Thermal boundary layer near roughnesses in turbulent Rayleigh-Bénard convection: Flow structure and multistability
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We present global heat-transfer and local temperature measurements, in an asymmetric parallelepiped Rayleigh-Bénard cell, in which controlled square-studs roughnesses have been added. A global heat transfer enhancement arises when the thickness of the boundary layer matches the height of the roughnesses. The enhanced regime exhibits an increase of the heat transfer scaling. Local temperature measurements have been carried out in the range of parameters where the enhancement of the global heat transfer is observed. They show that the boundary layer at the top of the square-stub roughness is thinner than the boundary layer of a smooth plate, which accounts for most of the heat-transfer enhancement. We also report multistability at long time scales between two enhanced heat-transfer regimes. The flow structure of both regimes is imaged with background-oriented synthetic Schlieren and reveals intermittent bursts of coherent plumes. © 2014 AIP Publishing LLC.[http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4862487]

I. INTRODUCTION

Thermal convection is a process that occurs naturally in a wide range of natural systems (e.g., convection inside stars, atmospheric motions, and circulation in the ocean) and this process also plays an important role in the industry (e.g., heat exchangers and indoor air circulation). In these situations, the thermal forcing is very strong and the flow is highly turbulent. One possible model system, widely used to study this problem, is the Rayleigh-Bénard cell: a fluid layer confined in a closed cell with adiabatic walls, heated from below and cooled from above. Although this is one of the first nonlinear model systems that was investigated nearly a century ago,¹ the emergence and the role of turbulence inside the boundary layers and their consequences on the global heat transfer are still open for debate.²

It is now clear however that the plates and the boundary conditions play a crucial role in the dynamics of the system.³–⁸ To understand how instabilities develop near the plates and how they affect the general dynamics of heat transport, one approach is to purposely change the plate properties to trigger transitions in the boundary layers. One possibility is to introduce controlled plate roughnesses. There has been a lot of efforts to figure out how the dynamics of the flow is modified in this situation.⁹–¹⁶ It is now well established that controlled roughnesses on the plates enhance the global heat transfer and, in some cases, also increase the turbulent convection scaling exponent. This is also of utmost importance, and thus widely used and studied, in the engineering community as a heat exchange enhancement technique.¹⁷

¹Present address: CORIA, Avenue de l’Université, BP 12, 76801 Saint Étienne du Rouvray, France.
In this paper, we investigate how the thermal boundary layers and the flow structure near the plates are modified by the roughnesses. We use a rectangular Rayleigh-Bénard cell with a rough bottom plate and a smooth top plate. This allows in situ comparison of the flow structure with or without the roughnesses. We report both local temperature measurements carried out using miniature thermistors and fluctuations at a larger scale obtained with background-oriented synthetic Schlieren techniques.

II. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP AND HEAT TRANSFER MEASUREMENTS

Our convection cell is a 10 cm-thick 40 cm × 40 cm rectangular cell with 2.5 cm-thick PMMA walls (see sketch in Figure 1). Both plates consist in 4 cm-thick copper plates coated with a thin layer of nickel. The bottom plate is Joule-heated, with possible powers up to 610 W. The top plate is cooled with a temperature regulated water circulation. The top plate is smooth. The controlled roughnesses on the bottom plate consist in an array of 2 mm-high 5 mm × 5 mm square plots, machined in the copper, evenly spaced every 1 cm. The working fluid is deionized water. The mean temperature can be varied between 15 °C and 45 °C (the corresponding Prandtl numbers, \( Pr = \frac{v}{\kappa} \), are, respectively, 8.1 and 3.9).

The control parameter is the dimensionless temperature difference between the hot \((T_h)\) and the cold \((T_c)\) plates, given by the Rayleigh number,

\[
Ra = \frac{g \alpha (T_h - T_c) H^3}{v \kappa},
\]

where \( H \) is the height of the cell, \( g \) is the gravitational acceleration, and \( \alpha \) is the constant pressure thermal expansion coefficient. In the experiment, the heat flux is imposed with the electrical power on the bottom plate. We then measure the temperature drop, \( T_h - T_c \), as well as the temperature of the bulk, \( T_{\text{bulk}} \).

![Figure 1. Sketch of the convection cell. The six dark dots in the plates show the location of the PT100 temperature sensors. The miniature thermistor is symbolized by the dark green circle at a distance \( z \) from the bottom plate. The dashed brown region indicates the area when the Schlieren is recorded. The light gray rectangles represent the PMMA walls.](image-url)
The dimensionless global heat transfer response is given by the Nusselt number,

$$ Nu = \frac{QH}{\lambda(T_h - T_c)}, \quad (2) $$

which compares the heat flux $Q$ to the purely diffusive flux $\lambda(T_h - T_c)/H$, where $\lambda$ is the fluid thermal conductivity. In our case, the typical Rayleigh numbers are in the range $10^9$–$10^{11}$, and the typical Nusselt numbers between 100 and 400.

