

Reinforcement Learning - Lectures 8-9

Contents

1	Planning in discounted MDPs	2
1.1	Now planning	2
2	Learning in finite discounted MDPs	5
2.1	Q-table formulation of Bellman optimality equation	6
3	Approximate dynamic programming	7
3.1	Performance loss due to Value Function Approximation	7
3.2	Bellman residual minimization	8
3.3	Minimizing the Bellman residual	9
3.4	Approximate Value Iteration (AVI)	9
3.5	Implementation of fitted Q-iterations	10
3.6	Non-parametric regressors	11
3.7	Neural Network approximation	12

1 Planning in discounted MDPs

1.1 Now planning

We look for an optimal policy ie: $\pi^* : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that:

$$V^{\pi^*} \geq V^\pi \quad \forall \pi$$

Note

The existence is not obvious at first!

Definition: Bellman operator T^*

$$T^* : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$$

$$\forall V \in \mathbb{R}^k, \quad (T^*V)(s) = \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} k(s, a, s') [r(s, a, s') + \gamma V(s')]$$

Proposition:

T^* is isotonic and a γ -contractant.

γ -contractant: $|\max(f) - \max(g)| \leq \max(|f - g|)$

Hence:

$$\begin{aligned} & (T^*V_1)(s) - (T^*V_2)(s) \\ &= \left| \max_a \sum_{s'} k(s, a, s') [r(s, a, s') + \gamma V_1(s')] - \max_a \sum_{s'} k(s, a, s') [r(s, a, s') + \gamma V_2(s')] \right| \\ &\leq \max_a \left(\sum_{s'} k(s, a, s') \gamma (V_1(s') - V_2(s')) \right) \\ &\leq \max_a \left(\sum_{s'} k(s, a, s') \gamma \max_{s'} |V_1(s') - V_2(s')| \right) \\ &\leq \gamma \|V_1 - V_2\|_\infty \max_a \left(\sum_{s'} k(s, a, s') \right) \\ &\Rightarrow \|T^*V_1 - T^*V_2\|_\infty \leq \gamma \|V_1 - V_2\|_\infty \end{aligned}$$

T^* isotonic: exercise

Consequences: T^* has a unique fixed point V^* ie: $\forall V_0 \in \mathbb{R}^k, (T^*)^n V_0 \rightarrow V^*$ when $n \rightarrow +\infty$

Theorem (Bellman optimality theorem):

V^* is the optimal value function ie: $V^*(s) = \max_\pi V^\pi(s)$. A policy such that $T^\pi V^* = V^*$ is an optimal policy.

Definition: Greedy Policy

For every $V \in \mathbb{R}^k$, there exists at least one policy π such that $T^\pi V = T^*V$.

This policy is called a greedy policy with respect to V and is characterized by:

$$\forall s \in \mathcal{S}, \quad \pi(s) \in \arg \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} k(s, a, s') [r(s, a, s') + \gamma V(s')]$$

Theorem (Policy improvement lemma):

For any policy π , any greedy policy π' with respect to V^π improves on π ie: $V^{\pi'} \geq V^\pi$

Proof: $T^{\pi'} V^\pi = T^* V^\pi \geq T^\pi V^\pi = V^\pi$ (by definition of greedy policy and isotonicity of T^π)

$$\Rightarrow (T^{\pi'})^2 V^\pi \geq T^{\pi'} V^\pi \geq V^\pi \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow (T^{\pi'})^n V^\pi \geq V^\pi$$

When $n \rightarrow +\infty$, $(T^{\pi'})^n V^\pi \rightarrow V^{\pi'}$ hence $V^{\pi'} \geq V^\pi$

Warning**Proof of Bellman optimality theorem:**

Let π^* be a greedy policy with respect to V^* .

