## **TD3:** Security Assumptions (corrected version)

## Exercise 1.

Let (Enc, Dec) be an encryption scheme over  $K \times P \times \{0, 1\}^n$ .

In this question, we assume that (Enc, Dec) is smCPA-secure. Prove that there exists a smCPA-secure encryption scheme (Enc', Dec') such that G : k → Enc'(k,0) is not a secure PRG. *Hint: try to concatenate constant bits to every ciphertext.*

So Define  $Enc': (k,m) \mapsto 1^{\ell} || Enc(k,m)$ . The decryption algorithm Dec' ignores the first  $\ell$  bits and calls Dec on the remaining ones. We have two things to prove:

- The pair (Enc', Dec') is a smCPA-secure encryption scheme.
- $G: k \mapsto 1^{\ell} || Enc(k, 0)$  is not a secure PRG.

We start with the first claim. If we assume by contradiction that there exists an efficient adversary  $\mathcal{A}$  that breaks the smCPA-security of (Enc', Dec'), we build  $\mathcal{A}'$  against the smCPA-security of (Enc, Dec) the following way. It starts by calling  $\mathcal{A}$ . When  $\mathcal{A}$  outputs two messages  $m_0, m_1, \mathcal{A}'$  outputs the same messages to the challenger. When the challenger outputs a ciphertext  $c, \mathcal{A}'$  sends to  $\mathcal{A}$  the ciphertext  $1^{\ell}||c$ . When  $\mathcal{A}$  outputs a bit  $b', \mathcal{A}'$  outputs the same. This is summed up in the following sketch:

С	$\mathcal{A}'$	$ $ $\mathcal{A}$
$k \leftarrow U(K)$	Call ${\cal A}$	Choose and send $(m_0, m_1) \in \mathbb{P}'$
Send $c := \operatorname{Enc}(k, m_b)$	Send the same messages $(m_0, m_1)$	Choose and send $(m_0, m_1) \in I$
	Compute and send to $\mathcal{A}: \ c':=1^\ell   c $	Output b'
	Output $b'$	

In these games, the view of  $\mathcal{A}$  is the same as in the previous question. This means that it behaves the same way as in the Exp<sub>b</sub> games for the encryption scheme (Enc', Dec). By definition of the advantage,  $Adv(\mathcal{A}') = Adv(\mathcal{A})$ . Thus, this breaks the security of (Enc, Dec).

We move on to prove the second claim by exhibiting an efficient distinguisher  $\mathcal{B}$ . It does the following: upon receiving a sample from either G(U(K)) or the uniform distribution, it outputs 1 if the first  $\ell$  bits are 1 and 0 otherwise. Its advantage is  $1 - \frac{1}{2^{\ell}}$ . It is non-negligible as soon as  $\ell \geq 1$ .

## Exercise 2.

Attacking the DLG problem

Let  $\mathbb{G}$  be a cyclic group generated by g, of (known) prime order p, and let h be an element of  $\mathbb{G}$ . Let  $F : \mathbb{G} \to \mathbb{Z}_p$  be a nonzero function, and let us define the function  $H : \mathbb{G} \to \mathbb{G}$  by  $H(\alpha) = \alpha \cdot h \cdot g^{F(\alpha)}$ . We consider the following algorithm (called *Pollard*  $\rho$  *Algorithm*).

## Pollard $\rho$ Algorithm

**Input:**  $h, g \in \mathbb{G}$ 

**Output:**  $x \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$  such that  $h = g^x$  or FAIL.

1. 
$$i \leftarrow 1$$

2. 
$$x \leftarrow 0, \alpha \leftarrow h$$

- 3.  $y \leftarrow F(\alpha); \beta \leftarrow H(\alpha)$
- 4. while  $\alpha \neq \beta$  do
- 5.  $x \leftarrow x + F(\alpha) \mod p; \alpha \leftarrow H(\alpha)$
- 6.  $y \leftarrow y + F(\beta) \mod p; \beta \leftarrow H(\beta)$
- 7.  $y \leftarrow y + F(\beta) \mod p; \beta \leftarrow H(\beta)$
- 8.  $i \leftarrow i + 1$

- 9. end while
- 10. **if** *i* < *p* **then**
- 11. return  $(x y)/i \mod p$
- 12. else
- 13. return FAIL

```
14. end if
```

To study this algorithm, we define the sequence  $(\gamma_i)$  by  $\gamma_1 = h$  and  $\gamma_{i+1} = H(\gamma_i)$  for  $i \ge 1$ .

