## TD2: Pseudorandom Generators (corrected version)

## Exercise 1.

Bit-flip of a PRG
Let $G$ a pseudo-random generator (PRG) of input range $\{0,1\}^{s}$ and output range $\{0,1\}^{n}$. We define $\bar{G}$ as follows:

$$
\forall x \in\{0,1\}^{s}, \bar{G}(x):=1^{n} \oplus G(x)
$$

where $\oplus$ denotes the XOR operation. This corresponds to flipping every bit of the output of $G$.

1. Prove that $\bar{G}$ is secure if and only if $G$ is secure.


#### Abstract

$\square$ Assume that $G$ is secure. We will prove that $\bar{G}$ is secure. Assume by contradiction that there exists an adversary $\mathcal{A}$ that distinguishes between $\bar{G}\left(U\left(\{0,1\}^{s}\right)\right)$ and $U\left(\{0,1\}^{n}\right.$ with non-negligible advantage. We build $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ a distinguisher between $G\left(U\left(\{0,1\}^{s}\right)\right)$ and $U\left(\{0,1\}^{n}\right)$ the following way: on input a sample $y, \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ calls $\mathcal{A}$ on the sample $1^{n} \oplus y$. It outputs the same value. Notice the following: if $y$ is uniformly distributed, then so is $1^{n} \oplus y$. If $y$ follows the distribution $G\left(U\left(\{0,1\}^{s}\right)\right)$, then $1^{n} \oplus y$ follows the distribution $\bar{G}\left(U\left(\{0,1\}^{s}\right)\right)$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ 's view is exactly as intended. It guesses from which distribution is sampled $1^{n} \oplus y$ with non-negligible advantage, and the advantage of $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ is equal to the advantage of $\mathcal{A}$, which contradicts the assumption that $G$ is secure.

Finally, we notice that the flipped version of $\bar{G}$ is $G$, and the previous proof also shows that $\bar{G}$ secure implies $G$ secure.


## Exercise 2

Variable-length OTP is not secure
A variable length one-time pad is a cipher $(E, D)$, where the keys are bit strings of some fixed length $L$, while messages and ciphertexts are variable length bit strings, of length at most $L$. Thus, the cipher $(E, D)$ is defined over $(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{C})$, where

$$
\mathcal{K}:=\{0,1\}^{L} \text { and } \mathcal{M}:=\mathcal{C}=\{0,1\}^{\leq L}
$$

for some parameter $L$. Here, $\{0,1\} \leq L$ denotes the set of all bit strings of length at most $L$ (including the empty string). For a key $k \in\{0,1\}^{L}$ and a message $m \in\{0,1\} \leq L$ of length $\ell$, the encryption function is defined as follows:

$$
E(k, m):=k[0 \ldots \ell-1] \oplus m
$$

1. Provide a counter-example showing that the variable length OTP is not secure for perfect secrecy.

4 Suppose that the message distribution contains two messages $m_{0}, m_{1}$ of distinct length, i.e. $\left|m_{0}\right| \neq\left|m_{1}\right|$ in its support. Then given a ciphertext $c$ with $|c|=\left|m_{0}\right|$, we have $\operatorname{Pr}\left[c=E\left(k, m_{1}\right)\right]=0$ while $\operatorname{Pr}_{m \leftarrow \mathcal{M}}\left[m=m_{1}\right] \neq 0$. Hence, the scheme is not perfectly secure.

## Exercise 3.

Let $G:\{0,1\}^{n} \rightarrow\{0,1\}^{m}$ be a function, with $m>n$.

1. Recall the definition of a PRG from the lecture.
(f) $\mathrm{G}:\{0,1\}^{n} \rightarrow\{0,1\}^{m}$ is a PRG if there exists no ppt $\mathcal{A}:\{0,1\}^{m} \rightarrow\{0,1\}$ that distinguish with non-negligible probability between
$\mathcal{U}\left(\{0,1\}^{m}\right)$ and $G\left(\mathcal{U}\left(\{0,1\}^{n}\right)\right)$.
Let Enc: $\{0,1\}^{n} \times\{0,1\}^{m} \rightarrow\{0,1\}^{m}$ defined by $\operatorname{Enc}(k, m)=\mathrm{G}(k) \oplus m$.
2. Give the associated decryption algorithm.

路 $\mathrm{Enc}=\mathrm{Dec}$
3. Recall the smCPA security notion from the lecture.

Teq) Two experiments, $\operatorname{Exp}_{b}$ for $b \in\{0,1\}$ are defined as follows:

1. The challenger $\mathcal{C}$ chooses $k$ uniformly.
2. The adversary $\mathcal{A}$ chooses $m_{0}, m_{1}$ distinct of identical bitlength.
3. The challenger $\mathcal{C}$ returns $\operatorname{Enc}\left(k, m_{b}\right)$.
4. The adversary $\mathcal{A}$ outputs a guess $b^{\prime}$.

