

The Navascués–Pironio–Acín hierarchy

Guillaume Aubrun

Université Lyon 1, France

April 9, 2020

Reference : [arXiv:0803.4290](https://arxiv.org/abs/0803.4290), A convergent hierarchy of semidefinite programs characterizing the set of quantum correlations.

Recall from lecture 1: Connes' embedding problem \iff Kirchberg conjecture \iff Tsirelson problem.

Tsirelson problem asks whether the equality $C_{\text{qc}}^{m,d} = \overline{C_{\text{q}\otimes}^{m,d}}$ holds for every m, d (definition in next slides). A negative answer is announced in the $\text{MIP}^* = \text{RE}$ paper.

qc = quantum commuting, $\text{q}\otimes$ = quantum tensor product

Recall from lecture 1: Connes' embedding problem \iff Kirchberg conjecture \iff Tsirelson problem.

Tsirelson problem asks whether the equality $C_{\text{qc}}^{m,d} = \overline{C_{\text{q}\otimes}^{m,d}}$ holds for every m, d (definition in next slides). A negative answer is announced in the $\text{MIP}^* = \text{RE}$ paper.

qc = quantum commuting, $\text{q}\otimes$ = quantum tensor product

Both $C_{\text{qc}}^{m,d}$ and $C_{\text{q}\otimes}^{m,d}$ are sets of correlation matrices, of the form

$$p(ab|xy)_{a,b \in [m]; x,y \in [d]},$$

such that, at fixed x, y , $p(ab|xy)_{a,b}$ is a probability distribution on $[m]^2$.

We now drop the superscripts m and d .

The set of quantum correlation matrices with commuting measurements \mathcal{C}_{qc} is the set of correlation matrices $p(ab|xy)$ of the form

$$p(ab|xy) = \langle \xi | P_x^a Q_y^b | \xi \rangle$$

where

- ξ is a unit vector in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} ,
- for every $x \in [d]$, $(P_x^a)_{a \in [m]}$ is a PVM on \mathcal{H} ,
- for every $y \in [d]$, $(Q_y^b)_{b \in [m]}$ is a PVM on \mathcal{H} ,
- for every $x, y \in [d]$, and $a, b \in [m]$, we have $[P_x^a, Q_y^b] = 0$.

PVM (projector-valued measure) = finite family of orthogonal projections summing to Id.

The set of quantum correlation matrices with commuting measurements C_{qc} is the set of correlation matrices $p(ab|xy)$ of the form

$$p(ab|xy) = \langle \xi | P_x^a Q_y^b | \xi \rangle$$

where

- ξ is a unit vector in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} ,
- for every $x \in [d]$, $(P_x^a)_{a \in [m]}$ is a PVM on \mathcal{H} ,
- for every $y \in [d]$, $(Q_y^b)_{b \in [m]}$ is a PVM on \mathcal{H} ,
- for every $x, y \in [d]$, and $a, b \in [m]$, we have $[P_x^a, Q_y^b] = 0$.

PVM (projector-valued measure) = finite family of orthogonal projections summing to Id.

$$C_{qc} \subset [0, 1]^{m^2 d^2} ;$$

The set of quantum correlation matrices with commuting measurements \mathcal{C}_{qc} is the set of correlation matrices $p(ab|xy)$ of the form

$$p(ab|xy) = \langle \xi | P_x^a Q_y^b | \xi \rangle$$

where

- ξ is a unit vector in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} ,
- for every $x \in [d]$, $(P_x^a)_{a \in [m]}$ is a PVM on \mathcal{H} ,
- for every $y \in [d]$, $(Q_y^b)_{b \in [m]}$ is a PVM on \mathcal{H} ,
- for every $x, y \in [d]$, and $a, b \in [m]$, we have $[P_x^a, Q_y^b] = 0$.

PVM (projector-valued measure) = finite family of orthogonal projections summing to Id.

$\mathcal{C}_{\text{qc}} \subset [0, 1]^{m^2 d^2}$; \mathcal{C}_{qc} is a convex set (easy) ;

The set of quantum correlation matrices with commuting measurements C_{qc} is the set of correlation matrices $p(ab|xy)$ of the form

$$p(ab|xy) = \langle \xi | P_x^a Q_y^b | \xi \rangle$$

where

- ξ is a unit vector in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} ,
- for every $x \in [d]$, $(P_x^a)_{a \in [m]}$ is a PVM on \mathcal{H} ,
- for every $y \in [d]$, $(Q_y^b)_{b \in [m]}$ is a PVM on \mathcal{H} ,
- for every $x, y \in [d]$, and $a, b \in [m]$, we have $[P_x^a, Q_y^b] = 0$.