**1.** Show that in the **while** loop from Steps 4 to 9 of the algorithm, we have  $\alpha = \gamma_i = g^x h^i$  and  $\beta = \gamma_{2i} = g^y h^{2i}$ .

We check these identities by induction on  $i \ge 1$ . For i = 1, they are satisfied since from lines 1 to 3 of the algorithm, we have  $x = 0, \alpha = h, y = F(h)$ , and  $\beta = H(h) = g^y h^2$ .

Now, let  $i \ge 1$  and denote by  $x_i, \alpha_i, y_i, \beta_i$  the values taken by  $x, \alpha_i, y, \beta$  at the beginning of the *i*-th iteration of the while loop. We assume that the identities  $\alpha_i = \gamma_i = g^{x_i} h^i$  and  $\beta_i = \gamma_{2i} = g^{y_i} h^{2i}$  hold.

At the end of the *i*-th iteration (or the beginning of the *i*+1-th), we have  $x_{i+1} = x_i + F(\alpha_i) \mod p$ , and  $\alpha_{i+1} = H(\alpha_i) = \alpha_i \cdot h \cdot g^{F(\alpha_i)} = (g^{x_i} \cdot h^i) \cdot h \cdot g^{F(\alpha_i)} = g^{x_i + F(\alpha_i)} \cdot h^{i+1} = g^{x_{i+1}} \cdot h^{i+1}$ . We also have  $\beta_{i+1} = H(H(\beta_i)) = H(\beta_i \cdot h \cdot g^{F(\beta_i)}) = \beta_i \cdot h^2 \cdot g^{F(\beta_i)} \cdot g^{F(H(\beta_i))} = g^{y_i} \cdot g^{F(\beta_i)} \cdot g^{F((\beta_i))} \cdot h^{2i+2}$ , and  $y_{i+1} = y_i + F(\beta_i) + F(H(\beta_i))$ , hence the identity  $\beta_{i+1} = g^{y_{i+1}} h^{2i+2}$ .

Show that if this loop terminates with *i* < *p*, then the algorithm returns the discrete logarithm of *h* in basis *g*.

When the loop finishes, we have  $\alpha = \beta$  and according to Question 1, this gives  $g^x h^i = g^y h^{2i}$ , thus  $h^i = g^{x-y}$ . If furthermore the loop finishes with i < p (note that i > 0), then since p is prime, i is invertible modulo p and  $h = g^u$  where  $u = (x - y)/i \mod p$ .

**3.** Let *j* be the smallest integer such that there exists k < j such that  $\gamma_j = \gamma_k$ . Show that  $j \leq p + 1$  and that the loop ends with i < j.

The sequence  $(\gamma_i)$  has its values in the finite group  $\mathbb{G}$  of cardinality p. By the pigeonhole principle, there exist two indices  $k < j \le p+1$  such that  $\gamma_k = \gamma_j$ ; then, since  $(\gamma_i)$  is defined by  $\gamma_{i+1} = H(\gamma_i)$ , this sequence repeats with period a divisor of j - k. *Remark:* we have  $\gamma_{k+t} = \gamma_{j+t}$  for any integer  $t \ge 0$ . This leads to representing the values of the sequence in a shape which looks like the letter  $\rho$ , hence the name of the algorithm.

From Question 1, we see that the algorithm simultaneously computes the values of  $\gamma_i$  and  $\gamma_{2i}$  and returns the first index *i* for which  $\gamma_i = \gamma_{2i}$ . Since the sequence repeats with period j - k, considering the smallest multiple *i* of j - k that is greater or equal to *k*, namely  $i = (j-k) \left[\frac{k}{j-k}\right]$ , we have that  $\gamma_i = \gamma_{2i}$ , since  $i \ge k$  and 2i - i = i is a multiple of the period j - k. Besides, the sequence  $k, k + 1, \dots, k + (j-k-1)$  contains a multiple of j - k, so that  $i \le j-1$  (we can also deduce it from the formula above for *i*).

**4.** Show that if *F* is a random function, then the average execution time of the algorithm is in  $O(p^{1/2})$  multiplications in  $\mathbb{G}$ .

If  $H : \mathbb{G} \to \mathbb{G}$  is a random function, according to the birthday paradox, the expected number of elements of the sequence  $(\gamma_i)$  needed to obtain two identical values is approximately  $\sqrt{\pi p/2}$ . Since every iteration of the while loop uses a constant number of multiplications in  $\mathbb{G}$ , the result follows.