

Errata for the book  
*Many Variations of Mahler Measures.*  
*A Lasting Symphony*  
by François Brunault and Wadim Zudilin

May 6, 2025

We are grateful to Mista Boisan and Chris Smyth for having spotted mistakes. If you find a typo or an error in the book, or have further remarks, feel free to send us an email. Thank you in advance!

- p. 14, Theorem 2.1:  
The final sentence of statement should read as follows: “Furthermore, if  $M(P) \leq c = x_0 + 10^{-4}$ , then either  $P(x)$  has a zero  $\pm x_0^{1/m}$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  or  $P(x)$  is reciprocal.”
- p. 19, Lemma 2.6:  
The part “is the result of application of the differential operator  $\frac{1}{(k-1)!} \frac{d^k}{dx^k}$  to the first one” should refer to the operator  $\frac{1}{(k-1)!} \frac{d^{k-1}}{dx^{k-1}}$  instead.
- p. 26, Exercise 2.7:  
In parts (b) and (c) of the exercise, the word “irreducible” should be dropped (three times).
- p. 72, Exercise 5.11(b):  
The denominator of the rational expression on the left-hand side should be  $9(1 + 3x)^4$ ; the correct form of identity to verify is
$${}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4} \\ 1, 1 \end{matrix} \middle| \frac{256x}{9(1 + 3x)^4}\right) = \frac{1 + 3x}{1 + x/3} {}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4} \\ 1, 1 \end{matrix} \middle| \frac{256x^3}{9(3 + x)^4}\right).$$
- p. 79, Hint to Exercise 6.4:  
The first sentence should read “Observe that  $0 \leq |1 + x + y - xy| \leq \sqrt{8}$  on the torus  $|x| = |y| = 1$ .”

- p. 86, l. 10:  
The reference [158, Theorem 5.4] should be [158, Section 7, Theorem 5.4]. Another reference for (7.5) is [2, Chapter 5, 6.12] (in the reference list below).
- p. 88, Exercise 7.3(c):  
An assumption on the path  $\gamma$  should be added, namely: if an endpoint  $p$  of  $\gamma$  belongs to the set  $S_{f,g}$  of zeros and poles of  $f$  and  $g$ , then the argument of  $\gamma(t)$  with respect to a local coordinate at  $p$  is of bounded variation when  $\gamma(t)$  approaches  $p$ .
- p. 91, Chapter notes:  
The regulator map  $\widehat{\text{reg}}_Y$  lifts  $\text{reg}_Y$  in the sense that applying the map  $\log |\cdot| : \mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  recovers  $\frac{1}{2\pi} \text{reg}_Y$  (and not  $\text{reg}_Y$ ). See also the correction for Exercise 7.10, pp. 93–94.
- pp. 93–94, Exercise 7.10:  
The regulator map  $\widehat{\text{reg}}_Y$  should not be called the ‘enhanced regulator map’ but rather the ‘integral regulator map’. This is because  $\widehat{\text{reg}}_Y$  naturally takes values in the Deligne–Beilinson cohomology group  $H_{\mathcal{D}}^2(Y, \mathbb{Z}(2))$  with *integral* coefficients, and we have isomorphisms  $H_{\mathcal{D}}^2(Y, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \cong H^1(Y, \mathbb{C}/(2\pi i)^2 \mathbb{Z})$  [1, Proposition 1.1] (in the reference list below) and  $\mathbb{C}/(2\pi i)^2 \mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{C}^\times$  by means of  $z \mapsto \exp(z/(2\pi i))$ .  
In Question (g), the correct formula is  $\log |\widehat{\text{reg}}_Y| = \frac{1}{2\pi} \text{reg}_Y$ .
- p. 106, Chapter notes:  
The regulator map  $K_2(Y) \rightarrow H^1(Y, \mathbb{C}^\times)$  should not be called the ‘enhanced regulator map’ but rather the ‘integral regulator map’. See also the correction for Exercise 7.10, pp. 93–94.
- p. 143: After Definition A.11, the reference [143, Proposition 1.4] should be [143, Section 3, Proposition 1.4].

## References

- [1] J. I. BURGOS, Arithmetic Chow rings and Deligne–Beilinson cohomology, *J. Algebraic Geom.* **6** (1997), no. 2, 335–377.
- [2] C. A. WEIBEL, *The K-book. An introduction to algebraic K-theory*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics **145** (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2013).