PVM (projector-valued measure) = finite family of orthogonal projections summing to Id.

$C_{qc} \subset [0, 1]^{m^2 d^2}$; C_{qc} is a convex set (easy) ;
 $\dim(C_{qc}) = m^2(d-1)^2 + 2m(d-1) < m^2 d^2$ — because of the nonsignalling conditions $p(a|xy) = p(a|xy')$, $p(b|xy) = p(b|x'y)$

The set of quantum correlation matrices with commuting measurements \mathcal{C}_{qc} is the set of correlation matrices $p(ab|xy)$ of the form

$$p(ab|xy) = \langle \xi | P_x^a Q_y^b | \xi \rangle$$

where

- ξ is a unit vector in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} ,
- for every $x \in [d]$, $(P_x^a)_{a \in [m]}$ is a PVM on \mathcal{H} ,
- for every $y \in [d]$, $(Q_y^b)_{b \in [m]}$ is a PVM on \mathcal{H} ,
- for every $x, y \in [d]$, and $a, b \in [m]$, we have $[P_x^a, Q_y^b] = 0$.

PVM (projector-valued measure) = finite family of orthogonal projections summing to Id.

$\mathcal{C}_{\text{qc}} \subset [0, 1]^{m^2 d^2}$; \mathcal{C}_{qc} is a convex set (easy) ;
 $\dim(\mathcal{C}_{\text{qc}}) = m^2(d-1)^2 + 2m(d-1) < m^2 d^2$ — because of the
nonsignalling conditions $p(a|xy) = p(a|xy')$, $p(b|xy) = p(b|x'y)$
 \mathcal{C}_{qc} is closed (not obvious, will follow from today's proof)

The set of quantum correlation matrices with tensor measurements $C_{q\otimes}$ is the set of correlation matrices $p(ab|xy)_{a,b\in[m];x,y\in[d]}$ of the form

$$p(ab|xy) = \langle \xi | P_x^a \otimes Q_y^b | \xi \rangle$$

where

- ξ is a unit vector in $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$ where $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2$ are Hilbert spaces,
- for every $x \in [d]$, $(P_x^a)_{a\in[m]}$ is a PVM on \mathcal{H}_1 ,
- for every $y \in [d]$, $(Q_y^b)_{b\in[m]}$ is a PVM on \mathcal{H}_2 .

The set of quantum correlation matrices with tensor measurements $C_{q\otimes}$ is the set of correlation matrices $p(ab|xy)_{a,b\in[m];x,y\in[d]}$ of the form

$$p(ab|xy) = \langle \xi | P_x^a \otimes Q_y^b | \xi \rangle$$

where

- ξ is a unit vector in $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$ where $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2$ are Hilbert spaces,
- for every $x \in [d]$, $(P_x^a)_{a\in[m]}$ is a PVM on \mathcal{H}_1 ,
- for every $y \in [d]$, $(Q_y^b)_{b\in[m]}$ is a PVM on \mathcal{H}_2 .

$C_{q\otimes} \subset C_{qc}$ because $[P_x^a \otimes \text{Id}, \text{Id} \otimes Q_y^b] = 0$;

The set of quantum correlation matrices with tensor measurements $C_{q\otimes}$ is the set of correlation matrices $p(ab|xy)_{a,b\in[m];x,y\in[d]}$ of the form

$$p(ab|xy) = \langle \xi | P_x^a \otimes Q_y^b | \xi \rangle$$

where

- ξ is a unit vector in $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$ where $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2$ are Hilbert spaces,
- for every $x \in [d]$, $(P_x^a)_{a\in[m]}$ is a PVM on \mathcal{H}_1 ,
- for every $y \in [d]$, $(Q_y^b)_{b\in[m]}$ is a PVM on \mathcal{H}_2 .

