

---

# GALOIS MEASURES AND THE KATZ MAP

*by*

Laurent Berger

---

**Abstract.** — The purpose of this paper is to explain the proofs of the results announced by Nick Katz in 1977, namely a description of “Galois measures for Tate modules of height two formal groups over the ring of integers of a finite unramified extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ ”.

## Contents

Introduction.....	1
1. Preliminaries.....	3
2. The Katz map.....	5
3. Surjectivity.....	6
4. Injectivity.....	8
References.....	9

## Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to explain the proofs of the results announced by Nick Katz in 1977, namely a theory of “Galois measures for Tate modules of height two formal groups over the ring of integers of a finite unramified extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ ”. As Katz writes in the introduction of [Kat81], these results were announced in [Kat77] but “the details of this general theory remain unpublished”.

In the author’s joint paper [AB24] with Konstantin Ardakov, Katz’ results were proved in the case of a Lubin–Tate formal group attached to a uniformizer of  $\mathbf{Q}_{p^2}$  (theorem 1.6.1 of [AB24]). In the present paper, we simplify and clarify that proof, and extend it to all height two formal groups. We now describe our results in more detail.

**Formal groups.** — Let  $K$  be a finite unramified extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  with ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}_K$  and residue field  $k$ . Let  $\overline{\mathbf{Q}_p}$  be an algebraic closure of  $K$ , let  $G_K = \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}_p}/K)$  and let  $\mathbf{C}_p$  be the completion of  $\overline{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ . Let  $G$  be a formal group of dimension 1 and height 2 over  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . Let  $A(G) = \mathcal{O}_K[[X]]$  denote the coordinate ring of  $G$ .

Let  $H$  be the Cartier dual of  $G$  (denoted by  $G^\vee$  in [Kat77]), so that (cf [Tat67])  $H$  is also a formal group of dimension 1 and height 2. The Tate module  $T_p H$  parameterizes all formal group homomorphisms  $G \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_m$  over  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}_p}$ . They are given by power series  $t(X) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}_p} \hat{\otimes} A(G) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}_p}[[X]]$  such that  $t(0) = 1$  and  $t(X \oplus_G Y) = t(X) \cdot t(Y)$ .

**The covariant bialgebra of  $G$ .** — Let  $U(G)$  be the covariant bialgebra of  $G$  (we use  $U(G)$  instead of Katz' algebra  $\text{Diff}(G)$  of translation-invariant differential operators on  $G$ ). We have  $U(G) \simeq \text{Diff}(G)$ , see lemma 2.1). The set  $U(G)$  is the set of all  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -linear maps  $A(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K$  that vanish on some power of the augmentation ideal. If  $f, g \in U(G)$ , their product is defined by  $(f \cdot g)(a(X)) = (f \otimes g)a(X \oplus_G Y)$  if  $a(X) \in A(G)$ .

Let  $\hat{U}(G)$  denote the set of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -linear maps  $A(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K$  that are continuous for the  $(p, X)$ -adic topology, so that  $\hat{U}(G)$  is the  $p$ -adic completion of  $U(G)$ . Let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \hat{U}(G) \times A(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K$  denote the evaluation pairing.

**The Katz map.** — Let  $C_{\text{Gal}}^0(T_p H, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}_p})$  denote the  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -module of Galois continuous functions, namely those functions  $f : T_p H \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}_p}$  that are continuous and  $G_K$ -equivariant:  $f(\sigma(t)) = \sigma(f(t))$  for all  $t \in T_p H$  and  $\sigma \in G_K$ .

The evaluation pairing extends to  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \hat{U}(G) \times (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}_p} \hat{\otimes} A(G)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}_p}$ . If  $u \in \hat{U}(G)$ , then  $t \mapsto \langle u, t(X) \rangle$  is a Galois continuous function  $T_p H \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}_p}$ . The Katz map is

$$\mathcal{K} : \hat{U}(G) \rightarrow C_{\text{Gal}}^0(T_p H, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}_p}),$$

defined by  $\mathcal{K}(u)(t) = \langle u, t(X) \rangle$  (this is the map  $(*)$  on page 59 of [Kat77]).

**Galois measures.** — Let  $S$  be a  $p$ -adically complete and separated flat  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -algebra. Applying the functor  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\cdot, S)$  to  $\mathcal{K} : \hat{U}(G) \rightarrow C_{\text{Gal}}^0(T_p H, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}_p})$  gives an  $S$ -linear map (the map  $(**)$  on page 59 of [Kat77])

$$\mathcal{K}^* : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_K}(C_{\text{Gal}}^0(T_p H, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}_p}), S) \rightarrow S \hat{\otimes} A(G).$$

If  $f(X) \in S \hat{\otimes} A(G)$ , let  $\psi f \in S[1/p] \hat{\otimes} A(G)$  be defined by (compare with §III of [Col79])

$$(\psi f)([p]_G(X)) = \frac{1}{p^2} \cdot \sum_{\pi \in G[p]} f(X \oplus_G \pi).$$

We say that  $f(X) \in S \hat{\otimes} A(G)$  is  $\psi$ -integral if  $\psi^n f \in S \hat{\otimes} A(G)$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . The main result claimed by Katz (see page 60 of [Kat77]) is the following.