Since the cell is not symmetric, given the bulk temperature $T_{\text{bulk}}$, it is possible to define Rayleigh and Nusselt numbers based on the temperature difference between each plate and the bulk as done in the work of Tisserand et al.\textsuperscript{15} The Rayleigh number associated with the smooth plate is

$$ Ra_s = \frac{2g\alpha(T_{\text{bulk}} - T_c)H^3}{\nu \kappa} \quad (3) $$

and its Nusselt number is

$$ Nu_s = \frac{QH}{2\lambda(T_{\text{bulk}} - T_c)} \quad (4) $$

And similarly for the rough plate, the Rayleigh number is

$$ Ra_r = \frac{2g\alpha(T_h - T_{\text{bulk}})H^3}{\nu \kappa} \quad (5) $$

and its Nusselt number is

$$ Nu_r = \frac{QH}{2\lambda(T_h - T_{\text{bulk}})} \quad (6) $$

To prevent spurious heat losses, the cell was insulated with several layers of Mylar and placed inside a temperature regulated copper thermal screen. Each plate temperature is obtained with 4-wire measurements of precisely calibrated PT100 resistors located inside the copper plate (see Figure 1). The bulk temperature is obtained with a 4-wire measurement of one PT100 resistor placed at the cell mid-height. It is found to be larger than $(T_h + T_c)/2$. The resulting $Ra_s$, $Nu_s$, $Ra_r$, and $Nu_r$ are given in Figure 2. These results are in quantitative agreement with the measurements of Tisserand\textit{ et al.} carried out in a larger cylindrical cell.\textsuperscript{15} A transition toward a regime of enhanced heat transfer is observed on the rough plate for $Nu_r \approx 100$, corresponding to a boundary layer thickness,

$$ \delta_{th} = \frac{H}{2Nu} \approx 2 \text{ mm}, \quad (7) $$

which matches the height of the roughnesses.
In Secs. III and IV, we investigate the high-Ra regime where the heat transfer enhancement is observed. These are obtained at high power, where the heat losses are small compared to the heat flux through the cell, even if the Mylar insulating layers are removed, which is required to record images with a camera.

III. BOUNDARY LAYER PROPERTIES

A. Experimental observations

The boundary layer structure is investigated with two miniature glass-encapsulated Betatherm GR2KM3187J15 thermistors, 400 μm in diameter. They are placed at the end of rigid rods and inserted inside the cell from above. The position can be chosen near the smooth top plate or near the rough bottom plate, either above a plot, in a groove, or in a notch where the fluid is at rest (see Figure 3). The results presented in this section have been obtained with a mean temperature of 40 °C and a heat power of 400 W. The resulting global temperature difference $T_h - T_c \approx 20$ °C, which corresponds to a global Rayleigh number $Ra \approx 5 \times 10^{10}$, and a Nusselt number $Nu \approx 250$.

The profiles of temperature rms are obtained by moving the thermistor at a distance $z$ away from the plate. The definition of $z$ above a plot, or inside a notch, is schematized in Figure 4. Figure 5 shows the profiles obtained near the smooth plate and near the rough plate.

The profile obtained near the smooth plate shows a peak close to the plate. The abscissa of this peak can be used as an estimate of the thermal boundary layer thickness, $\delta_{th}$. We find $\delta_{th} \approx 0.8$ mm, in fair agreement with the classical estimate (Eq. (7)) for this set of parameters ($H = 40$ cm and $Nu \approx 250$). The rough-case profile is obtained near the rough plate, with the $z$ origin at the bottom of a notch, as in the right sketch in Figure 4. It shows two peaks. This is similar to the profile obtained by Du and Tong$^{10}$ in a cell with rough upper and lower surfaces, and also in good agreement with the measurement of Ciliberto and Laroche, in an asymmetric cell with a rough bottom plate. It is very likely that the slight differences between the profiles come from the details of the roughness features—evenly spaced metallic parallelepipedic roughnesses in our case, versus spheres with random positions and sizes in the work of Ciliberto and Laroche or evenly spaced pyramids in the work of Du and Tong.$^{10}$

The histograms obtained near the smooth plate are shown in Figure 6. They are similar to those typically obtained with symmetric smooth plates.$^{19-24}$ The shape of the histogram is nearly gaussian deep inside the boundary layer. At the edge of the boundary layer ($z = 0.8$ mm in our case), the
FIG. 5. Profiles of the rms temperature fluctuations near the smooth plate (full circles) and near the rough plate, with the $z$ origin at the bottom of a notch (open squares). The profiles are compared with results from Ciliberto and Laroche$^{11}$ (x crosses) and with those of Du and Tong$^{10}$ (black triangles). For reference, the numerical simulation from Stringano et al.$^{18}$ is shown as solid black line.