$C_{q\otimes} \subset C_{qc}$ because $[P_x^a \otimes \text{Id}, \text{Id} \otimes Q_y^b] = 0$;
 $C_{q\otimes}$ is convex (easy)

The set of quantum correlation matrices with tensor measurements $C_{q\otimes}$ is the set of correlation matrices $p(ab|xy)_{a,b\in[m];x,y\in[d]}$ of the form

$$p(ab|xy) = \langle \xi | P_x^a \otimes Q_y^b | \xi \rangle$$

where

- ξ is a unit vector in $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$ where $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2$ are Hilbert spaces,
- for every $x \in [d]$, $(P_x^a)_{a\in[m]}$ is a PVM on \mathcal{H}_1 ,
- for every $y \in [d]$, $(Q_y^b)_{b\in[m]}$ is a PVM on \mathcal{H}_2 .

$C_{q\otimes} \subset C_{qc}$ because $[P_x^a \otimes \text{Id}, \text{Id} \otimes Q_y^b] = 0$;

$C_{q\otimes}$ is convex (easy)

$\dim(C_{q\otimes}) = \dim(C_{qc})$

The set of quantum correlation matrices with tensor measurements $C_{q\otimes}$ is the set of correlation matrices $p(ab|xy)_{a,b\in[m];x,y\in[d]}$ of the form

$$p(ab|xy) = \langle \xi | P_x^a \otimes Q_y^b | \xi \rangle$$

where

- ξ is a unit vector in $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$ where $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2$ are Hilbert spaces,
- for every $x \in [d]$, $(P_x^a)_{a\in[m]}$ is a PVM on \mathcal{H}_1 ,
- for every $y \in [d]$, $(Q_y^b)_{b\in[m]}$ is a PVM on \mathcal{H}_2 .

$C_{q\otimes} \subset C_{qc}$ because $[P_x^a \otimes \text{Id}, \text{Id} \otimes Q_y^b] = 0$;

$C_{q\otimes}$ is convex (easy)

$\dim(C_{q\otimes}) = \dim(C_{qc})$

$C_{q\otimes}$ is not closed (cf. lecture 3).

Tsirelson's problem asks whether $\overline{C_{q\otimes}} = C_{qc}$. By the Hahn–Banach theorem, this is false if and only if there is a linear form G such that $\sup_{C_{q\otimes}} G < \max_{C_{qc}} G$.

In this talk, what we call a *game* is a linear form on $\mathbf{R}^{m^2 d^2}$ with rational coefficients (games satisfy some extra constraints, such as mapping correlation matrices to $[0, 1]$)

In this talk, what we call a *game* is a linear form on $\mathbf{R}^{m^2 d^2}$ with rational coefficients (games satisfy some extra constraints, such as mapping correlation matrices to $[0, 1]$)

Theorem 1 (Theorem 12.10 in the $\text{MIP}^* = \text{RE}$ paper)

There is a computable function which maps a Turing machine T to a game G such that

- 1 *If T halts on the empty word, then $\sup_{C_{q \otimes}} G = 1$,*
- 2 *If T does not halt on the empty word, then $\sup_{C_{q \otimes}} G \leq 1/2$.*

In this talk, what we call a *game* is a linear form on $\mathbf{R}^{m^2 d^2}$ with rational coefficients (games satisfy some extra constraints, such as mapping correlation matrices to $[0, 1]$)

Theorem 1 (Theorem 12.10 in the $\text{MIP}^* = \text{RE}$ paper)

There is a computable function which maps a Turing machine \mathbb{T} to a game G such that

- 1 *If \mathbb{T} halts on the empty word, then $\sup_{C_{q \otimes}} G = 1$,*
- 2 *If \mathbb{T} does not halt on the empty word, then $\sup_{C_{q \otimes}} G \leq 1/2$.*

Formally, $f : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$ and $\langle G \rangle = f(\langle \mathbb{T} \rangle)$. The parameters m, d of the game G depend on \mathbb{T} and are included in $\langle G \rangle$.

We show the following. Consider m, d and a linear form G on $[0, 1]^{m^2 d^2}$.

- 1 There is an algorithm which computes an increasing sequence (α_N) such that

$$\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \dots \leq \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_N = \sup_{C_{q\otimes}} G.$$

- 2 There is an algorithm which computes a decreasing sequence (β_N) such that

$$\beta_1 \geq \beta_2 \geq \dots \geq \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \beta_N = \max_{C_{qc}} G.$$

We show the following. Consider m, d and a linear form G on $[0, 1]^{m^2 d^2}$.