- For any policy π , $T^\pi \leq T^*$ hence: $V^\pi = (T^\pi)^n V^\pi \leq (T^*)^n V^\pi \rightarrow V^*$ hence $V^* \geq V^\pi$
- $T^{\pi^*} V^* = T^* V^* = V^*$ hence $V^{\pi^*} = V^*$

Any finite MDP has a deterministic optimal policy.

Algorithm: Value iteration algorithm

Input: $\epsilon > 0$ the precision parameter, $V_0 \in \mathbb{R}^k$ an initial value function

Output: an ϵ -approximation of V^*

1. $V \leftarrow V_0$
2. while $\|T^* V - V\|_\infty \geq \frac{\epsilon(1-\gamma)}{\gamma}$ do
 - $V \leftarrow T^* V$
3. end while
4. return $T^* V$

Proof: Let $V_n = (T^*)^n V_0$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|V_n - V^*\|_\infty &\leq \|(T^*)V^* - (T^*)V_n\|_\infty + \|(T^*)V_n - V_n\|_\infty \\ &\leq \gamma \|V^* - V_n\|_\infty + \gamma \|V_n - V_{n-1}\|_\infty \end{aligned}$$

because $V_n = (T^*)V_{n-1}$. Hence:

$$\|V_n - V^*\|_\infty \leq \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} \|V_n - V_{n-1}\|_\infty$$

Now if $\|V_n - V_{n-1}\|_\infty \leq \frac{\epsilon(1-\gamma)}{\gamma}$ then $\|V_n - V^*\|_\infty \leq \epsilon$.

Proposition:

The Value iteration algorithm requires at most $\frac{\log\left(\frac{M}{\epsilon(1-\gamma)}\right)}{1-\gamma}$ iterations where $M = \|T^* V_0 - V_0\|_\infty$.

Proof:

$$\|V_{n+1} - V_n\|_\infty = \|(T^*)V_n - (T^*)V_{n-1}\|_\infty \leq \gamma \|V_n - V_{n-1}\|_\infty \leq \dots \leq \gamma^n \|T^* V_0 - V_0\|_\infty$$

Hence if:

$$n \geq \frac{\log\left(\frac{M}{\epsilon(1-\gamma)}\right)}{1-\gamma} \geq \frac{\log\left(\frac{M}{\epsilon(1-\gamma)}\right)}{-\log(\gamma)}$$

then $\gamma^n \leq \frac{\epsilon(1-\gamma)}{M\gamma}$ hence $\|V_{n+1} - V_n\|_\infty \leq \frac{\epsilon(1-\gamma)}{\gamma}$

Algorithm: Policy iteration algorithm

Input: an initial policy π_0

Output: π^* an optimal policy

1. $\pi \leftarrow \pi_0$
2. $\pi' \leftarrow \text{None}$
3. while $\pi \neq \pi'$ do
 - Compute V^π
 - $\pi' \leftarrow \pi$
 - $\pi \leftarrow$ a greedy policy with respect to V^π
4. end while
5. return π

Properties:

The policy iteration algorithm always returns an optimal policy in at most $|\mathcal{A}|^{|\mathcal{S}|}$ iterations (one can prove that the number of iterations can be bounded by $O\left(\frac{|\mathcal{A}|^{|\mathcal{S}|}}{|\mathcal{S}|}\right)$).

Lemma:

Let (U_n) be the sequence of value functions generated by the Value Iteration algorithm and (V_n) be the sequence of value functions generated by the above Policy Iteration algorithm. If $U_0 = V_0$ then $\forall n, U_n \leq V_n$

Proof by induction:

Assume that $U_n \leq V_n$ then:

$$U_{n+1} = T^*(U_n) \leq T^*(V_n) = T^{\pi_{n+1}}(V_n) \leq T^{\pi_{n+1}}(V_n) = V_{n+1}$$

Algorithm 3: Linear Programming

Let $\alpha : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a positive weight function over the states.