**Theorem A.** — *The map  $\mathcal{K}^*$  is injective, and its image is the set of  $\psi$ -integral power series  $f(X) \in S \hat{\otimes} A(G)$ .*

*Moreover, a Galois measure  $\mu$  is supported on  $T_p^\times H$  if and only if  $\psi(\mathcal{K}^*(\mu)) = 0$ .*

**Main results.** — The main result of this paper is a proof of theorem B below. Choose an element  $t = (t_0, t_1, \dots) \in T_p^\times H = T_p H \setminus p \cdot T_p H$  and let  $\mathcal{K}_t : \hat{U}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}_p}$  denote the map  $u \mapsto \mathcal{K}(u)(t)$ . Let  $K_\infty$  denote the completion of the field generated over  $K$  by the  $t_n$  for  $n \geq 1$ . Since  $\mathcal{K}(u)$  is  $G_K$ -equivariant, the Ax-Sen-Tate theorem implies that  $\mathcal{K}(u)(t) \in \mathcal{O}_{K_\infty}$  for all  $t \in T_p H$ .

**Theorem B.** — *The map  $\mathcal{K}$  is injective, and the map  $\mathcal{K}_t : \hat{U}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{K_\infty}$  is surjective.*

Theorem A follows from theorem B by mostly formal arguments, that are carried out in detail in [AB24] for the Lubin–Tate case, see in particular corollary 3.4.10 of ibid (the hypothesis “ $\tau : G_L \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_L^\times$  is surjective” is replaced here by “ $G_K$  acts transitively on  $T_p^\times H$ ”, cf lemma 1.1 below). To keep this paper short, we focus on proving theorem B.

Besides clarifying the arguments of §3 of [AB24], our proof also shows that  $\hat{U}(G)$  has a perfectoid-like nature. In particular, the injectivity of  $\mathcal{K}$  is related to the following result in  $p$ -adic Hodge theory (cf 5.1.4 of [Fon94], and its Lubin–Tate generalizations such as prop 9.6 of [Col02]):  $\{x \in \tilde{\mathbf{A}}^+ \text{ such that } \theta \circ \varphi^n(x) = 0 \text{ for all } n \geq 0\} = \pi \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{A}}^+$ .

## 1. Preliminaries

In all this paper, we let  $q = p^2$ . The multiplication-by- $p$  map on  $G$  is given by a power series  $[p]_G(X) = \sum_{i \geq 1} r_i X^i$  with  $r_1 = p$  and  $r_i \in p\mathcal{O}_K$  for  $i \leq q-1$  and  $r_q \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$ .

**Lemma 1.1.** — *If  $t, u \in T_p^\times H$ , there exists  $\sigma \in G_K$  such that  $u = \sigma(t)$ .*

*Proof.* — For all  $n \geq 0$ , in the Weierstrass factorization of  $[p^{n+1}]_H(X)/[p^n]_H(X)$ , the distinguished polynomial is Eisenstein, as its constant term is  $p$  and  $K/\mathbf{Q}_p$  is unramified.

Its roots are therefore conjugate by  $G_K$ . □

**Lemma 1.2.** — *There is a change of variables such that  $[p]_G(X) = pX + \mathcal{O}(X^q)$ .*

*Proof.* — If  $r(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} r_i X^i$ , then  $r(X) + X^q$  is a Lubin–Tate power series for the uniformizer  $p$ , so by lemma 1 of [LT65] there exists a reversible power series  $h(X)$  such that  $h^{-1} \circ (r(X) + X^q) \circ h = pX + X^q$ . We then have  $h^{-1} \circ [p]_G(X) \circ h = pX + \mathcal{O}(X^q)$ . □

A coordinate satisfying the above conditions is said to be clean. Let  $\log_G(X) \in K[[X]]$  denote the logarithm attached to the formal group  $G$ .

**Lemma 1.3.** — *If  $X$  is clean, then  $\log_G(X) = X + \alpha \cdot X^q/p + O(X^{q+1})$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$ .*

Let  $t$  be an element of  $T_p H = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}}(G, \mathbf{G}_m)$ , and let  $t(X) = 1 + \sum_{i \geq 1} a_i(t) X^i \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}[[X]]$  be the corresponding Hom from  $G$  to  $\mathbf{G}_m$ .

