

Concentration: Negative association

Master 2 Mathematics and Computer Science

Guillaume Aubrun, Aurélien Garivier, Rémi Gribonval
2020-2021



Table of contents

References:

Negative Association - Definition, Properties, and Applications, *by David Wajc* <https://www.cs.cmu.edu/~dwajc/notes/Negative%20Association.pdf>

Balls and Bins: A Study in Negative Dependence, *by Balls and Bins: A Study in Negative Dependence*, <https://www.brics.dk/RS/96/25/BRICS-RS-96-25.pdf>

Definition

Intuitively: X_1, \dots, X_n are negatively associated when, if a subset I of variables is "high", a disjoint subset J has to be "low".

Definition

A set of real-valued random variables X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n is said to be negatively associated (NA) if for any two disjoint index sets $I, J \subset [n]$ and two functions f, g both monotone increasing or both monotone decreasing, it holds

$$\mathbb{E}\left[f(X_i : i \in I) g(X_j : j \in J)\right] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[f(X_i : i \in I)\right] \mathbb{E}\left[g(X_j : j \in J)\right]$$

NB: f is *monotone increasing* if $\forall i \in I, x_i \leq x'_i$ implies $f(x) \leq f(x')$.

First properties

Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be NA.

- For all $i \neq j$, $\mathbb{E}[X_i X_j] \leq \mathbb{E}[X_i] \mathbb{E}[X_j]$ i.e. $\text{Cov}(X_i, X_j) \leq 0$.
- For any disjoint subsets $I, J \subset [n]$ and all x_1, \dots, x_n ,

$$\mathbb{P}(X_i \geq x_i : i \in I \cup J) \leq \mathbb{P}(X_i \geq x_i : i \in I) \mathbb{P}(X_j \geq x_j : j \in J) \quad \text{and}$$
$$\mathbb{P}(X_i \leq x_i : i \in I \cup J) \leq \mathbb{P}(X_i \leq x_i : i \in I) \mathbb{P}(X_j \leq x_j : j \in J)$$

- For all x_1, \dots, x_n ,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_i \{X_i \geq x_i\}\right) \leq \prod_i \mathbb{P}(X_i \geq x_i) \quad \text{and}$$
$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_i \{X_i \leq x_i\}\right) \leq \prod_i \mathbb{P}(X_i \leq x_i)$$

- For all monotone increasing functions f_1, \dots, f_k depending on disjoint subsets of the $(X_i)_i$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\prod_j f_j(X)\right] \leq \prod_j \mathbb{E}[f_j(X)]$$

Consequence: NA concentrates better than independent

Chernoff-Hoeffding bound

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be NA random variables with $X_i \in [a_i, b_i]$ a.s. Then $S = X_1 + \dots + X_n$ satisfies Hoeffding's tail bound: for all $t \geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[|S - E[S]| \geq t\right] \leq 2 \exp\left(-\frac{2t^2}{\sum_i (b_i - a_i)^2}\right)$$

Examples of NA variables

- Independent variables...
- **0-1 principle** If X_1, \dots, X_n are Bernoulli variables and $\sum_i X_i \leq 1$ a.s., then they are NA.
- **Permutation distributions** If $x_1 \leq \dots \leq x_n$ and if X_1, \dots, X_n are random variables such that $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\} = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ a.s., then they are NA.
- **Sampling without replacement** If X_1, \dots, X_n are sample without replacement from $\{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$ (with $N \geq n$), then they are NA.

Union

If the $\{X_i : i \in I\}$ are NA, if $\{Y_j : j \in J\}$ are NA, and if the $\{X_i\}$ are independent from the $\{Y_j\}$, then the $\{X_i, Y_j : i \in I, j \in J\}$ are NA.

Concordant monotone

If the $\{X_i : i \in I\}$ are NA, if $f_1, \dots, f_k : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are all monotonically increasing and depend on different subsets of $[n]$, then

$\{f_j(X) : 1 \leq j \leq k\}$ are NA.

The same holds if $f_1, \dots, f_k : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are all monotonically decreasing.

Bins and balls

The standard bins and balls process consists of m balls and n bins. Each ball b is independently placed in bin i with probability $p_{b,i}$. The *occupancy number* B_i be the number of balls placed in bin i . In particular $\sum_i B_i = m$.

Prop: The B_i are NA.

Consequence: Concentration of the number $N = \sum_i \mathbb{1}\{B_i = 0\}$ of empty bins.

Proof: just apply the previous ideas to the $\{Z_{b,i} = \mathbb{1}\{\text{ball } b \text{ fell into box } i\} : 1 \leq b \leq m, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$.