ENS de Lyon TD8

Corrigé de l'exercice montrant que les extensions de M par Nsont classifiées par Ext(M, N)

## 1. Remark. This exercise remains valid if $\mathbb{Z}$ is replaced by any principal ideal domain.

(i) We want to find a commutative diagram

i.e. we want to solve

$$\beta \circ \varphi_0 = p$$
$$\alpha \circ \varphi_1 = \varphi_0 \circ i.$$

We can find  $\varphi_0$  since  $\beta$  is onto and  $\mathbb{Z}^{(I)}$  is free. Given  $\varphi_0$ , since  $\beta \circ \varphi_0 \circ i = p \circ i = 0$ , the image of  $\varphi_0 \circ i$  is contained in ker  $\beta = \text{im } \alpha$ . Since  $\alpha$  is injective, there is a unique  $\varphi_1$  such that  $\varphi_0 \circ i = \alpha \circ \varphi_1$ .

Moreover,  $\varphi_0$  is defined modulo a morphism  $v : \mathbb{Z}^{(I)} \to \ker \beta = \operatorname{im} \alpha$ . Since  $\alpha$  is injective,  $v = \alpha \circ u$  with  $u \in \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^{(I)}, N)$ . Thus  $\varphi_1$  is defined modulo  $u \circ i$ , thus

$$e(N \to E \to M) := [\varphi_1] \in \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^{(J)}, N) / (\operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^{(J)}, N) \circ i) = \operatorname{coker}(i_N^*) = \operatorname{Ext}(M, N)$$

is well-defined.

(ii) Clearly, an isomorphism between two extensions  $N\to E\to M$  and  $N\to E'\to M$  is a commutative diagram

$$N \xrightarrow{\alpha} E \xrightarrow{\beta} M$$

$$\varphi_1 \bigg| \approx \varphi_0 \bigg| \approx \bigg| \operatorname{Id}_M$$

$$N \xrightarrow{\alpha'} E' \xrightarrow{\beta'} M$$

(iii) The sum  $(N \to E \to M) \oplus (N \to E' \to M)$  is  $N \to \widetilde{E} \to M$  with  $\widetilde{E} = \frac{\{(x, x') \in E \oplus E' \mid \beta(x) = \beta'(x') \\ (i \times (-i'))(N) \\ \widetilde{\alpha}(n) = [(\alpha(n), 0)] = [(0, \alpha'(n')] \\ \widetilde{\beta}([(x, x')]) = \beta(x) = \beta'(x').$ If  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ , one defines  $a(N \to E \to M) = (N \to \widetilde{E} \to M)$  with  $\widetilde{E} = \frac{N \oplus E}{(a \times (-\alpha))(N)} \\ \widetilde{i}(n) = [an, 0] = [(0, \alpha(n))] \\ \widetilde{\beta}([(n, x)]) = \beta(x).$ 

One checks that we do obtain short exact sequences, that the sum is commutative and associative up to isomorphism, and that  $a(b(N \to E \to M))$  is isomorphic to  $(ab)(N \to E \to M)$ .

Finally, the trivial extension  $N \to N \oplus M \to M$  is neutral modulo isomorphism, and the inverse (or opposite) of  $(N \to E \to M)$  up to isomorphism is  $(-1)(N \to E \to M)$ , or more simply  $N \to E \to M$  with  $\alpha$  replaced by  $-\alpha$  and  $\beta$  unchanged. Thus we obtain a structure of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module on  $\mathcal{E}(M, N)$ .

*Remark.* For the ring  $\mathbb{Z}$ , it is not necessary to define the multiplication by a scalar, since it follows from the addition and the inverse.

(iv) In the notations of (i), we want to prove that 1)  $[\varphi_1]$  can be any element of Ext(M, N), and 2)  $([\varphi_1] = 0 \Rightarrow E$  is trivial)

1) Let  $\varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^{(J)}, N)$ . We define

$$E = \frac{N \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{(I)}}{(\varphi \times (-i))(N)}$$
$$\alpha(n) = [(\varphi_1(n), 0)] = [(0, i(n))]$$
$$\beta([n, x]) = p(x)$$
$$\varphi_1 = \varphi : \mathbb{Z}^{(J)} \to N$$
$$\varphi_0(x) = [x].$$

Then we have a commutative diagram in which the second line is a short exact sequence:



2) If  $[\varphi_1] = 0$ , there exists  $u : \mathbb{Z}^{(I)} \to N$  such that  $\varphi_1 = u \circ i$ . If  $m \in E$ , m = p(x) for some  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^{(I)}$ , and  $(\varphi_0 - \alpha \circ u)(x)$  depends only on m: if p(x) = 0, then x = i(y) thus

$$(\varphi_0 - \alpha \circ u)(x) = (\varphi_0 - \alpha \circ u) \circ i(y) = \alpha \circ (\varphi_1 - u \circ i)(y) = 0.$$

Thus  $m \mapsto (\varphi_0 - \alpha \circ u)(x)$  gives a section of  $N \to E \to M$ , and thus extension is trivial.