V^* is the solution of the linear program:

$$\min_{V \in \mathbb{R}^k} \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \alpha(s) V(s)$$

subject to:

$$\forall s \in \mathcal{S}, a \in \mathcal{A}, \quad V(s) \geq \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} k(s, a, s') [r(s, a, s') + \gamma V(s')]$$

Proof:

By Bellman's optimality equation $T^*(V^*) = V^*$ thus V^* satisfies the constraints with equality.

If V satisfies the constraint then let $W = V - V^*$

$$\forall s, a, \quad W(s) \geq \gamma \sum_{s'} k(s, a, s') W(s')$$

$\Rightarrow$ If $s_- \in \arg \min_s W(s)$ then:

$$W(s_-) \geq \gamma \sum_{s'} k(s_-, a, s') W(s') \geq \gamma W(s_-)$$

thus $W(s_-) \geq 0$ and $\forall s, W(s) \geq 0$ thus $V \geq V^*$

Therefore: $\sum_s \alpha(s) V(s) \geq \sum_s \alpha(s) V^*(s)$

2 Learning in finite discounted MDPs

Definition

State-action value function (Q-function):

The state-action value function $Q^\pi : \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of a policy π is the expected return when first taking action a in state s , and then following policy π :

$$\begin{aligned} Q^\pi(s, a) &= \mathbb{E}^\pi \left[\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \gamma^t R_t \mid S_1 = s, A_1 = a \right] \\ &= \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} k(s, a, s') [r(s, a, s') + \gamma V^\pi(s')] \end{aligned}$$

Remark: $V^\pi(s) = Q^\pi(s, \pi(s))$

Policy improvement Lemma 2:

For any policies π, π' :

$$\forall s \in \mathcal{S}, Q^\pi(s, \pi'(s)) \geq Q^\pi(s, \pi(s)) \Rightarrow \forall s \in \mathcal{S}, V^{\pi'}(s) \geq V^\pi(s)$$

Furthermore, if one of the inequalities in the hypothesis is strict, then at least one of the inequalities in the RHS is strict.

Proof: for all $s \in \mathcal{S}$:

$$\begin{aligned} V^\pi(s) &= Q^\pi(s, \pi(s)) \\ &\leq Q^\pi(s, \pi'(s)) \\ &= \sum_{s_1} k(s, \pi'(s), s_1) [r(s, \pi'(s), s_1) + \gamma V^\pi(s_1)] \end{aligned}$$

with $V^\pi(s_1) = Q^\pi(s_1, \pi(s_1)) \leq Q^\pi(s_1, \pi'(s_1))$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Rightarrow V^\pi(s) &\leq \sum_{s_1} k(s, \pi'(s), s_1) [r(s, \pi'(s), s_1) \\
&\quad + \gamma [\sum_{s_2} k(s_1, \pi'(s_1), s_2) [r(s_1, \pi'(s_1), s_2) + \gamma V^\pi(s_2)]]] \\
&\leq \dots = V^{\pi'}(s)
\end{aligned}$$

with $V^\pi(s_2) = Q^\pi(s_2, \pi(s_2)) \leq Q^\pi(s_2, \pi'(s_2))$

2.1 Q-table formulation of Bellman optimality equation

A policy π is optimal iff $\forall s \in \mathcal{S}, \pi(s) \in \arg \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} Q^\pi(s, a)$

Proof: A policy π such that:

$$\pi(s) \in \arg \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} Q^\pi(s, a) = \arg \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} k(s, a, s') [r(s, a, s') + \gamma V^\pi(s')]$$

is a greedy policy with respect to V^π and then $T^*V^\pi = T^\pi V^\pi = V^\pi$ hence $V^\pi = V^*$.

