## Solutions for Exercise sheet 6: Some more martingales & Donsker's theorem

**Solution 1** — A weaker condition for the first Wald's lemma. (1) Define  $\tau := \min\{k : 4^k \ge T\}$ . Set  $M(t) := \max_{[0,t]} B$  and

$$\mathbb{E}[X_{k+1} - X_k \mid \mathcal{F}_{4^k}] = \mathbb{E}[M(4^{k+1}) - M(4^k) \mid \mathcal{F}_{4^k}] - 4 \times 2^k.$$

Since we know that almost surely  $M(4^{k+1}) - M(4^k) \leq |B_{4^{k+1}} - B_{4^k}|$  which is independent of  $\mathcal{F}_{4^k}$  and distributed like  $|B_{4^{k+1}-4^k}|$ , then

$$\mathbb{E}[X_{k+1} - X_k \mid \mathcal{F}_{4^k}] \le \mathbb{E}[|B_{4^{k+1} - 4^k}|] - 4 \times 2^k = \sqrt{3 \times 4^k} \,\mathbb{E}[|B_1|] - 4 \times 2^k.$$

A simple application of Cauchy-Schwarz or Jensen gives  $\mathbb{E}[|B_1|] \leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[|B_1|^2]} = 1$ , and the expectation above is bounded by 0.

If we consider  $\tau$ , we have the equality of events  $\{\tau \leq k\} = \{4^k \geq T\}$ , which belongs to  $\mathcal{F}_{4^k}$ . So  $\tau$  is a  $(\mathcal{F}_{4^k})_k$ -stopping time.

- (2) Let  $n \geq 0$ .  $\mathbb{E}[M(4^{\tau} \wedge 4^n)] = \mathbb{E}[X_{\tau \wedge n}] + \mathbb{E}[2^{\tau \wedge n+2}] \leq \mathbb{E}[X_0] + 8 \mathbb{E}[T^{1/2}]$ , where we have used the supermartingale property at the bounded stopping time  $\tau \wedge n$  and the fact that  $4^{\tau} \leq 4T$ . By monotone convergence  $M(4^{\tau})$  is integrable so  $\max_{[0,T]} B \leq M(4^{\tau})$  too. By reversal,  $-\min_{[0,T]} B$  is integrable also, and this provides an integrable random variable that bounds  $B_{t \wedge T}$  for every t. So the optional stopping theorem applies and  $\mathbb{E}[B_T] = 0$ .
- applies and  $\mathbb{E}[B_T] = 0$ . (3) If  $\alpha < 1/2$ , then  $t^{\alpha} \times t^{-3/2}e^{-1/(2t)}$  is  $o(e^{-1/(2t)})$  (so it's integrable) near 0, and is  $O(t^{-1-(1/2-\alpha)})$  near infinity, so is integrable too.

Solution 2 — An application of Donsker's invariance principle.

Let  $\Phi: \mathcal{C}([0,1]) \to \mathcal{C}([0,1])$  that reflects a continuous function after its last 0. Let  $S_n: [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$  be a properly rescaled and linearly interpolated simple random walk, so that  $S_n \stackrel{d}{\to} B$  in  $\mathcal{C}([0,1])$ . Since flipping a length n random-walk at its last zero is a bijective (involutive!) operation on the finite set of n-length random walks, we get that  $\Phi(S_n) \stackrel{d}{=} S_n$ . Now if we had  $\Phi(S_n) \stackrel{d}{\to} \Phi(B)$ , we would get  $\Phi(B) \stackrel{d}{=} B$ . To that end we need to show that B is a continuity point of  $\Phi$ . Denote by Z(f) the position of the last zero of f, and  $U = \{f: Z(f) < 1 \text{ and } Z(f) \text{ is not a local extremum of } f\}$ .

- If  $f \in U$  then f is a continuity point of Z. For  $f \in U$  we may find  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $f(Z(f) \varepsilon)f(Z(f) + \varepsilon) < 0$ . Let  $0 < \delta < |f(Z(f) \varepsilon)| \wedge \inf_{[Z(f) + \varepsilon, 1]} |f|$ . Then if  $||f g|| \le \delta$ , then  $Z(f) \in (Z(f) \varepsilon, Z(f) + \varepsilon)$  (draw a picture!)
- Almost surely  $B \in U$ . We have shown in previous exercise sessions that almost surely local extrema are strict, and the minimum (resp. maximum) on a rational

interval has an absolutely continuous distribution. Hence almost surely no local extremum of B has value 0. This implies that  $B \in U$  almost surely.

