

From the compression theorem to the undecidability of approximating the quantum value of a game

Omar Fawzi



GDT Connes-Tsirelson, Lyon, April 16th, 2020

arXiv:2001.04383

Recall the setup

Theorem (Game value at least as hard as halting)

For all Turing machines \mathcal{M} , there exists a game $\mathfrak{G}_{\mathcal{M}}$ such that

- If \mathcal{M} halts on empty tape, then $\text{val}^*(\mathfrak{G}_{\mathcal{M}}) = 1$
- If \mathcal{M} does not halt on empty tape, then $\text{val}^*(\mathfrak{G}_{\mathcal{M}}) \leq \frac{1}{2}$

Moreover, a description of the game $\mathfrak{G}_{\mathcal{M}}$ can be computed in polynomial time in the size of the description of \mathcal{M} .

\mathfrak{G} is described by:

- A probability measure μ on inputs $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$, $\mu(x, y)$: prob. of choosing questions x, y
- A verification predicate $D : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$: $D(x, y, a, b) = 1$ means win

$$\text{val}^*(\mathfrak{G}) = \sup_{p \in C_{q \otimes}} \sum_{x, y} \mu(x, y) \sum_{a, b} D(x, y, a, b) p_{abxy}$$

Recall the setup

Theorem (Game value at least as hard as halting)

For all Turing machines \mathcal{M} , there exists a game $\mathfrak{G}_{\mathcal{M}}$ such that

- If \mathcal{M} halts on empty tape, then $\text{val}^*(\mathfrak{G}_{\mathcal{M}}) = 1$
- If \mathcal{M} does not halt on empty tape, then $\text{val}^*(\mathfrak{G}_{\mathcal{M}}) \leq \frac{1}{2}$

Moreover, a description of the game $\mathfrak{G}_{\mathcal{M}}$ can be computed in polynomial time in the size of the description of \mathcal{M} .

\mathfrak{G} is described by:

- A probability measure μ on inputs $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$, $\mu(x, y)$: prob. of choosing questions x, y
- A verification predicate $D : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$: $D(x, y, a, b) = 1$ means win

$$\text{val}^*(\mathfrak{G}) = \sup_{p \in C_{q \otimes}} \sum_{x, y} \mu(x, y) \sum_{a, b} D(x, y, a, b) p_{abxy}$$

Remark:

Let $\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{G}, p) := \min.$ dimension needed for winning prob $\geq p$ (can be $+\infty$)

Statement of the form $\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{G}, \text{val}^*(\mathfrak{G}) - \frac{1}{4}) \leq f(\mathfrak{G})$ with f computable **contradicts** theorem
 \Rightarrow Need to show existence of games \mathfrak{G} that need entanglement \gg size of description of \mathfrak{G}

A few things about Turing machines

- A k -input Turing machine (TM) \mathcal{M} computes a partial function $f : (\{0, 1\}^*)^k \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$, that we also call \mathcal{M} . If \mathcal{M} does not halt on x_1, \dots, x_k , we set $\mathcal{M}(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \perp$
- A TM \mathcal{M} (a tuple with states and transition rules) can be described by a bitstring $\overline{\mathcal{M}} \in \{0, 1\}^*$. We use $|\mathcal{M}|$ for the length of the bitstring $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$
- Conversely any $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}^*$ can be interpreted as a k -input TM $[\alpha]_k$
- It is possible to simulate TMs from their description

Theorem (Efficient universal Turing machines)

For any k , there exists a 2-input TM \mathcal{U}_k such that for any $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}^$, $x \in (\{0, 1\}^*)^k$, $\mathcal{U}_k(\alpha, k) = [\alpha]_k(x)$. $\mathcal{U}_k(\alpha, k)$ halts within $C_{k,\alpha} T \log T$ steps if $[\alpha]_k(x)$ halts in T steps.*

- $\text{TIME}_{\mathcal{M},x}$ denotes the running time of \mathcal{M} on input x (∞ if does not halt)
- In the rest of the talk, we will not always make the distinction between \mathcal{M} and its description $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$

Describing families of games

Will need families of games described by Turing machines

Definition

A normal form verifier (NFV) is a pair $\mathcal{V} = (\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{D})$ of Turing machines.