The histogram is skewed and does not show an inflection. Further away but still close to the thermal boundary layer ($z > 0.8$ mm), the histogram is skewed and shows an inflection.

The strong quantitative and qualitative similarities between our measurements near the smooth plate in this asymmetric cell with those obtained in symmetric smooth cells back up the hypothesis of independence of the plates that was used in the analysis of Tisserand et al. for such an asymmetric Rayleigh-Bénard system.$^{15}$

An order of magnitude of the thermal boundary layer thicknesses can be obtained by extrapolating the qualitative features of the smooth-case temperature histogram to the histograms obtained near the rough plate, shown in Figure 7. Du and Tong have shown that the shapes of the temperature histograms in rough cells are similar to those of smooth cells, at least in the center of the cell.$^{12}$ Above a plot, at the closest possible distance from the plate, the histogram still shows an inflection. This provides an upper bound for the thermal boundary layer thickness above a plot, $\delta_{th,plot}$. It is apparently very thin, thinner that can be resolved by our probe:

$$\delta_{th,plot} \lesssim 0.4 \text{ mm.}$$

(8)

Inside a notch, on the other hand, the fluctuations remain symmetric until $z = 1.4$ mm. This indicates that the fluid is indeed rather still inside a notch.
FIG. 7. Histogram of temperature for various distance $z$ away from the rough bottom plate. Upper subplot: above a plot, from right to left $z = 0.4 \, \text{mm}, 0.6 \, \text{mm}, 1.0 \, \text{mm}, 3.0 \, \text{mm}, \text{and } 10 \, \text{mm}$. Lower subplot: inside a notch, from right to left, $z = 0.4 \, \text{mm}, 0.6 \, \text{mm}, 0.8 \, \text{mm}, 1.4 \, \text{mm}, 2.0 \, \text{mm}, 3.0 \, \text{mm}, \text{and } 7.2 \, \text{mm}$. See Figure 4 for the definition of $z$.

At this stage, the enhancement mechanism that those results suggest is therefore different from the one which was proposed in the work of Tisserand et al.\textsuperscript{15} The fluid that remains still in the notch constitutes a thermal resistance and therefore probably does not contribute much to the global heat transfer. On the other hand, the thermal boundary layer on the plot is much thinner than the smooth case, which suggests a higher local Nusselt number on these locations.

B. Laminar interpretation

One possible explanation is that the top of the plots behaves as if the corresponding portions of the original smooth plate had been moved higher, inside the bulk. The boundary layer does not develop continuously on the whole plate, but rather on each individual plot. One solution could be a laminar velocity boundary layer developing on the plots, as sketched in Figure 8.

FIG. 8. Sketch of a laminar velocity boundary layer on the top of the plots. Its thickness $\delta_v(x)$ can be estimated from Prandtl-Blasius equations (Eq. (9)).
In this situation, the velocity boundary layer, $\delta_v$, can be computed from the Prandtl-Blasius equations:\textsuperscript{25,54}

$$\delta_v(x) \approx 3.0 \sqrt{\frac{X_v}{U}}.$$  \hfill (9)

The thermal boundary layer lies inside the velocity boundary layer because $Pr > 1$. Thus, the thermal boundary layer thickness relates to the velocity boundary layer thickness as\textsuperscript{26}

$$\delta_{th} = \delta_v Pr^{-1/3}.$$  \hfill (10)

We can then compute an estimate for the thermal boundary layer thickness at the downstream edge of the plot:

$$\delta_{th, plot} \approx 3.0\sqrt{\ell H} Re^{-1/2} Pr^{-1/3}.$$  \hfill (11)

The Reynolds number can be computed experimentally from velocity measurements obtained both with an instrumented “smart” particle\textsuperscript{27} and with Particle Image Velocimetry (PIV). The full discussion of the velocity data is outside the scope of this paper and will be discussed in a future paper.

The measured velocity in this range of parameters is $U \approx 2$ cm/s, which gives $\delta_{th, plot} \approx 0.7$ mm using Eq. (11), which is larger than the experimental estimate. We have several short recordings at various positions on the top of the plot. They allow us to experimentally check, although only qualitatively, that the boundary layer (BL) thickness on the plot is nearly homogeneous. In particular, there is no experimental evidence that the thermal boundary layer on the plot is thicker at the downstream edge of the plot. This means that the laminar velocity boundary layer is not stable. Possible reasons are the divergent streamlines downstream the plot and the sharp edge of the plots. Both are known to cause destabilization of laminar boundary layers.

### C. Roughness-induced BL structure

Since the simple laminar model appears to fail, we consider another possible interpretation for our experimental observations: the laminar boundary layers may no longer be stable. The shear Reynolds number is a criterion to judge about the potential destabilization of a laminar boundary layer. It is given by

$$Re_s = \frac{\delta_v U}{v},$$  \hfill (12)

where $\delta_v$ is the velocity boundary layer thickness. Direct measurements of this shear Reynolds number have recently been carried out in a smooth cylindrical cell of aspect ratio 1, at Rayleigh numbers similar to ours by the group of Ilmenau.\textsuperscript{28} In particular, at $Ra = 1.42 \times 10^{10}$, they have measured $Re_s = 79$ and concluded that this value lies in the range where the boundary layer is laminar.

