On the computational expressivity of (circular) proofs with fixed points

Gianluca Curzi and Anupam Das

Cyclic proofs are an emerging topic of proof theory that is attracting increasing interest in the literature. This area originates (in its modern guise) in the context of the modal μ -calculus [NW96, DHL06], serving as an alternative framework to manipulate least and greatest fixed points, and hence to model inductive and coinductive reasoning as well as (co)recursion mechanisms.

Cyclic proof theory has been investigated in many settings, such as first-order inductive definitions [BS11, BT19], Kleene algebras [DP17, DP18], automata [KPP19, DBHS16, Dou17], continuous cut-elimination [FS13, BDS16], linear logic and proof nets [BDS16, DS19], arithmetic [Sim17, BT17, Das20b], Gödel's system T [Das20a, KPP21, Das21], and complexity [CD22a, CD22b].

In this paper we study the computational strength of μ LJ and its circular presentation C μ LJ, which are extensions of intuitionistic logic with least and greatest fixed points introduced by Clairambault in [Cla09, Cla13]. More specifically, we show that the number-theoretic functions representable in μ LJ and C μ LJ are exactly those provably total in μ PA, a first-order arithmetic with generalised inductive definitions (see, e.g., [Mos08]). Our fundamental theorem will be established via a series of inclusions comparing the computational expressivity of μ LJ and C μ LJ with various theories of arithmetic:

$$\mu \mathsf{PA} \stackrel{(i)}{\subseteq} \mu \mathsf{HA} \stackrel{(ii)}{\subseteq} \mu \mathsf{LJ} \stackrel{(iii)}{\subseteq} \mathsf{C}\mu \mathsf{LJ} \stackrel{(iv)}{\subseteq} \Pi_2^1 \operatorname{-}\mathsf{CA}_0 \stackrel{(v)}{\subseteq} \mu \mathsf{PA}$$

We first prove Π_2^0 -conservativity of μ PA over its intuitionistic version, μ HA, by standard double-negation translations (i). Secondly, we show that the provably total functions of μ HA are representable in μ LJ using standard realisability techniques (ii). Thirdly, we show a simulation result relating μ LJ and C μ LJ (iii). The most relevant contribution of this paper is the inclusion (iv), where we formalise a totality argument for circular proofs in Π_2^1 -CA₀, the subsystem of second-order arithmetic with Π_2^1 -comprehension and set induction. In particular, the totality argument is based on hereditary recursive models. We conclude by leveraging on a recent result by Möllerfeld in [Mö03], who showed that Π_2^1 -CA₀ is arithmetically conservative over μ PA (v).

As a future work, we would like to extend the above methods to other fixed point logics, such as μ LL (i.e., linear logic with least and greatest fixed points) [EJ21, EJS21] and its multiplicative-additive fragment μ MALL [BM07, BDS16]. Also, we are planning to investigate the computational strength of notable subsystems of μ LJ, such as the those restricting fixed points to parameter-free formulas or to strictly positive formulas.

References

- [BDS16] D. Baelde, A. Doumane, and A. Saurin. Infinitary proof theory: the multiplicative additive case. In *CSL*, 2016.
- [BM07] D. Baelde and D. Miller. Least and greatest fixed points in linear logic. In *LPAR*, 2007.
- [BS11] J. Brotherston and A. Simpson. Sequent calculi for induction and infinite descent. J. Log. Comput., 2011.
- [BT17] S. Berardi and M. Tatsuta. Equivalence of inductive definitions and cyclic proofs under arithmetic. In *LICS*, 2017.
- [BT19] S. Berardi and M. Tatsuta. Classical system of Martin-Lof's inductive definitions is not equivalent to cyclic proofs. *Log. Methods Comput. Sci.*, 2019.
- [CD22a] G. Curzi and A. Das. Cyclic implicit complexity. In *LICS*, 2022.
- [CD22b] G. Curzi and A. Das. Non-uniform complexity via non-wellfounded proofs. CoRR, abs/2211.16104, 2022.
- [Cla09] P. Clairambault. Least and greatest fixpoints in game semantics. In R. Matthes and T. Uustalu, editors, *FICS*, 2009.
- [Cla13] P. Clairambault. Strong functors and interleaving fixpoints in game semantics. *RAIRO Theor. Informatics Appl.*, 2013.
- [Das20a] A. Das. A circular version of Gödel's T and its abstraction complexity. CoRR, abs/2012.14421, 2020.
- [Das20b] A. Das. On the logical complexity of cyclic arithmetic. Log. Methods Comput. Sci., 2020.
- [Das21] A. Das. On the logical strength of confluence and normalisation for cyclic proofs. In *FSCD*, 2021.
- [DBHS16] A. Doumane, D. Baelde, L. Hirschi, and A. Saurin. Towards completeness via proof search in the linear time μ -calculus: The case of büchi inclusions. In *LICS*, 2016.
- [DHL06] C. Dax, M. Hofmann, and M. Lange. A proof system for the linear time μ -calculus. In *FSTTCS*, 2006.
- [Dou17] A. Doumane. Constructive completeness for the linear-time μ -calculus. In *LICS*, 2017.
- [DP17] A. Das and D. Pous. A cut-free cyclic proof system for Kleene algebra. In *TABLEAUX*, 2017.
- [DP18] A. Das and D. Pous. Non-wellfounded proof theory for (Kleene+action)(algebras+lattices). In *CSL*, 2018.
- [DS19] A. De and A. Saurin. Infinets: The parallel syntax for non-wellfounded proof-theory. In *TABLEAUX*, 2019.

- [EJ21] T. Ehrhard and F. Jafar-Rahmani. Categorical models of linear logic with fixed points of formulas. In *LICS*, 2021.
- [EJS21] T. Ehrhard, F. Jafarrahmani, and Alexis Saurin. On relation between totality semantic and syntactic validity. In *TLLA*, June 2021.
- [FS13] J. Fortier and L. Santocanale. Cuts for circular proofs: semantics and cut-elimination. In *CSL*, 2013.
- [KPP19] D. Kuperberg, L. Pinault, and D. Pous. Cyclic proofs and jumping automata. In *FSTTCS*, 2019.
- [KPP21] D. Kuperberg, L. Pinault, and D. Pous. Cyclic proofs, system T, and the power of contraction. *POPL*, 2021.
- [Mos08] Yiannis N. Moschovakis. *Elementary induction on abstract structures*. Dover Publications, 2008.
- [Mö03] M. Möllerfeld. Generalized inductive definitions. the μ -calculus and Π_2^1 comprehension. *PhD thesis*, 2003.
- [NW96] D. Niwiński and I. Walukiewicz. Games for the μ -calculus. Theoretical Computer Science, 1996.
- [Sim17] A. Simpson. Cyclic arithmetic is equivalent to Peano arithmetic. In *FOSSACS*, 2017.