• If f is a continuity point of Z, then it is a continuity point of  $\Phi$ . Let f be a continuity point of Z and  $f_n \to f$  in  $\mathcal{C}([0,1])$ . Then for  $x \in [0,1]$ ,

$$|\Phi(f_n)(x) - \Phi(f)(x)| \leq |f_n(x) - f(x)| \, \mathbb{1}_A(x) + |f_n(x) + f(x)| \, \mathbb{1}_{A^\complement}(x)$$

Where A denotes the set of x such that " $Z(f_n)$  and Z(f) are on the same side of x". But now if  $x \in A^{\complement}$ , x is a distance at most  $|Z(f_n) - Z(f)|$  of Z(f). So  $|f(x)| = |f(x) - f(Z(f))| \le m_{[0,1]}(f,|Z(f) - Z(f_n)|)$ . Moreover,  $|f_n(x) - f(x)|$  is always bounded by  $||f_n - f||$ . We get

$$\begin{split} |\Phi(f_n)(x) - \Phi(f)(x)| &\leq |f_n(x) - f(x)| \, \mathbb{1}_A(x) + |f_n(x) - f(x)| \, \mathbb{1}_{A^{\complement}}(x) + 2|f(x)| \, \mathbb{1}_{A^{\complement}}(x) \\ &\leq ||f_n - f|| + ||f_n - f|| + 2m_{[0,1]}(f, |Z(f) - Z(f_n)|) \end{split}$$

We know, since f is continuous and a continuity point of Z, that this last quantity goes to 0. Since the bound is independent of x, we have shown  $\Phi(f_n) \to \Phi(f)$  in  $\mathcal{C}([0,1])$ .

- **Solution 3** First arcsine law. (1) Understand that this gives a bijective transformation of the set of length-n walks is tedious to write but can be explained with a picture. Exercise: draw this picture.
  - (2) Easily seen on the picture above.
  - (3) Using the fact mentioned, you only need to show that the functional  $\Phi: \mathcal{C}([0,1]) \to [0,1], \Phi(f) = \inf\{t \in [0,1], f(t) = \max_{[0,1]} f\}$ , is continuous at every f that reaches its maximum at a unique point. Indeed  $B_n/n = \Phi(t \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} R_{nt}^n)$  (understood as being suitably interpolated), and Donsker's theorem says that  $(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} R_{nt}^n)_t \to B$  in distribution.
    - Let f be a function that reaches its maximum at a unique point  $m \in [0, 1]$ , and  $f_n \to f$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . By continuity and compactness, the maximum of f on  $[0, m-\epsilon] \cup [m+\epsilon, 1]$  is reached in some point  $y \neq m$ , and by assumption f(y) < f(m). Hence we can find  $\eta$  be such that  $f(m) \max_{x \notin (m-\epsilon, m+\epsilon)} f_n > 2\eta$ . Then for n such that  $||f_n f|| < \eta$ , we have that  $f_n(m) > \max_{x \notin (m-\epsilon, m+\epsilon)} f_n$ . So  $\Phi(f_n) \in (m-\epsilon, m+\epsilon)$ , and  $|\Phi(f_n) \Phi(f)| < \epsilon$ . This shows continuity.

So  $B_n/n \to \Phi(B)$  which is arcsine distributed.

(4) We can show that  $A_n/n$  is equal to Leb $\{t \in [0,1], \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}S_{nt}^n \geq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{n}}\}$ . (Once again  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}S_{nt}^n$  is understood as being suitably interpolated). Let now define  $\Phi(f) = \text{Leb}\{t \in [0,1], f(t) \geq 0\}$ . We have  $A_n/n = \Phi((\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}S_{nt}^n - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{n}})_t$ . By Slutsky's lemma and Donsker's invariance principle, we have  $(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}S_{nt}^n - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{n}})_t \to B$ , and showing that B almost surely is a continuity point of  $\Phi$  suffices to get  $A_n/n \stackrel{d}{\to} P = \Phi(B)$ .

