

Lecture 3: $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$, part 2

Gabriel Dospinescu

CNRS, ENS Lyon

Goal

- (I) The goal of this very technical lecture is to prove that $L^2_{\text{cusp}}(\Gamma \backslash G)$ has a discrete decomposition for any lattice Γ in $G = \mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_2(\mathbb{R})$, and that cuspidal automorphic forms are rapidly decreasing near cusps.

Goal

- (I) The goal of this very technical lecture is to prove that $L^2_{\text{cusp}}(\Gamma \backslash G)$ has a discrete decomposition for any lattice Γ in $G = \mathbb{S}\mathbb{L}_2(\mathbb{R})$, and that cuspidal automorphic forms are rapidly decreasing near cusps.

- (II) This requires a very careful study of growth conditions on $\Gamma \backslash G$, and the key ingredient is finding reasonable fundamental domains, or approximations of such things, for the action of Γ on \mathcal{H} .

Fundamental domains

- (I) If a group G acts on a topological space X , a fundamental domain for G acting on X is an open subset $\Omega \subset X$ such that $X = \cup_{g \in G} g.\overline{\Omega}$ and the various translates $g.\Omega$ are pairwise disjoint. The standard example is the following classical result (cf. any book on modular forms for the proof)

Theorem (Gauss) The set

$\mathcal{F} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| > 1, |\operatorname{Re}(z)| < 1/2\}$ is a fundamental domain for the action of $\operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ on \mathcal{H} .

One easily checks that \mathcal{F} has finite (hyperbolic) area, and this implies that $\operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is indeed a lattice in G (something we never really checked before!).

Fundamental domains

- (I) As an application, let's consider a finite index subgroup Γ in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $f \in M_k(\Gamma)$. Then an immediate calculation shows that

$$\varphi_f : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, z \rightarrow |f(z)|y^{k/2}$$

is Γ -invariant, more precisely $\varphi_f|_k g(z) = \varphi_f(z)$ for $g \in \Gamma$. We claim that φ_f is bounded when $f \in S_k(\Gamma)$.

Fundamental domains

- (I) As an application, let's consider a finite index subgroup Γ in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $f \in M_k(\Gamma)$. Then an immediate calculation shows that

$$\varphi_f : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, z \rightarrow |f(z)|y^{k/2}$$

is Γ -invariant, more precisely $\varphi_f|_k g(z) = \varphi_f(z)$ for $g \in \Gamma$. We claim that φ_f is bounded when $f \in S_k(\Gamma)$.

- (II) Indeed, write $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) = \coprod_{i=1}^k \Gamma \gamma_i$ and $D = \overline{\mathcal{F}}$, so that $\mathcal{H} = \cup_i \cup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma \gamma_i D$. Thus it suffices to check that $\varphi_f|_k \gamma_i$ is bounded on D for all i .

Fundamental domains

- (I) But $f_i := f|_k \gamma_i \in S_k(\gamma_i^{-1} \Gamma \gamma_i)$ and the q -expansion at ∞ shows that $f_i(x + iy) = O(e^{-cy})$ for some $c > 0$, as $y \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly in $z = x + iy \in D$. Thus $\varphi_{f_i}(z)$ tends to 0 as $z \rightarrow \infty$ in D , so we are done.

Theorem (Hecke's bound) Let $f(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n e^{2i\pi n z/h}$ be the q -expansion at ∞ of $f \in S_k(\Gamma)$. Then $a_n = O(n^{k/2})$, more precisely

$$\sum_{n \leq x} |a_n|^2 = O(x^k), \quad x \rightarrow \infty.$$

Fundamental domains

- (I) The proof is very simple: write $|\varphi_f(z)| \leq C$ for all z , so $|f(x + iy)| \leq Cy^{-k/2}$. Plancherel's formula yields (for a suitable constant c)

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} |a_n|^2 e^{-4\pi ny/h} = c \int_0^h |f(x + iy)|^2 dx \leq c' y^{-k}.$$

Take $y = 1/N$ to get $\sum_{n \leq N} |a_n|^2 \leq c'' N^k$.

Theorem We have $S_0(\Gamma) = 0$ and $M_0(\Gamma) = \mathbb{C}$.

Fundamental domains

- (I) The proof is very simple: write $|\varphi_f(z)| \leq C$ for all z , so $|f(x + iy)| \leq Cy^{-k/2}$. Plancherel's formula yields (for a suitable constant c)

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} |a_n|^2 e^{-4\pi ny/h} = c \int_0^h |f(x + iy)|^2 dx \leq c' y^{-k}.$$

Take $y = 1/N$ to get $\sum_{n \leq N} |a_n|^2 \leq c'' N^k$.

Theorem We have $S_0(\Gamma) = 0$ and $M_0(\Gamma) = \mathbb{C}$.

