Several phases

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

Stochastic bandit lower bound

Pierre Ménard

May 16, 2016

Several phases

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Environment and strategy

• K arms bandit problem, $\nu = (\mathcal{B}(\mu_1), .., \mathcal{B}(\mu_K))$ with $\mu_i \in (0, 1)$. Game, for each round $t \ge 1$:

- 1. Player pulls arm $A_t \in \{1, .., K\}$.
- 2. He gets a reward $Y_t \sim \mathcal{B}(\mu_{A_t})$.
- Information available at time t :

$$I_t = (Y_1, \ldots, Y_t).$$

Regret

• Optimal arm and gap :

$$\mu^{\star} = \max_{a=1,\ldots,K} \mu_a \quad \text{ and } \quad \Delta_a = \mu^{\star} - \mu_a \,.$$

• Number of time arm *a* is pulled :

$$N_a(T) = \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{I}_{\{A_t=a\}}.$$

• Goal of the player, minimize the expected regret :

$$R_{\nu,T} = T\mu^{\star} - \mathbb{E}_{\nu}\left[\sum_{t=1}^{T} Y_{t}\right] = \sum_{a=1}^{K} \Delta_{a} \mathbb{E}_{\nu}[N_{a}(T)].$$

(tower rule)

Main inequality

Several phases

(F)

Tow blocks inequality :

$$\sum_{a=1}^{K} \mathbb{E}_{\nu}[N_{a}(T)] \operatorname{kl}(\mu_{a}, \mu_{a}') = \operatorname{KL}(\mathbb{P}_{\nu}^{I_{T}}, \mathbb{P}_{\nu'}^{I_{T}}) \geq \operatorname{kl}(\mathbb{E}_{\nu}[Z], \mathbb{E}_{\nu'}[Z]),$$

where

- $\mathbb{P}_{\nu}^{I_T}$ and $\mathbb{P}_{\nu'}^{I_T}$ respective distributions of I_T under \mathbb{P}_{ν} and $\mathbb{P}_{\nu'}$
- kl the Kullback-Leibler divergence for Bernoulli distributions :

$$orall p, q \in [0,1]^2, \qquad \mathrm{kl}(p,q) = p \ln rac{p}{q} + (1-p) \ln rac{1-p}{1-q},$$

• Z a $\sigma(I_T)$ -measurable random variable with values in [0, 1].

Main inequality

Several phases

(F)

Tow blocks inequality :

$$\sum_{a=1}^{K} \mathbb{E}_{\nu}[N_{a}(T)] \operatorname{kl}(\mu_{a}, \mu_{a}') = \operatorname{KL}(\mathbb{P}_{\nu}^{I_{T}}, \mathbb{P}_{\nu'}^{I_{T}}) \geqslant \operatorname{kl}(\mathbb{E}_{\nu}[Z], \mathbb{E}_{\nu'}[Z]),$$

where

- $\mathbb{P}_{\nu}^{I_T}$ and $\mathbb{P}_{\nu'}^{I_T}$ respective distributions of I_T under \mathbb{P}_{ν} and $\mathbb{P}_{\nu'}$
- kl the Kullback-Leibler divergence for Bernoulli distributions :

$$orall p, q \in [0,1]^2, \qquad \mathrm{kl}(p,q) = p \ln rac{p}{q} + (1-p) \ln rac{1-p}{1-q},$$

• Z a $\sigma(I_T)$ -measurable random variable with values in [0, 1].

Typically $Z = N_a(T)/T$.

:

ヘロト 人間 とくほとくほとう

æ

Proof.

• Equality in F, an application of chain rule for Kullback-Leibler divergences

$$\sum_{a=1}^{K} \mathbb{E}_{\nu}[N_{a}(T)] \operatorname{kl}(\mu_{a}, \mu_{a}') = \operatorname{KL}(\mathbb{P}_{\nu}^{I_{T}}, \mathbb{P}_{\nu'}^{I_{T}})$$

Proof.

• Equality in F, an application of chain rule for Kullback-Leibler divergences

$$\sum_{a=1}^{K} \mathbb{E}_{\nu}[N_{a}(T)] \operatorname{kl}(\mu_{a}, \mu_{a}') = \operatorname{KL}(\mathbb{P}_{\nu}^{I_{T}}, \mathbb{P}_{\nu'}^{I_{T}})$$

• Inequality in F, use contraction of entropy : Let $V \sim \mathcal{U}[0, 1]$ independent of I_T , and the event $E = \{Z \ge V\}$ then

