Levenberg-Marquardt method for nonlinear least-squares problems with noisy function and gradient

Elisa Riccietti

Institut de Recherche en Informatique de Toulouse (IRIT)

Joint work with: Stefania Bellavia (Università di Firenze), Serge Gratton (INPT-ENSEEIHT, Toulouse)

Manchester, 23 January 2018

K ロ K K d K K K X X R X X R X R R

 299

Large scale problems with noisy function and noisy gradient

Let us consider the following nonlinear least squares problem:

$$
\min_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n}f(x)=\frac{1}{2}\|F(x)\|^2
$$

where $F: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ with $N \geq n$, continuously differentiable.

Noisy function and noisy gradients

We are interested in **large scale** problems for which either:

- **e** exact values for the function and the gradient are not available,
- **•** computing exact values is computationally demanding.

Function approximations

- We want to rely on cheap approximations f_{δ} to f of known accuracy.
- We consider an iterative process that employs a sequence of approximations $\{f_{\delta_k}\}$ and at each iteration k considers an approximated problem:

$$
\min_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n} f_{\delta_k}(x) = \frac{1}{2} ||F_{\delta_k}(x)||^2, \ \ F_{\delta_k} \sim F
$$

 \bullet δ_k is the accuracy level of the approximations:

$$
|f_{\delta_k}(x_k)-f(x_k)|\leq \delta_k.
$$

We assume that the accuracy level can be improved along the optimization process: $\delta_k \searrow 0$.

Function approximations

- We want to rely on cheap approximations f_{δ} to f of known accuracy.
- We consider an iterative process that employs a sequence of approximations $\{f_{\delta_k}\}$ and at each iteration k considers an approximated problem:

$$
\min_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n} f_{\delta_k}(x) = \frac{1}{2} ||F_{\delta_k}(x)||^2, \ \ F_{\delta_k} \sim F
$$

 \bullet δ_k is the accuracy level of the approximations:

$$
|f_{\delta_k}(x_k)-f(x_k)|\leq \delta_k.
$$

We assume that the accuracy level can be improved along the optimization process: $\delta_k \searrow 0$.

Notations

- \int_{δ_k} approximation to the Jacobian matrix J of F ,
- g_{δ_k} approximation to the gradient g of f .

Typical applications

Subsampling techniques

- Machine learning, Data assimilation.
- Large set of data at disposal: $\{1, \ldots, N\}$. Subsampling: $X_k \subseteq \{1, ..., N\}$ such that $|X_k| = K_k \le N$ is selected.
- $\mathcal{F}_{\delta_k}:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{K}_k}$ such that $(\mathcal{F}_{\delta_k})_i=\mathcal{F}_j$, $j\in\mathcal{X}_k$ is built.

$$
\bullet \ \ f_{\delta_k}(x) = \tfrac{1}{2} \| F_{\delta_k}(x) \|^2
$$

• approximation can be improved by considering more observations.

Iterative methods

- \bullet f is the result of an iterative process (solution of a nonlinear equation or an inversion process) that can be stopped when a certain accuracy level is reached.
- By varying the stopping criterion we vary the accuracy of the approximation.

The step

The step is the solution of the linearized least squares subproblem:

$$
\min_{p \in \mathbb{R}^n} m_k(x_k + p) = \frac{1}{2} ||F_{\delta_k}(x_k) + J_{\delta_k}(x_k)p||^2 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_k ||p||^2,
$$

where $\lambda_k > 0$ is an appropriately chosen regularization parameter. • This is equivalent to:

$$
(J_{\delta_k}(x_k)^T J_{\delta_k}(x_k) + \lambda_k I) p_k = -g_{\delta_k}(x_k)
$$

1) The step

The step is the solution of the linearized least squares subproblem:

$$
\min_{p \in \mathbb{R}^n} m_k(x_k + p) = \frac{1}{2} ||F_{\delta_k}(x_k) + J_{\delta_k}(x_k)p||^2 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_k ||p||^2,
$$

where $\lambda_k > 0$ is an appropriately chosen regularization parameter. • This is equivalent to:

$$
(J_{\delta_k}(x_k)^T J_{\delta_k}(x_k) + \lambda_k I) p_k = -g_{\delta_k}(x_k) + r_k.
$$

Large scale problems: an inexact step is computed.