If $\exists s_0 \in \mathcal{S}, a \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $Q^\pi(s_0, \pi(s_0)) \leq Q^\pi(s_0, a)$ then by policy improvement lemma 2, the policy π' defined by:

$$\pi'(s) = \begin{cases} \pi(s) & \text{if } s \neq s_0 \\ a & \text{if } s = s_0 \end{cases}$$

is preferable: $V^{\pi'}(s_0) \geq V^\pi(s_0)$

The Q-learning Algorithm

Input:

- Q_0 an initial guess for the Q-table (may be zero)
- s_0 an initial state
- π a learning policy (may be greedy wrt Q)
- T the number of iterations

1. $Q \leftarrow Q_0$

2. $s \leftarrow s_0$

3. for t in $0, 1, \dots, T-1$ do

- $a \leftarrow \text{Select action } (\pi(Q, s))$
- $r', s' \leftarrow \text{observe reward and transition from state } s \text{ using action } a$
- $Q(s, a) \leftarrow Q(s, a) + \alpha_t(r' + \gamma(\max_{a'} Q(s', a')) - Q(s, a))$
- $s \leftarrow s'$

4. return Q

Theorem: Convergence of Q-learning

Let $\alpha_t(s, a) = \alpha_t \mathbf{1}_{(s_t, a_t)=(s, a)}$

If for all $s \in \mathcal{S}, a \in \mathcal{A}$ it holds that $\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \alpha_t(s, a) = \infty$ and $\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \alpha_t(s, a)^2 < \infty$ then with probability 1 the Q-learning algorithm converges to Q^* the optimal Q-table as $t \rightarrow +\infty$.

SARSA algorithm

Input: Same

1. $Q \leftarrow Q_0$
2. $s \leftarrow s_0$
3. $a \leftarrow \text{Select action } (\pi(Q, s))$
4. for t in $0, 1, \dots, T - 1$ do
 - $r', s' \leftarrow \text{random reward and transition from } s \text{ using action } a$
 - $a' \leftarrow \text{Select action } (\pi(Q, s'))$
 - $Q(s, a) \leftarrow Q(s, a) + \alpha_t(r(s, a, s') + \gamma Q(s', a') - Q(s, a))$
 - $s \leftarrow s'$
 - $a \leftarrow a'$
5. end for
6. return Q

Note

Distributional policy evaluation for homework: we just need to optimize the expectation criteria for homework.

3 Approximate dynamic programming

When the state space $\mathcal{S}$ is large (or continuous), we have access only to simulation and not the exact dynamics.

We need a representation of the value function by an approximation space.

$V^*, V^\pi : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

We will approximate $\tilde{V}^*, \tilde{V}^\pi$ in a space $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$, a subset of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{S}}$.

Sampling error: $r(s, a, s')$ and $k(s, a, s')$ will possibly not be known exactly. Instead you will have access to a simulation: $r(s, a, s') \sim \hat{r}(s, a, s'), k(s, a, s') \sim \hat{k}(s, a, s')$

- Sample $s \in \mathcal{S}$ with some probability distribution μ
- Given $s \in \mathcal{S}$, and an action $a \in \mathcal{A}$, sample s' according to $k(s, a, s')$

Today we focus on discounted infinite horizon MDPs.

3.1 Performance loss due to Value Function Approximation

$\pi^*(s) \in \arg \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} k(s, a, s') [r(s, a, s') + \gamma V^*(s')]$ is the optimal policy.

Now, assume that I only use V instead of V^* :

$\pi(s) \in \arg \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{s' \in \mathcal{S}} k(s, a, s') [r(s, a, s') + \gamma V(s')]$ is a suboptimal policy.

How much do I lose?

When V^π is the value function of policy π then:

Proposition:

$$\|V^* - V^\pi\|_\infty \leq \frac{2\gamma}{1-\gamma} \|V^* - V\|_\infty$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \|V^* - V^\pi\|_\infty &\leq \|T^*V^* - T^*V\|_\infty + \|T^\pi V - T^\pi V^\pi\|_\infty \\ &\leq \gamma \|V^* - V\|_\infty + \gamma \|V - V^\pi\|_\infty \\ &= \gamma \|V^* - V^\pi\|_\infty + \gamma (\|V - V^*\|_\infty + \|V^* - V^\pi\|_\infty) \\ &= 2\gamma \|V^* - V\|_\infty + \gamma \|V^* - V^\pi\|_\infty \\ &\leq \frac{2\gamma}{1-\gamma} \|V^* - V\|_\infty \end{aligned}$$

(where T^π, T^* are γ -contractant)

Proposition:

There exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that if $\|V^* - V\|_\infty \leq \epsilon$ then π is optimal and $V^\pi = V^*$.

