école normale supérieure **2024-2025**
Département d'informatique

Introduction à la cryptologie
TD n° 1 : Top modèle

Exercice 1 (Plus on est de fous, plus on rit)**.**

Definition 1. *Let $\epsilon \in [0;1]^{\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}}$. A scheme $\Pi_{\mathcal{S}}$ is ϵ-secure if for all probabilistic algorithm $\mathcal{A}$, which takes a time of computation at most t:* $\mathbb{P}\left[\text{Inforg}_{\mathcal{A},\Pi}(\lambda) = 1\right] \leq \epsilon(t,\lambda)$.

Definition 2. *Let $\epsilon \in [0;1]^{\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}}$. A scheme $\Pi_{\mathcal{S}}$ is ϵ-secure in a multi-user context if for all probabilistic algorithm $\mathcal{A}$, which takes a time of computation at most t and does at most q queries* OCreate*:*

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\text{InforgMU}_{\mathcal{A},\Pi}(\lambda) = 1\right] \leq \epsilon(t,q,\lambda).$$

Show that if $\Pi_{\mathcal{S}}$ is ϵ-secure (definition 1), then it is $q\epsilon$-secure in a multi-user context (definition 2).
Hint : We could try to guess which user among the q's will be the "target" and imagine that this one has the key of the original single-user game (and the other $(q-1)$ keys are artificially built).

$\underline{\text{InforgMU}_{\mathcal{A},\Pi}(\lambda)}$:	$\underline{OCreate\,()}$:	$\underline{OSign\,(vk,m)}$:
$C \leftarrow U \leftarrow Q \leftarrow \emptyset$	$(sk,vk) \leftarrow \Pi.\texttt{KeyGen}\left(1^{\lambda}\right)$	$Q := Q \cup \{(vk,m)\}$
$(vk,m,\sigma) \leftarrow \mathcal{A}^{OCreate(),OCorrupt(\cdot),OSign(\cdot,\cdot)}$	$U := U \cup \{(sk,vk)\}$	Si $\exists sk/(sk,vk) \in U$
Retourner $\Pi.\texttt{Verify}\,(vk,m,\sigma)$	Retourner vk	$\sigma \leftarrow \Pi.\texttt{Sign}(sk,m)$
$\wedge\,((vk,m) \notin Q) \wedge ((vk,\cdot) \in U)$	$\underline{OCorrupt\,(vk)}$:	Retourner σ
	$Q := Q \cup (\{vk\} \times \mathcal{M})$	Sinon Retourner $\perp$
	Si $\exists sk/(sk,vk) \in U$	
	Retourner sk	
	Sinon Retourner $\perp$	

Figure 1: Jeu de sécurité d'inforgeabilité dans un contexte multi-utilisateurs.

Exercice 2. Let $f \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$, show the following properties are equivalent:

1. f is negligeable in all function of $\left(x \mapsto \frac{1}{P(x)}\right)_{P\in\mathbb{Z}[X]} \subset \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$.
2. $|f|$ is asymptotically upper bounded by all function of $\left(x \mapsto \frac{1}{x^k}\right)_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Then show this property define a sub-$\mathbb{Z}[X]$-module of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Exercice 3. Is f a negligeable function

1. Let $c \in \mathbb{N}$: $f(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\binom{\lambda}{c}}$.
2. $f(\lambda) = \frac{1}{e^{\log^2(\lambda)}}$.
3. $f(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda!}{\lambda^{\lambda}}$.

Exercice 4.

Definition 3 (Statistical distance)**.** *Let X and Y be two discrete random variables over a countable set A. The statistical distance between X and Y is the quantity*

$$\Delta(X,Y) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{a\in A} \left|\mathbb{P}[X=a] - \mathbb{P}[Y=a]\right|.$$

The statistical distance verifies usual properties of distance function, i.e., it is a positive definite binary symmetric function that satisfies the triangle inequality:

- $\Delta(X, Y) \geq 0$, with equality if and only if X and Y are identically distributed,
- $\Delta(X, Y) = \Delta(Y, X)$,
- $\Delta(X, Z) \leq \Delta(X, Y) + \Delta(Y, Z)$.

1. Show that if $\Delta(X, Y) = 0$, then for any deterministic adversary $\mathcal{A}$, we have $\mathrm{Adv}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y) := \Delta(\mathcal{A}(X), \mathcal{A}(Y)) = 0$.

   In the next question, we will prove the *data processing inequality* for the statistical distance.

   Let X, Y be two random variables over a common set A.

2. Let $f : A \to S$ be a deterministic function with domain S. Show that
$$\Delta(f(X), f(Y)) \leq \Delta(X, Y).$$

3. Let Z be another random variable with domain $\mathcal{Z}$, statistically independent from X and Y. Show that
$$\Delta((X, Z), (Y, Z)) = \Delta(X, Y).$$

4. Let f be a (possibly probabilistic) function with domain S. Define f' a deterministic function and R a random variable independent from X and Y such that for any input x, we have $f'(x, R) = f(x)$. The random variable R is the internal randomness of f. Using f' and R, show that $\Delta(f(X), f(Y)) = \Delta(f'(X, R), f'(Y, R)) \leq \Delta(X, Y)$.

5. Show that for any (possibly probabilistic) adversary $\mathcal{A}$, we have $\mathrm{Adv}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y) \leq \Delta(X, Y)$.

Exercice 5. We consider two distributions D_0 and D_1 over $\{0, 1\}^n$. You found a distinguisher $\mathcal{A}$ on internet. However, you cannot find anywhere in the documentation its performances!

1. Assuming that you have access to as many samples as you like from D_0 and D_1 (you can for instance assume that you can sample yourself from these distributions), how would you estimate the advantage of $\mathcal{A}$? *Hint: use the Chernoff Bound:* $\mathbb{P}(|X - np| \geq nt) \leq 2\exp(-2nt^2)$*, where* X *follows a binomial distribution with parameters* (n, p).

   By convention, you want to design a distinguisher such that it outputs 1 when it thinks the sample comes from D_1 and 0 otherwise. However, because of the definition of advantage, it is also possible to design distinguishers that do the reverse, and still have the same advantage. For instance, the above distinguisher $\mathcal{A}$ may often be "wrong". This could be troublesome if your aim is to use its output to do further computations. Luckily, there exists a way to transform $\mathcal{A}$ into a distinguisher that is more often right than wrong, whatever it previously did.

2. The definition of advantage given in class may be called Absolute Advantage, for the purpose of this exercise. In this question, we define the Positive Advantage of $\mathcal{A}$ as
$$\mathsf{Adv}_P(\mathcal{A}) := \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{Exp_1} 1) - \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{Exp_0} 1).$$

   Given a distinguisher $\mathcal{A}$ with Absolute Advantage ε, we build a distinguisher $\mathcal{A}'$ that does the following:

   (a) Upon receiving a sample $y \hookleftarrow D_b$, it runs $b' \leftarrow \mathcal{A}(y)$.
   (b) It samples $x_0 \hookleftarrow D_0$ and $x_1 \hookleftarrow D_1$ and runs $b_0 \leftarrow \mathcal{A}(x_0)$ and $b_1 \leftarrow \mathcal{A}(x_1)$.
   (c) It returns b' if $b_0 = 0$ and $b_1 = 1$. It returns $1 - b'$ if $b_0 = 1$ and $b_1 = 0$.
   (d) In any other cases, it returns a uniform bit.

   Prove that the Positive Advantage of $\mathcal{A}'$ is ε^2.