- 1 There is an algorithm which computes an increasing sequence (α_N) such that

$$\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \dots \leq \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_N = \sup_{C_{q\otimes}} G.$$

- 2 There is an algorithm which computes a decreasing sequence (β_N) such that

$$\beta_1 \geq \beta_2 \geq \dots \geq \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \beta_N = \max_{C_{qc}} G.$$

Algorithm = computable function $\{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$.

If Tsirelson problem has a positive answer, then for every linear form G

$$\sup_{C_{q\otimes}} G = \max_{C_{qc}} G.$$

If Tsirelson problem has a positive answer, then for every linear form G

$$\sup_{C_{q\otimes}} G = \max_{C_{qc}} G.$$

In that case, the algorithms 1. and 2. can be combined into a Turing machine T_0 which, given $\langle G \rangle$ as input, and computes the pair (α_N, β_N) for increasing integers N , until either $\alpha_N > 1/2$ (then it accepts G) or $\beta_N < 1$ (then it rejects G). This machine always halts.

If Tsirelson problem has a positive answer, then for every linear form G

$$\sup_{C_{q\otimes}} G = \max_{C_{qc}} G.$$

In that case, the algorithms 1. and 2. can be combined into a Turing machine T_0 which, given $\langle G \rangle$ as input, and computes the pair (α_N, β_N) for increasing integers N , until either $\alpha_N > 1/2$ (then it accepts G) or $\beta_N < 1$ (then it rejects G). This machine always halts.

Consider the Turing machine $D = T_0 \circ f$, where f is the function from Theorem 12.10 (recall that $f(\langle M \rangle)$ is a game with value = 1 or $\leq 1/2$ depending whether M halts on the empty word).

The Turing machine D solves the halting problem (on the empty word). This is a contradiction, and therefore the Tsirelson problem has a negative answer.

Algorithm 1: discretization

Fact: if $C_{q^\otimes, N}$ is the same set as C_{q^\otimes} , but with the restriction that $\dim(\mathcal{H}_1) \leq N$ and $\dim(\mathcal{H}_2) \leq N$, then

$$\overline{\bigcup_N C_{q^\otimes, N}} = \overline{C_{q^\otimes}}.$$

Algorithm 1: discretization

Fact: if $C_{q^\otimes, N}$ is the same set as C_{q^\otimes} , but with the restriction that $\dim(\mathcal{H}_1) \leq N$ and $\dim(\mathcal{H}_2) \leq N$, then

$$\overline{\bigcup_N C_{q^\otimes, N}} = \overline{C_{q^\otimes}}.$$

A POVM (positive operator-valued measure) is a finite family of positive operators (A_i) summing to Id . Every PVM is a POVM.

Algorithm 1: discretization

Fact: if $C_{q^\otimes, N}$ is the same set as C_{q^\otimes} , but with the restriction that $\dim(\mathcal{H}_1) \leq N$ and $\dim(\mathcal{H}_2) \leq N$, then

$$\overline{\bigcup_N C_{q^\otimes, N}} = \overline{C_{q^\otimes}}.$$

A POVM (positive operator-valued measure) is a finite family of positive operators (A_i) summing to Id . Every PVM is a POVM.

We can replace PVMs by POVMs in the definition of C_{q^\otimes} . This is because of the Naimark dilation theorem: if (A_1, \dots, A_n) is a POVM on \mathcal{H} , then there is an isometry $\iota : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}'$ and a PVM (P_i) on \mathcal{H}' such that $A_i = \iota^* P_i \iota$.

Algorithm 1: discretization

Fact: if $C_{q^{\otimes}, N}$ is the same set as $C_{q^{\otimes}}$, but with the restriction that $\dim(\mathcal{H}_1) \leq N$ and $\dim(\mathcal{H}_2) \leq N$, then

$$\overline{\bigcup_N C_{q^{\otimes}, N}} = \overline{C_{q^{\otimes}}}.$$

A POVM (positive operator-valued measure) is a finite family of positive operators (A_i) summing to Id . Every PVM is a POVM.

We can replace PVMs by POVMs in the definition of $C_{q^{\otimes}}$. This is because of the Naimark dilation theorem: if (A_1, \dots, A_n) is a POVM on \mathcal{H} , then there is an isometry $\iota : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}'$ and a PVM (P_i) on \mathcal{H}' such that $A_i = \iota^* P_i \iota$.