つひひ

1) The step

The step is the solution of the linearized least squares subproblem:

$$
\min_{p \in \mathbb{R}^n} m_k(x_k + p) = \frac{1}{2} ||F_{\delta_k}(x_k) + J_{\delta_k}(x_k)p||^2 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_k ||p||^2,
$$

where $\lambda_k > 0$ is an appropriately chosen regularization parameter. • This is equivalent to:

$$
(J_{\delta_k}(x_k)^T J_{\delta_k}(x_k) + \lambda_k I) p_k = -g_{\delta_k}(x_k) + r_k.
$$

- Large scale problems: an inexact step is computed.
- For a residual, $\|r_k\|\leq \epsilon_k\|{\mathcal{g}}_{\delta_k}\|$ with ϵ_k small enough, the step achieves the Cauchy decrease:

$$
m_k(x_k)-m_k(x_k+p)\geq \frac{\theta}{2}\frac{\|g_{\delta_k}(x_k)\|^2}{\|J_{\delta_k}(x_k)\|^2+\lambda_k},\qquad \theta>0.
$$

which is sufficient to get global convergenc[e.](#page-8-0)

Assumption

1 It exists $\bar{K} > 0$ and $\delta_k \geq 0$, such that:

$$
|f_{\delta_k}(x_k) - f(x_k)| = \left|\frac{1}{2}||F_{\delta_k}(x_k)||^2 - \frac{1}{2}||F(x_k)||^2\right| \leq \delta_k,
$$

$$
||g(x_k) - g_{\delta_k}(x_k)|| \leq \bar{K}\delta_k.
$$

2 It is possible to drive δ_k to zero.

- The optimization process starts with a given initial noise level $\delta = \delta_0$.
- Noise control: our method relies on a mechanism to control the noise: at each iteration the noise is measured and reduced if it is judged to be too large.

2) Noise control

• Given the noise level δ_k , in [Trust region methods, Conn, Gould, Toint] this condition is used:

$$
\delta_k \leq \eta_0[m_k(x_k)-m_k(x_k+p_k^{LM})],
$$

with η_0 appropriately chosen, to ensure a true reduction in the noise-free objective function f .

- $m_k(x_k) m_k(x_k + p_k^{LM}) = O(\lambda_k || p_k^{LM} ||^2).$
- Noise control:

 $\delta_k \leq \kappa_d \lambda_k^{\alpha} \|p_k^{LM}\|^2,$

for suitable constants $\kappa_{\bm d} > 0$ and $\alpha \in \left[\frac{1}{2}\right]$ $(\frac{1}{2}, 1).$

O The noise tends to zero:

$$
\lim_{k\to\infty}\lambda_k\|\rho_k^{LM}\|^2=0.
$$

Step acceptance based on ratio between actual and predicted reduction:

$$
\rho_k^{\delta_k}(p_k^{LM}) = \frac{f_{\delta_{k-1}}(x_k) - f_{\delta_k}(x_k + p_k^{LM})}{m_k(x_k) - m_k(x_k + p_k^{LM})}.
$$

Given $0 < n_1 < 1$.

D If $\rho_k^{\delta_k}(p_k^L M) \ge \eta_1$, accept the step: $x_{k+1} = x_k + p_k^L M$,

2 Otherwise reject the step: $x_{k+1} = x_k$.

(K ≣) (K ≣) } ≣ } } ⊙ Q Q Q

The parameter update is inspired by [Bergou, Gratton, Vicente, 2016] and [Bandeira, Scheinberg, Vicente, 2014]. Given $\gamma > 1$

• Successful step:

$$
\lambda_{k+1} = \begin{cases} \min\{\gamma \lambda_k, \lambda_{\max}\} & \text{if } \|g_{\delta_k}(x_k)\| < \eta_2/\lambda_k, \\ \lambda_k & \text{if } \|g_{\delta_k}(x_k)\| \ge \eta_2/\lambda_k. \end{cases}
$$

o Unsuccessful step:

$$
\lambda_{k+1} = \gamma \lambda_k.
$$

We increase the parameter even in case of successful iterations.

