+||\phi_{i^*-1}(t)-\widetilde{\phi}_{i^*-1}(t)||_2^2 \ge \eta\right) \le \kappa.$$

Proof. Using Itô's formula, we find¹ for all $t \in [t_0; T_1)$,

$$||\phi_{i^{*}}(t) - \widetilde{\phi}_{i^{*}}(t)||_{2}^{2} + ||\phi_{i^{*}-1}(t) - \widetilde{\phi}_{i^{*}-1}(t)||_{2}^{2} = ||\phi_{i^{*}}(t_{0}) - u||_{2}^{2} + ||\phi_{i^{*}-1}(t_{0}) - v||_{2}^{2} - 2\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \sum_{i \in \{i^{*}, i^{*}-1\}} \sum_{j \neq i^{*}, i^{*}-1} \frac{\sqrt{p}}{(\lambda_{i} - \lambda_{j})(s)} dw_{ij}^{\beta}(s) \langle \widetilde{\phi}_{i}(s), \phi_{j}(s) \rangle.$$
(7.7)

As for $i \in \{i^*, i^* - 1\}$ and $j \notin \{i^*, i^* - 1\}$ the terms $1/(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2(t)$ have almost surely a finite integral with respect to Lebesgue measure on the interval $[t_0; T_1)$ (in fact those terms are almost surely bounded as the corresponding particles remain at finite distance), the quadratic variation of the last term is of order δ and therefore is smaller than $\eta/2$ with probability greater that $1 - \kappa$ for δ small enough.

¹Note that all the diverging terms in T_1 cancel in this expression.

It remains to check that we can choose (u, v) an orthonormal basis of W and $\delta > 0$ such that

$$||\phi_{i^*}(T_1 - \delta) - u||_2^2 + ||\phi_{i^* - 1}(T_1 - \delta) - v||_2^2 \leq \eta/2.$$
(7.8)

This is a straightforward: Indeed we can approximate the $\phi_j(T_1 - \delta)$ for $j \notin \{i^*, i^* - 1\}$ by the ϕ_j because of the first point of Proposition 2.6, thus we can choose two vectors $\{u, v\}$ in the two dimensional space W so that (7.8) holds. This completes the proof.

We now turn to the study of the couple $(\phi_{i^*-1}(t), \phi_{i^*}(t))$ for $t \in [T_1 - \delta; T_1)$ and in particular when $t \to T_1, t < T_1$. A crucial point is equation 2.7 which we now prove.

Itô's Formula gives for $t < T_1$

$$\ln(\lambda_{i} - \lambda_{i-1})(t) = (-\gamma + 2p\beta)t + \int_{0}^{t} \sqrt{2} \frac{db_{s}^{i^{*}} - db_{s}^{i^{*}-1}}{(\lambda_{i^{*}} - \lambda_{i^{*}-1})(s)} - p\beta \int_{0}^{t} \sum_{j \neq i^{*}, i^{*}-1} \frac{ds}{(\lambda_{i^{*}} - \lambda_{j})(\lambda_{i^{*}-1} - \lambda_{j})(s)} - \int_{0}^{t} \frac{2ds}{(\lambda_{i^{*}} - \lambda_{i^{*}-1})^{2}(s)} - \frac{ds}{(\lambda_{i^{*}} - \lambda_{i^{*}-1})^{2}(s)} + \frac{ds}{(\lambda_{i^{*}}$$

If we suppose that $\int_0^{T_1} dt/(\lambda_{i^*} - \lambda_{i^*-1})^2(t) < +\infty$ and since $T_1 < \tau_{\epsilon}^3$ for some $\epsilon > 0$ small enough, we obtain a contradiction letting $t \to T_1$: under this assumption, the right hand side tends to $-\infty$ whereas the left hand side is almost surely bounded in this limit. \Box

The next Lemma 7.3 shows that the orthonormal basis $(\phi_{i^*-1}(t), \phi_{i^*}(t))$ of the subspace W is in fact uniformly distributed in the set of all orthonormal basis of W in the limit $t \to T_1, t < T_1$.

As W is two dimensional, up to a change basis, we can suppose that the two vectors $\tilde{\phi}_{i^*-1}(t)$ and $\tilde{\phi}_{i^*}(t)$ are two dimensional (we just study the evolution of their coordinates in an orthonormal basis of W). Let us define the two by two matrix $\tilde{\phi}(t)$ whose first line is the vector $\tilde{\phi}_{i^*}(t)$ and second line is the vector $\tilde{\phi}_{i^*-1}(t)$:

$$\widetilde{\phi}(t) := \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{\phi}_{i^*}(t) \\ \widetilde{\phi}_{i^*-1}(t) \end{pmatrix} \,.$$

Lemma 7.3. The matrix $\tilde{\phi}(t)$ converges in law when $t \to T_1, t < T_1$ to the Haar probability measure on the orthogonal group (respectively unitary group if $\beta = 2$.)