Proof: define $\mathcal{H}' = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{H}$, $P_i =$ the projection on the i th copy, and

$$\iota(x) = (A_1^{1/2} x, \dots, A_n^{1/2} x), \quad \iota^*(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_i A_i^{1/2} x_i.$$

Algorithm 1: discretization

With the definition via POVMs is it easy to prove $\overline{\bigcup C_{q\otimes, N}} = \overline{C_{q\otimes}}$: take finite-rank projectors Π_1, Π_2 such that $\|(\Pi_1 \otimes \Pi_2)\xi - \xi\| \leq \varepsilon$, and replace the POVMs $(P_x^a), (Q_y^b)$ by the POVMs $(\Pi_1 P_x^a \Pi_1), (\Pi_2 Q_y^b \Pi_2)$ on the finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces $\Pi_1(\mathcal{H}_1), \Pi_2(\mathcal{H}_2)$.

Algorithm 1: discretization

With the definition via POVMs it is easy to prove $\overline{\bigcup C_{q \otimes, N}} = \overline{C_{q \otimes}}$: take finite-rank projectors Π_1, Π_2 such that $\|(\Pi_1 \otimes \Pi_2)\xi - \xi\| \leq \varepsilon$, and replace the POVMs $(P_x^a), (Q_y^b)$ by the POVMs $(\Pi_1 P_x^a \Pi_1), (\Pi_2 Q_y^b \Pi_2)$ on the finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces $\Pi_1(\mathcal{H}_1), \Pi_2(\mathcal{H}_2)$.

Algorithm 1 computes a $\frac{1}{N}$ -approximation of

$$\sup_{p \in C_{q \otimes, N}} G(p).$$

Indeed the unit sphere of $\mathbf{C}^N \otimes \mathbf{C}^N$, and the set

$$\{(P_1, \dots, P_m) : P_i \geq 0, \sum P_i = \text{Id}\}$$

are compact, so there admit finite ε -dense subsets. Moreover, such subsets can be obtained algorithmically. The algorithm optimizes over these finite subsets.

Algorithm 2: the NPA hierarchy

Consider the alphabet $\mathcal{S} = \{p_x^a\}_{x \in [d], a \in [m]} \cup \{q_y^b\}_{y \in [d], b \in [m]}$. We write \mathcal{S}_N for the set of words of length at most N , and $\mathcal{S}^* = \bigcup \mathcal{S}_N$. The concatenation of the words s and t is denoted $s \frown t$.

Algorithm 2: the NPA hierarchy

Consider the alphabet $\mathcal{S} = \{p_x^a\}_{x \in [d], a \in [m]} \cup \{q_y^b\}_{y \in [d], b \in [m]}$. We write \mathcal{S}_N for the set of words of length at most N , and $\mathcal{S}^* = \bigcup \mathcal{S}_N$. The concatenation of the words s and t is denoted $s \frown t$.

Let $p(ab|xy) \in C_{\text{qc}}$; so there are commuting PVMs (P_x^a) , (Q_y^b) and a unit vector ξ . To a word $s \in \mathcal{S}$ corresponds an operator $\pi(s)$ on \mathcal{H} , such that $\pi(p_x^a) = P_x^a$, $\pi(q_y^b) = Q_y^b$ and $\pi(s \frown t) = \pi(s)\pi(t)$.

Algorithm 2: the NPA hierarchy

Consider the alphabet $\mathcal{S} = \{p_x^a\}_{x \in [d], a \in [m]} \cup \{q_y^b\}_{y \in [d], b \in [m]}$. We write \mathcal{S}_N for the set of words of length at most N , and $\mathcal{S}^* = \bigcup \mathcal{S}_N$. The concatenation of the words s and t is denoted $s \frown t$.

Let $p(ab|xy) \in C_{\text{qc}}$; so there are commuting PVMs (P_x^a) , (Q_y^b) and a unit vector ξ . To a word $s \in \mathcal{S}$ corresponds an operator $\pi(s)$ on \mathcal{H} , such that $\pi(p_x^a) = P_x^a$, $\pi(q_y^b) = Q_y^b$ and $\pi(s \frown t) = \pi(s)\pi(t)$. Set

$$\Gamma_{s,t} = \langle \pi(s)\xi, \pi(t)\xi \rangle = \langle \xi, \pi(s)^* \pi(t)\xi \rangle.$$

Algorithm 2: the NPA hierarchy

Consider the alphabet $\mathcal{S} = \{p_x^a\}_{x \in [d], a \in [m]} \cup \{q_y^b\}_{y \in [d], b \in [m]}$. We write \mathcal{S}_N for the set of words of length at most N , and $\mathcal{S}^* = \bigcup \mathcal{S}_N$. The concatenation of the words s and t is denoted $s \frown t$.