**Lemma 1.4.** — *If  $\sigma \in G_K$  then  $a_n(\sigma(t)) = \sigma(a_n(t))$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .*

*Proof.* — The isomorphism  $T_p H = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}}(G, \mathbf{G}_m)$  is  $G_K$ -equivariant.  $\square$

**Lemma 1.5.** — *We have  $a_n(t) = a_1(t)^n/n!$  if  $n \leq q-1$  and  $a_q(t) = a_1(t)^q/q! + \alpha \cdot a_1(t)/p$ .*

*Proof.* — Since  $t(X) = \exp(a_1(t) \cdot \log_G(X))$ , the claim follows from lemma 1.3.  $\square$

**Proposition 1.6.** — *If  $t \in T_p^\times H$ , the abscissa of the breakpoints of the Newton polygon of  $t(X) - 1$  are the  $p^m$  with  $m \geq 0$ , and  $\text{val}_p(a_{p^m}(t)) = 1/p^{m-1}(q-1)$  for all  $m \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* — The Newton polygon of  $t(X) - 1$  is independant of the choice of coordinate  $X$ , and we choose a clean coordinate on  $G$ . We first prove that  $\text{val}_p(a_1(t)) \leq p/(q-1)$ . Let  $\zeta$  be a primitive  $p$ -th root of 1. Since  $t \in T_p^\times H$ , there exists  $\eta \in G[p]$  such that  $t(\eta) = \zeta$ . We have  $\text{val}_p(\eta) = 1/(q-1)$  and  $\text{val}_p(\zeta - 1) = 1/(p-1)$ . Since  $X$  is a clean coordinate on  $G$ , we have  $a_n(t) = a_1(t)^n/n!$  if  $n \leq q-1$  by lemma 1.5. If  $\text{val}_p(a_1) > p/(q-1)$ , then for  $n < q$

$$\text{val}_p(a_n(t)\eta^n) = \text{val}_p\left(a_1(t)^n \frac{\eta^n}{n!}\right) > \frac{np+n}{q-1} - \frac{n-s_p(n)}{p-1} = \frac{s_p(n)}{p-1} \geq \frac{1}{p-1},$$

while  $\text{val}_p(\eta^n) = n/(q-1) > 1$  if  $n \geq q$ . It is therefore not possible to have  $t(\eta) - 1 = \zeta - 1$ , so that  $\text{val}_p(a_1(t)) \leq p/(q-1)$ .

For every  $m \geq 1$ ,  $t(X) - 1$  has  $p^m - p^{m-1}$  zeroes in  $G[p^m] \setminus G[p^{m-1}]$  and they are all of valuation  $1/(q^m - q^{m-1})$ . Since  $\sum_{m \geq 1} (p^m - p^{m-1})/(q^m - q^{m-1}) = p/(q-1)$ , the theory of Newton polygons tells us that  $\text{val}_p(a_1(t)) = p/(q-1)$ , and then that  $t(X) - 1$  cannot have other zeroes.

The valuations of the  $a_{p^m}(t)$  can then be read off the Newton polygon of  $t(X) - 1$ .  $\square$

**Remark 1.7.** —

1. Compare with corollary 3.5.8 of [AB24];
2. Compare with the proof of lemma 13 of [Box86];
3. Proposition 1.6 applied to  $m = 0$  gives us lemma 1 on page 62 of [Kat77];
4. Lemma 1.5 and the fact that  $\text{val}_p(a_q(t)) = 1/p(q-1)$  imply that  $\text{val}_p(a_1(t) \cdot (a_1(t)^{q-1}/q! + \alpha/p)) = 1/p(q-1)$  and hence  $\text{val}_p(a_1(t)^{q-1}p/\alpha q! + 1) = 1 - 1/p$ , compare with lemma 2 on page 63 of [Kat77].

## 2. The Katz map

Let  $C_{\text{Gal}}^0(T_p H, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}_p})$  denote the set of Galois continuous functions  $f : T_p H \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}_p}$ , namely those maps that are continuous and  $G_K$ -equivariant:  $f(\sigma(t)) = \sigma(f(t))$  for all  $t \in T_p H$  and  $\sigma \in G_K$ . By lemma 1.1, any two elements of  $p^n \cdot T_p^\times H$  are Galois conjugates, so if we fix  $t \in T_p^\times H$ , a function  $f \in C_{\text{Gal}}^0(T_p H, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}_p})$  is determined by  $\{f(p^n t)\}_{n \geq 0}$ . In addition, we have  $f(p^n t) \rightarrow f(0)$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  by continuity, and  $f(0) \in \mathcal{O}_K$  by the Ax-Sen-Tate theorem since  $f(0)$  is fixed by  $G_K$ .

Write  $t = (t_0, t_1, \dots) \in T_p H$  and let  $K_n$  denote the extension of  $K$  generated by  $t_n$  and let  $K_\infty$  be the completion of  $\cup_{n \geq 0} K_n$ . By the Ax-Sen-Tate theorem, we have  $f(p^n t) \in \mathcal{O}_{K_\infty}$  for all  $n$ . Let  $\prod'_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{O}_{K_\infty}$  denote the  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -algebra of sequences  $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  with  $f_n \in \mathcal{O}_{K_\infty}$  and such that  $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  converges to an element of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . The map  $f \mapsto \{f(p^n t)\}_{n \geq 0}$  gives an isomorphism  $C_{\text{Gal}}^0(T_p H, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}_p}) \rightarrow \prod'_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{O}_{K_\infty}$ .

