

Embedding Classical Linear Logic into Intuitionistic Linear Logic

Olivier . Laurent @ens-lyon.fr

November 16, 2012

1 Classical Linear Logic

Given a set of atoms whose elements are denoted X, Y, \dots , formulas of classical linear logic are given by:

$$A, B ::= X \mid X^\perp \mid A \wp B \mid A \otimes B \mid \perp \mid 1 \mid ?A \mid !A \mid A \& B \mid A \oplus B \mid \top \mid 0$$

The orthogonal construction $_ \mapsto _^\perp$ is extended from atoms to all formulas by:

$$\begin{array}{ll} (X^\perp)^\perp = X & (A \wp B)^\perp = A^\perp \otimes B^\perp \\ (A \otimes B)^\perp = A^\perp \wp B^\perp & \perp^\perp = 1 \\ \perp^\perp = 1 & 1^\perp = \perp \\ (?A)^\perp = !A^\perp & (!A)^\perp = ?A^\perp \\ (A \& B)^\perp = A^\perp \oplus B^\perp & (A \oplus B)^\perp = A^\perp \& B^\perp \\ \top^\perp = 0 & 0^\perp = \top \end{array}$$

This operation satisfies $A^{\perp\perp} = A$.

2 Intuitionistic Linear Logic

Intuitionistic atoms are those from classical linear logic plus an additional one R . Formulas of intuitionistic linear logic are then obtained by:

$$A, B ::= R \mid X \mid A \otimes B \mid 1 \mid A \multimap B \mid !A \mid A \& B \mid \top$$

3 The Embedding

We consider the following embedding of classical formulas into intuitionistic ones:

$$\begin{array}{ll} (X^\perp)^\star = X & X^\star = X \multimap R \\ (A \wp B)^\star = A^\star \otimes B^\star & (A \otimes B)^\star = ((A^\star \multimap R) \otimes (B^\star \multimap R)) \multimap R \\ \perp^\star = 1 & 1^\star = 1 \multimap R \\ (?A)^\star = !A^\star & (!A)^\star = !(A^\star \multimap R) \multimap R \\ (A \oplus B)^\star = A^\star \& B^\star & (A \& B)^\star = ((A^\star \multimap R) \& (B^\star \multimap R)) \multimap R \\ 0^\star = \top & \top^\star = \top \multimap R \end{array}$$

Theorem.

$\vdash A_1, \dots, A_n$ in classical linear logic $\iff A_1^\star, \dots, A_n^\star \vdash R$ in intuitionistic linear logic.

Proof. (Sketch) This first direction is obtained by induction on a cut-free proof of $\vdash A_1, \dots, A_n$ in classical linear logic using only atomic axiom rules.

The second direction comes from the fact $(A^*[\perp/R])^\perp \multimap A$ in classical linear logic (using the property that up to the coding $A \multimap B = A^\perp \wp B$ an intuitionistic formula without R can be considered as a classical formula). If $A_1^*, \dots, A_n^* \vdash R$ is derivable in intuitionistic linear logic then $\vdash (A_1^*)^\perp[\perp/R], \dots, (A_n^*)^\perp[\perp/R]$ is derivable in classical linear logic. \square

A more general development of a similar idea is presented in: <http://www.cs.cmu.edu/~fp/papers/CMU-CS-03-131R.pdf>.

A Classical Linear Logic Rules

$$\begin{array}{c}
\overline{\vdash X, X^\perp}^{ax} \\
\frac{\vdash \Gamma, A, B}{\vdash \Gamma, A \wp B} \wp \quad \frac{\vdash \Gamma, A \quad \vdash \Delta, B}{\vdash \Gamma, \Delta, A \otimes B} \otimes \quad \frac{\vdash \Gamma}{\vdash \Gamma, \perp} \perp \quad \overline{\vdash 1} 1 \\
\frac{\vdash \Gamma, A}{\vdash \Gamma, ?A} ?d \quad \frac{\vdash \Gamma}{\vdash \Gamma, ?A} ?w \quad \frac{\vdash \Gamma, ?A, ?A}{\vdash \Gamma, ?A} ?c \quad \frac{\vdash ?\Gamma, A}{\vdash ?\Gamma, !A} ! \\
\frac{\vdash \Gamma, A}{\vdash \Gamma, A \oplus B} \oplus_1 \quad \frac{\vdash \Gamma, B}{\vdash \Gamma, A \oplus B} \oplus_2 \quad \frac{\vdash \Gamma, A \quad \vdash \Gamma, B}{\vdash \Gamma, A \& B} \& \quad \overline{\vdash \Gamma, \top} \top
\end{array}$$