Proof. To simplify notations, we do the proof in the case $\beta = 1$.

Set $t_0 := T_1 - \delta$ and define for $t \in [0; \delta)$ the function

$$\varphi(t) := \int_{t_0}^{t_0+t} \frac{ds}{(\lambda_{i^*} - \lambda_{i^*-1})^2(s)}$$

and denote by φ^{-1} its functional inverse. We now proceed to a change of time by setting for $t \in [0; \delta)$

$$\widetilde{\psi}_{i^*}(t) = \widetilde{\phi}_{i^*}(\varphi^{-1}(t)), \quad \widetilde{\psi}_{i^*-1}(t) = \widetilde{\phi}_{i^*-1}(\varphi^{-1}(t)).$$

As $\varphi^{-1}(t) \to +\infty$ when $t \to \delta, t < \delta$ (because of (2.7)), the two by two matrix $\tilde{\psi}(t)$ whose first line is $\tilde{\psi}_{i^*}(t)$ and second line is $\tilde{\psi}_{i^*}(t)$:

$$\widetilde{\psi}(t) := \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{\psi}_{i^*}(t) \\ \widetilde{\psi}_{i^*-1}(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

is now defined for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and verifies the following stochastic differential equation

$$d\widetilde{\psi}(t) = \sqrt{p} A \,\widetilde{\psi}(t) \, dB_t - \frac{p\beta}{2} \,\widetilde{\psi}(t) \, dt \,. \tag{7.9}$$

where B is a standard Brownian motion on \mathbb{R} and where A is the two by two matrix defined by

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \,.$$

Note in particular that $A^2 = -I$.

~ .

It is clear that there is pathwise uniqueness in the stochastic differential equation (7.9) (it is linear in $\tilde{\psi}$). Therefore to solve entirely this equation, we just need to exhibit one solution. Using Itô's Formula, one can check that the solution is

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\psi}(t) &= \exp\left(\sqrt{p} A B_t\right) \widetilde{\psi}(0) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\sqrt{p} B_t) & \sin(\sqrt{p} B_t) \\ -\sin(\sqrt{p} B_t) & \cos(\sqrt{p} B_t) \end{pmatrix} \widetilde{\psi}(0) \,. \end{split}$$

Note that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, the matrix $\tilde{\psi}(t)$ is indeed in the space of orthogonal matrices.

But $(\cos(\sqrt{p}B_t), \sin(\sqrt{p}B_t))$ converges in law as time goes to infinity towards the law of $(\theta, \varepsilon\sqrt{1-\theta^2})$ with θ uniformly distributed on [-1,1] and $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ with probability 1/2, from which the result follows.

Lemmas 7.2 and 7.3 give the second statement of Proposition 2.6.

Acknowledgments

We thank J.-P. Bouchaud for proposing this subject, and working with us to understand it, which led to [1]; the present article gives complete proofs of some of the results stated in [1]. We are very grateful to C. Garban who proposed us to attack the analysis of the case $p\beta < 1$ by introducing the auxiliary process λ^{δ} of section 6.2 and for many enlightening discussions. We thank L. Dumaz, R. Rhodes, V. Vargas, G . Schehr, R. Chicheportiche and F. Benaych-Georges for useful comments and discussions.

References

- R. Allez, JP Bouchaud and A Guionnet, Invariant β-ensembles and the Gauss-Wigner crossover, preprint
- [2] G.W. Anderson, A. Guionnet and O. Zeitouni, An Introduction to Random Matrices, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Cambridge University Press (2009).
- [3] P. Billingsley, Convergence of Probability Measures (Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics).
- [4] E. Cépa and D. Lépingle, Diffusing particles with electrostatic repulsion, Probability Theory and Related Fields, 1997.

- [5] E. Cépa and D. Lépingle, No multiple collisions for mutually repelling Brownian particles, Séminaire de Probabilités 40 (2007) 241-246.
- [6] I. Dumitriu, A. Edelman, *Matrix Models for Beta Ensembles*, Journal of Mathematical Physics 43, 5830–5847, 2002.
- [7] J. Jacod and A.N. Shiryaev, Limit theorems for stochastic processes. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York 1987.
- [8] I. Karatzas and S. E. Shreve, Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus, Second Edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer.
- [9] M. L. Mehta, Random matrices, Academic press, 1991.
- [10] D. Revuz and M. Yor, Continuous Martingales and Brownian Motion, Third edition, Springer.