Recall that  $U(G)$  is the covariant bialgebra of  $G$ , namely the set of all  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -linear maps  $A(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K$  that vanish on some power of the augmentation ideal. If  $f, g \in U(G)$ , their product is defined by  $(f \cdot g)(a(X)) = (f \otimes g)a(X \oplus_G Y)$ . In [Kat77] and [Kat81], Katz introduces the algebra  $\text{Diff}(G)$  of translation-invariant differential operators on  $G$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** — *If  $D \in \text{Diff}(G)$ , then  $[f \mapsto D(f)(0)] \in U(G)$ , and this map gives rise to an isomorphism between the  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -algebras  $\text{Diff}(G)$  and  $U(G)$ .*

*Proof.* — The proof is the same as that in §3.2 of [AB24] for the Lubin–Tate case: for  $n \geq 0$ , let  $u_n \in U(G)$  be the map  $u_n : A(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K$  given by  $u_n(\sum_{i \geq 0} b_i X^i) = b_n$ . We have  $u_n \cdot u_m = \sum_{k \geq 0} s_{k,n,m} u_k$  where  $(X \oplus_G Y)^k = \sum_{n,m \geq 0} s_{k,n,m} X^n Y^m$  for  $k \geq 0$ , and we get the same structure constants as in  $\text{Diff}(G)$ , see for instance (1.2) of [Kat81].  $\square$

Recall that  $\hat{U}(G)$  denotes the set of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -linear maps  $A(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K$  that are continuous for the  $(p, X)$ -adic topology, so that  $\hat{U}(G)$  is the  $p$ -adic completion of  $U(G)$ . The evaluation pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \hat{U}(G) \times A(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K$  extends to  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \hat{U}(G) \times (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}_p} \hat{\otimes} A(G)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}_p}$ .

**Definition 2.2.** — The Katz map is the map  $\mathcal{K} : \hat{U}(G) \rightarrow C_{\text{Gal}}^0(T_p H, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}_p})$  defined by  $\mathcal{K}(u)(t) = \langle u, t(X) \rangle$ .

The map  $\mathcal{K}(u) : T_p H \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}_p}$  is Galois continuous by lemma 1.4. The Katz map  $\mathcal{K}$  is an  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -algebra homomorphism (the proof is the same as that of lemma 3.3.5 of [AB24]). Let  $\varphi_C : C_{\text{Gal}}^0(T_p H, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}_p}) \rightarrow C_{\text{Gal}}^0(T_p H, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}_p})$  denote the map given by  $(\varphi_C f)(t) = f(pt)$ . We have a map  $U(\varphi_G) : \hat{U}(G) \rightarrow \hat{U}(G)$  coming by duality from the map  $\varphi_G : A(G) \rightarrow A(G)$  given by  $a(X) \mapsto a([p]_G(X))$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** — We have  $\mathcal{K} \circ U(\varphi_G) = \varphi_C \circ \mathcal{K}$ .

*Proof.* — The proof is the same as that of lemma 3.3.6 of [AB24], where  $U(\varphi_G)$  is denoted by  $\varphi^*$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.4.** — Since  $\widehat{U}(G) = \{\sum_{n \geq 0} \lambda_n u_n \text{ with } \{\lambda_n\}_{n \geq 0} \in c^0(\mathcal{O}_K)\}$ , and  $u_n(t(X)) = a_n(t)$ , we can reformulate theorem B as follows.

1. If  $t \in T_p^\times H$ , every  $x \in \mathcal{O}_{K_\infty}$  can be written as  $x = \sum_{n \geq 0} \lambda_n a_n(t)$  with  $\{\lambda_n\} \in c^0(\mathcal{O}_K)$ ;
2. If  $\{\lambda_n\} \in c^0(\mathcal{O}_K)$  and  $\sum_{n \geq 0} \lambda_n a_n(t) = 0$  for all  $t \in T_p H$ , then  $\lambda_n = 0$  for all  $n$ .

### 3. Surjectivity

We now prove that  $\mathcal{K}_t : \widehat{U}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{K_\infty}$  is surjective if  $t = (t_0, t_1, \dots) \in T_p^\times H$ .