Now suppose that f is such that  $\text{Leb}\{t: |f(t)| \leq \epsilon\} \xrightarrow[\epsilon \to 0]{} 0$ . Then f is a continuity point of  $\Phi$ . Indeed if  $f_n \to f$ , fix  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then for n large enough,  $||f_n - f|| \leq \epsilon$ . Then  $|\Phi(f) - \Phi(f_n)| \leq \text{Leb}\{t \in [0,1]: f_n(t)f(t) \leq 0\}$ . But  $f_n(t)f(t) \leq 0$  implies

 $|f(t)| < \epsilon$ . So  $|\Phi(f) - \Phi(f_n)| \le \text{Leb}\{t : |f(t)| \le \epsilon\}$ , which could have been taken arbitrarily close to 0 by choosing  $\epsilon$  small enough. So  $\Phi(f_n) \to \Phi(f)$ .

We are left to show that almost surely,  $U_{\epsilon} := \text{Leb}\{t : |B_t| \leq \epsilon\} \xrightarrow[\epsilon \to 0]{\epsilon} 0$ . But  $\mathbb{E}[U_{\epsilon}] = \int_0^1 \mathbb{P}(-\epsilon/\sqrt{t} \leq B_1 \leq \epsilon/\sqrt{t})dt \leq \frac{2\epsilon}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^1 dt/\sqrt{t} \leq \epsilon$ . So  $U_{\epsilon}$  goes to 0 in  $L^1$ , hence almost surely there exists a subsequence of  $\epsilon$  that goes to 0 along which  $U_{\epsilon} \to 0$ , but as almost surely  $\epsilon \mapsto U_{\epsilon}$  is decreasing, we get  $U_{\epsilon} \to 0$ . So  $B_n/n = A_n/n \to P$  and P is arcsine-distributed.

- Solution 4 Convergence in distribution of random continuous functions. (1) (a) You know that the measure  $\mu_n$  gives you a continuous linear form  $f_n$  on the set  $\mathcal{C}_c(E)$ , of norm 1. Banach-Alaoglu's theorem tells you that you can extract a weak-\*-convergent subsequence  $f_{a_n} \to f \in B_{C_c(E)'}(0,1)$ , i.e. such that  $f_{a_n}(\phi) \to f(\phi)$  for every  $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_c(E)$ . Now f is positive (since for  $\phi \geq 0$ ,  $f(\phi) = \lim_n f_{a_n}(\phi) = \lim_n \mu_{a_n} \phi \geq 0$ , so by Riesz' representation theorem, it can be represented by a positive Borel measure  $\mu$ , and we precisely have vague convergence  $\mu_{a_n} \to \mu$ .
  - (b) The fact that  $(\mu_n \to \mu \text{ narrowly}) \iff (\mu_n \to \mu \text{ vaguely and } \mu(E) = 1)$  is standard and the proof is rather easy (relies only on the fact that  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is  $\sigma$ -compact). Now suppose a tight sequence  $\mu_n$ . It admits a vaguely convergent subsequence  $\mu_{a_n} \to \mu$ . Now we only need to show that  $\mu(E) = 1$ . For every  $\epsilon > 0$ , we can find  $K_{\epsilon}$  so that  $\mu_n(K_{\epsilon}) > 1 \epsilon$  for every n. Then we can find a function  $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_c(E)$  with  $\mathbb{1}_{K_{\epsilon}} \leq \phi \leq 1$ . We get  $\mu(E) \geq \mu \phi = \lim_n \mu_{a_n} \phi \geq 1 \epsilon$ . So
  - (c) If  $(\mu_n)$  is tight in  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , then it is a simple matter that the sequences of f.d.m's  $(\operatorname{proj}_{I_{\star}}\mu_n)_n$ , which are sequences of probability measures on  $\mathbb{R}^{\#I}$ , are tight too. So by diagonal extraction, we can find  $a_n$  and  $\mu_I$  for each finite I so that  $\operatorname{proj}_{I_{\star}}\mu_{a_n} \to \mu_I$  narrowly. When  $J \subset I$ , we have

$$\operatorname{proj}_{J_{\star}}\operatorname{proj}_{I_{\star}}\mu_{a_n} = \operatorname{proj}_{J_{\star}}\mu_{a_n}.$$

