# Calcul différentiel, rappels sur les sous-variétés de $\mathbb{R}^n$ Correction

## Exercice 1 (Définitions).

1. If  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^p$  is an open subset and if  $f: U \to \mathbb{R}^q$  is a function, then f is differentiable at  $x \in U$  if there exists a linear map  $df(x) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^p, \mathbb{R}^q)$  such that

$$f(x+h) = f(x) + df(x)h + o(h)$$

for h small enough. In this case, df(x) is unique and is called the differential of f at x. Note that there is a unique normed vector space topology on  $\mathbb{R}^p$  and  $\mathbb{R}^q$ , so that the notation o(h) refers to  $o_{\parallel \cdot \parallel'}(\parallel h \parallel)$  for any norms  $\parallel \cdot \parallel$  and  $\parallel \cdot \parallel'$  on  $\mathbb{R}^p$  and  $\mathbb{R}^q$ .

2. f is said to be of class  $\mathcal{C}^1$  if f is differentiable at every  $x \in U$  and if moreover, the function

$$\mathrm{d}f\colon x\in U\mapsto \mathrm{d}f(x)\in\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^p,\mathbb{R}^q)\simeq\mathbb{R}^{p\times q}$$

is continuous.

By induction, f is said to be of class  $\mathcal{C}^{k+1}$  if f is of class  $\mathcal{C}^1$  and of df is of class  $\mathcal{C}^k$ . It is said to be smooth (or of class  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ ) if it is of class  $\mathbb{C}^k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

- 3.  $f: U \to V$  is said to be a  $\mathcal{C}^k$  diffeomorphism if
  - f is of class  $\mathcal{C}^k$ ,
  - f is bijective,
  - its inverse  $f^{-1}$  is of class  $\mathcal{C}^k$ .

It is said to be a local  $\mathcal{C}^k$  diffeomorphism if for all  $x \in U$ , there exists an open neighbourhood of x in U, say  $U_x$ , and an open neighbourhood of f(x) in V, say  $V_x$ , such that  $f: U_x \to V_x$  is a  $\mathcal{C}^k$  diffeomorphism.

- 4. Three equivalent definitions of a submanifold of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .  $M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a  $\mathcal{C}^k$  submanifold of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of dimension p if:
- (submersion)  $\forall x \in M, \exists U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  an open neighbourhood of x in  $\mathbb{R}^n, \exists f \colon U \to \mathbb{R}^{n-p}$  a  $\mathcal{C}^k$ -submersion (*i.e*  $\forall y \in U, df(y)$  is surjective), such that  $M \cap U = f^{-1}(\{0\})$ .
- (immersion)  $\forall x \in M, \exists U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  open neighbourhood of  $x, \exists V \subset \mathbb{R}^p$  open neighbourhood of 0 and  $\exists f \colon V \to \mathbb{R}^n$  a  $\mathcal{C}^k$ -immersion (*i.e*  $\forall y \in V, df(y)$  is injective) such that f(0) = xand f is an homeomorphism from V to  $U \cap M$ .
- (diffeomorphism)  $\forall x \in M, \exists U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  open neighbourhood of  $x, \exists V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  open neighbourhood of 0 and  $\exists f \colon U \to V$  a  $\mathcal{C}^k$ -diffeomorphism with f(x) = 0 such that  $f(U \cap M) = V \cap (\mathbb{R}^p \times \{0\}^{n-p}).$

Note that, thanks to the following Theorems, these two definitions are equivalent.

Exercice 2 (Théorèmes importants).

1. The implicit function Theorem. Let  $f: U \subset \mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}^q \to \mathbb{R}^q$  be a  $\mathcal{C}^k$  map and  $(x_0, y_0) \in U$  such that  $f(x_0, y_0) = 0$ . Suppose that the partial differential  $\partial_y f(x_0, y_0) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^q)$  is invertible,  $(\partial_y f(x_0, y_0)$  is the differential at  $y_0$  of the map  $y \mapsto f(x_0, y)$ . Then there exist  $V \subset \mathbb{R}^p$  open neighbourhood of  $x_0, W \subset \mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}^q$  open neighbourhood of  $(x_0, y_0)$ , and  $\varphi: V \to \mathbb{R}^p$  a  $\mathcal{C}^k$  map such that

$$\forall (x,y) \in W, f(x,y) = 0 \iff x \in V \text{ and } y = \varphi(x).$$

Moreover, we have  $\forall x \in V$ ,  $d\varphi(x) = -(\partial_y f(x,\varphi(x)))^{-1} \circ \partial_x f(x,\varphi(x)).$ 

2. The constant rank Theorem. Let  $f: U \subset \mathbb{R}^p \to \mathbb{R}^q$  be a  $\mathcal{C}^k$  map such that the rank of its differential is a constant map:

$$\exists r \in \mathbb{N}, \forall x \in U, \operatorname{rank} (\mathrm{d}f(x)) = r.$$

Then there exists  $\varphi \colon V_0 \to V_x$  and  $\psi \colon W_{f(x)} \to W_0$  two  $\mathcal{C}^k$ -diffeomorphisms, where  $V_x$  and  $V_0$  are open neighbourhood of x and 0 in  $\mathbb{R}^p$ , and  $W_{f(x)}$  and  $W_0$  are open neighbourhood of f(x) and 0 in  $\mathbb{R}^q$ , such that the map  $\tilde{f} := \psi \circ f \circ \varphi^{-1} \colon V_0 \to W_0$  is given by the map

$$f(x_1, \ldots, x_p) = (x_1, \ldots, x_r, 0 \ldots, 0).$$

In other words, f is conjugate (by two  $C^k$  diffeomorphisms) to the restriction of the projection onto the *r*-first coordinates.