For  $n \geq 0$ , let  $G_n = G[p^n]$  and  $H_n = H[p^n]$  and recall that  $K_n = K(t_n)$ . The inclusion  $G_n \rightarrow G_{n+1}$  is (§2.3 of [Tat67]) the Cartier dual of  $[p]_H : H_{n+1} \rightarrow H_n$  (and vice versa). We have  $A(G_n) = \mathcal{O}_K[[X]]/\varphi_G^n(X)$ . Let  $U(G_n) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_K}(A(G_n), \mathcal{O}_K) \subset \widehat{U}(G)$ . Cartier duality gives an isomorphism  $U(G_n) = A(H_n)$ , so that  $U(G_n) = \mathcal{O}_K[[Y_n]]/\varphi_H^n(Y_n)$ . On  $U(G_n)$ , we have  $U([p]_G) = [p]_H$  so that  $U(\varphi_G) = \varphi_H$ . The natural inclusion  $U(G_n) \rightarrow U(G_{n+1})$  is the map  $A(H_n) \rightarrow A(H_{n+1})$  that comes from  $[p]_H : H_{n+1} \rightarrow H_n$ . Its image is  $\varphi_H(U(G_{n+1}))$ . If  $U(G_n)_K = K \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} U(G_n)$ , then

$$U(G_n)_K = K \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_K[[Y_n]]/\varphi_H^n(Y_n) = K_n \times K_{n-1} \times \dots \times K_1 \times K_0.$$

Fix  $t \in T_p^\times H$ . For  $n \geq 0$ , let  $\kappa_n : \widehat{U}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{K_\infty}$  denote the map  $u \mapsto \mathcal{K}(u)(p^n t)$ . Lemma 2.3 implies that  $\kappa_n(\varphi_H(u)) = \kappa_{n+1}(u)$  if  $u \in U(G_m)$  for some  $m, n \geq 0$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** — For all  $n \geq 1$ , the image of the map  $\kappa_0 : U(G_n)_K \rightarrow K_\infty$  is  $K_n$ .

*Proof.* — We first prove that the image of  $\kappa_0 : U(G_n)_K \rightarrow K_\infty$  is contained in  $K_n$ . By Cartier duality, we have  $H_n = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}_p}}(G_n, \mathbf{G}_m)$ , and the map  $t_n(X) \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}_p}}(G_n, \mathbf{G}_m)$  corresponding to  $t_n$  is given by  $t(X) \bmod \varphi_G^n(X)$ . We then have

$$t_n(X) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}_p} \otimes A(G_n) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}_p}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}_p} \otimes U(G_n), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}_p})$$

The resulting map  $U(G_n)_K \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_p$  is given by  $u \mapsto u(t(X) \bmod \varphi_G^n(X)) = u(t)$ , namely the restriction of  $\kappa_0$  to  $U(G_n)_K$ . For all  $\sigma \in G_{K_n}$ ,  $\sigma(t_n) = t_n$  and hence  $\sigma(t_n(X)) = t_n(X)$  so that  $\sigma(\kappa_0(u)) = \kappa_0(u)$  if  $u \in U(G_n)_K$ . By the Ax-Sen-Tate theorem,  $\kappa_0(u) \in K_n$ .

We now prove that the image of  $\kappa_0$  is  $K_n$ . Let  $[p^n]_G(X) = f(X)u(X)$  be the Weierstrass factorization of  $[p^n]_G(X)$ , where  $f(X)$  is a distinguished polynomial of degree  $q^n$  and  $u(X)$

is a unit. Thanks to Weierstrass division by  $f(X)$ , we can write

$$\mathcal{O}_K[[X]] = (\oplus_{i=0}^{q^n-1} \mathcal{O}_K X^i) \oplus f(X) \mathcal{O}_K[[X]].$$

For  $0 \leq r \leq q^n - 1$ , let  $w_r \in U(G_n)_K$  be the  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -linear form that maps  $X^i$  to  $\delta_{ir}$  for  $i \leq q^n - 1$  and maps  $f(X) \mathcal{O}_K[[X]]$  to 0. It maps  $X^{j+q^n}$  to  $p \mathcal{O}_K$  for all  $j \geq 0$  since  $f(X) = f_{q^n} X^{q^n} + pg(X)$  with  $f_{q^n} \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$  and hence  $f_{q^n} X^{j+q^n} = X^j f(X) - pX^j g(X)$ .

By prop 1.6, we have  $\text{val}_p(a_{p^m}(t)) = 1/p^{m-1}(q-1)$  for all  $m \geq 0$ . In particular, setting  $m = 2n - 1$ , so that  $p^m = q^n/p \leq q^n - 1$ , we have  $\text{val}_p(a_{p^m}(t)) = 1/q^{n-1}(q-1)$ . We have

$$\kappa_0(w_{p^{2n-1}}) = w_{p^{2n-1}}(t(X)) \equiv a_{p^m}(t) \pmod{p},$$

so that  $\kappa_0(U(G_n)_K)$  contains a uniformizer of  $K_n$  and is therefore equal to  $K_n$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.2.** — Compare with (the proof of) prop 3.6.7 of [AB24].

**Proposition 3.3.** — Take  $n \geq 1$  and fix an isomorphism  $U(G_n) = \mathcal{O}_K[[Y]]/\varphi_H^n(Y)$ .

There exists  $(u_n, u_{n-1}, \dots, u_1, u_0)$  with  $u_k \in K_\infty$  and  $[p]_H(u_k) = u_{k-1}$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n$  and  $u_1 \neq 0$  and  $u_0 = 0$  such that the map  $\kappa_j : U(G_n)_K \rightarrow K_\infty$  is given by  $P(Y) \mapsto P(u_{n-j})$  if  $j \leq n$ , and by  $P(Y) \mapsto P(0)$  if  $j \geq n$ .