 $\mu(E) = 1.$ 

The left-hand side goes to  $\operatorname{proj}_{J_{\star}}\mu_{I}$  by continuous mapping. The right-hand side goes to  $\mu_{J}$ . Hence the family of probability measures  $\mu_{I}$  verifies the consistency condition  $\operatorname{proj}_{J_{\star}}\mu_{I} = \mu_{J}$ . So there exists a probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , with  $\operatorname{proj}_{I}\mu = \mu_{I} = \lim \operatorname{proj}_{I}\mu_{a_{n}}$  for every I finite. Remark that this implies narrow convergence  $\mu_{n} \to \mu$ . Indeed we metrize  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$  by the distance  $d(x,y) = \sum_{n} 2^{-n-1}(|x_{n}-y_{n}| \wedge 1)$ . For a fixed k and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , the distance between x and  $\phi_{k}(x) = (x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, 0, 0, 0, \ldots)$  is less than  $2^{-k}$ . But the finite dimensional convergence entails that  $\phi_{k_{*}}\mu_{n} \to \phi_{k_{*}}\mu$ . Now for  $h \in \mathcal{C}_{c}(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}})$ , we get  $|\phi_{k_{*}}\mu_{n}h - \mu_{n}h| = |\mu_{n}(h \circ \phi_{k} - h)| \leq m(h, 2^{-k})$ . Similarly,  $|\phi_{k_{*}}\mu_{h} - \mu_{h}| \leq m(h, 2^{-k})$ . Since k is arbitrary, this implies  $\mu_{n}h \to \mu h$  hence vague convergence. And since  $\mu$  is a probability measure, the convergence is narrow.

- (d) Every Polish space E is homeomorphic to  $S \subset [0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}$  through  $\varphi(x) = (d(x,u_1) \wedge 1, d(x,u_2) \wedge 1, \ldots)$ , where  $(u_i)_i$  is a dense sequence. If  $(\mu_n)_n \in \mathcal{P}(E)^{\mathbb{N}}$  is tight, then  $\varphi_*\mu_n$  is too, hence we can find a sequence  $a_n$  and a probability measure  $\pi$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\varphi_*\mu_{a_n} \to \pi$ . Now we just need to check that  $\pi$  is supported by S, i.e  $\pi(S) = 1$ . But if we look at  $K_{\epsilon}$ , we have that  $\pi(S) \geq \pi(\varphi(K_{\epsilon})) \geq \lim_n \mu_n(K_{\epsilon}) \geq 1 \epsilon$ . So  $\pi(S) = 1$ . Now we take  $\mu = (\varphi_{-1})_*\pi$ , and  $\mu$  is a probability measure on E that appears as a sublimit of  $\mu_n$ .
- (2) Let  $X^{(n)}$  be a sequence of random variables in  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+)$  such that
  - (a)  $\sup_{n} \mathbb{P}(|X^{(n)}(0)| > M) \xrightarrow[M \to \infty]{} 0$
  - (b) for every  $\eta > 0$ , T > 0, we have  $\sup_n \mathbb{P}(m_{[0,T]}(X^{(n)}, \delta) > \eta) \xrightarrow{\delta > 0} 0$

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Let M be such that  $\mathbb{P}(|X^{(n)}| > M) < \epsilon/2$ . For every  $k \geq 1$ ,  $m \geq 1$  let  $\delta_{k,p} > 0$  be such that

$$\sup_{n} \mathbb{P}(m_{[0,p]}(X^{(n)}, \delta_{k,p}) > 2^{-k}) < \epsilon 2^{-k-m-100}.$$

Then for every n, with probability over  $1-\epsilon$ , we have that  $X^{(n)}$  belongs to the set of functions f such that |f(0)| < M and for every  $m \ge 1, k \ge 1$ ,  $m_{[0,p]}(f, \delta_{k,p}) < 2^{-k}$ . This set is relatively compact thanks to Arzela-Ascoli's theorem and a diagonal argument. So for every  $\epsilon$ , we found a compact set that contains  $X^{(n)}$  with probability over  $1-\epsilon$  and tightness is proved.

(3) If  $(X^{(n)})_n$  is tight and f.d.m's converge to those of X, then fix a subsequence  $a_n$ . By tightness and Prokhorov's theorem you can find a further subsequence  $a_{b_n}$  and Y so that  $X^{(a_{b_n})} \to Y$  in distribution. Now by continuous mapping, the f.d.m's of  $X^{(a_{b_n})}$  converge to those of Y. But they also converge to those of X by assumption. So the f.d.m's of X and Y are equal, and  $X \stackrel{d}{=} Y$ . We have shown that for every subsequence a further subsequence exists on which  $X^{(n)} \to X$ , proving full convergence.