Proof: Let $\delta = \min_{\pi: V^\pi \neq V^*} \|V^\pi - V^*\|_\infty$. We have $\delta > 0$ since the set of policies is finite. Let ϵ such that $\frac{2\gamma}{1-\gamma}\epsilon < \delta$. Then:

$$\|V - V^*\|_\infty \leq \epsilon \Rightarrow \|V^\pi - V^*\|_\infty \leq \delta$$

hence $V^\pi = V^*$

3.2 Bellman residual minimization

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a subset of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{S}}$, a function space equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|$.

For a function $V \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{S}}$, the Bellman residual is defined as: $B(V) = \|V - T^*V\|$. The optimal value function V^* satisfies $T^*V^* = V^*$ and hence $B(V^*) = 0$. This motivates to approximate V^* by $\inf_{V \in \mathcal{F}} B(V)$.

Here we first consider the norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ and we will express the suboptimality gap $\|V^* - V\|_\infty$ as a function of the Bellman Residual $B(V) = \|T^*V - V\|_\infty$.

Proposition:

For any function $V \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{S}}$:

1. $\|V^* - V\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{1-\gamma} \|T^*V - V\|_\infty$
2. Let π be the greedy policy with respect to V , then:

$$\|V^* - V^\pi\|_\infty \leq \frac{2}{1-\gamma} \|T^*V - V\|_\infty$$

3. Let $V_{BR} \in \arg \min_{V \in \mathcal{F}} \|T^*V - V\|_\infty$ then:

$$\|T^*V_{BR} - V_{BR}\|_\infty \leq (1+\gamma) \inf_{V \in \mathcal{F}} \|V^* - V\|_\infty$$

Overall, if π_{BR} is greedy with respect to V_{BR} then:

$$\|V^* - V^{\pi_{BR}}\|_\infty \leq \frac{2(1+\gamma)}{1-\gamma} \inf_{V \in \mathcal{F}} \|V^* - V\|_\infty$$

Proof:

$$1. \|V^* - V\|_\infty \leq \|V^* - T^*V\|_\infty + \|T^*V - V\|_\infty \leq \gamma \|V^* - V\|_\infty + \|T^*V - V\|_\infty$$

$$\text{Thus: } (1-\gamma)\|V^* - V\|_\infty \leq \|T^*V - V\|_\infty$$

2.

$$\begin{aligned} \|V^* - V^\pi\|_\infty &\leq \|V^* - V\|_\infty + \|V - V^\pi\|_\infty \\ &\leq \|V - T^*V\|_\infty + \|T^*V - V^\pi\|_\infty \\ &\leq \|T^*V - V\|_\infty + \gamma \|V - V^\pi\|_\infty \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Thus: } (1-\gamma)\|V^* - V^\pi\|_\infty \leq \|T^*V - V\|_\infty$$

$$\text{And using (1) we get: } \|V^* - V^\pi\|_\infty \leq \frac{2}{1-\gamma} \|T^*V - V\|_\infty$$

$$3. \|T^*V - V\|_\infty \leq \|T^*V - V^*\|_\infty + \|V^* - V\|_\infty \leq (1+\gamma)\|V^* - V\|_\infty$$

$$\text{hence: } \|T^*V_{BR} - V_{BR}\|_\infty \leq \inf_{V \in \mathcal{F}} \|T^*V - V\|_\infty \leq (1+\gamma)\|V^* - V\|_\infty$$

3.3 Minimizing the Bellman residual

Let $\mathcal{F} = \{f_\alpha, \alpha \in \Theta\}$ be a family of functions. We want to find $V_{BR} \in \arg \min_{V \in \mathcal{F}} B(V)$.