B Intuitionistic Linear Logic Rules

$$\begin{array}{c}
\overline{X \vdash X}^{ax} \quad \overline{R \vdash R}^{axR} \\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash A \quad \Delta \vdash B}{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash A \otimes B} \otimes_r \quad \frac{\Gamma, A, B \vdash C}{\Gamma, A \otimes B \vdash C} \otimes_l \quad \overline{\vdash 1} 1_r \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash C}{\Gamma, 1 \vdash C} 1_l \\
\frac{\Gamma, A \vdash B}{\Gamma \vdash A \multimap B} \multimap_r \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A \quad \Delta, B \vdash C}{\Gamma, \Delta, A \multimap B \vdash C} \multimap_l \\
\frac{\Gamma, A \vdash C}{\Gamma, !A \vdash C} !d \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash C}{\Gamma, !A \vdash C} !w \quad \frac{\Gamma, !A, !A \vdash C}{\Gamma, !A \vdash C} !c \quad \frac{! \Gamma \vdash A}{! \Gamma \vdash !A} ! \\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash A \quad \Gamma \vdash B}{\Gamma \vdash A \& B} \&_r \quad \frac{\Gamma, A \vdash C}{\Gamma, A \& B \vdash C} \&_{1l} \quad \frac{\Gamma, B \vdash C}{\Gamma, A \& B \vdash C} \&_{2l} \quad \overline{\Gamma \vdash \top} \top_r
\end{array}$$

C Proof of the Theorem

C.1 From classical to intuitionistic

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\overline{X \vdash X}^{ax} \quad \overline{R \vdash R}^{axR}}{X^*, (X^\perp)^* \vdash R} \multimap_l \\
\frac{\Gamma^*, A^*, B^* \vdash R}{\Gamma^*, (A \wp B)^* \vdash R} \otimes_l \quad \frac{\Gamma^* \vdash R}{\Gamma^*, \perp^* \vdash R} 1_l \\
\frac{\Gamma^*, A^* \vdash R}{\Gamma^*, (?A)^* \vdash R} !d \quad \frac{\Gamma^* \vdash R}{\Gamma^*, (?A)^* \vdash R} !w \quad \frac{\Gamma^*, (?A)^*, (?A)^* \vdash R}{\Gamma^*, (?A)^* \vdash R} !c \\
\frac{\Gamma^*, A^* \vdash R}{\Gamma^*, (A \oplus B)^* \vdash R} \&_{1l} \quad \frac{\Gamma^*, B^* \vdash R}{\Gamma^*, (A \oplus B)^* \vdash R} \&_{2l}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\Gamma^*, A^* \vdash R}{\Gamma^* \vdash A^* \multimap R} \multimap_r \quad \frac{\Delta^*, B^* \vdash R}{\Delta^* \vdash B^* \multimap R} \multimap_r}{\Gamma^*, \Delta^* \vdash (A^* \multimap R) \otimes (B^* \multimap R)} \otimes_r \quad \frac{}{R \vdash R} ax_R}{\Gamma^*, \Delta^*, (A \otimes B)^* \vdash R} \multimap_l \\
\\
\frac{}{\vdash 1} 1_r \quad \frac{}{R \vdash R} ax_R}{1^* \vdash R} \multimap_l \\
\\
\frac{(\Gamma^*)^*, A^* \vdash R}{(\Gamma^*)^* \vdash A^* \multimap R} \multimap_r}{(\Gamma^*)^* \vdash !(A^* \multimap R)} ! \quad \frac{}{R \vdash R} ax_R}{(\Gamma^*)^*, (!A)^* \vdash R} \multimap_l \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma^*, A^* \vdash R}{\Gamma^* \vdash A^* \multimap R} \multimap_r \quad \frac{\Gamma^*, B^* \vdash R}{\Gamma^* \vdash B^* \multimap R} \multimap_r}{\Gamma^* \vdash (A^* \multimap R) \& (B^* \multimap R)} \&_r \quad \frac{}{R \vdash R} ax_R}{\Gamma^*, (A \& B)^* \vdash R} \multimap_l \\
\\
\frac{}{\Gamma^* \vdash \top} \top_r \quad \frac{}{R \vdash R} ax_R}{\Gamma^*, \top^* \vdash R} \multimap_l
\end{array}$$

C.2 From intuitionistic to classical

Lemma.

If A is a classical formula, $(A^*[\perp/R])^\perp \circ\multimap A$ in classical linear logic.

Proof. A simple induction on A using $(A \multimap R)[\perp/R] \circ\multimap A[\perp/R]^\perp$ in classical linear logic. \square

From a proof of $A_1^*, \dots, A_n^* \vdash R$ in intuitionistic linear logic, one can obtain a proof of $\vdash (A_1^*)^\perp, \dots, (A_n^*)^\perp, R$ in classical linear logic (we just consider R as a fresh atom in classical linear logic), then one deduce that $\vdash (A_1^*)^\perp[\perp/R], \dots, (A_n^*)^\perp[\perp/R], \perp$ is derivable in classical linear logic and finally that $\vdash (A_1^*)^\perp[\perp/R], \dots, (A_n^*)^\perp[\perp/R]$ is also provable. By applying the lemma, we conclude that $\vdash A_1, \dots, A_n$ is derivable in classical linear logic.