*Proof.* — For all  $j$ , there exists a root  $u_{n-j}$  of  $\varphi_H^n(Y)$  in  $\mathfrak{m}_{K_\infty}$  such that the  $K$ -algebra map  $\kappa_j : K \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_K[[Y]]/\varphi_H^n(Y) \rightarrow K_\infty$  is given by  $P(Y) \mapsto P(u_{n-j})$ . In addition, we have  $\kappa_{j-1}(\varphi_H(Y)) = \kappa_j(Y)$  so that  $[p]_H(u_{n-j+1}) = u_{n-j}$ .

We have  $\kappa_n(Y) = \kappa_0(\varphi_H^n(Y)) = 0$  so that  $u_0 = 0$ , and finally since  $\kappa_0 : U(G_1)_K \rightarrow K_1$  is surjective by prop 3.1, and

$$\kappa_{n-1}(U(G_n)_K) = \varphi_C^{n-1} \circ \kappa_0(U(G_n)_K) = \kappa_0(\varphi_H^{n-1}(U(G_n)))_K = \kappa_0(U(G_1)_K),$$

the element  $u_1$  generates  $K_1$  over  $K$  and so  $u_1 \neq 0$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4.** — The map  $\kappa_0 : U(G_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{K_n}$  is surjective for all  $n \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* — The map  $\kappa_0 : U(G_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{K_\infty}$  is given by  $P(Y_n) \mapsto P(u_n)$  as in prop 3.3, so that its image is  $\mathcal{O}_{K_n}$  since  $u_n$  is a uniformizer of  $K_n$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.5.** — The map  $\kappa_0 : \hat{U}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{K_\infty}$  is surjective.

This proves the surjectivity part of Theorem B since  $\kappa_0 = \mathcal{K}_t$ .

#### 4. Injectivity

We now prove the injectivity of the Katz map  $\mathcal{K} : \widehat{U}(G) \rightarrow C_{\text{Gal}}^0(T_p H, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}_p})$ . Recall that  $U(G_n) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{O}_K[[X]]/\varphi_G^n(X), \mathcal{O}_K)$  and that we have an injection  $U(G_n) \rightarrow \widehat{U}(G)$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** — *The  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -module  $\cup_{n \geq 1} U(G_n)$  is  $p$ -adically dense in  $\widehat{U}(G)$ .*

*Proof.* — Take  $k, d \geq 0$  and  $u \in \widehat{U}(G)$  such that  $u(X^d \mathcal{O}_K[[X]]) \subset p^k \mathcal{O}_K$ , and  $n \geq 1$  such that  $[p^n]_G(X) \in (X^d, p^k)$ . Let  $[p^n]_G(X) = f(X)u(X)$  be the Weierstrass factorization of  $[p^n]_G(X)$ , where  $f(X)$  is distinguished of degree  $q^n$  and  $u(X)$  is a unit. Write  $\mathcal{O}_K[[X]] = (\oplus_{i=0}^{q^n-1} \mathcal{O}_K X^i) \oplus f(X) \mathcal{O}_K[[X]]$ . Define  $w \in U(G_n)$  by  $w = u$  on  $1, X, \dots, X^{q^n-1}$  and  $w = 0$  on  $f(X) \mathcal{O}_K[[X]]$ . If  $j \geq 0$ , then  $X^{j+q^n} \in f(X) \mathcal{O}_K[[X]] + (\oplus_{i=d+j}^{q^n+j-1} \mathcal{O}_K X^i) + p^k \mathcal{O}_K[[X]]$ . By induction on  $j$ , we have  $w(X^{j+q^n}) \in p^k \mathcal{O}_K$  for all  $j \geq 0$  and therefore  $w - u \in p^k \widehat{U}(G)$ .  $\square$

The group  $G_K$  acts transitively on  $T_p^\times H$  by lemma 1.1, so that in the isomorphisms  $U(G_n) = \mathcal{O}_K[[Y_n]]/\varphi_H^n(Y_n)$ , it is possible to change the coordinates  $Y_n$  to get a common  $u = (\dots, u_1, u_0)$  for all  $U(G_n)_K$  in prop 3.3. We can then write  $U(G_n) = \mathcal{O}_K[[\varphi_H^{-n}(Y)]]/Y$  for each  $n$ , with each transition map  $U(G_{n-1}) \rightarrow U(G_n)$  sending  $Y$  to  $Y$  and the map  $\kappa_j$  sending  $\varphi_H^{-n}(Y)$  to  $u_{n-j}$ . Lemma 4.1 and the fact that  $U(G_n) \cap p \cdot \widehat{U}(G) = p \cdot U(G_n)$  for all  $n \geq 0$  imply that  $\widehat{U}(G)$  is the  $p$ -adic completion of  $\cup_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{O}_K[[\varphi_H^{-n}(Y)]]/Y$ .

Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be the  $p$ -adic completion of  $\cup_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{O}_K[[\varphi_H^{-n}(Y)]]$  and let  $\theta : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{K_\infty}$  be the  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -linear ring homomorphism that sends  $\varphi_H^{-n}(Y)$  to  $u_n$  so that  $\widehat{U}(G) = \mathbf{A}/Y$  and  $\kappa_0 = \theta$ .

Let  $\mathbf{E}$  denote the ring  $\cup_{n \geq 0} k[[\varphi_H^{-n}(Y)]]$ . The valuation  $\text{val}_Y$  is compatibly defined on each  $k[[\varphi_H^{-n}(Y)]]$  and hence on  $\mathbf{E}$  (indeed,  $\varphi_H(Y) \in Y^q \cdot k[[Y]]^\times$  so that if  $x \in k[[Y_n]] \subset k[[Y_{n+1}]]$  with  $Y_n = \varphi_H(Y_{n+1})$ , then  $\text{val}_{Y_{n+1}}(x) = q \cdot \text{val}_{Y_n}(x)$ ).

**Lemma 4.2.** — *If  $x \in \mathbf{E}$ , then  $x \in Y \cdot \mathbf{E}$  if and only if  $\text{val}_Y(x) \geq 1$ .*

Let  $\theta : \mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{K_\infty}/p$  be the  $k$ -linear ring homomorphism that sends  $\varphi_H^{-n}(Y)$  to  $u_n$  so that  $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{A}/p\mathbf{A}$  with compatible  $\theta$ .

**Lemma 4.3.** — *We have  $\ker(\theta : \mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{K_\infty}/p) = Y/\varphi_H^{-1}(Y) \cdot \mathbf{E}$ .*

*Proof.* — It is enough to prove that for all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\ker(\theta : k[[\varphi_H^{-n}(Y)]] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{K_\infty}/p)$  is generated by  $Y/\varphi_H^{-1}(Y)$ . Let  $Q_n(X)$  be the minimal polynomial of  $u_n$  over  $K$ , so that  $Q_n(X) \in \mathcal{O}_K[X]$  is monic of degree  $q^{n-1}(q-1)$ . If  $P(X) \in \mathcal{O}_K[[X]]$ , we can write it as  $P = SQ_n + R$  with  $\deg R < q^{n-1}(q-1)$ . If  $P(u_n) \in p \cdot \mathcal{O}_{K_n}$ , then  $R(u_n) \in p \cdot \mathcal{O}_{K_n}$  and since  $\text{val}_p(u_n) = 1/q^{n-1}(q-1)$ , this implies that  $R(X) \in p \cdot \mathcal{O}_K[[X]]$ . The claim now follows from this, and from the fact that  $\theta(\varphi_H^{-n}(Y)) = u_n$  so that  $\ker(\theta)$  is generated by  $\varphi_H^{-n}(Y)^{q^{n-1}(q-1)}$  and hence by  $Y/\varphi_H^{-1}(Y)$  since  $\varphi_H(Y) = Y^q \cdot f(Y)$  with  $f(Y) \in k[[Y]]^\times$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.4.** — *We have  $\ker(\theta : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{K_\infty}) = Y/\varphi_H^{-1}(Y) \cdot \mathbf{A}$ .*

*Proof.* — If  $x \in \ker(\theta)$ , then  $\bar{x} \in \mathbf{E}$  is also killed by  $\theta$  and is divisible by  $Y/\varphi_H^{-1}(Y)$  in  $\mathbf{E}$  by lemma 4.3. We can therefore write  $x = Y/\varphi_H^{-1}(Y) \cdot x_1 + py_1$  with  $x_1, y_1 \in \mathbf{A}$  and  $\theta(y_1) = 0$ . By induction, we can write  $x = Y/\varphi_H^{-1}(Y) \cdot x_k + p^k y_k$  with  $x_k, y_k \in \mathbf{A}$  and  $\theta(y_k) = 0$  for all  $k \geq 1$ . Since  $\mathbf{A}$  is  $p$ -adically complete, this implies the claim.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.5.** — *We have  $\{x \in \mathbf{A}, \theta \circ \varphi_H^n(x) = 0 \text{ for all } n \geq 0\} = Y \cdot \mathbf{A}$ .*