- (II) If we use that $X(\Gamma)$ is a compact Riemann surface, this is clear. Without this input, note that for $f \in S_0(\Gamma)$ the function $\varphi_f = |f|$ is bounded and tends to 0 at ∞ , thus has a maximum on \mathcal{F} . By the maximum principle f is constant and since f vanishes at ∞ , $f = 0$. Actually the same argument works even if we only assume that $f \in M_0(\Gamma)$.

Siegel sets

- (I) Instead of working with fundamental domains, for automorphic needs Siegel sets are better behaved. These control the geometry at the cusps of $X(\Gamma)$.

Siegel sets

- (I) Instead of working with fundamental domains, for automorphic needs Siegel sets are better behaved. These control the geometry at the cusps of $X(\Gamma)$.
- (II) Pick $z \in \partial\mathcal{H}$ and let $P = \pm A_P N_P = G_z$ be the associated parabolic of G . The action of A_P on $\text{Lie}(N_P)$ defines a character $\alpha = \alpha_P : A_P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, thus $aYa^{-1} = \alpha(a)Y$ for $a \in A_P$ and $Y \in \text{Lie}(N_P)$. If $P = B$ is the standard Borel subgroup, then $\alpha\left(\begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix}\right) = t^2$. If $t > 0$, let

$$A_{P,t} = \{a \in A_P \mid \alpha_P(a) > t\}.$$

Siegel sets

- (I) Instead of working with fundamental domains, for automorphic needs Siegel sets are better behaved. These control the geometry at the cusps of $X(\Gamma)$.
- (II) Pick $z \in \partial\mathcal{H}$ and let $P = \pm A_P N_P = G_z$ be the associated parabolic of G . The action of A_P on $\text{Lie}(N_P)$ defines a character $\alpha = \alpha_P : A_P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, thus $aYa^{-1} = \alpha(a)Y$ for $a \in A_P$ and $Y \in \text{Lie}(N_P)$. If $P = B$ is the standard Borel subgroup, then $\alpha\left(\begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix}\right) = t^2$. If $t > 0$, let

$$A_{P,t} = \{a \in A_P \mid \alpha_P(a) > t\}.$$

- (III) A **Siegel set at P** is a set of the form

$$\Sigma = \omega A_{P,t} K \subset G$$

for some $t > 0$ and some compact set $\omega \subset N_P$. The image of Σ in $\mathcal{H} \simeq G/K$ is called a **Siegel set at z** .

Siegel sets

- (I) Let us make a few useful remarks. First, since $N_P \times A_P \times K \rightarrow G$ is a homeomorphism, any compact subset of G is contained in some Siegel set at P .

Siegel sets

- (I) Let us make a few useful remarks. First, since $N_P \times A_P \times K \rightarrow G$ is a homeomorphism, any compact subset of G is contained in some Siegel set at P .
- (II) Next, if $z = \infty$ and $\omega = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & [-c, c] \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ with $c > 0$, the associated Siegel set at z is

$$\Sigma = \{x + iy \in \mathcal{H} \mid |x| \leq c, y > t\}.$$

Siegel sets

(I) Let us make a few useful remarks. First, since $N_P \times A_P \times K \rightarrow G$ is a homeomorphism, any compact subset of G is contained in some Siegel set at P .

(II) Next, if $z = \infty$ and $\omega = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & [-c, c] \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ with $c > 0$, the associated Siegel set at z is

$$\Sigma = \{x + iy \in \mathcal{H} \mid |x| \leq c, y > t\}.$$

(III) If $k \in K$ and Σ is a Siegel set for P , with fixed point $z \in \partial\mathcal{H}$ then $k.\Sigma$ is a Siegel set for kPk^{-1} , with fixed point $k.z$, so we can always reduce to the previous situation.

Siegel sets

- (I) Let $z \in C(\Gamma)$ and $\pi : \mathcal{H} \cup C(\Gamma) \rightarrow X(\Gamma)$ the natural projection. Using the previous remarks, one easily checks that sets of the form $\pi(\{z\} \cup \Sigma)$ form a basis of neighborhoods of $\pi(z)$ in $X(\Gamma)$, when Σ varies among Siegel sets at z .

Siegel sets

- (I) Let $z \in C(\Gamma)$ and $\pi : \mathcal{H} \cup C(\Gamma) \rightarrow X(\Gamma)$ the natural projection. Using the previous remarks, one easily checks that sets of the form $\pi(\{z\} \cup \Sigma)$ form a basis of neighborhoods of $\pi(z)$ in $X(\Gamma)$, when Σ varies among Siegel sets at z .
- (II) Since Γ is a lattice in G , by Siegel's theorem $\Gamma \backslash CP(\Gamma)$ is finite. Choose a set of representatives P_1, \dots, P_l for this set.