This is summed up in the following sketch:


The advantage of $\mathcal{A}$ is defined as $\operatorname{Adv}(\mathcal{A}):=\left|\operatorname{Pr}\left(\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\text { Exp }_{0}} 1\right)-\operatorname{Pr}\left(\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Exp}_{1}} 1\right)\right|$. Then (Enc, Dec) is said smCPA-secure if no efficient adversary has non-negligible advantage.

Let $m_{1}, m_{2} \in\{0,1\}^{m}$ be arbitrary messages.
4. What is the statistical distance between the distributions $\mathcal{U}_{1}=m_{1} \oplus \mathcal{U}\left(\{0,1\}^{m}\right)$ and $\mathcal{U}_{2}=m_{2} \oplus$ $\mathcal{U}\left(\{0,1\}^{m}\right)$ ?
[皆 They are the same distributions, so 0 .
We proved in class that G PRG $\Rightarrow$ (Enc, Dec) smCPA-secure. We are going to prove (Enc, Dec) not smCPA-secure $\Rightarrow G$ not PRG.
5. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an distinguisher between two games $G_{0}$ and $G_{1}$. We say that $\mathcal{A}$ wins if it output 0 (resp $1)$ during the game $G_{0}\left(\operatorname{resp} G_{1}\right)$. Show that

$$
\left.\operatorname{Adv}_{\mathcal{A}}\left(G_{0}, G_{1}\right)=2 \cdot \left\lvert\, \operatorname{Pr}_{b \sim \mathcal{U}(\{0,1\})}\left(\mathcal{A} \text { wins in } G_{b}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\right. \right\rvert\,
$$

1 星

$$
\operatorname{Pr}_{b \sim u(\{0,1\})}\left(\mathcal{A} \text { wins in } G_{b}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \cdot \operatorname{Pr}(\mathcal{A} \rightarrow 0)+\frac{1}{2} \cdot \underset{G_{1}}{\operatorname{Pr}}(\mathcal{A} \rightarrow 1)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\underset{G_{0}}{\operatorname{Pr}}(\mathcal{A} \rightarrow 0)+1-\underset{G_{1}}{\operatorname{Pr}}(\mathcal{A} \rightarrow 0)\right)
$$

Hence the result.
6. Assume that $\mathcal{A}$ is an adversary with non-negligible advantage $\varepsilon$ against the smCPA-security of (Enc, Dec). Construct an explicit distinguisher between $\mathcal{U}\left(\{0,1\}^{m}\right)$ and $G\left(\mathcal{U}\left(\{0,1\}^{n}\right)\right)$ and compute its advantage.

We define the $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ to be the following:

1. Get $k$ from the distribution $G=G\left(\mathcal{U}\left(\{0,1\}^{n}\right)\right)$ or $\mathcal{U}\left(\{0,1\}^{m}\right)$.
2. Get $m_{1}, m_{2}$ from $\mathcal{A}$.
3. Sample $b$ from $\mathcal{U}(\{0,1\})$.
4. Send $k \oplus m_{b}$ to $\mathcal{A}$ and get the output $b^{\prime}$.
5. If $b=b^{\prime}$, output " $G$ " else output " $U$ ".

The advantage of $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ is $\left|\operatorname{Pr}_{k \sim G}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \rightarrow G\right)-\operatorname{Pr}_{k \sim \mathcal{u}}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \rightarrow G\right)\right|$.
Assume $k \sim \mathcal{U}$ and define $Y_{0}$ the game played when $b=0$ and $Y_{1}$ the game played when $b=1$. Since $k \sim \mathcal{U}$, we have that $m_{b} \oplus k$ is independent from $m_{b}$, hence $\operatorname{Pr}_{m_{0}, k}\left(\mathcal{A}\left(m_{0} \oplus k\right) \rightarrow 1\right)=\operatorname{Pr}_{m_{1}, k}\left(\mathcal{A}\left(m_{1} \oplus k\right) \rightarrow 1\right)$ and hence the advantage of $\mathcal{A}$ between $Y_{0}$ and $Y_{1}$ is 0 . By the previous question we have $\operatorname{Pr}_{b \sim \mathcal{U}}(\{0,1\}), k\left(\mathcal{A}\right.$ wins when given $\left.m_{b} \oplus k\right)=1 / 2$.
Assume $k \sim G$ and define $Y_{0}^{\prime}$ the game played when $b=0$ and $Y_{1}^{\prime}$ the game played when $b=1$. We have $\operatorname{Pr}_{k \sim G}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \rightarrow G\right)=$ $\operatorname{Pr}_{b}\left(\mathcal{A}\right.$ wins $\left.Y_{b}^{\prime}\right)$.

Finaly, $\operatorname{Adv}_{\mathcal{A}^{\prime}}=\left|\operatorname{Pr}_{k \sim G}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \rightarrow G\right)-\operatorname{Pr}_{k \sim \mathcal{U}}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \rightarrow G\right)\right|=\mid \operatorname{Pr}_{b}\left(\mathcal{A}\right.$ wins $\left.Y_{b}^{\prime}\right)-1 / 2 \mid=\varepsilon / 2$.