Let $p(ab|xy) \in C_{\text{qc}}$; so there are commuting PVMs (P_x^a) , (Q_y^b) and a unit vector ξ . To a word $s \in \mathcal{S}$ corresponds an operator $\pi(s)$ on \mathcal{H} , such that $\pi(p_x^a) = P_x^a$, $\pi(q_y^b) = Q_y^b$ and $\pi(s \frown t) = \pi(s)\pi(t)$. Set

$$\Gamma_{s,t} = \langle \pi(s)\xi, \pi(t)\xi \rangle = \langle \xi, \pi(s)^* \pi(t)\xi \rangle.$$

The matrix $(\Gamma_{s,t})_{s,t \in \mathcal{S}^*}$ is positive, its entries satisfy some affine relations

A1 $\Gamma_{p_x^a, q_y^b} = p(ab|xy),$

A2 If $g \in \mathcal{S}$ and $s, t \in \mathcal{S}^*$, then $\Gamma_{g \frown s, g \frown t} = \Gamma_{g \frown s, t} = \Gamma_{s, g \frown t},$

A3 If $a \neq a' \in [m]$, $x \in [d]$ and $s, t \in \mathcal{S}^*$, then $\Gamma_{p_x^a \frown s, p_x^{a'} \frown t} = 0,$
and same for y, b, b'

A4 If $x \in [d]$, $s, t \in \mathcal{S}^*$, then $\sum_a \Gamma_{p_x^a \frown s, t} = \Gamma_{s, t}$, same for y [so $\Gamma_{\emptyset, \emptyset} = 1$]

A5 If $x, y \in [d]$, $a, b \in [m]$ and $s, t \in \mathcal{S}^*$, then $\Gamma_{p_x^a \frown s, q_y^b \frown t} = \Gamma_{q_y^b \frown s, p_x^a \frown t}.$

Consider a correlation matrix $\rho(ab|xy)$, not necessarily in C_{qc} . A positive matrix $(\Gamma_{s,t})_{s,t \in \mathcal{S}^*}$ which satisfies axioms 1–5 from the previous slide is called a certificate for $\rho(ab|xy)$.

Consider a correlation matrix $p(ab|xy)$, not necessarily in C_{qc} . A positive matrix $(\Gamma_{s,t})_{s,t \in \mathcal{S}^*}$ which satisfies axioms 1–5 from the previous slide is called a certificate for $p(ab|xy)$.

Theorem 2

$p(ab|xy) \in C_{qc}$ if and only if it admits a certificate.

Consider a correlation matrix $p(ab|xy)$, not necessarily in C_{qc} . A positive matrix $(\Gamma_{s,t})_{s,t \in \mathcal{S}^*}$ which satisfies axioms 1–5 from the previous slide is called a certificate for $p(ab|xy)$.

Theorem 2

$p(ab|xy) \in C_{qc}$ if and only if it admits a certificate.

Since Γ is positive, it can be realized as a Gram matrix: there is a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and vectors $(v(s))_{s \in \mathcal{S}^*}$ in \mathcal{H} such that

$$\Gamma_{s,t} = \langle v(s), v(t) \rangle$$

for every $s, t \in \mathcal{S}^*$. We can assume that $\mathcal{H} = \overline{\text{span}}\{v(s) : s \in \mathcal{S}^*\}$.

Consider a correlation matrix $p(ab|xy)$, not necessarily in C_{qc} . A positive matrix $(\Gamma_{s,t})_{s,t \in \mathcal{S}^*}$ which satisfies axioms 1–5 from the previous slide is called a certificate for $p(ab|xy)$.

Theorem 2

$p(ab|xy) \in C_{qc}$ if and only if it admits a certificate.