Theorem There are Siegel sets Σ_i at P_i such that

$$G = \Gamma \bullet (\cup_{i=1}^l \Sigma_i).$$

Siegel sets

- (I) Let $z \in C(\Gamma)$ and $\pi : \mathcal{H} \cup C(\Gamma) \rightarrow X(\Gamma)$ the natural projection. Using the previous remarks, one easily checks that sets of the form $\pi(\{z\} \cup \Sigma)$ form a basis of neighborhoods of $\pi(z)$ in $X(\Gamma)$, when Σ varies among Siegel sets at z .
- (II) Since Γ is a lattice in G , by Siegel's theorem $\Gamma \backslash CP(\Gamma)$ is finite. Choose a set of representatives P_1, \dots, P_l for this set.

Theorem There are Siegel sets Σ_i at P_i such that

$$G = \Gamma \cdot (\cup_{i=1}^l \Sigma_i).$$

- (III) The proof follows easily from the compactness of $X(\Gamma)$ and the previous geometric remarks.

Siegel sets

- (I) We will constantly use the following simple but useful result. Fix a Siegel set Σ at some parabolic P , and write $x = n(x)a(x)k(x)$ with respect to the Iwasawa decomposition $N_P \times A_P \times K \simeq G$.

Lemma As x varies in Σ , $a(x)^{-1}x$ stays in a compact set and $\|x\|^2$ behaves like $\alpha_P(a(x))$, i.e. there are constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that for all $x \in \Sigma$

$$c_1 \leq \frac{\|x\|^2}{\alpha_P(a(x))} \leq c_2.$$

- (II) By conjugating, WLOG $P = B$, so that $\alpha\left(\begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix}\right) = t^2$.

Siegel sets

(I) Write $a(x) = \begin{pmatrix} t_x & 0 \\ 0 & t_x^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$. Then

$$a(x)^{-1}n(x)a(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u_x t_x^{-2} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ if } n_x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u_x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Siegel sets

(I) Write $a(x) = \begin{pmatrix} t_x & 0 \\ 0 & t_x^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$. Then

$$a(x)^{-1}n(x)a(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u_x t_x^{-2} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ if } n_x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u_x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(II) Since u_x stays in a compact and t_x is bounded from below on Σ , this gives the first part. For the second, by the first part $\|x\|$ behaves like $\|a(x)\|$, so it suffices to check that $\|a(x)\|$ behaves like t_x , which again follows from the fact that t_x is bounded from below on Σ by definition.

Siegel sets

- (I) If $P \in CP(\Gamma)$ and Σ is a Siegel set at P , we say that $f : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is **moderate growth** (resp **rapidly decreasing**) if there exists $d \geq 1$ (resp. for all integers d) such that $\sup_{x \in \Sigma} \alpha(a(x))^{-d} |f(x)| < \infty$. By the previous lemma, one could replace $\alpha(a(x))$ with $\|x\|$ and get equivalent definitions.

Siegel sets

- (I) If $P \in CP(\Gamma)$ and Σ is a Siegel set at P , we say that $f : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is **moderate growth** (resp **rapidly decreasing**) if there exists $d \geq 1$ (resp. for all integers d) such that $\sup_{x \in \Sigma} \alpha(a(x))^{-d} |f(x)| < \infty$. By the previous lemma, one could replace $\alpha(a(x))$ with $\|x\|$ and get equivalent definitions.
- (II) The following result reduces many global problems to problems at individual cusps of $X(\Gamma)$. The proof is slightly tricky.

Theorem Let $\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_l$ be Siegel sets such that $\Gamma(\cup \Sigma_i) = G$. A function f on $\Gamma \backslash G$ has moderate growth on G if and only if f has moderate growth on each Σ_i .

- (III) The only delicate part is showing that if f has MG on Σ_i for all i , then f has MG on G .

Siegel sets

- (I) So assume that $|f(x)| \leq c\|x\|^N$ for $x \in \cup_i \Sigma_i$, for suitable c, N . Pick $g \in G$ and write $g = \gamma u$ for some $u \in \Sigma_i$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then

$$|f(g)| = |f(u)| \leq c\|u\|^N.$$

- (II) So it suffices to check that $\|u\| \leq c'\|\gamma u\|$ for all $u \in \Sigma_i$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$, for a suitable c' . By the useful lemma it suffices to have an estimate $\|a(x)\| \leq c'\|\gamma a(x)\|$ for $x \in \Sigma_i$.

Conjugating everything WLOG $P = B$. Write

$$a(x) = \begin{pmatrix} t_x & 0 \\ 0 & t_x^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}. \text{ We need}$$

$$t_x^2 + 1/t_x^2 \leq c''(t_x^2(a^2 + c^2) + (b^2 + d^2)/t_x^2).$$

Siegel sets

- (I) So assume that $|f(x)| \leq c\|x\|^N$ for $x \in \cup_i \Sigma_i$, for suitable c, N . Pick $g \in G$ and write $g = \gamma u$ for some $u \in \Sigma_i$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then

$$|f(g)| = |f(u)| \leq c\|u\|^N.$$

- (II) So it suffices to check that $\|u\| \leq c'\|\gamma u\|$ for all $u \in \Sigma_i$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$, for a suitable c' . By the useful lemma it suffices to have an estimate $\|a(x)\| \leq c'\|\gamma a(x)\|$ for $x \in \Sigma_i$.

