Parameterized Hardness of Art Gallery Problems

Édouard Bonnet, Till(mann) Miltzow

Institute for Computer Science and Control, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest

1st April 2016, EuroCG, Lugano

The ART GALLERY problems: Input: a polygon \mathcal{P} with *n* vertices, a positive integer *k*. Point Guard: find a set of at most *k* **points** guarding \mathcal{P} . Vertex Guard: find a set of at most *k* **vertices** guarding \mathcal{P} . The ART GALLERY problems: Input: a polygon \mathcal{P} with *n* vertices, a positive integer *k*. Point Guard: find a set of at most *k* **points** guarding \mathcal{P} . Vertex Guard: find a set of at most *k* **vertices** guarding \mathcal{P} .

Allowing holes make them as hard as Set Cover:

- For parameterized complexity: unlikely to be solvable in $n^{o(k)}$.
- ▶ For approximation: very unlikely to be *o*(log *n*)-approximable.

Parameterized hardness on simple polygons

Simple polygon: no holes and not self-crossing. The problems are known to remain NP-hard (even APX-hard).

Theorem (B., Miltzow)

Unless the ETH fails, they cannot be solved in time $n^{o(k/\log k)}$.

ETH: $\operatorname{3-Sat}$ cannot be solved in subexponential time.

Parameterized hardness on simple polygons

Simple polygon: no holes and not self-crossing. The problems are known to remain NP-hard (even APX-hard).

Theorem (B., Miltzow)

Unless the ETH fails, they cannot be solved in time $n^{o(k/\log k)}$.

ETH: 3-SAT cannot be solved in subexponential time.

Near tightness: Both are solvable in $n^{O(k)}$ Vertex Guard for an obvious reason Point Guard for an algebraic reason

Structured 2-Track Hitting Set

2-elements: $\forall i \in [t], \forall j \in [k] (a_i^j, b_i^j)$ Total orderings of the *a*-elements and the *b*-elements Sets: *A*-intervals and *B*-intervals Find *k* 2-elements thats hits all the sets



Structured 2-Track Hitting Set



Theorem (B., Miltzow)

Unless the ETH fails, STRUCTURED 2-TRACK HITTING SET cannot be solved in time $n^{o(k/\log k)}$.

Interval gadget



Puzzle¹ for you

Find 2 orderings of $\{1, \overline{1}, 2, \overline{2}, \dots, n, \overline{n}\}$ and a set-system over those elements such that:

- every set is an *interval* for one of the orders
- the minimum hitting sets are all the pairs $\{i, \overline{i}\}$

¹No guarantee of fun

Puzzle¹ for you

Find 2 orderings of $\{1, \overline{1}, 2, \overline{2}, \dots, n, \overline{n}\}$ and a set-system over those elements such that:

- every set is an *interval* for one of the orders
- the minimum hitting sets are all the pairs $\{i, \overline{i}\}$

Order 1: $1, 2, \ldots, n, \overline{1}, \overline{2}, \ldots, \overline{n}$ Order 2: $\overline{1}, \overline{2}, \ldots, \overline{n}, 1, 2, \ldots, n$

¹No guarantee of fun

Puzzle¹ for you

Find 2 orderings of $\{1, \overline{1}, 2, \overline{2}, \dots, n, \overline{n}\}$ and a set-system over those elements such that:

- every set is an *interval* for one of the orders
- the minimum hitting sets are all the pairs $\{i, \overline{i}\}$

Order 1: $1, 2, \ldots, n, \overline{1}, \overline{2}, \ldots, \overline{n}$ Order 2: $\overline{1}, \overline{2}, \ldots, \overline{n}, 1, 2, \ldots, n$

Set-system: $\forall i, \{i, i+1, \dots, n, \overline{1}, \overline{2}, \dots, \overline{i-1}\}$ $\forall i, \{\overline{i}, \overline{i+1}, \dots, \overline{n}, 1, 2, \dots, \overline{i-1}\}$

¹No guarantee of fun

Weak point linker



Weak point linker



Point linker (triangle of weak linkers)



The big picture



The STRUCTURED 2-TRACK HITTING SET instance is satifiable iff one can guard the polygon with 3*k* points.











Filter





Thank you for attention!