3. The inverse function Theorem. Let  $f: U \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  be a  $\mathcal{C}^k$  map. Suppose  $df(x) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is invertible. Then there exists  $U_x \subset U$  an open neighbourhood of x in U and  $V_{f(x)} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  an open neighbourhood of f(x) such that the restriction

$$f: U_x \to V_{f(x)}$$

is a  $\mathcal{C}^k$  diffeomorphism. Moreover, we have  $\forall y \in U_x$ ,  $df^{-1}(f(y)) = (df(y))^{-1}$ .

In other words, f is a local  $\mathcal{C}^k$ -diffeomorphism at x.

Exercice 3 (Inversion par rapport à une sphère).

- 1. Note that  $f \circ f = \operatorname{id}_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}}$  and thus, f is invertible with  $f^{-1} = f$ . Moreover, f is  $\mathcal{C}^1$  as a product of two  $\mathcal{C}^1$  maps. It is then a bijective  $\mathcal{C}^1$  function with  $\mathcal{C}^1$  inverse: it is by definition a  $\mathcal{C}^1$ -diffeomorphism.
- 2. Let us compute the differential of f: fix  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$  and h small enough. We have

$$f(x+h) = \frac{x+h}{\|x+h\|^2}$$
  
=  $\frac{x+h}{\|x\|^2 + 2\langle x,h\rangle + \|h\|^2}$   
=  $\frac{x+h}{\|x\|^2} \frac{1}{1 + 2\langle \frac{x}{\|x\|^2},h\rangle + o(h)}$   
=  $\frac{x+h}{\|x\|^2} \left(1 - 2\left\langle \frac{x}{\|x\|^2},h\right\rangle + o(h)\right)$   
=  $f(x) + \frac{1}{\|x\|^2} \left(h - 2\left\langle \frac{x}{\|x\|},h\right\rangle \frac{x}{\|x\|}\right) + o(h).$ 

We thus have

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}, \forall h \in \mathbb{R}^n, \mathrm{d}f(x)h = \frac{1}{\|x\|^2} \left(h - 2\left\langle \frac{x}{\|x\|}, h \right\rangle \frac{x}{\|x\|}\right).$$

To conclude, one can either:

- invoke the fact that  $df(x) = \frac{1}{\|x\|^2} s_{x^{\perp}}$ , where  $s_{x^{\perp}}$  is the reflection across the hyperplane  $x^{\perp} = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \langle x, y \rangle = 0\},\$
- or do some (easy) computations that yield  $\langle df(x)u, df(x)v \rangle = \frac{\langle u,v \rangle}{||x||^4}$ .

## Exercice 4.

First, f is indeed of class  $\mathcal{C}^1$ . Moreover, if  $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ , we have by a direct computation:

$$\operatorname{Mat}_{\operatorname{can}}\left(\mathrm{d}f(x,y,z)\right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2e^{2y} & 2e^{2z} \\ 2e^{2x} & 0 & -2e^{2z} \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This yields det  $(df(x, y, z)) = -4 (e^{2(x+z)} + e^{2(y+z)}) \neq 0$ . It follows that df(x, y, z) is invertible, and the inverse function Theorem provides the existence of an open neighbourhood of (x, y, z) in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , say  $V_{(x,y,z)}$ , and of an open neighbourhood of f(x, y, z) in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , say  $W_{(x,y,z)}$ , such that the restriction  $f: V_{(x,y,z)} \to W_{(x,y,z)}$  is a  $\mathcal{C}^1$ -diffeomorphism. It follows that

$$\operatorname{Im}(f) = \bigcup_{(x,y,z) \in \mathbb{R}^3} W_{(x,y,z)}$$

is open in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Note that the equation f(x, y, z) = (-1, 0, 0) has no solution as  $2e^{2y} + 2e^{2z} > 0$  for all (x, y, z), so that  $\text{Im}(f) \neq \mathbb{R}^3$ .

### Exercice 5.

We will use the following fact: if  $u: U \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^p, \mathbb{R}^q)$  is a continuous family of linear maps, then the function  $r \mapsto \operatorname{rank}(u(x))$  is **lower** semi-continuous<sup>1</sup>, that is

 $\forall x \in U, \exists V_x \subset U \text{ open neighbourhood of } x, \forall y \in V_x, \operatorname{rank}(u(y)) \ge \operatorname{rank}(u(x)).$ 

Identifying linear maps in finite dimension with their matrices, this fact follows from a continuity argument about the determinant of some sub-matrix.