Conjugating everything WLOG $P = B$. Write

$$a(x) = \begin{pmatrix} t_x & 0 \\ 0 & t_x^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}. \text{ We need}$$

$$t_x^2 + 1/t_x^2 \leq c''(t_x^2(a^2 + c^2) + (b^2 + d^2)/t_x^2).$$

- (III) Since t_x has a positive lower bound, we win if we can prove that c cannot be too small, unless it is 0 (we have already seen in the last lecture that if $c = 0$, then $a^2 = 1$). This is clear when $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, but tricky in general.

Siegel sets

- (I) Say $\Gamma \cap \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbb{R} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & h\mathbb{Z} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, we will show that if $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$ satisfies $|ch| < 1$, then $c = 0$.

Siegel sets

- (I) Say $\Gamma \cap \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbb{R} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & h\mathbb{Z} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, we will show that if $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$ satisfies $|ch| < 1$, then $c = 0$.
- (II) Indeed, suppose that $|ch| < 1$ and define $\gamma_0 = \gamma$ and $\gamma_{n+1} = \gamma_n \begin{pmatrix} 1 & h \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \gamma_n^{-1}$, then an amusing real analysis exercise shows that $\gamma_n \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & h \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Since Γ is discrete, $\gamma_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & h \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ for n large enough, and then easily $c = 0$.

The first fundamental estimate

- (I) We're going to use several times the following very effective estimate:

Theorem There is $N \geq 1$ such that for all $\alpha \in C_c^\infty(G)$ there is $c_\alpha > 0$ with

$$|f * \alpha(x)| \leq c_\alpha \|x\|^N \cdot \|f\|_{L^1}, \quad \forall f \in L^1(\Gamma \backslash G), x \in G.$$

In particular $f * \alpha$ has moderate growth for any $\alpha \in C_c^\infty(G)$ and $f \in L^1(\Gamma \backslash G)$, with uniform exponent!

The first fundamental estimate

- (I) We're going to use several times the following very effective estimate:

Theorem There is $N \geq 1$ such that for all $\alpha \in C_c^\infty(G)$ there is $c_\alpha > 0$ with

$$|f * \alpha(x)| \leq c_\alpha \|x\|^N \cdot \|f\|_{L^1}, \forall f \in L^1(\Gamma \backslash G), x \in G.$$

In particular $f * \alpha$ has moderate growth for any $\alpha \in C_c^\infty(G)$ and $f \in L^1(\Gamma \backslash G)$, with uniform exponent!

- (II) By the usual trick we have, with $K(x, y) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |\alpha(y^{-1}\gamma x)|$

$$|(f * \alpha)(x)| \leq \int_G |f(y)| |\alpha(y^{-1}x)| dy = \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} |f(y)| K(x, y) dy.$$

The first fundamental estimate

- (I) It suffices therefore to have a bound $K(x, y) \leq c\|x\|^N$ with c depending only on α , not on f and x . But if $U = \text{Supp}(\alpha)$ (a compact set), then

$$K(x, y) \leq \|\alpha\|_\infty \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} 1_{y^{-1}\gamma x \in U}$$

and we saw in the previous lecture that this is bounded uniformly by $c\|x\|^N$.

The second fundamental estimate

- (I) The key technical result of this lecture is the following rather awful-looking statement. Fix $P \in CP(\Gamma)$, and let $N = N_P$ and $\Gamma_N = \Gamma \cap N$. Recall that for $u \in C(\Gamma_N \backslash G)$ the constant term at P is

$$u(g) = \int_{\Gamma_N \backslash N} u(ng) dn.$$

Theorem (second fundamental estimate) Let Σ be a Siegel set at P . For any $d \geq 1$ there are $D_1, \dots, D_k \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ such that for all $f \in C^\infty(\Gamma_N \backslash G)$, $x \in \Sigma$

$$|f(x) - f_P(x)| \leq \|x\|^{-d} \sum_{i=1}^k |D_i f|_P(x).$$

The second fundamental estimate

- (I) So f is very well approximated on Siegel sets by the constant term of f and those of $|Df|$ with $D \in U(\mathfrak{g})$.

The second fundamental estimate

- (I) So f is very well approximated on Siegel sets by the constant term of f and those of $|Df|$ with $D \in U(\mathfrak{g})$.
- (II) We leave the proof for the end of the lecture, and focus on the applications first. Keep P and Σ as in the theorem.