The parameter update is inspired by [Bergou, Gratton, Vicente, 2016] and [Bandeira, Scheinberg, Vicente, 2014]. Given $\gamma > 1$

• Successful step:

$$
\lambda_{k+1} = \begin{cases} \min\{\gamma\lambda_k, \lambda_{\max}\} & \text{if } \|g_{\delta_k}(x_k)\| < \eta_2/\lambda_k, \\ \lambda_k & \text{if } \|g_{\delta_k}(x_k)\| \ge \eta_2/\lambda_k. \end{cases}
$$

o Unsuccessful step:

$$
\lambda_{k+1} = \gamma \lambda_k.
$$

We increase the parameter even in case of successful iterations. $\sqrt{ }$ \setminus

$$
\frac{\|g(x_k)\|}{(1+c_k)} \leq \|g_{\delta_k}(x_k)\| \leq \frac{\|g(x_k)\|}{(1-c_k)}, \text{ with } c_k = O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_k^{1-\alpha/2}}\right).
$$

Assumptions

Assumption 1:

Function f is continuously differentiable, and it exists $\kappa_1 > 0$ such that for all $k \geq 0$ and all $x \in [x_k, x_k + \rho_k^{\mathcal{LM}}]$, $||J_{\delta_k}(x)|| \leq \kappa_J$.

Assumption 2: f has Lipschitz continuous gradient: $||g(x) - g(y)|| \le L||x - y||$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Let the residual be small enough, i.e. r_k satisfies $\|r_k\| \leq \epsilon_k \|g_{\delta_k}\|$, with

$$
\epsilon_k \leq \min\left\{\frac{\theta_1}{\lambda_k^{\alpha}}, \sqrt{\theta_2 \frac{\lambda_k}{\|J_{\delta_k}(\mathbf{x}_k)\|^2+\lambda_k}}\right\}
$$

where $\theta_1>0, \, \theta_2\in \big(0,\frac{1}{2}\big)$ $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\alpha \in \left[\frac{1}{2}\right]$ $(\frac{1}{2}, 1).$

Lemma

The sequences $\{\delta_k\}$ and $\{x_k\}$ generated by the Algorithm are such that

$$
\lim_{k \to \infty} \delta_k = 0, \qquad \qquad \lim_{k \to \infty} \|g(x_k)\| = 0.
$$

Asymptotic step behaviour

The LM step asymptotically tends to the direction of the negative perturbed gradient:

$$
\lim_{k\to\infty} (p_k^{LM})_i + \frac{\theta}{\kappa_J^2 + \lambda_k} (g_{\delta_k}(x_k))_i = 0 \text{ for } i = 1,\ldots,n,
$$

where $(\cdot)_i$ denotes the *i*-th vector component.

Lemma

Let
$$
p_k^{SD} = -\frac{\theta}{\kappa_J^2 + \lambda_k} g_{\delta_k}(x_k)
$$
 and $x_{k+1} = x_k + p_k^{SD}$. If $x_{\overline{k}} \in B_r(x^*)$ and $\lambda_{\overline{k}}$ big enough,

•
$$
||x_{k+1} - x^*|| < ||x_k - x^*||
$$
, for all $k \ge \bar{k}$.

•
$$
||x_k - x^*||
$$
 tends to zero.

÷,

 299

 $A \oplus A \rightarrow A \oplus A \rightarrow A \oplus A$

4 0 8

Complexity analysis

Assumption

Let assume that the procedure is stopped when $\|g_{\delta_k}(\mathsf{x}_k)\| \leq \epsilon.$

• The number of successful iterations N_1 is bounded above by:

$$
N_1 \leq f_{\delta_{k_s-1}}(x_{k_s})\frac{2}{\eta_1}\frac{\kappa_J^2 + \lambda_{\max}}{\theta \epsilon^2} = O(\epsilon^{-2}).
$$