The Reynolds number may be different in a rectangular cell like ours, but the order of magnitude should stand. In this range of shear Reynolds numbers however, various experiments have shown that roughnesses can lower the critical Reynolds $Re_s$ above which the BL is no longer stable.\textsuperscript{29} Therefore, we can follow an approach close to the one proposed by Kraichnan.\textsuperscript{30} The boundary layer is characterized by a critical Péclet number $Pe_T$ and a critical shear Reynolds number $Re_s$. The Péclet number is defined by

$$Pe_T = \frac{U(\delta_{th})\delta_{th}}{\kappa}.$$  \hfill (13)

In the range of Prandtl numbers that we can explore, the thermal boundary layer lies within the velocity boundary layer, hence,

$$Pe_T = \frac{U\delta_{th}^2}{\kappa\delta_v}.$$  \hfill (14)
The Nusselt number, defined as \( Nu = H/(2\delta_{th}) \), is thus
\[
Nu = \frac{1}{2} Re Pr^{1/2} (PeT ReS)^{-1/2}.
\] (15)

In the case of Kraichnan theory, the laminar boundary layer is destabilized by the turbulence in the bulk. In our case, the destabilization arises from geometrical reasons. Therefore, we do not expect the critical \( PeT \) and \( ReS \) to have the universal values they have in the turbulent case, but rather to have geometry-dependent values. Our experimental results, as well as many previous observations in the literature, show that the transition occurs when the thermal boundary layer thickness is \( h_0 \); hence, the critical values of the Péclet and Nusselt numbers are
\[
Pe = \frac{h_0^2 U_c}{\kappa \delta_c},
\] (16)
\[
Nu_c = \frac{H}{2H_0},
\] (17)
and by definition,
\[
ReS = \frac{\delta_c U_c}{v},
\] (18)
where \( U_c \) is the mean flow velocity at the transition and \( \delta_c \) is the velocity boundary layer thickness at the transition. From Eqs. (16) and (18), we get
\[
PeT ReS = \left( \frac{h_0}{H} \right)^2 Pr Re_c^2.
\] (19)

Combining Eqs. (15) and (19), we obtain
\[
Nu = \frac{H}{2h_0} \frac{Re}{Re_c}.
\] (20)
with \( Re = H U_c/v \propto Ra_c^{1/2} Pr^{-3/4} \), hence
\[
Nu = \frac{H}{2h_0} Ra_c^{1/2}.
\] (21)

Before the transition, the Nusselt versus Rayleigh behavior is similar to a smooth plate. In this range of \( Ra \) and \( Pr \), it is close to \( Nu = \sigma Ra_c^{1/3} \), thus
\[
Ra_c = \left( \frac{Nu_c}{\sigma} \right)^3.
\] (22)
This allows Eq. (21) to be rewritten as
\[
Nu = \frac{(2\sigma)^{3/2}}{2} \left( \frac{h_0}{H} \right)^{1/2} Ra_c^{1/2}.
\] (23)

In the following, we will use reduced variables: reduced Rayleigh number \( r \) and reduced Nusselt number \( n \), defined as
\[
r = \left( \frac{h_0}{H} \right)^3 Ra,
\] (24)
\[
n = \left( \frac{H}{2h_0} \right) Nu.
\] (25)
In these reduced variables, Eq. (23) can be rewritten without explicit use of the geometrical parameters of the roughnesses:
\[
n = (2\sigma)^{3/2} r^{1/2}.
\] (26)
To determine $\sigma$, we can use the data obtained by Roche et al. in a cell with V-shape groove roughnesses. In this cell, both the plates and the lateral walls were rough and the authors report a $Nu \propto Ra^{1/2}$ scaling law consistent with fully transited heat transfers. We fit Eq. (26) in the least-squares sense, in the range of $Pr$ close to ours. We find $\sigma = 0.065$ (see Figure 9). This value, fitted in a fully transited rough cell, is close to $\sigma = 0.06$ usually found in the literature for smooth cells at moderate Rayleigh numbers.

However, the reduced Nusselt numbers, $n$, that we obtain in our cell, although larger than the smooth case one, are still about 1.5 times smaller than the reduced Nusselt numbers computed from the data of Roche et al. Additionally, the observations show a thick boundary layer inside the notches. This suggests that the BL in our system may only partially be destabilized.