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{KL}(\mathbb{P}_{\nu}^{l_{\mathcal{T}}},\mathbb{P}_{\nu'}^{l_{\mathcal{T}}}) &= \mathrm{KL}(\mathbb{P}_{\nu}^{l_{\mathcal{T}}}\otimes\mathcal{U},\mathbb{P}_{\nu'}^{l_{\mathcal{T}}}\otimes\mathcal{U}) \geqslant \mathrm{KL}\Big((\mathbb{P}_{\nu}^{l_{\mathcal{T}}}\otimes\mathcal{U})^{\mathbb{I}_{E}},\ (\mathbb{P}_{\nu'}^{l_{\mathcal{T}}}\otimes\mathcal{U})^{\mathbb{I}_{E}}\Big) \\ &= \mathrm{kl}((\mathbb{P}_{\nu}^{l_{\mathcal{T}}}\otimes\mathcal{U})(E),\ (\mathbb{P}_{\nu'}^{l_{\mathcal{T}}}\otimes\mathcal{U})(E))\,. \end{split}$$

The proof is concluded by noting that for all $\alpha = \nu$ or ν' ,

$$(\mathbb{P}^{I_{\mathcal{T}}}_{\alpha}\otimes\mathcal{U})(E)=\mathbb{E}_{\alpha}[Z].$$

・ロト ・ 国 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

э



Definition

A strategy is consistent if for all bandits problems ν , for all suboptimal arms *a*, i.e. $\Delta_a > 0$, it satisfies $\mathbb{E}_{\nu}[N_a(T)] = o(T^{\alpha})$ for all $0 < \alpha \leq 1$.

Lower bound from Lai & Robbins :

Theorem (asymptotic distribution-dependent lower bounds)

For all consistent strategies, for all bandits problems ν , for all suboptimal arms a,

$$\liminf_{T \to \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\nu}[N_{a}(T)]}{\ln T} \ge \frac{1}{\mathrm{kl}(\mu_{a}, \mu^{\star})}$$

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

ж



Figure : Bernoulli bandit problem with parameters : $(\mu_a)_{1 \le a \le 6} = (0.05, 0.04, 0.02, 0.015, 0.01, 0.005)$

- Linear regret for T small.
- Logarithmic regret for large T (asymptotic lower bound).

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

Absolute lower bound for a suboptimal arm

In what follows $\nu = (\mathcal{B}(\mu_1), ..., \mathcal{B}(\mu_K))$ with an unique optimal arm i^* .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

Absolute lower bound for a suboptimal arm

In what follows $\nu = (\mathcal{B}(\mu_1), ..., \mathcal{B}(\mu_K))$ with an unique optimal arm i^* .

Uniform strategy : pull an arm uniformly at random at each round.

Definition

A strategy is smarter than the uniform strategy if for all bandit problems ν , for all $T \ge 1$,

$$\mathbb{E}_{
u}[N_{i^{\star}}(T)] \geqslant rac{T}{K} \ \mathbb{E}_{
u}[N_{a}(T)] \leqslant rac{T}{K} \quad a ext{ supotimal.}$$

Main inequality 00 0 Several phases

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Theorem

For all strategies that are smarter than the uniform strategy, for the bandit problems ν , for all arms a, for all $T \ge 1$,

$$\mathbb{E}_{\nu}[N_{a}(T)] \geq \frac{T}{K} \left(1 - \sqrt{2T \mathrm{kl}(\mu_{a}, \mu^{\star})}\right).$$

In particular,

$$\forall T \leq \frac{1}{8\mathrm{kl}(\mu_{a},\mu^{\star})}, \qquad \mathbb{E}_{\nu}[N_{a}(T)] \geq \frac{T}{2K}.$$

Linear regret

Main inequality 00 0 Several phases

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

a suboptimal arm.

Modified bandit problem $\nu' = (\mathcal{B}(\mu_1), .., \mathcal{B}(\mu'_a), .., \mathcal{B}(\mu_K))$ with $\mu'_a > \mu^*$. Tow blocks inequality,

$$\mathbb{E}_{\nu}[N_{a}(T)] \operatorname{kl}(\mu_{a}, \mu_{a}') \geq \operatorname{kl}\left(\mathbb{E}_{\nu}[N_{a}(T)]/T, \ \mathbb{E}_{\nu'}[N_{a}(T)]/T\right)$$

smarter than the uniform : $\mathbb{E}_{\nu}[N_a(T)]/T \leq 1/K \leq \mathbb{E}'_{\nu}[N_a(T)]/T$ and $q \mapsto \mathrm{kl}(p,q)$ is increasing on [p, 1],

$$\geqslant \operatorname{kl} \left(\mathbb{E}_{\nu}[N_{a}(T)] / T, \ 1 / \mathcal{K}
ight)$$

Pinsker inequality,

$$\geq \frac{K}{2} \Big(\mathbb{E}_{\nu}[N_{a}(T)]/T - 1/K \Big)^{2}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

Still with $\mathbb{E}_{\nu}[N_{a}(T)]/T \leq 1/K$:

$$\operatorname{kl}(\mu_{a},\mu_{a}')T/K \geq rac{K}{2} \left(\mathbb{E}_{\nu}[N_{a}(T)]/T - 1/K \right)^{2}$$