Parameterized Hardness of Art Gallery Problems

Édouard Bonnet, Till(mann) Miltzow

Institute for Computer Science and Control, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest

August 22, 2016, ESA, Aarhus

Art Gallery Problem



Polygon with n vertices.

Art Gallery Problem



Polygon with n vertices.
Guard the gallery with k **points**.

Art Gallery Problem



Polygon with n vertices.
Guard the gallery with k **vertices**.

The Art Gallery problems:
Input: a polygon $\mathcal{P}$ with n vertices, a positive integer k.
Point Guard: find a set of at most k **points** guarding $\mathcal{P}$.
Vertex Guard: find a set of at most k **vertices** guarding $\mathcal{P}$.

The Art Gallery problems:
Input: a polygon $\mathcal{P}$ with n vertices, a positive integer k.
Point Guard: find a set of at most k **points** guarding $\mathcal{P}$.
Vertex Guard: find a set of at most k **vertices** guarding $\mathcal{P}$.

Holes make them as hard as Set Cover [Eidenbenz et al. '01]:



- For parameterized complexity: unlikely to be solvable in $n^{o(k)}$.
- For approximation: very unlikely to be $o(\log n)$-approximable.

Parameterized hardness on **simple polygons**[1]

The problems are known to remain NP-hard; even APX-hard.

Both are solvable in $n^{O(k)}$:
Vertex Guard for an obvious reason
Point Guard for an algebraic reason

[1] No holes and not self-crossing

Parameterized hardness on **simple polygons**[1]

The problems are known to remain NP-hard; even APX-hard.

Both are solvable in $n^{O(k)}$:
Vertex Guard for an obvious reason
Point Guard for an algebraic reason

Theorem (B., Miltzow)

Unless the ETH fails, they cannot be solved in time $f(k)n^{o(k/\log k)}$.

ETH: 3-Sat cannot be solved in subexponential time.

[1] No holes and not self-crossing

Interval gadget



Interval gadget



Okay, but hitting intervals is easy.

2-track intervals

We should ensure that placing a guard at one point/vertex *forces* you to place another guard at its colleague point/vertex.

Structured 2-Track Hitting Set

2-elements: $\forall i \in [t], \forall j \in [k]$ (a_i^j, b_i^j)

Total orderings of the a-elements and the b-elements

Sets: *A-intervals* and *B-intervals*

Goal: Find k 2-elements thats hits all the sets



Structured 2-Track Hitting Set



Theorem (B.,Miltzow)

Unless the ETH fails, Structured 2-Track Hitting Set *cannot be solved in time* $n^{o(k/\log k)}$.

Puzzle for you

Find 2 orderings of $\{1, \overline{1}, 2, \overline{2}, \ldots, n, \overline{n}\}$ and a set-system over those elements such that:

- every set is an *interval* for one of the orders
- the minimum hitting sets are all the pairs $\{i, \overline{i}\}$

Puzzle for you

Find 2 orderings of $\{1, \overline{1}, 2, \overline{2}, \ldots, n, \overline{n}\}$ and a set-system over those elements such that:

- every set is an *interval* for one of the orders
- the minimum hitting sets are all the pairs $\{i, \overline{i}\}$

Order 1: $1, 2, \ldots, n, \overline{1}, \overline{2}, \ldots, \overline{n}$
Order 2: $\overline{1}, \overline{2}, \ldots, \overline{n}, 1, 2, \ldots, n$

Puzzle for you

Find 2 orderings of $\{1, \overline{1}, 2, \overline{2}, \ldots, n, \overline{n}\}$ and a set-system over those elements such that:

- every set is an *interval* for one of the orders
- the minimum hitting sets are all the pairs $\{i, \overline{i}\}$

Order 1: $1, 2, \ldots, n, \overline{1}, \overline{2}, \ldots, \overline{n}$
Order 2: $\overline{1}, \overline{2}, \ldots, \overline{n}, 1, 2, \ldots, n$

Set-system:
$\forall i,\ \{i, i+1, \ldots, n, \overline{1}, \overline{2}, \ldots, \overline{i-1}\}$
$\forall i,\ \{\overline{i}, \overline{i+1}, \ldots, \overline{n}, 1, 2, \ldots, i-1\}$

Point Guard

Weak point linker



Weak point linker



Point linker (triangle of weak linkers)



The big picture



The Structured 2-Track Hitting Set instance is satifiable iff one can guard the polygon with $3k$ points.

Vertex linker



Vertex linker



Vertex linker



Vertex linker



Vertex linker



Filter



The big picture



Thank you for attention!