D. Partial destabilization of the BL

In the range of parameters that we can achieve in our rectangular cell, it is possible that only the boundary layers at the top of the plots are destabilized. Since the plots and the notches both occupy one quarter of the plate surface, the rough Nusselt number will be given by

$$Nu_r = \frac{1}{2} Nu_{\text{groove}} + \frac{1}{4} Nu_{\text{notch}} + \frac{1}{4} Nu_{\text{plot}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (27)

To test this interpretation, we have to derive each contribution.

Because they are much thinner than the smooth case, we may assume that the boundary layers on the top of the plots are similar to those of a fully transited rough cell. Therefore, $Nu_{\text{plot}}$ will be given by a relation similar to Eq. (23):

$$Nu_{\text{plot}} = \left( \frac{2\sigma}{3} \right)^{3/2} \left( \frac{h_0}{H} \right)^{1/2} Ra^{1/2}. \hspace{1cm} (28)$$

The fluid in the notches is almost quiet, and the boundary layer thickness is large. To take into account the advection that may still occur inside the notch, we can compute the Rayleigh number, $Ra_{h_0}$, of this small convection cell of height $h_0$:

$$Ra_{h_0} = \left( \frac{h_0}{H} \right)^{3} \frac{T_h - T_{\text{bulk}}}{T_h - T_c} Ra. \hspace{1cm} (29)$$

If we call $f$, the function that gives the Nusselt number for a given Rayleigh number in the case of a smooth cell, then the heat flux in the notch, $Q_{\text{notch}}$, is given by

$$\frac{Q_{\text{notch}}h_0}{\lambda(T_h - T_{\text{bulk}})} = f \left( \left( \frac{h_0}{H} \right)^{3} \frac{T_h - T_{\text{bulk}}}{T_h - T_c} Ra \right). \hspace{1cm} (30)$$
From this equation, we can compute the Nusselt number associated with the notches:

\[ Nu_{\text{notch}} = \frac{Q_{\text{notch}}H}{\lambda(T_h - T_c)}. \]  

(31)

We can neglect the fact that \( T_{\text{bulk}} \) is larger than \( (T_h + T_c)/2 \) and write

\[ \frac{T_h - T_{\text{bulk}}}{T_h - T_c} \approx \frac{1}{2}. \]  

(32)

Thus, using the heat flux from Eq. (30),

\[ Nu_{\text{notch}} = \frac{H}{2h_0} f \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{h_0}{H} \right)^3 Ra \right). \]  

(33)

At moderate Rayleigh numbers, the smooth Nusselt numbers, \( Nu_s = f(Ra_s) \), that we measure in the smooth half cell fall fairly close to the prediction of the Grossmann-Lohse (GL) model \(^{32}\) with the recently updated prefactors. \(^{33}\) Therefore, we will use the GL model for the function \( f \). On the other hand, the Rayleigh number of the notch is much smaller, close to the onset of convection. In this range of low Rayleigh numbers, the GL model cannot be used. Therefore, we use a linear interpolation of experimental data from Chavanne \textit{et al.} \(^{34}\). In this range of parameters, the data from Chavanne \textit{et al.} \(^{34}\) are in fair agreement with all other published data. We can rewrite Eq. (34) more explicitly using \( f_{\text{GL}} \), the function computed from the GL model, and \( f_C \), the function computed from Chavanne \textit{et al.} \(^{34}\) experimental data:

\[ Nu_{\text{notch}} = \begin{cases} 
  f_{\text{GL}}(Ra) & \text{when } Nu < H/(2h_0), \\
  \frac{H}{2h_0} f_C \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{h_0}{H} \right)^3 Ra \right) & \text{when } Nu > H/(2h_0). 
\end{cases} \]  

(34)

To estimate the final rough Nusselt number, \( Nu_r \), we also have to compute a local Nusselt number for the grooves. We assume that they behave as a smooth plate, but we take into account the contribution of the vertical walls, \textit{i.e.}, the surface increase can be written, using again \( f_{\text{GL}} \):

\[ \frac{S_{\text{groove}}}{S_{\text{smooth}}} = \frac{2\ell^2 + 2(h_0 - \delta_{th})\ell}{2\ell^2} = 1 + \frac{h_0}{\ell} - \frac{H}{2\ell f_{\text{GL}}(Ra)}. \]  

(35)

and therefore

\[ Nu_{\text{groove}} = \left( 1 + \frac{h_0}{\ell} \right) f_{\text{GL}}(Ra) - \frac{H}{2\ell}. \]  

(36)

The expected rough Nusselt numbers are obtained by combining Eq. (27) with Eqs. (28), (34), and (36). This system has no free parameters: \( \sigma = 0.065 \) was fitted from the experimental data of Roche \textit{et al.} \(^{31}\) the function \( f_C \) is obtained from the experimental data of Chavanne \textit{et al.} \(^{34}\) at low Rayleigh numbers, and \( f_{\text{GL}} \) is obtained from the GL model at moderate Rayleigh numbers. A comparison between this model and the heat-transfer measurements previously shown in Figure 2, and also the heat-transfer measurements of Tisserand \textit{et al.} carried out in two cylindrical cells \(^{15}\) of aspect ratios \( \Gamma = 0.5 \) and \( \Gamma = 2.5 \), are shown in Figure 10.