*Proof.* — One inclusion is clear, since  $\theta \circ \varphi_H^n(Y) = 0$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . We now prove the reverse inclusion. Prop 4.4 shows that  $\ker(\theta) = Y/\varphi_H^{-1}(Y) \cdot \mathbf{A}$  and therefore that for all  $j \geq 0$ ,  $\ker(\theta \circ \varphi_H^j) = \varphi_H^{-j}(Y)/\varphi_H^{-j-1}(Y) \cdot \mathbf{A}$ . For  $n \geq 0$ , let  $I_n$  denote the set of  $x \in \mathbf{A}$  such that  $(\theta \circ \varphi_H^j)(x) = 0$  for  $0 \leq j \leq n$ . Since  $(\theta \circ \varphi_H^\ell)(\varphi_H^{-j}(Y)/\varphi_H^{-j-1}(Y)) \neq 0$  if  $\ell < j$ , and  $Y/\varphi_H^{-1}(Y) \cdot \varphi_H^{-1}(Y)/\varphi_H^{-2}(Y) \cdots \varphi_H^{-(n-1)}(Y)/\varphi_H^{-n}(Y) = Y/\varphi_H^{-n}(Y)$ , we have  $I_n = Y/\varphi_H^{-n}(Y) \cdot \mathbf{A}$ . Let  $I = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} I_n = \{x \in \mathbf{A}, \theta \circ \varphi_H^n(x) = 0 \text{ for all } n \geq 0\}$ .

The above reasoning and lemma 4.2 imply that in  $\mathbf{E}$ , we have  $\bar{I} = Y \cdot \mathbf{E}$ . Hence if  $x \in I$ , then  $\bar{x} \in Y \cdot \mathbf{E}$ . We can therefore write  $x = Y \cdot x_1 + py_1$  with  $x_1 \in \mathbf{A}$  and  $y_1 \in I$ . By induction, we can write  $x = Y \cdot x_k + p^k y_k$  with  $x_k \in \mathbf{A}$  and  $y_k \in I$  for all  $k \geq 1$ . Since  $\mathbf{A}$  is  $p$ -adically complete, this implies the claim.  $\square$

**Remark 4.6.** — Compare with prop 9.6 of [Col02] (and 5.1.4 of [Fon94]), noting however that our  $\mathbf{E}$  is not complete for the  $Y$ -adic topology. We could actually replace  $\mathbf{E}$  and  $\mathbf{A}$  above with their  $Y$ -adic completions, since in any case  $\widehat{U}(G) = \mathbf{A}/Y$ . In the Lubin–Tate case, we would then have  $\mathbf{E} = \widetilde{\mathbf{E}}_K^+$  and  $\mathbf{A} = \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_K^+$  in the notation of *ibid*.

In general,  $\varphi_H(Y) = f(Y^q)$  in  $k[[Y]]$  for some reversible  $f(Y)$  so that  $\mathbf{E}$  is still perfect.

**Corollary 4.7.** — *The map  $\mathcal{K} : \widehat{U}(G) \rightarrow C_{\text{Gal}}^0(T_p H, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}_p})$  is injective.*

*Proof.* — If we write  $C_{\text{Gal}}^0(T_p H, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}_p}) = \prod'_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{O}_{K_\infty}$ , then  $\mathcal{K} : \widehat{U}(G) \rightarrow C_{\text{Gal}}^0(T_p H, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}_p})$  comes from  $\{\theta \circ \varphi_H^n\}_{n \geq 0} : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \prod'_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{O}_{K_\infty}$  and the claim results from prop 4.5 above and the fact that  $\widehat{U}(G) = \mathbf{A}/Y$  by lemma 4.1.  $\square$

This finishes the proof of Theorem B.

## References

- [AB24] K. Ardakov and L. Berger, *Bounded functions on the character variety*, Münster J. Math. (2024), to appear.
- [Box86] J. Boxall,  *$p$ -adic interpolation of logarithmic derivatives associated to certain Lubin–Tate formal groups*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **36** (1986), no. 3, 1–27.
- [Col79] R. F. Coleman, *Division values in local fields*, Invent. Math. **53** (1979), no. 2, 91–116.

- [Col02] P. Colmez, *Espaces de Banach de dimension finie*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **1** (2002), 331–439.
- [Fon94] J.-M. Fontaine *Le corps des périodes  $p$ -adiques*, Astérisque (1994), no. 223, p. 59–111, With an appendix by Pierre Colmez, Périodes  $p$ -adiques (Bures-sur-Yvette, 1988).
- [Kat77] N. M. Katz, *Formal groups and  $p$ -adic interpolation*, Journées Arithmétiques de Caen, (Univ. Caen, 1976), Astérisque No. 41–42, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1977, pp. 55–65.
- [Kat81] N. M. Katz, *Divisibilities, congruences, and Cartier duality*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **28** (1981), no. 3, 667–678 (1982).
- [LT65] J. Lubin and J. Tate, *Formal complex multiplication in local fields*, Ann. of Math. (2) **81** (1965), 380–387.
- [Tat67] J. T. Tate,  *$p$ -divisible groups*, Proc. Conf. Local Fields (Driebergen, 1966), Springer, Berlin, 1967, pp. 158–183.

---

May 20, 2025

LAURENT BERGER, UMPA, ENS de Lyon, UMR 5669 du CNRS

*E-mail* : laurent.berger@ens-lyon.fr

*Url* : <https://perso.ens-lyon.fr/laurent.berger/>