Cusp forms are rapidly decreasing

- (I) Using the previous results, we are ready to prove the fundamental:

Theorem Let Σ be a Siegel set at some $P \in CP(\Gamma)$. Any $f \in A_{\text{cusp}}(\Gamma)$ is rapidly decreasing on Σ .

Cusp forms are rapidly decreasing

- (I) Using the previous results, we are ready to prove the fundamental:

Theorem Let Σ be a Siegel set at some $P \in CP(\Gamma)$. Any $f \in A_{\text{cusp}}(\Gamma)$ is rapidly decreasing on Σ .

- (II) We saw in the last lecture that f has uniform moderate growth, i.e. there is N such that for all $D \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ we have $|Df(g)| \leq c_D \|g\|^N$ for all g . This allows us to bound $|D_i f(g)| \leq c \|g\|^N$ with D_i as in the second fundamental estimate (for a given $d \geq 1$). Since $\Gamma_N \backslash N$ is compact, this gives an estimate $|D_i f|_P(x) \leq c \|x\|^N$ for $x \in \Sigma$ and thus

$$|f(x)| \leq c \|x\|^{N-d}$$

on Σ . Since N is fixed and d is arbitrary, we are done.

A key estimate

- (I) For this lecture, the most important application of all previous results is the following technical but useful:

Theorem For any $\alpha \in C_c^\infty(G)$ there is c_α such that for all $f \in L^2_{\text{cusp}}(\Gamma \backslash G)$ and all $g \in G$

$$\|f * \alpha\|_\infty \leq c_\alpha \|f\|_{L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)}.$$

A key estimate

- (I) For this lecture, the most important application of all previous results is the following technical but useful:

Theorem For any $\alpha \in C_c^\infty(G)$ there is c_α such that for all $f \in L^2_{\text{cusp}}(\Gamma \backslash G)$ and all $g \in G$

$$\|f * \alpha\|_\infty \leq c_\alpha \|f\|_{L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)}.$$

- (II) Since $\Gamma \backslash G$ is covered by finitely many Siegel sets at cuspidal parabolic subgroups, it is enough to prove the lemma with g varying in a given Siegel set Σ at $P \in CP(\Gamma)$.

A key estimate

(I) Fix now $\alpha \in C_c^\infty(G)$. A simple computation shows that

$$(f * \alpha)_P = f_P * \alpha = 0.$$

On the other hand $D_i(f * \alpha) = f * (D_i\alpha)$.

A key estimate

(I) Fix now $\alpha \in C_c^\infty(G)$. A simple computation shows that

$$(f * \alpha)_P = f_P * \alpha = 0.$$

On the other hand $D_i(f * \alpha) = f * (D_i\alpha)$.

(II) Now pick $N \geq 1$ so that (first fundamental estimate) for any $\beta \in C_c^\infty(G)$ we have

$$\sup_{x \in G, f \in L^1(\Gamma \backslash G)} \frac{|(f * \beta)(x)|}{\|x\|^{N \bullet} \|f\|_{L^1}} < \infty. \quad (1)$$

A key estimate

- (I) Combining the previous observations with the second fundamental estimate (applied to $f * \alpha$ and $d = N$) yields $D_1, \dots, D_k \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ so that for all $x \in \Sigma$

$$|f * \alpha(x)| \leq \|x\|^{-N} \sum_{i=1}^k |f * (D_i \alpha)|_P(x) \quad (2).$$

A key estimate

- (I) Combining the previous observations with the second fundamental estimate (applied to $f * \alpha$ and $d = N$) yields $D_1, \dots, D_k \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ so that for all $x \in \Sigma$

$$|f * \alpha(x)| \leq \|x\|^{-N} \sum_{i=1}^k |f * (D_i \alpha)|_P(x) \quad (2).$$

- (II) Taking $\beta = D_i \alpha$ in (1) yields c so that for all $f \in L^1(\Gamma \backslash G)$ and $1 \leq i \leq k$ we have $|f * (D_i \alpha)(x)| \leq c_i \|x\|^N \|f\|_{L^1}$ for all $x \in G$. Since $L^2 \subset L^1$ is a continuous injection (Cauchy-Schwarz coupled with $\int_{\Gamma \backslash G} dg < \infty$), it follows that there is c such that for all $f \in L^2$ and all i and $x \in G$

$$|f * (D_i \alpha)(x)| \leq c \|x\|^N \|f\|_{L^2}.$$

A key estimate

- (I) Combining the previous observations with the second fundamental estimate (applied to $f * \alpha$ and $d = N$) yields $D_1, \dots, D_k \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ so that for all $x \in \Sigma$

$$|f * \alpha(x)| \leq \|x\|^{-N} \sum_{i=1}^k |f * (D_i \alpha)|_P(x) \quad (2).$$

- (II) Taking $\beta = D_i \alpha$ in (1) yields c so that for all $f \in L^1(\Gamma \backslash G)$ and $1 \leq i \leq k$ we have $|f * (D_i \alpha)(x)| \leq c_i \|x\|^N \|f\|_{L^1}$ for all $x \in G$. Since $L^2 \subset L^1$ is a continuous injection (Cauchy-Schwarz coupled with $\int_{\Gamma \backslash G} dg < \infty$), it follows that there is c such that for all $f \in L^2$ and all i and $x \in G$

$$|f * (D_i \alpha)(x)| \leq c \|x\|^N \|f\|_{L^2}.$$

- (III) Again the compactness of $\Gamma_N \backslash N$ yields an estimate $|D_i f|_P(x) \leq C \|x\|^N$ for $x \in \Sigma$ and we are done thanks to (2).