- \mathcal{S} is called a *sampler* (full definition is complicated and not important for today)
On input starting with $n \in \mathbb{N}$ outputs a description of a probability distribution $\mu_{\mathcal{S},n}$ on $\{0, 1\}^{\leq \text{RAND}_{\mathcal{S}}(n)} \times \{0, 1\}^{\leq \text{RAND}_{\mathcal{S}}(n)}$
- \mathcal{D} is called a *decider* and is a 5-input Turing machine
Input of the form (n, x, y, a, b) with $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x, y, a, b \in \{0, 1\}^*$
 $\text{TIME}_{\mathcal{D}}(n)$: max. running time on inputs (n, x, y, a, b)
 \mathcal{D} outputs 0 or 1

A normal form verifier defines a family of nonlocal games for every n

Definition

\mathcal{V} defines the games $\{\mathcal{V}_n\}$ with

- question sets $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{Y} = \{0, 1\}^{\leq \text{RAND}_{\mathcal{S}}(n)}$
- answer sets $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} = \{0, 1\}^{\leq \text{TIME}_{\mathcal{D}}(n)}$
- prob distribution on questions $\mu_{\mathcal{S},n}$
- decision predicate is $\mathcal{D}(n, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$

$\text{RAND}_{\mathcal{S}}(n)$ and $\text{TIME}_{\mathcal{D}}(n)$ will be polynomials in n

The compression theorem: overview

The main theorem of the paper is:

Theorem (Compression theorem)

There exists a polynomial-time Turing machine *Compress* that takes as input a NFV $\mathcal{V} = (S, \mathcal{D})$ and outputs another NFV $\mathcal{V}' = (S', \mathcal{D}')$ satisfying for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

- 1 If $\text{val}^*(\mathcal{V}_{2^n}) = 1$, then $\text{val}^*(\mathcal{V}'_n) = 1$
- 2 If $\text{val}^*(\mathcal{V}_{2^n}) \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then $\text{val}^*(\mathcal{V}'_n) \leq \frac{1}{2}$
- 3 $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{V}'_n, \frac{1}{2}) \geq \max\{\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{V}_{2^n}, \frac{1}{2}), 2^{2^{\Omega(n)}}\}$

$\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G}, \frac{1}{2}) := \min.$ dimension needed to get a winning prob $\geq \frac{1}{2}$

The sampler S' does not depend on \mathcal{V}

Today: Compression theorem \implies Game value as hard as halting

The compression theorem: overview

The main theorem of the paper is:

Theorem (Compression theorem)

There exists a polynomial-time Turing machine *Compress* that takes as input a NNV $\mathcal{V} = (S, \mathcal{D})$ and outputs another NNV $\mathcal{V}' = (S', \mathcal{D}')$ satisfying for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

- 1 If $\text{val}^*(\mathcal{V}_{2^n}) = 1$, then $\text{val}^*(\mathcal{V}'_n) = 1$
- 2 If $\text{val}^*(\mathcal{V}_{2^n}) \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then $\text{val}^*(\mathcal{V}'_n) \leq \frac{1}{2}$
- 3 $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{V}'_n, \frac{1}{2}) \geq \max\{\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{V}_{2^n}, \frac{1}{2}), 2^{2^{\Omega(n)}}\}$

$\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G}, \frac{1}{2}) := \text{min. dimension needed to get a winning prob} \geq \frac{1}{2}$

The sampler S' does not depend on \mathcal{V}

Today: Compression theorem \implies Game value as hard as halting

Sketch:

- Given \mathcal{M} , construct a NNV $\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{M}} = (S^{\mathcal{M}}, \mathcal{D}^{\mathcal{M}})$ with $\mathcal{D}^{\mathcal{M}}$ working as follows:
On input (n, x, y, a, b) , execute \mathcal{M} for n steps, if halts \implies output 1
Else compute a description of $\mathcal{V}' = (S', \mathcal{D}')$:= *Compress*($\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{M}}$) and output $\mathcal{D}'(n, x, y, a, b)$
- If \mathcal{M} halts in T steps, then $n \geq T$, $\text{val}^*(\mathcal{V}_n^{\mathcal{M}}) = 1$
For $n < T$, $\text{val}^*(\mathcal{V}_n^{\mathcal{M}}) = \text{val}^*(\mathcal{V}_{2^n}^{\mathcal{M}}) = \text{val}^*(\mathcal{V}_{2^{2^n}}^{\mathcal{M}}) = \dots = 1$
- If \mathcal{M} does not halt, then for any n
 $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{V}'_n, \frac{1}{2}) \geq \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{V}_{2^n}^{\mathcal{M}}, \frac{1}{2}) \geq \dots \geq 2^{2^{\dots 2^n}}$
 $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{V}'_n, \frac{1}{2}) = +\infty \implies \text{val}^*(\mathcal{V}_n^{\mathcal{M}}) \leq \frac{1}{2}$

The compression theorem in more detail

Definition

$\mathcal{V} = (\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{D})$ is λ bounded if

- 1 $|\mathcal{V}| \leq \lambda$
- 2 For all n , $\text{TIME}_{\mathcal{D}}(n), \text{RAND}_{\mathcal{S}}(n) \leq (\lambda n)^\lambda$
i.e., the game \mathcal{V}_n has questions and answers bitstrings of length $\leq (\lambda n)^\lambda$

Theorem (Compression theorem)

For every $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}_+$ (*parameter that will govern the game families for which compression works*) there exists a TM Compress_λ

$\mathcal{V} = (\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \text{Compress}_\lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\text{COMPR}} = (\mathcal{S}^{\text{COMPR}}, \mathcal{D}^{\text{COMPR}})$

- Description of Compress_λ can be computed in time $\text{poly}(\log \lambda)$
- Compress_λ runs in time polynomial in $|\mathcal{V}|$ and $\log \lambda$
- $|\mathcal{D}^{\text{COMPR}}| = \text{poly}(|\mathcal{V}|, \log \lambda)$ and $|\mathcal{S}^{\text{COMPR}}| = \text{poly}(\log \lambda)$
- $\text{TIME}_{\mathcal{D}^{\text{COMPR}}}(n) = \text{poly}(n, |\mathcal{V}|, \lambda)$ and $\text{RAND}_{\mathcal{S}^{\text{COMPR}}}(n) = \text{poly}(n, \lambda)$

and if \mathcal{V} is λ -bounded then for all $n \geq n_0$ (universal constant)

- If $\text{val}^*(\mathcal{V}_{2^n}) = 1$, then $\text{val}^*(\mathcal{V}_n^{\text{COMPR}}) = 1$
- If $\text{val}^*(\mathcal{V}_{2^n}) \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then $\text{val}^*(\mathcal{V}_n^{\text{COMPR}}) \leq \frac{1}{2}$
- $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{V}'_n, \frac{1}{2}) \geq \max\{\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{V}_{2^n}, \frac{1}{2}), \frac{1}{2}2^{\lambda 2^{2^n}}\}$

Using the compression theorem

For every TM \mathcal{M} and parameters λ, Δ , we construct a TM \mathcal{F} :

- **Input:** description of \mathcal{D} of 5-input TM
- **Output:** description of \mathcal{D}' of a 5-input TM that works as follows
On input (n, x, y, a, b)

- 1 Run \mathcal{M} on empty tape for n steps. If \mathcal{M} halts, accept
- 2 Else $\mathcal{V}^{\text{COMPR}} = (\mathcal{S}^{\text{COMPR}}, \mathcal{D}^{\text{COMPR}}) = \text{Compress}_\lambda(\mathcal{V})$
- 3 Return $\mathcal{D}^{\text{COMPR}}(n, x, y, a, b)$

If it has not stopped after $(\Delta n)^\Delta$ steps, reject

Claim: \mathcal{F} halts on all inputs and the description of \mathcal{F} can be computed in time $\text{poly}(|\mathcal{M}|, \log \lambda, \log \Delta)$