For reference, the smooth data and the GL model are also plotted in Figure 10. Note that it is possible to plot the smooth data with the same reduced variables as the rough case if the \( Nu \) scales like \( Ra^{1/3} \), indeed \( Nu = 0.06 Ra^{1/3} \) can be written with the rough reduced variables:

\[ n = 0.12 r^{1/3}. \]  

(37)

So, one advantage of these reduced variables is that the two limit cases (roughness-induced BL structure \( Nu \propto \sqrt{h_0/H} Ra^{1/2} \), and the regular smooth \( Nu \propto Ra^{1/3} \) case) should collapse on curves that both no longer depend on the roughness height \( h_0 \).
FIG. 10. Experimental heat-transfer data in reduced variables. Full symbols: rough Nusselt numbers. Open symbols: smooth Nusselt numbers. Squares: present measurements. Triangles and circles: respectively, the “Tall cell” and the “Small cell” of Tissierand et al. Half-filled symbols: results of Roche et al. in a fully turbulent rough cell (diamond: $Pr = 2.7$, circle: $Pr = 5.6$). Dashed line: theoretical prediction for a smooth cell with the GL model. Upper solid line: $n = (2\sigma)^{3/2}\sigma^{1/2}$. Lower solid line: prediction from Eq. (27).

E. Discussion

The present heat-transfer data are in good agreement with the model prediction based on a change of the BL structure. The data from Tissierand et al. “Tall cell” (cylindrical cell of height $H = 1\) m) coincide well with the present data and predictions. However, the measurements from Tissierand et al. “Small cell” (cylindrical cell of height $H = 20\) cm) are much closer to the fully turbulent data of Roche et al. and to the scaling law of a fully transited roughness-induced BL structure $n = (2\sigma)^{3/2}\sigma^{1/2}$ (see Figure 10). The reason may be that the mean velocity is larger in the “Small cell” than in the “Tall cell,” which leads to larger Reynolds numbers.

To test further the agreement between the model and our experimental observations, we can estimate the boundary layer thicknesses, $\delta_{\text{plot}} = H/(2Nu_{\text{plot}})$, and $\delta_{\text{notch}} = H/(2Nu_{\text{notch}})$. The resulting boundary layer thicknesses are plotted in Figure 11. In particular, for a smooth boundary layer thickness of order 0.8 mm, the corresponding boundary layer thickness above a plot is 0.4 mm and the boundary layer thickness inside the notch is 1.8 mm. This is in fair agreement with our experimental data.

FIG. 11. Boundary layer thickness above a plot (dashed line), and inside a notch (dotted line) compared to the boundary layer thickness of a smooth plate (solid line) computed, respectively, with our local Nusselt number model (Eqs. (28) and (34)) and with the Grossmann-Lohse model.
Although the roughness geometries are different, our result and our interpretation can be compared to the measurements of Du and Tong.\textsuperscript{21} In their case, the cell has rough upper and lower surfaces, with pyramidal roughnesses. In this cell, they obtain an enhanced heat transfer but with no change in the $Nu$ versus $Ra$ scaling: the scaling is always $Ra^{2/7}$ but the power-law amplitude is increased by more than 76\%. For reference, we shall also cite the work of Qiu, Xia, and Tong\textsuperscript{14} which reports a $Nu \sim Ra^{0.35}$ scaling law. This exponent, although larger than 1/3, is closer to the laminar case.

These differences between those results and ours can be the consequence of the shape of the roughnesses. Indeed, in their case, only the tip of the roughness elements is inside the bulk region. In our case, the top of the roughness elements consists in a 5 mm-long flat zone where a boundary layer has enough room to form. Therefore, the behavior of the boundary layer may be different. In their case, they showed that the pyramidal roughnesses enhance the plume emissions. In our setup, we observe also enhancements of plume emissions, but only intermittently, as will be discussed at the end of Sec. IV.

Yet our results also bear some similarities with theirs. The enhancement is greater than the surface increase, induced by the roughnesses. They have seeded their flow with thermochromic liquid crystal spheres, which allows velocity and temperature visualization. They clearly evidence secondary flows in the groove region, which is consistent with our notch Rayleigh number that is found larger than the convection threshold, as was also previously pointed out by Tisserand et al.\textsuperscript{15} In addition, they also find a much larger thermal boundary layer thickness inside the groove and a much thinner one at the tip of the rough element, which is consistent with our observations.