GGPS in the non co-compact case

- (I) Recall that $C_c^\infty(G)$ acts on any object $V \in \text{Rep}(G)$ by $f.v = \int_G f(g)g.v dg$ and when V is a space of functions on G , the induced operator $T_f : \varphi \rightarrow f.\varphi$ is simply $f * \varphi$.

Theorem (Gelfand, Graev, Piatetski-Shapiro) For any $\alpha \in C_c^\infty(G)$ the operator T_α is Hilbert-Schmidt, thus compact on $L_{\text{cusp}}^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$. Hence $L_{\text{cusp}}^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$ has a discrete decomposition

$$L_{\text{cusp}}^2(\Gamma \backslash G) \simeq \widehat{\bigoplus}_{\pi \in \widehat{G}} \pi \otimes \text{Hom}_G(\pi, L_{\text{cusp}}^2(\Gamma \backslash G))$$

with $\text{Hom}_G(\pi, L_{\text{cusp}}^2(\Gamma \backslash G))$ finite dimensional vector spaces.

Combining this with the Dixmier-Malliavin theorem, it follows that T_α is actually of trace class.

GGPS in the non co-compact case

- (I) The previous theorem combined with Riesz' theorem show that for any $g \in \Gamma \backslash G$ there is $K_g \in L^2_{\text{cusp}}$ with $T_\alpha(f)(g) = \langle f, K_g \rangle$ for all $f \in L^2_{\text{cusp}}$. Moreover $\|K_g\|_{L^2} \leq c_\alpha$, thus $g \rightarrow K_g$ is bounded. The tricky thing is that we don't know that setting $K(g, x) = K_g(x)$ gives a measurable function.

GGPS in the non co-compact case

- (I) The previous theorem combined with Riesz' theorem show that for any $g \in \Gamma \backslash G$ there is $K_g \in L^2_{\text{cusp}}$ with $T_\alpha(f)(g) = \langle f, K_g \rangle$ for all $f \in L^2_{\text{cusp}}$. Moreover $\|K_g\|_{L^2} \leq c_\alpha$, thus $g \rightarrow K_g$ is bounded. The tricky thing is that we don't know that setting $K(g, x) = K_g(x)$ gives a measurable function.
- (II) We prove first that $\Gamma \backslash G \rightarrow L^2_{\text{cusp}}, g \rightarrow K_g$ is continuous. Fix g and $\varepsilon > 0$. We need to show that

$$|T_\alpha(f)(g) - T_\alpha(f)(g')| \leq \varepsilon \|f\|_{L^2}$$

for all $f \in L^2_{\text{cusp}}$ if g' is close enough to g .

GGPS in the non co-compact case

(I) It suffices for this to have a bound for each $X \in \mathfrak{g}$

$$\|X.T_\alpha(f)\|_\infty \leq c_X \|f\|_{L^2}$$

with c_X independent of f . But

$X.T_\alpha(f) = X.(f * \alpha) = f * (X.\alpha)$, so it suffices to apply the previous theorem to $X\alpha \in C_c^\infty(G)$.

GGPS in the non co-compact case

- (I) It suffices for this to have a bound for each $X \in \mathfrak{g}$

$$\|X.T_\alpha(f)\|_\infty \leq c_X \|f\|_{L^2}$$

with c_X independent of f . But

$X.T_\alpha(f) = X.(f * \alpha) = f * (X.\alpha)$, so it suffices to apply the previous theorem to $X\alpha \in C_c^\infty(G)$.

- (II) Since $g \rightarrow K_g$ is continuous and bounded, we can define a continuous linear form on $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G \times \Gamma \backslash G)$ by

$$U(\varphi) := \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} \langle \varphi(g, \bullet), K_g \rangle dg,$$

where $\varphi(g, \bullet) : x \rightarrow \varphi(g, x)$ (by Fubini $g \rightarrow \varphi(g, \bullet)$ is in $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G, L^2(\Gamma \backslash G))$, so U is well-defined).