Since Γ is positive, it can be realized as a Gram matrix: there is a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and vectors $(v(s))_{s \in \mathcal{S}^*}$ in \mathcal{H} such that

$$\Gamma_{s,t} = \langle v(s), v(t) \rangle$$

for every $s, t \in \mathcal{S}^*$. We can assume that $\mathcal{H} = \overline{\text{span}}\{v(s) : s \in \mathcal{S}^*\}$.

We then define

- $\xi = v(\emptyset)$,
- $P_x^a =$ orthogonal projector onto $\overline{\text{span}}\{v(p_x^a \frown s) : s \in \mathcal{S}^*\}$,
- $Q_y^b =$ orthogonal projector onto $\overline{\text{span}}\{v(q_y^b \frown s) : s \in \mathcal{S}^*\}$.

- $\xi = v(\emptyset)$,
- $P_x^a =$ orthogonal projector onto $\overline{\text{span}}\{v(p_x^a \wedge s) : s \in \mathcal{S}^*\}$,
- $Q_y^b =$ orthogonal projector onto $\overline{\text{span}}\{v(q_y^b \wedge s) : s \in \mathcal{S}^*\}$.

We have

- 1 $\|\xi\|^2 = \|v(\emptyset)\|^2 = \langle v(\emptyset), v(\emptyset) \rangle = \Gamma_{\emptyset, \emptyset} = 1$,
- 2 for every x, y, a, b , we have $p(ab|xy) = \langle \xi | P_x^a Q_y^b | \xi \rangle$,
- 3 for every x , $(P_x^a)_a$ is a PVM. The fact that $P_x^a P_x^{a'} = 0$ if $a \neq a'$ follows from Axiom 3 and the fact that $\sum_a P_x^a = \text{Id}$ follows from Axiom 4,
- 4 for every y , $(Q_y^b)_b$ is a PVM. Same as before,
- 5 for every x, y, a, b , we have $[P_x^a, Q_y^b] = 0$. This follows from Axiom 5.

- $\xi = v(\emptyset)$,
- $P_x^a =$ orthogonal projector onto $\overline{\text{span}}\{v(p_x^a \frown s) : s \in \mathcal{S}^*\}$,
- $Q_y^b =$ orthogonal projector onto $\overline{\text{span}}\{v(q_y^b \frown s) : s \in \mathcal{S}^*\}$.

We have

- 1 $\|\xi\|^2 = \|v(\emptyset)\|^2 = \langle v(\emptyset), v(\emptyset) \rangle = \Gamma_{\emptyset, \emptyset} = 1$,
- 2 for every x, y, a, b , we have $p(ab|xy) = \langle \xi | P_x^a Q_y^b | \xi \rangle$,
- 3 for every x , $(P_x^a)_a$ is a PVM. The fact that $P_x^a P_x^{a'} = 0$ if $a \neq a'$ follows from Axiom 3 and the fact that $\sum_a P_x^a = \text{Id}$ follows from Axiom 4,
- 4 for every y , $(Q_y^b)_b$ is a PVM. Same as before,
- 5 for every x, y, a, b , we have $[P_x^a, Q_y^b] = 0$. This follows from Axiom 5.

Question for C^* -algebraists: is this the GNS construction?

A compactness lemma

Say that a positive matrix $(\Gamma_{s,t})_{s,t \in \mathcal{S}_N}$ is a N -certificate for $p(ab|xy)$ if it satisfies axioms A1-A5 but only for words with length at most N .

A compactness lemma

Say that a positive matrix $(\Gamma_{s,t})_{s,t \in \mathcal{S}_N}$ is a N -certificate for $p(ab|xy)$ if it satisfies axioms A1-A5 but only for words with length at most N .

Lemma 3

A correlation matrix admits a certificate iff it admits a N -certificate for every N .

A compactness lemma

Say that a positive matrix $(\Gamma_{s,t})_{s,t \in \mathcal{S}_N}$ is a N -certificate for $p(ab|xy)$ if it satisfies axioms A1-A5 but only for words with length at most N .

Lemma 3

A correlation matrix admits a certificate iff it admits a N -certificate for every N .

We claim that $|\Gamma_{s,t}^N| \leq 1$, and then for some subsequence $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma_{s,t}^N$ exists for every s, t (diagonal extraction) and also satisfies axioms A1–A5.

A compactness lemma

Say that a positive matrix $(\Gamma_{s,t})_{s,t \in \mathcal{S}_N}$ is a N -certificate for $p(ab|xy)$ if it satisfies axioms A1-A5 but only for words with length at most N .