Notation: $\mathcal{M} \equiv \mathcal{M}'$ when they compute the same function

Theorem (Applying the Kleene-Roger fixed point theorem to \mathcal{F})

Because \mathcal{F} halts on all inputs, there exists a TM $\mathcal{D}^{\text{HALT}}$ such that $\mathcal{D}^{\text{HALT}} \equiv \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{D}^{\text{HALT}})$
In addition, there is a Turing machine ComputeFP_k such that $\text{ComputeFP}_k(\overline{\mathcal{F}}) = \overline{\mathcal{D}^{\text{HALT}}}$
Moreover, $\mathcal{D}^{\text{HALT}}(x)$ runs in time $\text{poly}(|\mathcal{F}|, \text{TIME}_{\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{M}}, \text{TIME}_{\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{D}^{\text{HALT})}, x})$

Define $\mathcal{V} = (\mathcal{S}^{\text{COMPR}}, \mathcal{D}^{\text{HALT}})$ be a normal form verifier. Then it satisfies the following properties:

- For any n , if \mathcal{M} halts in n steps, then $\text{val}^*(\mathcal{V}_n) = 1$
- For any n , if \mathcal{M} does not halt in n steps then the decision predicate for \mathcal{V}_n is defined as $\mathcal{D}^{\text{COMPR}}(n, x, y, a, b)$
 $\implies \text{val}^*(\mathcal{V}_n) = \text{val}^*(\mathcal{V}_n^{\text{COMPR}})$ and $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{V}_n, \frac{1}{2}) = \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{V}_n^{\text{COMPR}}, \frac{1}{2})$

Putting things together

Theorem (Game value at least as hard as halting)

For all Turing machines \mathcal{M} , there exists a game $\mathfrak{G}_{\mathcal{M}}$ such that

- If \mathcal{M} halts on empty tape, then $\text{val}^*(\mathfrak{G}_{\mathcal{M}}) = 1$
- If \mathcal{M} does not halt on empty tape, then $\text{val}^*(\mathfrak{G}_{\mathcal{M}}) \leq \frac{1}{2}$

Moreover, a description of the game $\mathfrak{G}_{\mathcal{M}}$ can be computed in polynomial time in the size of the description of \mathcal{M} .

- Given \mathcal{M} compute appropriately large enough λ, Δ (to guarantee that later \mathcal{V} is λ -bounded and $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{D})$ does not exceed the time bound)
- Construct \mathcal{V} such that $\mathcal{D} \equiv \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{D})$
- The game $\mathfrak{G}_{\mathcal{M}}$ will be defined as the \mathcal{V}_{n_0}
- If \mathcal{M} halts after T steps then for $n \geq T$, $\text{val}^*(\mathcal{V}_n) = 1$ (all questions and answers win!)
For $n_0 \leq n < T \leq 2^n$, then $\mathcal{D}(n, x, y, a, b) = \mathcal{D}^{\text{COMPR}}(n, x, y, a, b)$
but we know that $\text{val}^*(\mathcal{V}_{2^n}) = 1$, thus $\text{val}^*(\mathcal{V}_n^{\text{COMPR}}) = \text{val}^*(\mathcal{V}_n) = 1$
In general, repeat this inductively
- If \mathcal{M} does not halt, we have for $n \geq n_0$
$$\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{V}_n, \frac{1}{2}) = \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{V}_n^{\text{COMPR}}, \frac{1}{2}) \geq \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{V}_{2^n}, \frac{1}{2})$$

Repeating,
$$\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{V}_n, \frac{1}{2}) \geq \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{V}_{2^{\dots 2^n}}, \frac{1}{2}) \geq \frac{1}{2} 2^{\lambda 2^{\lambda 2^{\dots 2^n}}}$$

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{V}_n, \frac{1}{2}) = +\infty \Leftrightarrow \text{val}^*(\mathcal{V}_n) \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

Suggestions for future talks

- Self testing and nonlocal games
- PCP theorem
- Quantum low-degree test
- Putting things together