Minimizing B over $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is computationally hard / impossible.

Even if we choose a distribution μ on $\mathcal{S}$ and minimize in $\|\cdot\|_{\mu,2}$ norm can be hard:

$$\alpha \rightarrow B(\alpha) = \|T^*V_\alpha - V_\alpha\|_{\mu,2}^2 = \int_{\mathcal{S}} (T^*V_\alpha(s) - V_\alpha(s))^2 d\mu(s)$$

If it is the case we resort to stochastic gradient descent: $\alpha \leftarrow \alpha - \eta \nabla_\alpha B(\alpha)$.

- We draw n states at random according to the distribution μ : $s_1, \dots, s_n \sim \mu$
- We define the empirical Bellman residual for parameter α : $\hat{B}(\alpha) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (T^*V_\alpha(s_i) - V_\alpha(s_i))^2$
- We perform a gradient descent with:

$$\nabla_\alpha \hat{B}(\alpha) = \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (T^*V_\alpha(s_i) - V_\alpha(s_i)) (\gamma P^{\pi_\alpha} - I) \nabla V_\alpha(s_i)$$

where π_α is a greedy policy with respect to V_α and P^{π_α} is the transition matrix under policy π_α

3.4 Approximate Value Iteration (AVI)

VI is defined by: $V_{k+1} = T^*V_k$.

AVI is defined by: $V_{k+1} = \mathcal{A}(T^*V_k)$ where $\mathcal{A}$ is an approximation operator, typically a projection on a subspace $\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{S}}$. Then:

$$V_{k+1} = \arg \min_{V \in \mathcal{F}} \|T^*V_k - V\|_\infty$$

Proposition:

In that case, if $\mathcal{A} = \Pi_\infty$ is the projection operator in $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ then: $\mathcal{A}T^*$ is still a contraction and the iteration V_k of AVI converge.

Warning**Problem:**

Impractical in general since the projection Π_∞ is hard to compute.

Proposition:

Let V^K be the K -th iterate of AVI and π^K be the corresponding greedy policy. Then:

$$\|V^* - V^{\pi^K}\|_\infty \leq \frac{2\gamma}{(1-\gamma)^2} \max_{k \leq K} \|T^*V_k - \mathcal{A}T^*V_k\|_\infty + \frac{2\gamma^{K+1}}{(1-\gamma)} \|V^* - V_0\|_\infty$$

Proof: Let $\epsilon = \max_{k \leq K} \|T^*V_k - \mathcal{A}T^*V_k\|_\infty$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|V^* - V_{k+1}\|_\infty &\leq \|T^*V^* - T^*V_k\|_\infty + \|T^*V_k - V_{k+1}\|_\infty \\ &\leq \gamma \|V^* - V_k\|_\infty + \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

And:

$$\|V^* - V_k\|_\infty \leq (1 + \gamma + \dots + \gamma^{k-1})\epsilon + \gamma^k \|V^* - V_0\|_\infty \leq \frac{\epsilon}{1-\gamma} + \gamma^k \|V^* - V_0\|_\infty$$

From Proposition 1: $\|V^* - V^{\pi^K}\|_\infty \leq \frac{2}{1-\gamma} \|V^* - V_K\|_\infty$
hence:

$$\|V^* - V^{\pi^K}\|_\infty \leq \frac{2\gamma}{(1-\gamma)^2} \epsilon + \frac{2\gamma^{K+1}}{(1-\gamma)} \|V^* - V_0\|_\infty$$

3.5 Implementation of fitted Q-iterations

We assume that we have the generative model mentioned above:

- a sampler from distribution μ over $\mathcal{S}$
- a transition sampler: $S_{t+1} \mid S_t, A_t$