GGPS in the non co-compact case

- (I) Applying Riesz we obtain some $K' \in L^2(\Gamma \backslash G \times \Gamma \backslash G)$ such that $U(\varphi) = \langle \varphi, K' \rangle$ for all φ . Taking $\varphi(x, y) = u(x)f(y)$ with $u \in C_c^\infty(\Gamma \backslash G)$ and expanding everything yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} u(g) T_\alpha(f)(g) &= \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} \langle u(g)f, K_g \rangle dg = \\ \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} \langle \varphi(g, \cdot), K_g \rangle dg &= \int_{\Gamma \backslash G \times \Gamma \backslash G} u(g)f(y) \overline{K'(g, y)} dy = \\ \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} u(g) \left(\int_{\Gamma \backslash G} f(y) \overline{K'(g, y)} dy \right) dg. \end{aligned}$$

GGPS in the non co-compact case

- (I) Applying Riesz we obtain some $K' \in L^2(\Gamma \backslash G \times \Gamma \backslash G)$ such that $U(\varphi) = \langle \varphi, K' \rangle$ for all φ . Taking $\varphi(x, y) = u(x)f(y)$ with $u \in C_c^\infty(\Gamma \backslash G)$ and expanding everything yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} u(g) T_\alpha(f)(g) dg &= \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} \langle u(g)f, K_g \rangle dg = \\ \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} \langle \varphi(g, \cdot), K_g \rangle dg &= \int_{\Gamma \backslash G \times \Gamma \backslash G} u(g)f(y) \overline{K'(g, y)} dy = \\ \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} u(g) \left(\int_{\Gamma \backslash G} f(y) \overline{K'(g, y)} dy \right) dg. \end{aligned}$$

- (II) Varying u finally exhibits exhibits T_α as a HS operator

$$T_\alpha(f)(x) = \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} f(y) \overline{K'(x, y)} dy.$$

Proof of the second fundamental estimate

- (I) Fix $P \in CP(\Gamma)$ and write for simplicity $A := A_P$ and $N := N_P$. Recall the character $\alpha = \alpha_P : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that $aYa^{-1} = \alpha(a)Y$ for $Y \in \text{Lie}(N)$, and that $N \times A \times K \rightarrow G$ is a diffeomorphism, so we can write $x = n(x)a(x)k(x)$ with $n(x) \in N, a(x) \in A, k(x) \in K$.

Proof of the second fundamental estimate

- (I) Fix $P \in CP(\Gamma)$ and write for simplicity $A := A_P$ and $N := N_P$. Recall the character $\alpha = \alpha_P : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that $aYa^{-1} = \alpha(a)Y$ for $Y \in \text{Lie}(N)$, and that $N \times A \times K \rightarrow G$ is a diffeomorphism, so we can write $x = n(x)a(x)k(x)$ with $n(x) \in N, a(x) \in A, k(x) \in K$.
- (II) Since $N \simeq \mathbb{R}$ we can find $Y \in \text{Lie}(N)$ such that $N = \exp(\mathbb{R}Y)$ and $\Gamma_N = \exp(\mathbb{Z}Y)$.

Proof of the second fundamental estimate

- (I) Fix $P \in CP(\Gamma)$ and write for simplicity $A := A_P$ and $N := N_P$. Recall the character $\alpha = \alpha_P : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that $aYa^{-1} = \alpha(a)Y$ for $Y \in \text{Lie}(N)$, and that $N \times A \times K \rightarrow G$ is a diffeomorphism, so we can write $x = n(x)a(x)k(x)$ with $n(x) \in N, a(x) \in A, k(x) \in K$.
- (II) Since $N \simeq \mathbb{R}$ we can find $Y \in \text{Lie}(N)$ such that $N = \exp(\mathbb{R}Y)$ and $\Gamma_N = \exp(\mathbb{Z}Y)$.
- (III) Fix $x \in \Sigma$ and consider the smooth 1-periodic map $u(t) = f(e^{tY}x)$.

Proof of the second fundamental estimate

(I) Note that $f(x) = u(0)$ and

$$f_P(x) = \int_{\Gamma_N \setminus N} f(nx) dn = \int_{\mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{R}} f(e^{tY} x) dt = \int_0^1 u(t) dt.$$

Proof of the second fundamental estimate

(I) Note that $f(x) = u(0)$ and

$$f_P(x) = \int_{\Gamma_N \setminus N} f(nx) dn = \int_{\mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{R}} f(e^{tY} x) dt = \int_0^1 u(t) dt.$$

(II) We claim that

$$|u(0) - \int_0^1 u(t) dt| \leq \int_0^1 |u^{(d)}(t)| dt.$$

Proof of the second fundamental estimate

(I) Note that $f(x) = u(0)$ and

$$f_P(x) = \int_{\Gamma_N \setminus N} f(nx) dn = \int_{\mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{R}} f(e^{tY} x) dt = \int_0^1 u(t) dt.$$