One possible interpretation is that the intermediate BL structure where only the top of the roughness is destabilized is not accessible with pointy roughnesses. If the Reynolds number is large enough, the BL structure can change, as in the Roche et al. case,\textsuperscript{31} leading to a transition in the heat-transfer scaling law. If it is not, then the boundary layer structure is not modified and the scaling law is not changed. Yet, heat-transfer enhancement is still possible with other mechanisms, such as enhancement of plume emissions, as in the Du and Tong case.\textsuperscript{21}

### IV. MULTISTABILITY AND LONGER TIME SCALES

We have recorded long time series of the thermistor signal at various positions inside the cell. An example of coarse-grained time series is plotted in Figure 12. The signal exhibits transitions between two states: in the following, we shall call “high-$Nu$” the state where $T_h$ is colder (because

![FIG. 12. Example of coarse-grained time series showing evidence of multistability in the flow. From top to bottom: signal of a thermistor located inside a notch at $z = 0.95$ mm, skewness of the thermistor signal computed on a moving window, signal of a second thermistor located in the bulk, and signal of a PT100 sensor on the left-hand-side of the bottom plate.](image-url)
FIG. 13. Conditional analysis of the time series shown in Figure 12, recorded inside a notch at $z = 0.95$ mm. Top: thermistor coarse-grained time series divided into two states based on a threshold on the plate temperature from the PT100. Bottom: conditional histogram computed on the “High-Nu” state ($\times$ crosses) data points and on the “low-Nu” state (+ crosses).

$\Delta T = T_h - T_c$ is smaller and therefore $Nu$ is larger), and “low-Nu” the state where $T_h$ is warmer. This additional increase of Nusselt number, of order 1%, is much smaller to the one described in Sec. III. The time scales associated with these transitions are much longer than the typical turnover time.

It is well known that the mean flow in the Rayleigh-Bénard system can display large scale multistability, e.g., reversals or changes in the convective pattern. These transitions are known to exhibit time scales much longer than all other typical times. This type of behavior is also reported in other turbulent closed flows, such as Von Kármán flow.

In the present observation, there were no reversals during the time of the acquisition. So this multistability is not caused by a flow reversal. There is also no measurable signature in the bulk temperature, nor in temperature signals near the top plate, nor in signal of the PT100 inside top plate. However, the transitions evidenced by the thermistor inside the notch are correlated to changes in the bottom plate temperature, and therefore to changes in the Nusselt number. It is worth noting that bistability of the Nusselt number has been reported at moderate Rayleigh numbers in high-precision cryogenic helium convection cells, and also in water by Chillà et al.

The statistics of the thermistor signal at this particular position in the notch differs between the two states: the distribution, shown in Figure 13, is more skewed in the “low-Nu” state, in this case. We have recorded time series at various positions in several notches, as well as in grooves, and found out that there is a simultaneous change of statistics everywhere near the rough plate. However, the effects of this transition on the statistics highly depend on the exact position of the thermistor. There are positions where the thermistor temperature signal steps up when the plate temperature gets higher, unlike the signal shown in Figure 12. Therefore, the only robust conclusion that can be drawn, is that these two states are associated with a change in the structure of the flow, but it is not clear how the boundary layer changes. This change is visible simultaneously in notches and in grooves, even if the probes are spatially separated (an example is given in Figure 14). This means that the change of flow structure affects large areas of the bottom plate.

To figure out the nature of the two regimes, we reconstructed the field of the temperature fluctuations with an optical technique based on the light refraction. Indeed, the temperature gradients
in the fluid induce a gradient of optical index. These optical index gradients can be measured optically. This kind of measurements was first successfully used for temperature imaging in Rayleigh-Bénard convection using a laser scanning technique. In the present work, we combined this technique with the modern, more straightforward, computer-based method of background-oriented synthetic Schlieren. Unlike shadowgraphy, this more quantitative technique grants access to the temperature field, and thus to statistical quantities.

The background picture is a computer-generated image of randomly spaced dots. The region of interest, shown as a brown dashed area in Figure 1, was recorded with a black and white AVT Stingray F125B camera. The frame rate is one image every five seconds during up to a hundred hours, with synchronous acquisition of the thermistors. The apparent motion of the dots in the background is computed using a cross-correlation algorithm implemented in the CIVx software suite. However, in our system, gradients of optical index also exist inside the plexiglas walls. This prevented us from getting a reference image of the background pattern with the fluid at rest (the temperature difference between the bottom and the top of the wall leads to a spurious dot motion).

If the experiment starts, is sufficiently large, the cross-correlation term can be neglected, and thus is proportional to the rms temperature fluctuations.

Figure 15 shows two fields with similar color codes, one is averaged in the “low-Nu” state, the other in the “high-Nu” state. They show qualitative difference between the two regimes.
FIG. 15. Top: temperature signal of the thermistor located in a notch. The red part of the time series indicates the time intervals where the average is computed. Bottom: $T_{\text{rms}}(x, z)$ obtained with background-oriented synthetic Schlieren (see text). The number of images used to compute the average is nearly the same for both images to prevent statistical bias. Left: picture obtained in the “low-Nu” regime. Right: picture obtained in the “high-Nu” regime. The picture color code is similar for both images.