Let  $A = \{ \operatorname{rank}(\operatorname{d} f(x)) \mid x \in U \} \subset \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $A \neq \emptyset$  and A is bounded above by  $\min\{p, q\}$ : it follows that  $m = \max A$  exists and that  $m \leq \min\{p, q\}$ . Consider

$$W = \{x \in U \mid \operatorname{rank}(\mathrm{d}f(x)) = m\}.$$

Then  $W \neq \emptyset$  by definition of m. Let us show that W is open. The map f being  $\mathcal{C}^1$ , df is continuous and the map  $x \in U \mapsto \operatorname{rank} (df(x))$  is lower semi-continuous. Let  $x \in W$ . There exists an open neighbourhood  $V \subset U$  of x such that

$$\forall y \in V, m = \operatorname{rank}(\mathrm{d}f(x)) \leqslant \operatorname{rank}(\mathrm{d}f(y)) \leqslant \max_{z \in U} \operatorname{rank}(\mathrm{d}f(z)) = m,$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>During the class, I made the mistake to say it was **upper** semi-continuous. Apologize!

and df has constant rank m on V. In follows that  $V \subset W$ , and W is open. We are now able to apply the constant rank Theorem on W: if  $x \in W$  is fixed, there exists a diffeomorphism  $\varphi: V_x \to V_0$  (resp.  $\psi: \widetilde{V}_{f(x)} \to \widetilde{V}_0$ ) between neighbourhoods of x and 0 in  $\mathbb{R}^p$  (resp. of f(x)and 0 in  $\mathbb{R}^q$ ) such that

$$\widetilde{f} = \psi \circ f \circ \varphi^{-1} \colon V_0 \to \widetilde{V}_0$$

is given by  $\tilde{f}(x_1, \ldots, x_p) = (x_1, \ldots, x_m, 0, \ldots, 0)$ . As f is supposed injective and  $\varphi, \psi$  are diffeomorphisms, then  $\tilde{f}$  is injective. This implies that m = p: if not, we would have, for  $\varepsilon > 0$  small enough,  $\tilde{f}(0, \ldots, 0, \varepsilon) = \tilde{f}(0, \ldots, 0, -\varepsilon)$ , a contradiction. Recall that by definition,  $m \leq \min\{p,q\}$ , so that  $m = p \leq q$ .

For now, we know that on the open subset W, f is of rank  $p \leq q$ , that is, f is an immersion on W. To conclude, we need to show that W is dense in U; in order to do so, let us show that if  $V \subset U$  is an open subset, then  $V \cap W \neq \emptyset$ . Fix  $V \subset U$  open and define  $m_V =$ max{rank(df(x)) |  $x \in V$ } and  $W_V = \{x \in V \mid \text{rank}(df(x)) = m_V\}$ . The exact same study as above shows that  $W_V \neq \emptyset$ , that  $m_V = p$  and finally, we have  $W_V \subset V \cap W \neq \emptyset$ . This concludes the proof.

#### Exercice 6.

Let  $U = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x_1 < \cdots < x_n\}$ , which is open in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Consider the map

$$f: \quad \begin{array}{ccc} M_n(\mathbb{R}) \times U & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}^n \\ (M, (x_1, \dots, x_n)) & \longmapsto & (\chi_M(x_1), \dots, \chi_M(x_n)) \,, \end{array}$$

where  $\chi_M$  is the characteristic polynomial of M. It is a smooth map as it is polynomial in every entry. Let  $M_0$  be a matrix with n distincts real eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 < \ldots < \lambda_n$ : we thus have  $f(M_0, (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)) = 0$ . The matrix (in the canonical basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ) of  $\partial_2 f(M_0, (x_1, \ldots, x_n))$ is given by

$$Mat_{can} \left( \partial_2 f(M_0, (x_1, \dots, x_n)) = \begin{pmatrix} \chi'_{M_0}(x_1) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \chi'_{M_0}(x_n) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, det  $(\partial_2 f(M_0, (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)) = \prod_{i=1}^n \chi'_{M_0}(\lambda_i) \neq 0$  (recall that all  $\lambda_i$  are supposed distincts and  $\chi_{M_0}$  is of degree n, so that  $\chi_{M_0}$  and  $\chi'_{M_0}$  do not share any root). The result now follows from a direct application of the implicit function Theorem: there exists  $W \subset M_n(\mathbb{R}) \times U$  an open neighbourhood of  $(M_0, (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)), V \subset M_n(\mathbb{R})$  an open neighbourhood of  $M_0$  and  $\varphi \colon V \to U$  a smooth map such that

$$\forall (M, (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)) \in W, f (M, (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)) = 0 \iff M \in V \text{ and } (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = \varphi(M),$$

that is, all matrices  $M \in V$  have *n* distinct eigenvalues  $\lambda_1(M) < \cdots < \lambda_n(M)$  which are smooth maps of M.