(II) We claim that

$$|u(0) - \int_0^1 u(t) dt| \leq \int_0^1 |u^{(d)}(t)| dt.$$

(III) Replacing u by $u - u(0)$, WLOG $u(0) = 0$, so $u(1) = 0$. Now use repeated integrations by parts to get

$$\left| \int_0^1 u(t) dt \right| = \left| \pm \int_0^1 \frac{t^d}{d!} u^{(d)}(t) dt \right| \leq \frac{1}{d!} \int_0^1 |u^{(d)}(t)| dt.$$

Proof of the second fundamental estimate

(I) Next, we compute

$$u'(t) = \frac{d}{du} \Big|_{u=0} f(e^{tY} x x^{-1} e^{uY} x) =$$

$$\frac{d}{du} \Big|_{u=0} f(e^{tY} x e^{u(x^{-1}Yx)}) = ((x^{-1}Yx).f)(e^{tY} x)$$

and iterating, we obtain $u^{(d)}(t) = (D_x.f)(e^{tY} x)$, where $D_x = (x^{-1}Yx)^d \in U(\mathfrak{g})$.

Proof of the second fundamental estimate

(I) Next, we compute

$$u'(t) = \frac{d}{du} \Big|_{u=0} f(e^{tY} x x^{-1} e^{uY} x) =$$

$$\frac{d}{du} \Big|_{u=0} f(e^{tY} x e^{u(x^{-1}Yx)}) = ((x^{-1}Yx).f)(e^{tY} x)$$

and iterating, we obtain $u^{(d)}(t) = (D_x.f)(e^{tY} x)$, where $D_x = (x^{-1}Yx)^d \in U(\mathfrak{g})$.

(II) By the very useful lemma on Siegel sets we can write $x = a(x)y(x)$, with $y(x)$ in a compact set, and by definition of α we obtain

$$D_x = \alpha(a(x))^{-d} (y(x)^{-1} Y y(x))^d.$$

Proof of the second fundamental estimate

- (I) Take a basis D_i ($1 \leq i \leq k$) of the subspace of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ spanned by all $X_1 \dots X_d$ with $X_i \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then

$$(y(x)^{-1} Y y(x))^d = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i(y(x)) D_i,$$

with $a_i(y(x))$ bounded (by continuity) as x varies in Σ .

Proof of the second fundamental estimate

- (I) Take a basis D_i ($1 \leq i \leq k$) of the subspace of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ spanned by all $X_1 \dots X_d$ with $X_i \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then

$$(y(x)^{-1} Y y(x))^d = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i(y(x)) D_i,$$

with $a_i(y(x))$ bounded (by continuity) as x varies in Σ .

- (II) Hence there is c such that for all f and $x \in \Sigma$

$$|(D_x \cdot f)(e^{tY} x)| \leq c \alpha(a(x))^{-d} \sum_{i=1}^k |D_i \cdot f(e^{tY} x)|.$$

Putting everything together we get

$$|(f - f_P)(x)| \leq c \alpha(a(x))^{-d} \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{\Gamma_N \setminus N} |D_i \cdot f(nx)| dn.$$

Proof of the second fundamental estimate

- (I) Take a basis D_i ($1 \leq i \leq k$) of the subspace of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ spanned by all $X_1 \dots X_d$ with $X_i \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then

$$(y(x)^{-1} Y y(x))^d = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i(y(x)) D_i,$$

with $a_i(y(x))$ bounded (by continuity) as x varies in Σ .

- (II) Hence there is c such that for all f and $x \in \Sigma$

$$|(D_x \cdot f)(e^{tY} x)| \leq c \alpha(a(x))^{-d} \sum_{i=1}^k |D_i \cdot f(e^{tY} x)|.$$

Putting everything together we get

$$|(f - f_P)(x)| \leq c \alpha(a(x))^{-d} \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{\Gamma_N \setminus N} |D_i \cdot f(nx)| dn.$$

- (III) We conclude recalling that $\alpha(a(x))$ is approximately $\|x\|^2$ on Σ , and $\|x\| \geq 1$ for all x .

Problem set

(I) Γ will always be a lattice in $G = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$.

Problem set

- (I) Γ will always be a lattice in $G = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$.
- (II) Let Σ_i be Siegel sets at a set of representatives P_i of $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{C}(\Gamma)$, such that $\Gamma(\cup_i \Sigma_i) = G$. Let $f \in A(\Gamma)$ be an automorphic form such that $f_{P_i} \in L^2(\Sigma_i)$ for all i . Prove that $f \in L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$.

Problem set

- (I) Γ will always be a lattice in $G = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$.
- (II) Let Σ_i be Siegel sets at a set of representatives P_i of $\Gamma \backslash C(\Gamma)$, such that $\Gamma(\cup_i \Sigma_i) = G$. Let $f \in A(\Gamma)$ be an automorphic form such that $f_{P_i} \in L^2(\Sigma_i)$ for all i . Prove that $f \in L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$.
- (III) Prove that $A_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\Gamma) \subset L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$.