• The number of unsuccessful iterations N_3 is bounded above by a constant independent of ϵ :

$$
\textit{N}_3\leq \frac{\log \frac{\lambda_{\text{max}}}{\lambda_0}}{\log \gamma}.
$$

Complexity

Standard Levenberg-Marquardt methods complexity is preserved:

$$
N_T = O(\epsilon^{-2}),
$$

Test problems

We consider two problems of the form

$$
\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(x) = \frac{1}{2} ||F(x)||^2 + \frac{1}{2} ||x||^2 = \sum_{j=1}^N F_j(x)^2 + \frac{1}{2} ||x||^2,
$$

with $F_j: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, for $j = 1, \ldots, N$, N total number of samples.

- P1: Data assimilation problem
- P2: Machine learning problem

Approximations

- Function approximations are built by means of a random subsampling.
- $J_{\delta_k}\!\left(\mathsf{x}\right)\in\mathbb{R}^{K_k\times n}$ is the Jacobian matrix of $F_{\delta_k}\!\left(\mathsf{x}\right)$.
- $\mathcal{g}_{\delta_k} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the gradient of f_{δ_k} .

Linear algebra phase

- **CGLS** method.
- $||r_k|| \leq \epsilon_k ||g_{\delta_k}(x_k)||, \ \epsilon_k \sim 10^{-1}.$

We compare subsampled Levenberg-Marquardt method (SSLM) and full Levenberg-Marquardt method (FLM) $(K_k = N, \forall k)$.

Cost counters

We evaluate savings arising from the employment of the noise control strategy.

- \circ cost_f weighted counter of function evaluations costs $(\text{if } |X_k| = N \text{ cost}=1, \text{ if } |X_k| = K_k \text{ cost} = K_k / N.) \rightarrow \text{save}_f \text{ savings in}$ function evaluations.
- \circ cost_p weighted counter of products costs (if $|X_k| = N$ cost=1, if $|X_k| = K_k$ cost= K_k/N .) \rightarrow save_p savings in products.

 QQQ

A + + = + + = +

Given the current sample set X_k , s.t. $|X_k| = K_k$.

Noise update

Given the step, check the noise: $\delta_k \leq \kappa_d \lambda_k^{\alpha} ||p_k^{LM}||^2$? If not, repeat:

- **1** Increase the samples set size: $|X_{k+1}| = K_*|X_k|$.
- **2** Recompute function, Jacobian and gradient.
- \bullet Need to check condition again \rightarrow Need to recompute the step: $(J_{\delta_k}(x_k)^T J_{\delta_k}(x_k) + \lambda_k I)p_k = -g_{\delta_k}(x_k) + r_k.$
- \rightarrow Resulting samples set size: $|X_{k+1}| = K_*^{n_k} |X_k|.$

Given the current sample set X_k , s.t. $|X_k| = K_k$.

Noise update

Given the step, check the noise: $\delta_k \leq \kappa_d \lambda_k^{\alpha} ||p_k^{LM}||^2$? If not, repeat:

- **1** Increase the samples set size: $|X_{k+1}| = K_*|X_k|$.
- **2** Recompute function, Jacobian and gradient.
- \bullet Need to check condition again \rightarrow Need to recompute the step: $(J_{\delta_k}(x_k)^T J_{\delta_k}(x_k) + \lambda_k I)p_k = -g_{\delta_k}(x_k) + r_k.$
- \rightarrow Resulting samples set size: $|X_{k+1}| = K_*^{n_k} |X_k|.$

Parameters affecting the cost

$$
\bullet \ \delta_k \leq \kappa_d \lambda_k^{\alpha} \| p_k^{LM} \|^2.
$$

$$
\bullet \ |X_{k+1}|=K_*^{n_k}|X_k|.
$$

P1: Data assimilation problem

Nonlinear wave equation:

$$
\frac{\partial^2 u(z,t)}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial^2 u(z,t)}{\partial z^2} + \mu e^{\nu u} = 0,
$$

\n
$$
u(0,t) = u(1,t) = 0,
$$

\n
$$
u(z,0) = u_0(z), \frac{\partial u(z,0)}{\partial t} = 0,
$$

\n
$$
0 \le t \le T, 0 \le z \le 1.
$$

- We look for the initial state $u_0(z)$, from the knowledge of observations $u(z_i,t_j)$, $t_j>0$.
- We consider a mesh involving $n = 360$ grid points for the spatial discretization and $N_t = 64$ for the temporal one.
- We assume to have an observation at each grid point: $N = n \times N_t = 23040$.