The latter is much more agitated than the former (there are wide areas with a larger value of the temperature fluctuations rms). A slice is shown in Figure 16 to better evaluate the increase.

Our interpretation is that there is another mechanism that adds up to the change of the BL structure discussed in Sec. III: the enhancement of plume emissions. This sort of effect has been reported for pyramidal roughnesses by Du and Tong, and this effect also induces wider temperature distributions, at least in the center of the cell, in their case. In our cell, the “High Nu” state presents intermittent bursts of coherent plumes. Example of this kind of bursts is shown in Figure 17. These bursts occur only infrequently, typically once or twice every minute, and each burst lasts typically five to ten seconds. This phenomenon leads to an increase of the Nusselt number only of order

FIG. 16. Slice of the $T_{\text{rms}}(x, z)$ fields shown in Figure 15 at $x = 8.1$ cm. Inset: probability density function of $T_{\text{rms}}(x, z, t)$ in both regimes. Solid red line: “High-Nu” regime. Dashed blue line: “Low-Nu” regime.
1%, much lower than the increase reported by Du and Tong.\textsuperscript{21} It is not clear if the enhancement of plume emissions that we report is similar to theirs, because our observations are at much larger scales. We do not have information on the details of the plume emission enhancement very close to the roughnesses at the moment. A possible reason for the lower Nusselt increase is the infrequent nature of the burst emissions that may depend on the details of the roughness geometry. Note that the emissions of coherent plumes have also been evidenced at very high Rayleigh numbers, beyond the transition threshold to turbulent boundary layers, by Gauthier and Roche\textsuperscript{7} from the careful analysis of the plate temperature fluctuations.

V. DISCUSSION

The transition to turbulence of the boundary layer is an important problem for Rayleigh-Bénard convection. Theoretical works have predicted that this transition, at asymptotically large Rayleigh numbers, leads to a change in the heat transfer scaling law.\textsuperscript{30,50} This enhanced heat transfer regime is sometimes referred to as “ultimate regime of convection.” Several groups have reported a transition to an enhanced heat transfer regime with an experimental critical Rayleigh number varying between $10^{12}$ for the Grenoble results\textsuperscript{8} and $10^{14}$ for the Göttingen results.\textsuperscript{51} The problem of critical transition values in this kind of turbulent closed system is well known to highly depend on details of the boundary conditions.\textsuperscript{52,53} A key problem is therefore to figure out if it is possible to purposely change the boundary conditions so that the transition to turbulence is triggered at moderate Rayleigh numbers. One approach consists in adding controlled roughnesses and study how they interact with the flow.

In the present work, heat transfer enhancement is reported when the boundary layer thickness matches the height of the roughnesses. This is consistent with previous global heat transfer measurements.\textsuperscript{10,11,14,15} Systematic characterizations of the temperature statistics near the boundaries have been carried out in the enhanced regime. They indicate, in the range of parameters explored, that the fluid inside the notches is essentially at rest and that the boundary layer on the plot is very thin: this seems to explain most of the enhancement of the heat transfer. The boundary layer on top of the plots is destabilized by the mean flow.

We also report intermittent transitions to a more agitated state associated with a higher Nusselt number. This additional increase is much smaller (of order 1%), in the range of parameters that we can investigate in this cell. Our interpretation is that this is caused by another mechanism, maybe close to the Du and Tong\textsuperscript{21} scenario: the enhancement of plume emissions by the roughnesses. Indeed, we have observed intermittent burst of coherent plumes in this more agitated state.

Various observations reported in the literature on rough Rayleigh-Bénard systems, with pyramids,\textsuperscript{9,10,14,21} V-shape grooves,\textsuperscript{18,31} or square-studs,\textsuperscript{15} show both similarities and apparent contradictions. They all report heat transfer enhancement when the thermal boundary layer thickness
matches the height of the roughness, larger than the surface increase, which suggests that the triggering of some enhancement mechanisms. But the amount of increase can be very different: some have reported no change in the scaling law but a change in prefactor, some have reported a transition to fully turbulent $\text{Re}^{1/2}$ scaling laws, or intermediate cases with an increase of the scaling law exponent. In our cell, we observe the effect of two different mechanisms: a change of the BL structure and the enhancement of plume emissions. This can explain the apparent discrepancy between the published results.

Depending on the roughness geometry, and on the mean velocity, the critical shear Reynolds number can be lowered, not enough to destabilize the boundary layer (Du and Tong\textsuperscript{21} case), just enough to destabilize the top of the roughness elements (our case), or sufficiently to obtain a fully turbulent boundary layer (Roche \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{31} case).
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The value of the prefactor can be found by integrating numerically the ordinary differential equation $2f'' + rf'' = 0$.

The value of the prefactor can be found by integrating numerically the ordinary differential equation $r^2 + \beta r + \gamma = 0$.


