Citations

From References: 3 From Reviews: 2

MR1040572 (91h:57015) 57R30 (58F18)

Ghys, Étienne (F-ENSLY)

L'invariant de Godbillon-Vey. (French) [The Godbillon-Vey invariant]

Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 1988/89.

Astérisque No. 177-178 (1989), Exp. No. 706, 155-181.

This is an excellent survey of the theory of the classical Godbillon-Vey invariant of compact, foli- $(M, \mathfrak{F}).$ ated manifolds If $codim(\mathcal{F})$ = 1, this invariant is a de Rham class $gv(\mathfrak{F}) \in H^3(M; \mathbf{R})$, the geometric meaning of which was rather mysterious when the invariant was first introduced. It was conjectured by D. Sullivan and Moussu-Pelletier that, in the absence of leaves with exponential growth, $gv(\mathcal{F}) = 0$. Several authors gave partial verifications of this conjecture, culminating in a theorem of G. Duminy (unfortunately, never published) that greatly sharpened the conjecture. Duminy showed that, in the absence of a resilient leaf, $gv(\mathcal{F}) = 0$. A leaf L is resilient if it has a holonomy contraction which "captures" L itself. It is easily proven that such a leaf L, and every leaf that approaches L, has exponential growth, but it is also possible for nonresilient leaves to grow exponentially. Resiliency is a dynamical property analogous to "Smale's horseshoe", so $gv(\mathcal{F})$ reflects deep properties of the topological dynamics of F. In this survey, the author gives a detailed outline of Duminy's work and a less detailed but helpful outline of Hurder's generalization to higher codimension. Subsequently, he describes work of Connes on the ergodic theory of foliations (of codimension one) with $gv(\mathfrak{F}) \neq 0$ and closes with an extensive discussion of the problem of topological invariance of $gv(\mathcal{F})$, again in codimension one. The healthy bibliography (49 items) makes this valuable survey even more useful.

Reviewed by Lawrence Conlon

© Copyright American Mathematical Society 1991, 2006