Lemma 3

A correlation matrix admits a certificate iff it admits a N -certificate for every N .

We claim that $|\Gamma_{s,t}^N| \leq 1$, and then for some subsequence $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma_{s,t}^N$ exists for every s, t (diagonal extraction) and also satisfies axioms A1–A5.

Since Γ^N is positive, it satisfies $|\Gamma_{s,t}^N| \leq |\Gamma_{s,s}^N|^{1/2} |\Gamma_{t,t}^N|^{1/2}$.

A compactness lemma

Say that a positive matrix $(\Gamma_{s,t})_{s,t \in \mathcal{S}_N}$ is a N -certificate for $p(ab|xy)$ if it satisfies axioms A1-A5 but only for words with length at most N .

Lemma 3

A correlation matrix admits a certificate iff it admits a N -certificate for every N .

We claim that $|\Gamma_{s,t}^N| \leq 1$, and then for some subsequence $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma_{s,t}^N$ exists for every s, t (diagonal extraction) and also satisfies axioms A1–A5.

Since Γ^N is positive, it satisfies $|\Gamma_{s,t}^N| \leq |\Gamma_{s,s}^N|^{1/2} |\Gamma_{t,t}^N|^{1/2}$.

We prove $\Gamma_{s,s}^N \leq 1$ by induction on the length of s . For every $g \in \mathcal{S}$, we

have $\Gamma_{g \frown s, g \frown s}^N = \Gamma_{g \frown s, s}^N = \Gamma_{s, g \frown s}^N$. Since the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_{s,s}^N & \Gamma_{s, g \frown s}^N \\ \Gamma_{g \frown s, s}^N & \Gamma_{g \frown s, g \frown s}^N \end{pmatrix}$

is positive, we have $\Gamma_{g \frown s, g \frown s}^N \leq \Gamma_{s,s}^N$.

Let $C_{qc,N}$ be the set of correlation matrices which admit a N -certificate.
We have proved that

$$\bigcap_{N \geq 1} C_{qc,N} = C_{qc}.$$

This shows that C_{qc} is closed.

Let $C_{qc,N}$ be the set of correlation matrices which admit a N -certificate. We have proved that

$$\bigcap_{N \geq 1} C_{qc,N} = C_{qc}.$$

This shows that C_{qc} is closed.

Let $M = \text{card}(\mathcal{S}_N)$. The set of N -certificates is the intersection of the cone PSD_M of $M \times M$ positive matrices with an affine subspace (given by the axioms A2–A5).

Let $C_{qc,N}$ be the set of correlation matrices which admit a N -certificate. We have proved that

$$\bigcap_{N \geq 1} C_{qc,N} = C_{qc}.$$

This shows that C_{qc} is closed.

Let $M = \text{card}(\mathcal{S}_N)$. The set of N -certificates is the intersection of the cone PSD_M of $M \times M$ positive matrices with an affine subspace (given by the axioms A2–A5).

Fix a game G . The value $\beta_N^{opt} = \max_{C_{qc,N}} G$ can be computed up to error ε in time $\text{poly}(M, \log(1/\varepsilon))$ by semi-definite programming, so β_N^{opt} is a decreasing sequence which converges to $\max_{C_{qc}} G$.

Let $C_{qc,N}$ be the set of correlation matrices which admit a N -certificate. We have proved that

$$\bigcap_{N \geq 1} C_{qc,N} = C_{qc}.$$

This shows that C_{qc} is closed.

Let $M = \text{card}(\mathcal{S}_N)$. The set of N -certificates is the intersection of the cone PSD_M of $M \times M$ positive matrices with an affine subspace (given by the axioms A2–A5).

Fix a game G . The value $\beta_N^{opt} = \max_{C_{qc,N}} G$ can be computed up to error ε in time $\text{poly}(M, \log(1/\varepsilon))$ by semi-definite programming, so β_N^{opt} is a decreasing sequence which converges to $\max_{C_{qc}} G$.

In a more elementary way: we can compute β_N , a $\frac{1}{N}$ -approximation to β_N^{opt} by a discretization argument. For example, replace PSD_M by the cone of self-adjoint operators A which satisfy $\langle x|A|x \rangle \geq 0$ for every x in a finite ε -dense subset of the unit sphere.