

# Subject 2: Zoology of Linear Formulas

*to be returned on Monday, October 6th*

In the whole subject, we work in multiplicative exponential linear logic (MELL), and exchange rules can be left implicit.

## 1 Synchrony and Polarity

### Synchronous Formulas

A formula  $S$  of linear logic is called *synchronous* if  $S$  is linearly equivalent to  $!S$  (denoted  $S \circ\!\circ\ !S$ ), which means that both  $\vdash S^\perp, !S$  and  $\vdash ?(S^\perp), S$  are provable.

**Question 1.** Prove  $1$  is synchronous.

**Question 2.** Prove  $\perp$  is not synchronous.

**Question 3.** Prove  $!A$  is synchronous for any  $A$ .

**Question 4.** Prove  $S_1 \otimes S_2$  is synchronous if  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are both synchronous.

**Question 5.** If  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are two synchronous formulas, show that  $\vdash S_1, S_2$  cannot be provable.

### Asynchronous Formulas

A formula  $L$  of linear logic is called *asynchronous* if  $L$  is linearly equivalent to  $?L$  (*i.e.* both  $\vdash L^\perp, ?L$  and  $\vdash !(L^\perp), L$  are provable).

**Question 6.** Prove  $L$  is asynchronous if and only if  $L^\perp$  is synchronous.

**Question 7.** Find an asynchronous formula  $L$  and two synchronous formulas  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  such that  $\vdash L, S_1, S_2$  is provable.

**Question 8.** Prove no formula can be both synchronous and asynchronous.

**Question 9.** Give a formula which is neither synchronous nor asynchronous.

**Question 10.** Prove the following generalized weakening rule is derivable for any asynchronous formula  $L$ :

$$\frac{\vdash \Gamma}{\vdash \Gamma, L}$$

**Question 11.** Prove the following generalized contraction rule is derivable for any asynchronous formula  $L$ :

$$\frac{\vdash \Gamma, L, L}{\vdash \Gamma, L}$$

**Question 12.** Prove the following generalized promotion rule is derivable for any  $\Lambda$  which is a list of asynchronous formulas:

$$\frac{\vdash \Lambda, A}{\vdash \Lambda, !A}$$

## Regular Formulas

A formula  $R$  of linear logic is called *regular* if  $R$  is linearly equivalent to  $?!R$ .

**Question 13.** Prove  $\perp$  is regular.

**Question 14.** Prove  $?S$  is regular for any synchronous formula  $S$ .

**Question 15.** Prove  $?!A$  is regular for any formula  $A$ .

**Question 16.** Prove  $R_1 \wp R_2$  is regular if  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are both regular.

## Polarized Formulas

*Positive* ( $P$ ) and *negative* ( $N$ ) formulas are defined through the following grammar:

$$\begin{array}{l} P ::= !X \mid 1 \mid P \otimes P \mid !N \\ N ::= ?X^\perp \mid \perp \mid N \wp N \mid ?P \end{array}$$

**Question 17.** Prove any positive formula is synchronous.

**Question 18.** Prove any negative formula is asynchronous.

**Question 19.** Prove, if  $P$  and  $Q$  are two positive formulas, there is no polarized context  $\Gamma$  (*i.e.* containing only positive and negative formulas) such that  $\vdash \Gamma, P, Q$  is provable in MELL.

## 2 Exponential Modalities

An exponential modality  $\mu$  is an arbitrary (possibly empty) sequence built with the two exponential connectives  $!$  and  $?$  (*i.e.* an element of  $\mathcal{M} = \{!, ?\}^*$ ). It can be considered itself as a unary connective. This leads to the notation  $\mu A$  for applying an exponential modality  $\mu$  to a formula  $A$ .

An exponential modality  $\mu$  is *smaller* than an exponential modality  $\nu$  (denoted  $\mu \lesssim \nu$ ) if, for any formula  $A$  of MELL,  $\vdash (\mu A)^\perp, \nu A$  is provable (*i.e.*  $\mu A \multimap \nu A$ ). Two exponential modalities  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are *equivalent* (denoted  $\mu \sim \nu$ ) if  $\mu \lesssim \nu$  and  $\nu \lesssim \mu$ .

**Question.** Characterize the relation induced by  $\lesssim$  on the quotient set  $\mathcal{M}/\sim$ .