It is possible to recover $u_0(z)$ solving the following data assimilation problem:

$$
\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{2} \|x - x_b\|_{B^{-1}}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{N_t} \|H_j(x(t_j)) - y_j\|_{R_j^{-1}}^2
$$

- $\|x\|_M^2 = x^{\mathsf{T}} M x$ for a symmetric positive definite matrix M ,
- $x_b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the background vector (a priori estimate)
- $y_j \in \mathbb{R}^{m_j}$ is the vector of observations at time t_j , $m_j \leq n$.
- H_j is the operator modelling the observation process at t_j
- $\mathsf{x}(t_j)$ the state vector, solution of the nonlinear model at time $t_j.$

- Background vector and observations from a chosen initial true state by adding noise $N(0, \sigma_b^2)$ and $N(0, \sigma_o^2)$ with $\sigma_b = 0.2$, $\sigma_o = 0.05$.
- Covariances matrices are diagonal: $B = \sigma_b^2 I_n$ and $R_j = \sigma_o^2 I_{m_j}$ $\forall j$.
- Least-squares problem reformulation:

$$
F(x) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sigma_o}(H_0(x(t_0))-y_0) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{\sigma_o}(H_{N_t}(x(t_{N_t}))-y_{N_t}) \end{bmatrix}
$$

where $(H_j(x(t_j))-y_j)\in \mathbb{R}^{m_j}$ for $j=1,\ldots,N_t$.

- Background vector and observations from a chosen initial true state by adding noise $N(0, \sigma_b^2)$ and $N(0, \sigma_o^2)$ with $\sigma_b = 0.2$, $\sigma_o = 0.05$.
- Covariances matrices are diagonal: $B = \sigma_b^2 I_n$ and $R_j = \sigma_o^2 I_{m_j}$ $\forall j$.
- Least-squares problem reformulation:

$$
F(x) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sigma_o}(H_0(x(t_0))-y_0) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{\sigma_o}(H_{N_t}(x(t_{N_t}))-y_{N_t}) \end{bmatrix}
$$

where $(H_j(x(t_j))-y_j)\in \mathbb{R}^{m_j}$ for $j=1,\ldots,N_t$.

• Kept $K_* = 1.5$ fixed, we study the effect of κ_d .

P1: effect of κ_d

 299

Binary classification problem: $\{(z^i, y^i)\}$ with $z^i \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $y^i \in \{-1, +1\}$ and $i = 1, \ldots, N$. Training objective function: logistic loss with h regularization

$$
f(x) = \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \log(1 + \exp(-y^{i} x^{T} z^{i})) + \frac{1}{2N} ||x||^{2}.
$$

Least-squares form:

$$
F(x) = \frac{1}{N} \left[\frac{\sqrt{\log(1 + \exp(-y^1 x^T z^1))}}{\sqrt{\log(1 + \exp(-y^N x^T z^N))}} \right].
$$

Approximations to f are built as:

$$
f_{\delta_k}(x) = \frac{1}{2K_k} \sum_{i \in X_k} \log(1 + \exp(-y^i x^T z^i)) + \frac{1}{2K_k} ||x||^2.
$$

We consider the CINA dataset [http://www.causality.inf.ethz.ch/data/ CINA.html, for which $n = 132$, $N = 16033$ for the training set, $\ddot{N} = 10000$ for the testing set.

Noise control condition parameters

• $K_0 = 132$.

$$
\bullet \ \kappa_d=10.
$$

• We study the effect of K_{*} .

 299

÷,

For more details:

A Levenberg-Marquardt method for large nonlinear least-squares problems with dynamic accuracy in functions and gradients, Stefania Bellavia, Serge Gratton, Elisa Riccietti, under review in Numerische Mathematik

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!