Then $Q^*(s, a) = \sum_{s'} k(s, a, s') [r(s, a, s') + \gamma V^*(s')]$ is the unique fixed point of $T^* : \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$:

$$T^*Q(s, a) = \sum_{s'} k(s, a, s') [r(s, a, s') + \gamma \max_b Q(s', b)]$$

So the fitted Q-iteration algorithm can be written: $Q_{k+1} = \mathcal{A}(T^*Q_k)$

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a vector space over $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A}$ defined by a set of features $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_d : \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ Q_\alpha(s, a) = \sum_{j=1}^d \alpha_j \phi_j(s, a), \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^d \right\}$$

μ is a probability distribution over $\mathcal{S}$

$$Q_{k+1} = \arg \min_{Q \in \mathcal{F}} \|T^*Q_k - Q\|_{\mu,2}^2$$

Algorithm: Fitted Q-Iteration

1. Start with $Q_0 : \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for example $= 0$
2. for $k = 1, \dots, K$ do
 - Sample $s_1, \dots, s_n \sim \mu$ and $a_1, \dots, a_n \sim \text{uniform over } \mathcal{A}$
 - Use the generative transitions to get $R_1, \dots, R_n$ and $s'_1, \dots, s'_n$ (rewards and next states associated to (s_i, a_i))
 - Compute an estimation $T^*Q_k(s_i, a_i)$ as $Z_i = R_i + \gamma \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} Q_k(s'_i, a)$
 - Compute Q_{k+1} by solving:

$$Q_{k+1} = \arg \min_{Q \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (Q(s_i, a_i) - Z_i)^2$$

Since $\mathcal{F}$ is linear, this is a classical least square minimization problem.

Note

$$Q(s, a) = \mathbb{E}[r(s, a, S') + \gamma \max_b Q(s', b)]$$

If $Q = Q_\theta$ then:

$$Q_\theta(s, a) = \mathbb{E}[r(s, a, S') + \gamma \max_b Q_\theta(s', b)]$$

We can use dynamics for many pairs:

- $S_i \sim \mu$
- $A_i \sim \text{uniform}$
- Sample S'_i as next state, R_i as reward ($r(S_i, A_i, S'_i)$)

$$l(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n (Q_\theta(S_i, A_i) - (R_i + \gamma \max_b Q_\theta(S'_i, b)))^2$$

Is the general iterating scheme:

- Start from $Q_0(s, a) = 0$
- $Q_{k+1} = Q_{\theta_{k+1}}$ where $\theta_{k+1} = \arg \min_\theta l(\theta)$

Parametric form: $Q_\theta(s, a) = \langle \phi(s, a), \theta \rangle$

Where $\phi(s, a) : \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is a feature vector.

3.6 Non-parametric regressors

K-nearest neighbors:

- Sample $s_1, \dots, s_n \sim \mu$
- For any $a \in \mathcal{A}$, sample $S'_{i,a}, R_{i,a}$ next state and reward according to the dynamics

- Start with $Q_0(s, a) = 0$
- For every i :

$$Q_{k+1}(s_i, a_i) = R_{i,a_i} + \gamma \max_b Q_k(S'_{i,a_i}, b)$$

Where $Q_k(s, b) = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{j=1}^K Q_k(s_{I_j}, b)$ where $d(s, s_{I_1(s)}) < \dots < d(s, s_{I_K(s)})$ are the K nearest neighbors of s in the training set $s_1, \dots, s_n$.

3.7 Neural Network approximation

We can also try to approximate the Q-function with a Neural Network: $Q_\theta(s, a)$ where θ are the weights of the NN.

Algorithm: Deep Q-Learning

1. epochs = 1000, θ_0 = random
2. for k in range(epochs):
 - Sample (S_i, A_i, S'_i) ($i = 1, \dots, n$)
 - $l_\theta = \sum_i [Q_\theta(S_i, A_i) - (R_i + \gamma \max_b Q_{\theta_k}(S'_i, b))]^2$
 - $\theta_{k+1} = \theta_k - \eta \nabla_\theta l(\theta_k)$